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University.
Q. Do you hold any licenses?
A. I do. I hold a Montana pharmacist
license.
Q. How long have you been licensed?

6 A. Twenty-six years. Twenty-eight. Oops.
Q. Are you a member of any professional
societies?
A. I am. I'm a member of the Montana

Pharmacy Association and a member of the American
Society of Health-System Pharmacists.
Q. Have you ever had any association with
regulatory offices?
A. I have. I was the executive director of
the Board of Pharmacy starting in July in 2006, and
I held that position until May of 2007.
Q. Have you ever served on the Board of

Pharmacy for the State of Montana?
A. I have. I was recently appointed to the

Board of Pharmacy by Governor Bullock in July.
Q. Have you ever taught pharmacy?
A. I have. I'm an adjunct faculty at the

University of Montana; we have pharmacy students.
I've also taught in a classroom setting at Rocky
Mountain College to their physician assistant
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program.
Q. What did you teach at the University of

Montana Pharmacy School?
A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job
training.
6 Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in
topics of narcotics abuse or diversion?
A. I have. In my role as the executive
director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to
champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation.
Q. When was that?
A. That was in 2000 -- that was the 2007
session, I believe.
Q. Did that pass then?
A. It did not.
Q. So...
A. And then I was also the president at the
time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that group led the charge for the Prescription Drug
Registry legislation in 2009. That was also an unsuccessful attempt at passing that legislation.
Q. Ultimately that did pass?
A. Ultimately it did pass in 2011. The

Attorney General's Office, then Steve Bullock, had
25 to champion that. The Pharmacy Association had also
sponsored a bill that I helped craft that strengthened the fraudulently obtaining dangerous drug statute, and that is 45-9-104, I believe, and that did pass in the $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ session as well.
Q. After the MPDR passed, did you have a role in its implementation?
A. I did. I was selected, I was appointed by then Attorney General Bullock to a council that was mandated by the legislation to advise the Board of Pharmacy on the Prescription Drug Registry, on implementation, rule writing, functionality, that sort of thing, and I ultimately was elected chair of that committee, and I still hold that position. Q. Do you have any particular experience in pain management and treatment of chronic pain patients?
A. I have education in pain management. In the late '90s I attended a seminar at the University of Wisconsin, which is well known for being thought leaders in pain management, and I obtained a pain resource professional certification through the University of Wisconsin. And then, you know, through that -- my employer at the time had sent me to that and because of that I helped to implement pain protocols in the hospital, more in an acute
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care setting, not chronic pain protocols.
In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications.
Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist
in the medication management team?
A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management roles, such as hypertension, lipid management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart failure. There are pharmacists in the state who are pain management providers.
Q. Can you describe your pharmacological
training versus a medical doctor's, if you know?
A. I don't know how much pharmacology or pharmacy training, drug training that a physician has. For pharmacists, that's pretty much what we
do. The professional curriculum for a pharmacist is, there is two years of prepharmacy, that's general. The professional curriculum is four years, three of that is didactic, and that is all around medications, pathophysiology and drug therapy management. And then that last year is practical experience in different pharmacy practice settings.
Q. Have you ever previously been qualified as an expert?
A. I have not.
Q. Did we overlook anything on your CV?
A. Yes. I am a board certified
pharmacotherapy specialist. That is a certification that is -- in post-graduate you have to apply to be considered to take a national exam. There are exams in different specialties of pharmacy, for example, there is a nutrition, you can be certified in nutrition, you can be certified in oncology, you can be certified in ambulatory care. Mine is pharmacotherapy, more of a general. And that is somewhat of a status. There are in Montana 64 BCPS certified pharmacists.
Q. Out of how many pharmacists, do you have any idea?
A. In the state of Montana licensed about,
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oh, $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 0}$, but that's a guess.
Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of
pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just
counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays?
A. I hope not. And that kind of
pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist.

My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management.

MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify
Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy
management, including pain management and drug therapy for chronic diseases.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any objection?
MR. DOUBEK: It's your call.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: All right. Can you read that back?
(Read back: "I would move to
qualify Ms. Blank as an expert
in drug therapy management,
including pain management and
drug therapy for chronic
diseases.")
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Just to be
clear, we're not -- well, let me ask. You
don't write prescriptions or diagnose people
and we're not going into that sort of...
MR. FANNING: There would be, Mr. Scrimm,
a little bit of testimony on her review of
charts and when a particular symptom or
complaint is announced, in her professional judgment certain medications would be called for. And the question is whether or not they were applied.

MR. DOUBEK: May I ask one question then just to clarify that?

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Yes.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. Ms. Blank, you're not qualified to discuss
the standard of care for a medical practice, are you?
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A. I am not.

MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert.

MR. FANNING: Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued)
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from
time to time. Can we assume that all of your
opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable
degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty?
A. Yes.
Q. What material did you review in
preparation for your testimony? You're wincing.
A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material
that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of Dr. Ibsen's. And I reviewed the records from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry for those nine patients. I also reviewed the records of patients that were transferred from the care of one provider to Dr. Ibsen.
Q. And let's go ahead and be clear. That was

Dr. Christensen?
A. Yes. And then I reviewed the, rereviewed the original nine patient records with all the extra content.
Q. With respect to Dr. Christensen's
patients, how much time did you spend on that relative to the others?
A. Very little.
Q. All right. Now, when a pharmacist
receives a prescription from a lawful provider, are
certain laws applicable to your conduct?
A. Sure. There is -- yes, there are laws
around the practice of pharmacy. There are laws regulating what a valid prescription is. There are laws regulating how long the records must be kept. There are many laws around, rules and laws around drug storage and accountability, inventories.
Q. Sure. But that comes from both federal
and state agencies?
A. Correct.
Q. Is it part of your training and your
credentialing to be familiar with pharmaceutical
jurisprudence?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. What is that?

25 A. We have to -- in order to be licensed as a
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pharmacist in the state that you're practicing, you have to take a jurisprudence exam, which includes components of both federal law and the law of the state that you're practicing in.
Q. Okay. When considering a prescription
order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What thought goes into dispensing that?
A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, it needs to have all of the components of a valid prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the instructions, the quantity, that the prescription
is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the prescriber.
Q. Do you consider drug interactions?
A. Absolutely.
Q. What would that be?
A. If you have information about other medications that the patient is currently taking, that is a very important component of filling a prescription is to review for drug interactions.
Q. What is the harm for failing to do that?

2 A. Well, potential harm with interacting, two
drugs interacting with each other.
Q. But physical harm to the patient?

25 A. Absolutely.

1 Q. And you mentioned dosage. What would you
consider in the dosage analysis?
A. It varies by drug. And that it may be the actual total milligram dosage of the drug that's prescribed, especially for children, you would be looking at dose versus their, the dose of the drug versus their weight, and then it's all the frequency of how often the medication is prescribed to be taken, so that maybe that is excessive.
Q. Now, specifically with pain medications, is that what you're talking about?
A. Any medication.
Q. Is it your obligation to determine whether
or not that prescription is consistent with legitimate medical care?
A. It is, and --
Q. What does that mean to you?
A. And the DEA specifically says that around controlled substances that pharmacists have a corresponding duty to make sure that a prescription for a controlled substance is written for a legitimate medical purpose.
Q. Can a pharmacist overrule a doctor or other prescriber?
A. A pharmacist can exercise their own
judgment and not fill a prescription and --
Q. In fact, they're obligated to do that if
they think it's warranted, aren't they?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their options?
A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber
and having a conversation about whatever they have
concerns with that specific prescription or
interactions, whatever the issue is.
Q. Is that commonly done?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The
prescription controlled substance in the United
States are in what's called a closed system; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And what does that mean?
A. That means it's a closed distribution
system, where it's from the manufacturer to the pharmacy to the patient is all regulated and it is a closed system.
Q. So it goes from point one to two to three?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Where does it end?
A. It ends with the user, with the patient, whoever is going to consume the medications.
Q. Is it ever lawful for that patient to
transfer the drug to another person?
MR. DOUBEK: Objection, beyond the scope
of the witness's disclosures in this case.
There has been no disclosure about this.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, I see you looking for your disclosures.

MR. FANNING: Indeed. Forget it. I'll
withdraw the question and move on.
MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do pharmacists risk any
sort of sanction if they violate any of the laws
that you described?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. Have you heard of that occurring?
A. Yes. There was just the case in the paper
recently where a pharmacist was actually sent, was
going to be imprisoned for inappropriate dispensing
of controlled substances.
Q. So that's not just an academic issue but
it's something that pharmacists recognize?
A. Sure.
Q. Let's talk about the present history of
pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases?
A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late ' 80 s , in the ' 80 s , chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was -- you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now.

There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain -- there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain.
Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain. Pain is what the patient says it is. So really, there really was this big shift of the pendulum from, you know, pain and pain medicines being reserved for either acute instances or in a chronic case just for like cancer pain, and that really has shifted.
Q. What did that do to the number of prescriptions for opioids?
A. It increased it tremendously.

1
2
3
A. I am. Well, I think that in the United

States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world.
Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome?
A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those.
Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't
there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion.
A. Sure, diversion.
Q. So let's talk about diversion. What drugs
are commonly diverted?
A. The most common drugs diverted in Montana anyway as of a 2008 statistic was Hydrocone, oxycodone, Fentanyl and Methadone.
Q. And why are those desirable on the street?
A. Well, those are the most, some of the most

25 commonly used opioids and they are, they bring, they
have a high street value.
Q. Do you know from your experience how
diversion is accomplished? Where do the drugs come
from? What are the sources?
A. There is national data from SAMHSA that shows the --
Q. Excuse me. You used an acronym.
A. SAMHSA, Substance Abuse and Mental Health

Service Administration, it's a federal agency. And
they have information on where people obtain the prescription drugs that they abuse. They've done surveys. And overwhelmingly -- it's a big pie chart -- and, overwhelmingly, the drugs come from friends and family, physicians, directly from a physician, or they were bought or sold from a friend. Drug dealers and the Internet, very, very small pieces of that pie, very small percentage. And ultimately the drugs that we get from friends and family come from physicians prescribing and pharmacies filling those prescriptions.
Q. So what are the risks of unregulated usage of these drugs?
A. Well, I mean, ultimately death. I mean, if people can take opioids, especially combine them with other substances like alcohol or
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benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use.
Q. Let's take that example you just offered,
driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30
milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage?
A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet,
yes.
Q. Would it be safe for such a person to
operate a motor vehicle?
A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there
is warnings put on every prescription that says
caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk.
Those drugs will affect everyone differently.
Q. Given all of this, has there been a
response by government leaders or law enforcement leaders?
A. I'm sorry. I don't understand.

22 Q. Given the societal and individual dangers,
23 has there been a societal response?
24 A. Well, there definitely has, yes.
25 Nationally, and specifically in Montana, the

1
Q. What about change in thinking in the
medical community or education?
A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just
about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum
back the other way about the lack of effectiveness
of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating
patients about the dangers of opioids, educating
patients about alternatives to managing their pain
other than medications. So there is a lot of
education going on but we still need a lot, lot
more. We have a long way to go.
Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in

Helena, isn't it?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday
about the high rate of prescription drug use by
local middle schoolers?
A. I did see that.
Q. That's nationwide and locally as well?
A. Locally, for sure.
Q. Was the MPDR one of the other outcomes of this?
A. Yes, it was. How could I forget that?

Yes, it was. So the implementation of the PDR, the legislation passed in 2011, January of 2011. Went into effect in July of 2011 and then, maybe October.

And then the Prescription Drug Registry went live at the end of 2012.
Q. Maybe that's clear, but by live, it was
accessible to health care providers --
A. Yes. I'm sorry. It was accessible to
health care providers.
Q. What data is collected?
A. The information in the PDR contains all controlled substance prescription information, so it has the patient's name, their date of birth, their address, it has the prescriber, the drug that was prescribed, the quantity, the day's supply, it has the pharmacy that filled the prescription and it also notes how the prescription was paid for, whether that was cash or insurance, Medicaid or workman's comp.
Q. What can a doctor learn from that data
that you just described?
A. That is very powerful data. That data can help a physician or a pharmacist determine, you know, what that, if that patient has been compliant with the current regimen that the physician prescribed, if they're getting prescriptions from multiple providers, if they haven't disclosed to one
provider other controlled medications that they are
taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling.
Q. What does that tell us?
A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction.

It's also a great tool for prescribers
because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their prescription pads if they are ever worried that somebody is misusing that information to obtain controlled substances.
Q. Is it mandatory to supply the information
from the pharmacies?
A. The pharmacies by law are mandated that
are sedative types of medications, Ativan, Valium.
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So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines.
Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe?
A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids.
Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be
diverted or desirable on the street?
A. Sure. Yes.
Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain?

## A. Sure. And there are different

definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better.
Q. Small supply of what?
A. Of pain medication. I'm sorry. Of an opioid or even a nonopioid pain medication. So when acute pain continues longer than we expect, sometimes people call that the definition of chronic pain and that might be for some people three months or six months. But basically chronic pain is acute
pain that lasts longer than we expect.
Q. Is it incumbent on a physician to take
precautions about misuse of an acute pain prescription?
A. Yes. Responsible, I mean, responsible prescribing, and there are lots of guidelines for responsible opioid prescribing. It specifically says that you do an evaluation, you look for alternatives to opioids either in lieu of opioids or along with opioids, so that might be whatever, heat, ice, elevation, other medications.
Q. What I'm talking about specifically, and I
don't know if I was clear. Are there different differences between an acute prescription versus one is that going to be a chronic prescription?
A. Sure. Sorry.
Q. Presumably there are minimums for acute pain, right?
A. Sure. And that's what I was describing, just doing a proper assessment no matter what. But when somebody is taking opioids long term and there is a relationship between that prescriber and that patient, generally the prescriber has a duty to protect him or herself and also the patient by explaining the risks and benefits of opioids, by
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cautioning the patient to store them correctly.
Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by.
For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must -- if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids.
Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain
agreements. In your experience is there such a
thing in proper medicine?
MR. DOUBEK: Objection, asking for a
conclusion about the practice of medicine.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) From the pharmacist's
point of view, is that --
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
A. No. Whether it's a medical practice or
pharmacy practice, any type of agreements need to be written down and signed by both the provider and the patient to acknowledge understanding.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, from your point of view, is a chronic pain relationship improper in an urgent care setting?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, beyond the scope
of this witness' ability to address that.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. MR. FANNING: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you feel as though
from your experience with local providers that there
are, is there an exodus of doctors from the chronic pain arena?
A. Not from my perspective. I work with the
physicians at the St. Peter's Medical Group and they all have chronic pain patients. I realize physicians come and go from the community, but, to my knowledge, the primary care providers have most of the chronic pain patients in the Helena community.
Q. Has the topic of chronic pain created divisions among care providers, that is, is there a resistance or friction between doctors and pharmacists?
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1 A. Specific to chronic pain?
Q. Yeah.
A. No, not that I know of.
Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to
speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or
quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription
pain medication?
A. In my specific role, no. But in the
retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in
our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a
question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved.
Q. Has that been the way it's always been?
A. Sure.
Q. Is there something peculiar about 30
milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved?
A. Well, that's a very large dose for a
single tablet of oxycodone. And, I mean, generally that's a dosage that would probably be used in a cancer patient or somebody with, you know, very, very severe pain.
Q. And then there has been a lot of
discussion about cancer pain, but what is it about cancer that's so unique? Are they suffering pain
that other people don't?
A. That's a really good question. I think some of that is societal. But the need or the want to make end of life as comfortable as possible. I think prescribers are much more comfortable to do whatever it takes. And then there certainly, with cancer especially, there is such an objective reason for the pain with cancer and I just think everybody, prescribers are more comfortable, pharmacists are more comfortable saying oh, that person has cancer.
Q. We're talking about terminal cancer?
A. Correct. Right. End of life.
Q. Now, we did hear some testimony earlier
about longer-acting versus shorter-acting
medications. In a chronic pain setting, which is
the medically preferred variety?
A. In a chronic pain setting, the
longer-acting opioids are preferred.
Q. And why is that?
A. Less, you have less lows and highs, more even pain management. The thought is they're less abused, and because they are on a scheduled basis versus an as-needed basis, they're easier to control. A 30-day supply is very defined. Q. Is a 30 milligram oxycodone longer acting
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by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram?
A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate
release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30
milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting.
Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting?
A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are -- that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion.
Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the --
A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes.
Q. We've had testimony previously about whole
families traveling from another city to Helena to
get 30 milligram oxycodone. Do you have any
experience with such a thing?
A. I do. We've had a -- I mean, let me
correct that. It wasn't a family traveling from another town, but there has been a family that has come to St. Peter's all with high doses of oxycodone, prescriptions for high doses of
oxycodone.
Q. That's your personal experience?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know who the prescriber was?
A. Yes.
Q. Who?
A. Dr. Christensen.
Q. From a medical statistical standpoint, is
it likely that an entire family would have the same intractable chronic pain?
A. No, it is not.
Q. All right. Now let's turn to the
pharmacist's expectations of treatment of chronic pain, and these were things that you discussed in your expert witness disclosure. Is it typical to have a single sort of pain medication or some other combination?
A. It's most preferred to have multimodal analgesia, which means different types of pain, different types of medications with different mechanisms of action. So you can have an opioid which works on the opioid receptor to relieve pain; then you can have an anti-inflammatory, like ibuprofen, which relieves, takes down inflammation and helps relieve pain by a different mechanism.
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And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each.

And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods.
Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they?
A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve.
Q. Those are over the counter?
A. They are. They are both prescription
strength and over the counter.
Q. What's gabapentin?
A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin, and that is a medication that can be used to treat seizures and it's also a medication that's used to treat nerve type of pain, neuropathic pain.
Q. And you also mentioned a product called

Lidocaine, what is that?
A. Lidocaine is an anesthetic, it's a
numb-er, and it is available topically and a patch,
and that can be very effective for certain types of pain.
Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts on
the nine patients, did you find that whole array of medications being applied?
A. In general, no. I mean, yes, there are
some examples where people were getting gabapentin
or people were on ibuprofen, but for most cases and
for the longest periods of time, patients might be
on and off different medications, opioids were single agent.
Q. Now, you mentioned nonpharmocological
therapies and gave us a couple of examples. Is
there a reason why you personally would not try something as simple as heat and cold?
A. Well, I don't know why you wouldn't.

Although if you want, if you want a pill to make things better, I guess that's one reason. But if you are, if you prefer to have medications for whatever reason, diversion being one of them, then you would ask for medications versus...
Q. What other adjunct professionals would you
expect to contribute to a chronic pain management program?
A. Well, from a prescriber and then a
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pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing.
Q. What do you mean behavioral health?
A. Like a psychologist.

6 Q. What about something as simple as weight
loss and exercise?
A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are
probably some of the best ways to help treat pain.
Q. Did you see that commonly applied in

Dr. Ibsen's nine patients?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Were there instances of that?
A. There was some referrals to physical
therapy in the patient records and very few -- much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical therapist then followed up with Dr. Ibsen's office and gave a report of what was done, what the plan was, what the treatment plan was.
Q. Did you see a lot of follow-through on that?
A. No, I did not.

24 Q. Obviously the doctor has a role, but does
25 the patient as well have a role?

## A. Absolutely.

Q. And who's responsible for holding the
patient accountable?
A. Well, that's part of the patient and
provider relationship. I think the provider in making those referrals and thinking that's important needs to communicate that to the patient and help hold them accountable for that.
Q. Did you find any effort and chart it in
the notes about Dr. Ibsen counseling them on those issues?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Medical marijuana is legal in Montana, is
it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And you hesitate and I know why. Because
it's still illegal in the federal system, right?
A. Correct.
Q. But under Montana state law, it's a
perfectly lawful part of a program.
A. Okay.
Q. We don't have to get into that. Some of
these patients were offered medical marijuana, were they not?
A. Yes, they were.

1 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with
the other therapies?
A. No, not -- no. Just that it was
authorized. But there was no documentation of
coordination.
Q. Now, you have experience with disease
states and medical management of certain diseases, right?
A. Sure. Right.
Q. You reviewed all of the notes in

Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set?
A. I did.
Q. And we've already established when

Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't
here to testify about the standard of care for a physician, right?
A. Right.
Q. But are you capable of recognizing
appropriate pharmacology for a certain disease?
A. I'm highly qualified for that.
Q. Were there instances where you saw

5 concerns or medical conditions identified but didn't
see the expected follow-through?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you give an example?
A. Sure. Sure. I will refer to my notes
here. There was Patient Number 1 in one of her visits was concerned about her cholesterol, and a lipid panel was ordered by Dr. Ibsen and that the results came back and showed, indeed, her cholesterol was high, her total cholesterol, her triglycerides were high, her HDLs, which is the bad cholesterol, was low, so that's not good. And her -- did I say -- HDL is the good cholesterol was low -- sorry about that -- and then her LDLs, which is the bad cholesterol, was also high.

And those results came back and were acknowledged by Dr. Ibsen and it says "follow-up" with his initials. And then on her next visit, which was the reason for the visit was documented medication refill and lab results and there was nothing, nothing charted that was done. There was no medications prescribed or a trial noted that a trial of lifestyle modification, diet, exercise was recommended.
Q. Okay. Were there other examples where
something seemed to trigger a medication response
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and he didn't find it?
A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones.
Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number?
A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking.
Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to
say it, but you can...
A. Sorry.
Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one.
A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's

Patient Number -- well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I need to I can find it, twice it was said DEXA scan ordered.
Q. Again, what is a DEXA scan?
A. A DEXA scan is a scan to check on the health of a person's bones. So you would do that because you suspect maybe osteoporosis or weakening of the bones. I don't know if that was ever followed up on, there were no results. But I just

1
Q. Any other instances of charting that
suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it?
A. Not specifically, no.
Q. Were there instances when you found what
appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep.
A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had
insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another -- it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the prescription would specifically say Zyprexa 10 milligrams at bedtime as needed for sleep and that was, that would be an unusual medication to use for sleep.
Q. Ms. Blank, what I would like you to do is
say why the medications were not pharmaceutically called for for those conditions. Is there some
reason they were contraindicated or were ineffective?
A. No. I mean, A benzodiazepine is an
appropriate medication to help someone sleep, but not two at a time. If one doesn't work, something else needs to be tried. And a benzodiazepine at the same time taking chloral hydrate, another sedative, that's a duplication.
Q. Is it hazardous?
A. It can be, yes. It can cause
oversedation.
Q. Was it effective in treating the patient?
A. No, it was not. He suffered from insomnia for a long time. That did seem to be better once he was referred to a psychiatrist by Dr. Ibsen and in treating his psychiatric issues his sleep did improve. And at some point from the records it looks like those visits continued for several months and then it looks like that relationship was severed between that psychiatrist and Patient 4 and Dr. Ibsen assumed primary care for all of that patient's needs.

He was later referred to another
psychiatrist, I believe, it wasn't a local person.
But that patient was put on a lot of different
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medications and I think, in my opinion, needed to be referred, for the migraines. He was put on a lot of different medications, preferably opioids and never saw a neurologist or got a referral to a neurologist to treat, to try and treat that.
Q. That same patient you mentioned had had
some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications?
A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the -- even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going -- you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented.
Q. In other words, measures short of
medications?
A. Right.
Q. Was that patient later put on
amphetamines?
A. Yes.
Q. What was that for?

25 A. I don't know. I didn't see a

1 documentation of why.
Q. I think he testified yesterday he was
diagnosed with ADHD.
A. That would be -- amphetamines are a
treatment for ADHD.
Q. But you didn't find that charted in there?
A. I did not.
Q. I'm going to draw your attention to

Patient Number 5, and I believe that individual had some sort of dental abscess. Are you familiar with that one?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you consider the treatment for that abscess?
A. I did. I'm critical of Dr. Ibsen's prescribing for that particular condition. She saw not only Dr. Ibsen but some of the midlevel providers, the physician assistants that work with him, and they had prescribed -- I think she had a couple of different courses of Clindamycin, which is an antibiotic and commonly used to treat dental types of problems, and appropriately so. It's a medication that covers the bacteria that live in the mouth, including those that grow in the absence of air, anaerobes we call them.
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Dr. Ibsen had prescribed for her Rocephin, which was given with a series of shots over three days. Rocephin is a cephalosporin, that's a class of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air.
Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2,
just to hasten this along.
A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That said, she did tolerate those but then I have to ask the question, well, then, why wasn't the record corrected and why wouldn't you take that off?
Q. I think I understood what you mean, but it
was noted in the record that she'd had an allergy to that substance?
A. Correct.
Q. Again, I'm charting note, on Patient

Number 3 there was a reference to fibromyalgia. Do
you recall that?
A. I do. Yeah.

6 Q. Did you see a development of that
diagnosis and care for that diagnosis?
MR. DOUBEK: Objection, beyond the scope
of the witness' disclosure and ability to
testify. She's testifying about medical care.
MR. FANNING: Okay. Actually what occurred, in response to that, was that there was a medical marijuana recommendation for fibromyalgia but just no charting and no other care for that. And that's the purpose of this line of questioning.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm going to overrule the objection.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So with respect to that
patient, did you find any evidence of fibromyalgia charted in the notes?
A. That patient had visits documented, one at
the end of May and one in early June, one in
mid-June. The problem list included --
Q. Of what year?

[^0]1 Q. What medical or pharmaceutical changes occurred after this patient had a series of falls?
A. None. This patient had multiple falls and was on multiple medications that could contribute to a fall, because they could affect level of consciousness. That included medical marijuana, a medication for anxiety called Buspar, a medication for depression called citalopram, a sleep medication called Ambien and then her opioid was Dilaudid. And there was even a letter from a program through her insurance kind of identifying all of her risk factors for falls, including her medications, though some of those were not listed on that letter, and encouraged both the patient and the provider to have a conversation about strategies they could use to mitigate the risk of falls.
Q. Did you note in the charts any then
responsive medication changes to that caution?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Just in general with respect to the nine
and chronic pain management, was there ever a
documented plan of any kind with respect to any of the patients?
A. There was not.
Q. Would you expect to find that?

1 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid
prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working.
Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan?
A. Yes, there is. It can lead to
inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence.
Q. Now, you already testified that the
literature now says that chronic pain opioid treatment really isn't effective, right?
A. It's not -- yes, I mean, that's true but
it's not universal.
Q. Sure.
A. But, yes.
Q. Is there a correlation between the
duration of a chronic pain treatment and the
likelihood of a successful outcome? That is, the
longer you're on pain medication, does that instruct on whether or not you're more likely to have a successful outcome or unsuccessful?
A. Well, if I understand your question
correctly, what the evidence has shown is that the longer people are on opioids, the worse they tend to do, that their activities decrease, their depression increases, their sense of well0being decreases.
Q. So is there then a drive to try to get
people off quicker?
A. There should be if that patient -- again,
that's the importance of part of that plan. If what you're doing isn't working, something else needs to be done.
Q. In some instances with regard to charting,
did you find that there was just a mention of
prescription refill and little else?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there any other charting
irregularities or anything that left you wondering what was actually going on?
A. Well, in many and really most of the patients who were getting opioids for pain, there were not assessments, pain assessments. You know, there wasn't a plan. It was just reason for visit,
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medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled.
Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we?
A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the public's right to now. So I would ask you to not focus on any of those documents.

NEWS REPORTER: That's not a problem. No recognizable text.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I glanced -- my
wife showed me something about this hearing
last night on the news, and I did see a brief
look at a document that wasn't one of those type of documents. But I just wanted to make sure that we are still doing what we can to protect those patient's rights.

NEWS REPORTER: I'll make sure.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sorry to interrupt.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) What I began to say was
we had testimony yesterday from a number of patients who said that thorough examinations occurred regularly. There was a lot of history taken and a great deal of exchange between Dr. Ibsen and them over the course of fairly lengthy visits. Did you find evidence of that in the charting?
A. No, I did not.
Q. In fact, there were a couple of quite
aberrant chart notes. I'm going to refer you to Patients 1 and 9 . Do you know what I'm talking about?
A. I do.
Q. What were those aberrant chart notes? Go ahead.
A. Same shit, different day is what the note said.
Q. Did you find charting in the records of an
effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids?
A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like -- I can find that -- had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances.

And it didn't appear -- in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill -- and that was a benzodiazepine -- but he did fill the opioids, an opioid prescription for that same patient, so kind of knowing that that patient was not being completely truthful with him.
Q. You mentioned then two instances where outside sources were alerting to what might be questionable practices, pharmacies, excuse me, other providers and insurance companies?
A. Correct.
Q. So could that same information have been drawn from the MPDR once it went live?
A. Yes, it could have been.
Q. In your examination of the two sets of
records on the nine, the original set of 800 and some and the expanded set of 2,800 and some, did you find any MPDR records?
A. I did not.
Q. You personally, though, examined MPDR
records on those individuals, didn't you?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Did you find instance of early refills?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Just for the record then, what do you mean by an early refill?
A. An early refill would mean that if a medication is prescribed as a certain dose and quantity and that the day's supply would be calculated based on that dose and quantity. So if it's supposed to last -- a 30-day supply, for example, but then another prescription would be written for the same thing and another quantity so that that person, that patient was getting extra based on the plan of the first prescription.
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1 Q. Now, in fairness, before the MPDR came online, it would be difficult to know if somebody was doctor shopping unless you really studied it, right?
A. Yes, that's true.

6 Q. But was there ever an instance where
Dr. Ibsen had offered all of the prescriptions and he, you know, he was the early, he was the sole provider. Do you recall such an instance?
A. Yes. There is lots of instances where he says it's a 30-day supply or the prescription must last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription.
Q. There are other methods to assure that
somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ever see any instances of
urinalysis?
A. I did. Urine drug screening.
Q. Yes. Was that done regularly?
A. No. But it was done for some patients.

And those were all qualitative tests, just meaning
the presence or absence of the drug, not quantitative, meaning how much of the drug is
actually in the urine. But, yes, there were some urine drug screens.
Q. Let's take that up a little bit. So if
suppose somebody was prescribed oxycodone, they
would test for the presence of oxycodone; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. As a qualitative study but not a
quantitative study. So, for instance, suppose
somebody got a prescription for four a day, that
test would reveal they took perhaps two and the
other two were unaccounted for?
A. Well, in a quantitative study.
Q. But that was not done?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you find any evidence of pill counts?
A. I did not.
Q. Now, a great deal of the testimony has
centered on the concept of weaning. Do you know what's meant by that term?
A. I do.
Q. What is it?
A. Weaning would mean tapering off, so...
Q. Do you participate in weaning or tapering

5 as part of your job today?

1 A. I do. With the pain team that I am
involved in, those providers are actively tapering some of their patients.
Q. So how does that happen in the team that you just described?
A. Usually I'm asked to do that and come up
with a regimen and make out a schedule, a sheet that tells usually week to week what the dosage will be and the tapers typically are between 8 to 10 to 12 weeks.
Q. Who is on the team?
A. My team specifically?
Q. Yes.
A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses.
Q. And that's a collaborative design?
A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations are, again, discussed with the patient and followed through.
Q. Now, one person that you didn't mention as
part of the team is the patient. Are they a unit in the group?
A. Well, they don't participate in the group.

25 Good point. But they, again, what the team has
decided is communicated with them and sometimes they'll ask the committee to reconsider or, you know, ask something of the committee. But they are not physically present at the meetings.
Q. But they're a participant in the program
then?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there a written design?
A. Yes. There is a pain management agreement
or really a controlled substance agreement, because
the committee does, you know, all controlled
substances, so benzodiazepines as well. And that's
outlined in there that their cases will be reviewed
by this group and that the group's recommendations are binding.
Q. So I want to make sure we're talking about
the same thing. There is a pain agreement and is
there also a tapering agreement or is it all one?
A. Yes, it's all one.
Q. Did you find any evidence in any of the
nine charts in either set of records of a written agreement such as that?
A. I did not.
Q. Did you find any notes about, whether or
not it was that formal, about how weaning was going
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to be accomplished?
A. No. There were definitely notes about
weaning or need to wean or discussed weaning, but no
plan or follow-through.
Q. Did you find that weaning actually
occurred?
A. In general, no. In some cases there would
be an attempt but then several weeks to months later
that patient would be at or above what they started,
where they were at when that weaning note was
written.
Q. Is there any evidence of the patient's
investment in weaning?
A. There is some documentation that some
patients wanted to wean and there also is some
documentation that said not, you know, patient not
ready to wean.
Q. Is the patient's investment a likely
outcome of success?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Did you have a chance to review

Dr. Anderson's expert witness disclosure?
A. I did.

24 Q. And generally he concluded that Dr. Ibsen
25 achieved extraordinary weaning results; is that
right?
A. That was a good summation.
Q. Did your evidence or your review of the records or the MPDR bear that out?
A. It did not, and not what was provided.
Q. There was a substantial difference between
the records of the nine, which I think we're calling
28-1 through 9, and the records of the patients from
Dr. Christensen, which I think we've been calling
Exhibit 29-1 through 21. Did you note that difference?
A. A couple of things that I noticed were that it appeared that Dr. Ibsen was actively using the PDR. There were PDR records for those Exhibit 29 patients frequently noted in the materials. And I also noted that it appeared that the office moved to electronic type of medical records rather than, you know, check boxes and handwritten, which these documents were. They were, you know, typed out, electronically generated. Q. Did you find that the new records from the electronics contained more information, more medical data?
A. Well, they definitely were -- they
definitely had volume, they had a lot of words. But
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in my review, which I do need to stipulate was not
in-depth, they didn't contain a lot of depth.
Q. Are you familiar with the EMRs generally?
A. I am.
Q. Do you read a lot of them?
A. I do.
Q. You said with some regret it sounds like.
A. Yeah. It's a frequent part of my job in
the hospital is to be reviewing patient records for a multitude of reasons, for drug usage or adverse drug events or medication errors.
Q. So on those EMRs --

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry. Can you tell us what an EMR is?

THE WITNESS: Electric medical record. MR. FANNING: Sorry.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Are those regenerated
each time or are those field of data auto-populated?
A. Oh, it depends. There are some parts of
the EMR that are, you know, discrete fields that must always be populated and there are some that are kind of auto-populated and you fill in. It really varies.
Q. You mentioned that one material difference

5 between the sets was the appearance of the MPDR
records. Did you review those Dr. Christensen patients thoroughly enough to determine whether or not the MPDR informed Dr. Ibsen's treatment?
A. In the brief scan that I did, it looked
like even if the patient was getting something somewhere else, Dr. Ibsen was continuing to prescribe. Much like the examples I gave where he had a heads-up from other providers but still that didn't seem to affect his prescribing.
Q. In the face of that data that was in front
of him, was he still offering early refills?
A. You know, I can't -- I don't have that
detail.
MR. FANNING: That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. DOUBEK: Yes.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Doubek will ask you some questions now.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. I'll try to be quick but I have a number
of questions. Dr. Kneeland said yesterday that there were a number of legitimate reasons for early refills. Do you agree?
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A. There can be. Sure.
Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps
recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree?
A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the
St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the...
Q. So does the standard of care change
depending upon the facility?
A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations.
Q. So the practice in one facility may be
different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily violating standard of care, true?
A. True. As long as some -- there is some certain basic things that are a part of the standard of care.
Q. You made reference to quotes from a

25 Patient Number 9 and maybe you said Patient

Number 1, that same shit, different day, quote.
There were quotes around that, right?
A. You know, I'm sorry. I don't remember.
Q. Could that have been what the patient told
the doctor and he simply wrote it down?
A. Perhaps.
Q. Patient Number 3, you indicated that
fibromyalgia was listed as the reason for the
medical marijuana authorization but then you said
there was also notation that the patient had chronic
pain and sleep disorder. So all of those things
were noted.
A. They were.
Q. And as far as this same patient who fell,
you don't know what caused her fall, whether it was ice on the pavement of a convenience store or anything?
A. Sometimes the details of those falls are
in the record, one said fell out of a truck, one said slipped on ice but, no.
Q. So you don't know whether the medication caused her to fall, correct?
A. I do know that medications can contribute to falls.
Q. Right. As does ice. And you don't know
whether it was a combination --
A. True.
Q. -- or the ice that caused her to fall?

All right. Thank you.
With regard to Patient Number 5, this is
the person who had a dental infection. You
recognize that the patient's antibiotic
prescriptions were changed, right?
A. Uh-huh. Yes.
Q. And the patient got better from her dental
infection, true?
A. She did.
Q. So the doctor was watching the situation,
determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right?
A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I
would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective.
Q. Do you remember the time frame or the time
lapse between the Clindamycin and the Rocephin?
A. I don't. I'm sorry.
Q. In any event, the patient was still
complaining about the infection and the doctor felt it advisable to try a different antibiotic,
something with a broader-based coverage?
A. That's what the record shows, yes.

3 Q. With regard to Patient Number 4, you
4 talked about the prescription of amphetamines.
5 Those were initially prescribed by the patient's psychiatrist, Dr. Tollefson; isn't that true?
A. The records that I show, I believe it was

Dr. Ibsen.
Q. But after the patient had seen

10 Dr. Tollefson, if you know?
A. I don't.
Q. You don't have any evidence, do you,
whether any of these nine patients diverted any of
their prescription medications?
A. Not from these records.

16 Q. Did St. Peter's Hospital, the patients who
evidently sought some care from some of the doctors
at St. Peter's Medical Group I assume, is that what
happened? Did some of Christensen's patients seek
care from some of the doctors employed by St.
Peter's Hospital?
A. I don't know the answer to that question.

23 Q. All you know is that some of them sought
24 to have pain prescription medications filled at
25 St. Peter's pharmacy?
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A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen.

Where the prescriptions were written by
Dr. Christensen.
Q. And you don't know whether they also --
were there any doctors from St. Peter's who
prescribed pain medications for them, if you know?
A. I don't know.
Q. You're not here critical of any
prescription actually filled in this case by any or
all of the pharmacists, are you?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. Well, the prescriptions that are
referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled
by pharmacists.
A. Correct.
Q. And before you had the PDR, the
prescriptions that these nine patients received were
dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists?
A. Pharmacists, uh-huh.

20 Q. You're not here critical of any
pharmacists who might have filled and dispensed
prescriptions for pain meds, are you?
A. Not a specific pharmacist. But I have to
say why were they filling these medications so frequently? And I don't have any more information
than that. Maybe they made phone calls. But it seems that the pharmacies were filling liberally, as well as them being prescribed liberally.
Q. Now, you talked about a shift in the focus
of taking care of and addressing folks with cancer and that that sort of changed over a period of time such that there was an emphasis put on patients with chronic pain who were noncancer patients. Right?
A. Right.
Q. So at some point in time the medical
community was focusing on the fact that there are some patients who had chronic pain?
A. Sure.
Q. And which was not caused by cancer?
A. Sure.
Q. And doctors' obligations, from your
pharmacy background, is to take care of those kinds of patients; isn't that true?
A. Sure.
Q. And, in fact, do you know anything of the
statistics of suicides committed by chronic pain patients?
A. I do not.
Q. I saw an article -- speaking of the
newspaper -- where an Ohio State football player
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killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a
note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth.

There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true?
A. I would -- I mean, I heard that story as
well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum
swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason.
Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are
risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications?
A. Yes.
Q. And because of that, these medications
really necessitate a close need for the doctor to
listen to his or her patients and for the patient
and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true?
A. That's optimal, yes.
Q. Are you aware of any of these nine
patients who didn't have a good relationship with Dr. Ibsen?
A. No. I mean, that's -- I mean, it's hard

25 to tell from a record their relationship. But given
the support that Dr. Ibsen has, his patients appear to really like him and think he is a good provider. Q. Now, you said that the PDR in Montana went live in October of 2012.
A. Correct.

6 Q. And I think when I deposed you previously
you told me that there were classes and courses for
the provider so they could learn about it and how to
use it, true?
A. True.
Q. And you said, I think you said it's still
not required for providers to use but certainly they
should?
A. Correct.
Q. And Dr. Ibsen uses it?
A. He does now it appears from his more
recent records.
Q. As I understand it, there is a lag time in
the pharmacy reporting prescriptions to the PDR, and it might be eight days I think?
A. Maximum of eight days. Some pharmacies report daily, but the law says you have to report within a week and so technically it could be eight days.
Q. In the usual course a pharmacist fills and
dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true?
A. True.
Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a
legitimate reason to question the prescription,
they're not obligated to fill it, right?
A. Right.
Q. It would be standard of care for a
pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing
doctor about the reasons for not filling and
dispensing an ordered prescription, true?
A. Not in every case but, yes, yes.
Q. Or if they had a serious question about
the prescription?
A. Of course, yes.
Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids,
prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should be a close working relationship between the pharmacist and the physician?
A. There should be, yes.

22 Q. And I believe I've asked you previously,
23 you're not aware of any case where Dr. Ibsen's
24 prescriptions exceeded any manufacturer's stated
25 limits, are you?

1 A. No.
Q. And you're not -- all right. And with
regard to Patient Number 4, have you been advised
that that patient believes and has testified that
Dr. Ibsen was the only person to successfully
address and treat his headache problem?
A. I did read that in the paper.
Q. You don't know anything about that
patient's care before he began seeing Dr. Ibsen, do you?
A. I do not.
Q. And you don't know whether that patient
had an oral agreement with Dr. Ibsen concerning his medications, do you?
A. I don't. But I will say that the standard
is oral agreements in health care, anything oral, if
it's not written down, it's not -- it didn't happen
or you can't say that it happened.
Q. Unless the patient and the doctor both say
it did happen, right?
A. (Shakes head.)
Q. If the doctor and the patient say it did
happen, did it happen?
A. Well, if they said it did but we don't

25 know any of the details of that.
Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you?
A. And can't verify that, yeah.
Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with
the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain
agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain
but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know
whether that recommendation can be enforced by
St. Peter's; isn't that true?
A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time
you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on
Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said
that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is
a pain agreement.
Q. So that was just put -- that was just made
effective here a week ago?
A. Well, I can't...
Q. Did you get the memo?
A. I didn't.
Q. But it wasn't when I took your deposition
on October 6th, 2014, right?
A. Well, I would say at least I wasn't aware.
Q. But you are the head of pharmacy.
A. Well, they don't talk to me about their pain agreements at the clinic.
Q. But you peer review and you're on a
committee that reviews pain management care, right?
A. Right.
Q. And you didn't know about it until you
read it --
A. Yes, yes. The group that $I$ am working with, which is not every provider in St. Peter's Medical Group, I did review the pain management agreement, had input into it and the plan had been that that was going to be implemented clinic-wide, but I know that the providers I'm working with are using it. I do not -- I am not involved in day-to-day clinic operations, I'm at the hospital. So I can't answer that.
Q. You're just working for a living?
A. I am.
Q. With regard to Patient Number 5, and I
know this is tough to throw a number at you, she testified that Dr. Ibsen successfully addressed and treated her pulmonary embolus. Is that condition a painful condition or can it be a very painful condition?
A. Not having had a pulmonary embolism, I
can't speak from experience. But I have a lot of experience in caring for people via their anticoagulation management who have had and that is
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not something that they complain about the pain. At the time they have it, of course, it's like a, presents similar to a heart attack. But subsequently after the acute event, I'm not aware that that's a painful condition.
Q. All right. If the patient said she was in
a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you?
A. No.

MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect?

MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly.
Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING:
Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions
about the standard of care for physicians. Do you
recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And he indicated, if I can paraphrase,
that some groups may have slightly different
expectations of patients within their care, right?

1 A. Correct.
Q. But I thought you indicated that some points were central.
A. Correct.
Q. Is that your testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. What points are central? Would an event
diagram be that intersection that always applies?
A. For a pain management agreement, I would say that the key elements, really as recommended by the standards, are that patients agree to a one-on-one relationship with their provider, so that provider, that is the only person who is going to be prescribing pain medications for that patient. That's an agreement that that patient will only use one pharmacy to fill their pain medications, so that can be an easy way to follow up. And that patient may be subject to urine drug screening.
Q. Is it your understanding that that should be in writing?
A. Yes.
Q. Do pill counts factor in that?
A. Sure. Some agreements --
Q. I'm just talking about central ones
though, not the variations group to group, but the
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ones that you view as essential to the standard of care regardless of the practice.
A. Pill counts should be in there. Does that
mean that all of that has to be done in that agreement? No. But that should be -- the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count.
Q. What about some sort of risk assessment,
do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments?
A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed.
Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying?
A. That is -- I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing.
Q. There is a large stack of paper right in
front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1, page 63 of the larger of the two binders. Now, that is a chart note on Patient 1 from October 20, 2011, right?
A. Yes. Correct.
Q. And can you read for the Hearing Examiner
and for the record the chart note on that patient's,

I don't know, examination?
A. It says, "Same shit, different day," and
"Scar, left knee."
Q. Is there any quotes around that?
A. There are not.
Q. Now, turn to page 740. That is Patient 9,
correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you see a date for when that visit is?
A. 3-29 of '13.
Q. What is entered for that patient's
complaint for this day? Read the entirety of it.
A. It says, "53-year-old female here to get
refill on meds," and that's in one handwriting, and
then in other handwriting consistent with
Dr. Ibsen's is, "SSDD."
Q. Is it in quotes?
A. It is not.
Q. In other words, the chart is limited to
more refills, same shit, different day, basically?
A. Yes.

MR. FANNING: No other questions. MR. DOUBEK: None on that. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I may have a few here. Let me just look through.
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## EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM:

Q. You said the Montana Prescription Drug

Registry became effective when?
A. Late in October of 2012. And the
information in the registry, once it went live on that October date, late October date, pharmacies had to submit information starting in July of 2011. So they had to submit a big batch back. So when it went live, there was information back to July of 2011.
Q. Now, who uses that?
A. So there is an online access to the

Prescription Drug Registry and only prescribers, so
that would be physicians, nurse practitioners,
physician assistants, people with prescriptive
authority and pharmacists can access that
information electronically. Law enforcement can get
information on a single patient with a subpoena.
Q. Would you be able to look up Mr. Fanning's
records?
A. Well, I would but I wouldn't. I would
have no reason, unless he was under my care. Unless
I was filling a prescription for him, I would not look up Mr. Fanning.

1 Q. There is nothing to prevent you from doing anybody's records if you wanted to?
A. Well, just like with an electronic medical record, there is an audit trail and HIPAA rights prevail and so that would -- I would be violating privacy of anybody who I looked up who was not in my care or who I was not, you know -- who I had not some valid medical reason to be looking.
Q. Do you know, are pain medications, are they prescribed to the older part of our population? A. Certainly. Yes.
Q. Is that the largest part?
A. I don't have any information on the demographics of who receives pain medication. But I know from my experience with the St. Peter's Medical Group, yes, there are a lot of very elderly people who are getting pain medications. These patients were relatively young patients, so...
Q. There has been some talk about diversion and about -- well, just people getting pills they shouldn't and perhaps selling them or buying them illegally. Are the pharmacies doing something to -do they have cameras in the parking lot to see that the people aren't getting the bottle of pills and turning them over to somebody in the parking lot?
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Is that part of --
A. Well, I can't speak to that for -- a lot
of pharmacies, you know, we don't at St. Pete's. I mean, we have cameras outside our pharmacy. But that's not -- there is not somebody actively surveilling for what's going down in the parking lot after somebody leaves.
Q. And I'm just confused on -- we have had a
lot of discussion about 30 milligram oxycodone and I think 30 milligram Hydrocone. Which one is the slow-acting one and which one is the --
A. So oxycodone is a generic name and that
drug has really being around for a long time. So there is not a brand name per se that's commonly recognized for immediate release oxycodone. But the long-acting or the sustained-release oxycodone, the brand name is Oxycontin, and we all know that name, I think. That's the long acting.

And just for your information, oxycodone
30 milligrams is the immediate release, but there is an OxyContin 30 milligram tablet and that is the extended release.
Q. And Hydrocone has nothing to do with any of that, it's the --
A. Hydrocone, yes, is just another opioid,
but that's a separate entity from oxycodone.
Q. In any of the pain treatment that you've
talked about, is the ability of a patient to get
their insurance to cover that a factor at all?
A. If I understand your question, insurance companies, prescription insurance plans, yes, they cover, you know, pain medications. But what they're very focused on -- and they may not cover, based on a formulary, they may cover OxyContin but they won't cover Zohydro, which is a new hydrocodone product. But in general insurance companies cover pain medications, but whenever an insurance company is covering any drug, they have limits of how much a person can get; usually it's a 30 -day supply or a 90-day supply for chronic medications. So if somebody is trying to fill something that's conflicting with something else that's been filled, they might deny payment.
Q. And what about the alternative to
medications? Do you know if the insurance companies regularly pay for massages and physical therapy and chiropractic?
A. I'm definitely not an expert in that area.

But, yes, they do pay. Some do; some don't. But I will say that it is a challenge, because medications
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are more likely to be paid for, or easier to be paid for in some cases than massage or especially some of the alternative types of things that might be very helpful to patients, acupuncture. But some insurances do; some don't.
Q. Is that just part of the curve, the
pendulum you talked about that maybe they will at some point?
A. Good question. I don't know a -- good philosophical question.
Q. How did the medical community deal with pain before the rise in opioids?
A. That's a very good question. Though I couldn't quite remember when I graduated from pharmacy school or how long I've been a pharmacist. Since I've been practicing there really, I mean, that was kind of the start in the very late '80s, early '90s of that pendulum swinging. So in my training I really learned that you treat pain and pain is what the patient says it is. So I wasn't in the medical field at the time when I guess we didn't treat pain so aggressively.
Q. Did we just suck it up back then?
A. The old cowboy mentality. Yeah, I really
don't have a good answer to that question.
Q. Thank you.

MR. DOUBEK: No other questions.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any follow-up on what I asked?

MR. FANNING: Only if it could be
instructed to the Hearing Officer. But, yes,
some questions about who has access to the
MPDR. I think I can clarify that a little bit.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: If you'd like to.

FURTHER EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING:
Q. You had a lot to do with that law and the
subsequent regulations, didn't you, Ms. Blank?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And one of the things that the legislature
was concerned about in denying it the first couple
of failures was confidentiality?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you able to overcome those concerns?
A. Yes.
Q. Are there built-in protections about who
can access the records?
A. Yes, there are.

Page 731
1 Q. And, in fact, there are regulations that follow that up?
A. Yes.

MR. FANNING: And I'm going to cite the
Hearing Examiner to 37-7-1506 and its companion
regs.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In fact, only certain
individuals can access the MPDR, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And among those individuals, the only
people who can access it are those with specific
training?
A. That's correct.
Q. Who designed the training?
A. I did.
Q. Yeah, you did. And you offered that
training to people, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So once they've been trained on the
limits, they can access it?
A. Correct.
Q. For whom can a provider access records?
A. Only for the patients who are in their care or patients referred to them for their care.
Q. So if they're considering adopting that
patient?
A. Yes.
Q. Are there sanctions if somebody violates
those confidentiality rules?
A. Yes, administrative sanctions, yes.
Q. For their licensure?
A. Yes.
Q. As well as criminal violations?
A. Yes.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you've satisfied my interests.

MR. FANNING: Got it.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anything else?
MR. DOUBEK: No.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Ms. Blank.

MR. DOUBEK: We'll call our next witness.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We'll take a
ten-minute recess.
(Break taken.)
MR. FANNING: I rest. I mean, my case in
chief is over.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I understood
that, but thanks for the --
MR. FANNING: Well, I just wanted to do

## Page 733

that so we could say that we have just reached
a point of inflection.
MR. DOUBEK: Sounds good.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We're back on
the record and you're going to call
Dr. Anderson.
MR. DOUBEK: And we would.
(Witness sworn.)
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON
BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. Doctor, please state your name and
physical address.
A. Charles Bradley Anderson. 729 North Ewing

Street.
Q. And what is your current medical status?
A. I am retired.
Q. Congratulations. As of when?
A. As of December 20th of 2012.
Q. Doctor, I'd like you to trace your
post-secondary education, medical school, internship and so forth.
A. Okay. Graduated from Dartmouth College in 1969, and I attended Dartmouth Medical School for two years, the first two years of my medical
education. At that time Dartmouth was a two-year basic sciences curriculum and then you transferred somewhere else for your clinical years. And I transferred back home to the University of Minnesota. I grew up in Minneapolis. I got my M.D. in December of 1972.
Q. From the University of Minnesota?
A. University of Minnesota. I did a one-year internship. It was called a rotating medical, interim internship in Portland, Oregon, Emanuel Hospital. And then returned to the University of Minnesota where I did a neurology residency from July of '74 until July, or the end of June of 1977. Q. And what is involved in the -- or what was involved in the residency attendant the specialty area of neurology?
A. Well, neurology is a medical specialty as opposed to surgical, a medical specialty that is involved with the diagnosis and treatment of neurologic disorders, disorders of the brain, spinal cord, peripheral nerves, muscles, that type of thing.
Q. And after you completed your neurology
residency, what did you do professionally?
A. I was in the private practice of neurology
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in Fargo, North Dakota, and in that capacity I was the neurologist that was part of the chronic pain management team there. And then end of 1988, basically 1989 through 1991 I was, I moved to Jonesboro, Arkansas. I was with the Northeast Arkansas Internal Medicine Clinic. I was with 20 other internists. And we kind of got the neurology program rolling there.

And I yearned to be back north, maybe more properly, out of the south, and decided to move to Helena when I found that there was a position here. So I've been here since 1991, almost all of the time in private practice of neurology.
Q. And your private practice was at offices at the St. Peter's Hospital?
A. Yes. I rented office space in the basement of St. Peter's to begin with, and then when they built the Maria Dean Medical Building, I moved into that. And there were a couple of years in the early 2000s that I had a partner. And then just before I left St. Peter's in 2006, I was affiliated with another neurologist another year and a half. Q. The first one would have been Dr. Dietz?
A. Dr. Mark Dietz, yes.

5 Q. And then Mulgrew?
A. And then Dr. Mulgrew, yes.
Q. Doctor, out of your practice of neurology
at St. Peter's Hospital, can you describe the nature of your practice?
A. It was definitely a general practice of neurology. I saw everything from strokes to seizures to Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injuries, muscular diseases, peripheral neuropathies, the whole gamut.
Q. By the way, were you board certified in neurology?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And what is required to become a board certified neurologist?
A. Well, you have to have been in practice
for one year and then you pass the test.
Q. There is an oral and a written component
to the test?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you pass that upon initial --
A. Yes, I did. First time, luckily.
Q. At that time were you required thereafter
to recertify in order to keep your board
eligibility?
A. No. At that time we were not.
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Q. That's good.
A. It was... Yes, but, you know, in order to keep up -- the American Academy of Neurology has regular courses in usually April or late March of each year and I tried to attend as many of those things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of course, now it's online, and I did that.
Q. Was a component of your practice to help
manage pain that your patients were having?
A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once

I came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in a five county area, I basically was a consultant for neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I left the primary care management up to the referring physician.
Q. So you would act on a consultative basis
with that providing --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- with that provider?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How did that work?
A. It worked out pretty well. I was able to
keep -- I was able to get timely records to the
referring physician so that he or she knew, you
know, what my recommendations were. And those

1
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the referring physician.
Q. All right. Now, Doctor, in this case I
want to discuss with you how you went about preparing the report that you did in this case, the documents that you considered and what you did generally in arriving at your opinions in this case.
A. I had access to, remote access to the
medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review
those on my computer, print out those things that needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various medications and the doses and that type of thing. That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, $\mathbf{1 7}$ hours. It was close to $\mathbf{2 0}$ hours or so going over these records.
Q. Before you prepared your report?
A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight patients was the initial?
Q. Well, eight and then nine.
A. Yeah. It was a limited number. I hear you talking about $\mathbf{2 , 8 0 0}$ pages and stuff and I'm going whoa. I'm glad I was not part of that. Q. As I understand it, you reviewed about 850
pages initially anyhow?
A. It was still quite a few, yes.
Q. And then you've reviewed, after preparing
your report, you've reviewed other records concerning these patients?
A. Yes. Subsequently I did receive the newer electronic medical record of visits and also obtained separate printouts of the MPDR that we've discussed, or has been discussed previously.
Q. Did you also visit with Dr. Ibsen about
his office protocols?
A. Yes, I did, and with his office manager.
Q. And was that helpful to you?
A. Yes, it was. And I have had occasion to go down to that clinic from time to time and see how things work. But, yes, I was in contact with Dr. Ibsen quite a bit. If I had questions about any of these patients that I needed clarification, I
knew I could contact him.
Q. And you did and he responded?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you learn that there are other, or
that Urgent Care Plus and Dr. Ibsen typically had a working relationship with other practice modalities such as chiropractic, natural medicine and the like?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. What did you learn about that?
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A. Well, that those other modalities were available and were used, you know, chiropractors would see Dr. Ibsen's patients. I couldn't necessarily keep track of all the different individuals, but there were midlevel practitioners and there was naturopaths and, you know, kind of a free flow of ideas. It's kind of nice to have. Q. Did you believe that he brought a multidiscipline approach to address the patients that he was caring for?
A. It appeared that he did and that that was available and that he did it.
Q. And is that true relative to these eight or nine patients that you specifically looked at?
A. I can't recall specifically those patients utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm sorry.
Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea as to how much time you spent talking to Dr. Ibsen, going to Urgent Care Plus and reviewing the additional medical records after you reviewed those you earlier records? Any good estimate as to the amount of time you spent?
A. Total time? Oh, boy. Altogether,

5 probably close to $\mathbf{5 0}$ hours, I suppose.
Q. Now, there has been -- the issues have
grown a bit in this matter. One of the issues that has been listed is a criticism or the issue about Dr. Ibsen's charting. In this regard have you had experience reviewing charts from other doctors over the course of your practice?
A. Yes, I have. From 2000 to 2002 I was the chairman of the credentialing committee at St. Peter's, and from 2002 to 2004 I was the chief of staff at St. Peter's. And during those years, those four years, I had plenty of opportunity to look at charts.
Q. Is it nearly a truism that you never see
two doctors' charts that resemble each other?
A. Yes, I think that's fair.
Q. Why is that?
A. Well, I think that most physicians tend to be somewhat independent-minded. They have their own idea of how things should be done and their patient populations vary a lot. In my case a short, the shortest appointment I had was 30 minutes, the longest an hour and a half. Well, these days the forces that are on physicians are such that, you know, you don't find many doctors that can spend that amount of time.
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Q. Now when you say the forces involved are at play, what do you mean by that?
A. Well, the governmental regulatory forces, the economic forces, the supply and demand forces all tend to gang up on an individual practitioner or combine so that it seems like less and less of the time, the total time, is available for face-to-face contact and more of it's being taken up by the regimented documentation and that type of thing. Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to make a certain number of deliveries each hour?
A. It's getting to be that bad.
Q. And you also see more and more involvement of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners and the like?
A. Midlevels, yes.
Q. And you saw that in the records that you
reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time?
A. Yes.
Q. True?
A. Yes.
Q. If you had questions about what the
records were telling you, were those the occasions that you would seek Dr. Ibsen out and talk to him about the records?
A. I knew I could call him, and I did. I
mean, I didn't know what the heck is MMJ, and I had to find out it's medical marijuana. I learned a new one today that SSDD, but I don't plan on using it.
Q. I've also seen in a lot of doctors'
records the letters CRS. Is that something you've also seen in medical records?
A. CRS?
Q. Can't remember stuff.
A. Oh, yes, yes. And CRA.
Q. In any event, did you have specific
concerns about Dr. Ibsen's charting?
A. Well, they weren't the most legible
records. I would say that he was in the lower half
as far as legibility goes. Personally, it was
easier for me as a clinician to go through pre --
MR. FANNING: Excuse me, can I object?
You haven't offered him as an expert and this
is beyond the scope of his disclosure, so I'm
going to object to that testimony.
MR. DOUBEK: Well, I'm offering him as an expert.

MR. FANNING: Okay. Then I would like
permission to voir dire. But that doesn't
change the fact that that issue wasn't
disclosed in your expert witness disclosure.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we
deal with the expert witness aspect first and
then we'll go back to the question. And I'll
have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON
BY MR. FANNING:
Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for

10 the first time.
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for
13 the Board. Neurology, is that a --
14 A. The board of?
15 Q. Board of Medical Examiners.
16 A. Okay.
17 Q. Neurology is a specialty or a
18 subspecialty?
19 A. Specialty.
20 Q. And general practitioners would consult
21 with you on areas that were beyond their area of
22 expertise, I guess?
23 A. Or if they just had questions.
24 Q. Sure. And your training and your
25 certification and your experience gave you insights
that they wouldn't have because of your focus,
right?
A. I presume so.
Q. But your focus never was chronic pain management, was it?
A. No.
Q. You indicated though that there were --
A. I must say, even when I was the so-called director of this pain management program in Fargo, it was only a part of practice.
Q. In fact, if I got my notes right, that was pretty early in your career?
A. Yes, about 1978 to 1988. That was a multidiscipline, we had psychiatry, psychology, pharmacy was a big part, neurosurgery, orthopedics. Q. After you established your practice here
and began focusing more on neurology, was chronic pain management part of your practice?
A. No.
Q. And I think you said that you came here,
what, around -- I'm sorry, what --
A. 1991.
Q. So that's not one of the things you
routinely diagnosed and treated?
A. Only peripherally.
Q. Did you ever teach that, chronic pain
management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace?
A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School.
Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere?
A. No.

MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-601 provides us guidance for when an individual is qualified to testify as a medical expert, and quoting from that, he must be licensed and, of course, he is, and "Routinely treats or has routinely treated within the previous five years the diagnosis or condition that is the
subject of the malpractice claim." Again, this isn't malpractice but standard of care is the standard of care.

The other option is, "is or was within the previous five years an instructor of students," and such a thing. So he can't meet that foundation and since he's unable to meet that foundation, he should be precluded from testifying as expert in this issue.

MR. DOUBEK: If I might respond. That statute does relate to qualifications in a medical malpractice trial, not in a proceeding such as this. This doctor has testified that he has cared for folks who have had chronic pain conditions. Starla Blank, the pharmacist, testified that they have a lot of family practitioners that care for chronic pain patients and, thus, I think this doctor is qualified to address whether standard of care was met, whether he feels that good practices were met by Dr. Ibsen relative to the nine chronic pain patients whose medical records he reviewed.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, in looking at the Department's contentions, there are a
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number of areas that it contends regarding
monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription.
But from what the doctor has testified to at
this point, he has not been really involved in
chronic pain treatment for at least some 20,
maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him
as an expert in that area.
MR. DOUBEK: In which area?
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through
your expert witness you are trying to defend
the doctor against contentions that he
excessively prescribed narcotic drugs.
MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more
questions then, if I might.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure.
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON
(Continued)
BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. Dr. Anderson, do you have experience in
the management of patients who take prescriptive
pain medications?

1 A. Yes.
Q. Would you describe your experience in that regard?
A. Well, as a co-caregiver for these
patients, I care what medications they're taking,
whether they're chronic pain medicines or anti-coagulants.
Q. And has that always been part and parcel
of your practice since arriving in Helena at
St. Peter's Hospital?
A. Well, since time immemorial, yes.
Q. So even before that, before 1990 ?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you feel competent to render an
opinion as to whether standard of care was or was not met by Dr. Ibsen in caring for patients who were receiving pain medications for their chronic pain?
A. I believe so.
Q. Based on what?
A. Based on my overall medical knowledge and my experience in at least treating patients who are similarly treated.
Q. As I understand, a significant amount of your practice while at St. Peter's Hospital has been in a consultative status, but you are involved, are
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you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then?
A. Yes. Yes.

MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions.

## EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM:

Q. Do you treat them concurrently -- from
what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain?
A. Well, usually $I$ would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or whether they be tried on one of the newer anticonvulsant pain management drugs that have been referred to, the Lyrica, the Gabapentin, the Cymbalta, those medications which would frequently overlap with my dealings with the patient, especially those that might have epilepsy and if
they had a head injury and they've got chronic pain
and they've also had seizures, there would be considerable overlap there.

You know, it's something you really can't get away from if you're going to practice medicine. I did not primarily prescribe narcotics recently but many of my patients would be on narcotics. I guess that's what I can say.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Doubek, why don't you offer your expert with some -- I believe Mr. Fanning limited -- well, I think there are topics that he may not be an expert in but there may be topics he is an expert in and I would prefer that you offer him for a more limited purpose than a general purpose.

MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, I would offer him
for the purposes outlined in his report, which
is Exhibit E.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I don't see how
that statement limits the scope of his
expertise. So help me out here with what you intend to get him to opine about.

MR. DOUBEK: Well, I think this doctor is
an expert in pain management. It's been part
and parcel of his concurrent care of patients
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since, as he said, the beginning of his
practice. He knows what kind of care needs to
be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not.

As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine
about that. I can certainly ask the doctor
about his experiences with prescription pain
medications, but I presumed it was simply
implicit in the nature of his practice and has
been for many years.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON

(Continued)
BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. Doctor, what has been your experience with
the use of pain medications?
A. I assume you are talking now about opiate
derivatives.
Q. Yes. Hydrocone and such.

25 A. Well, you know, they are not first-line

1 d
Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go
about treating folks with chronic pain?
A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a
fair amount of experience with that.
Q. And through the time of your tenure at

St. Peter's Hospital?
A. Yes.
Q. In working with other physicians,
oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's something, again, you've done
since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you prepared a report back in

February about your initial review of the medical records of Dr. Ibsen?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And that's based upon what we've already
talked about, true?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you come to any opinions about

Dr. Ibsen's care of those eight or nine patients?
MR. FANNING: Objection.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We haven't
qualified him yet as an expert.
MR. DOUBEK: I'm sorry.
MR. FANNING: I still don't believe there
is any foundation under that standard announced
26-2-601. And even if they gained a little
ground, there is another Subsection 3 that requires a person in one medical specialty or subspecialty to adduce evidence that the standard of care overlaps, and we haven't even heard that. So I don't think he's capable of doing that based on his own history, but we haven't even embarked on the second part. So I still maintain my objection. And if it's useful, I can offer you a copy of the code, I happen to have it here.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Do you have any case law that that statute is applicable in licensing cases?

MR. FANNING: No, other than the fact that as -- and Mr. Doubek knows better than the rest of us -- a standard of care is the ultimate
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issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even -- well, period.
And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain.

MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) As a part of your
practice, have you been involved in the management
of care for patients with chronic pain?
A. Yes.

24 Q. And would you describe that in as much
25 detail as you can, please?

1 A. I'd say it would be basically diagnosis.
2 First of all, trying to arrive at a diagnosis, a causation and then determining from the records what has worked and what hasn't worked in the past, which is often very useful but sometimes it's not given enough emphasis. Once the diagnosis was fairly certain or as clearly defined as possible, then it would be recommendations with regard to the modalities used to treat such pain, with use of narcotics being just one of them. And simple recommendations with regard to overlapping medications, you know, the simultaneous use of other potentially psychoactive medications as I would call them, including benzodiazepines, phenothiazines. There is a whole host of drugs that are used primarily by psychiatrists, which then might overlap the use of the narcotics. The mechanism or reaction of the narcotics, whether the narcotics are given intrathecally through a pump, a pain, opioid pump. You know, following the results, I guess that's -Q. Making adjustments during that course of time that you're caring for the patient?
A. If not making them myself, then at least recommending that my best judgment is that, you know, this drug be tapered and this one be
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emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working.
Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice?
A. Yes.
Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family
medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here?
A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really can't avoid it. Some doctors try, they say I don't want to hear it. If you hurt, see someone else or see your primary care doctor or whatever. Q. But you haven't done that in the course of your practice?
A. I haven't been able to.
Q. So you've cared for patients with chronic pain, have been involved in the management of their chronic pain for 30 -something years now?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Perhaps this is something we'll ultimately end in briefing, but I'm going to qualify him as an expert here.

MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.
MR. FANNING: And I understand the ruling, I accept that. But typically qualifications of an expert have some parameters, he can't be an expert on everything.

MR. DOUBEK: Well, I hope he qualifies an expert on the areas that I'm going to go into with him.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: What are those areas? Why don't we define this. I think Mr. Fanning is correct.

MR. DOUBEK: I think that Dr. Anderson is an expert in chronic pain management. I think he's an expert and able to testify about whether there has been overprescription. I think he is an expert in issues pertaining to the care required of Dr. Ibsen going forward
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with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it.

I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language again and again in the ensuing testimony. So you might want to mark that so we can return to it.

MR. FANNING: If I can be heard on one little follow-up issue. And, again, I heard the Hearing Examiner's ruling, but charting is a whole different issue than anything to do with pain management. And when I submitted the

Notice of Proposed Department's Action based upon the medical board's directive, on July 9 of 2013 I wrote that one of the issues was failing to properly document patient charts, and I don't believe that you're going to find that in Dr. Anderson's expert disclosure. So that goes beyond his disclosure and should have been updated if he was going to testify about that. And since he didn't, that should be precluded.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: And Exhibit E is his expert witness disclosure?

MR. DOUBEK: Yes.
MR. FANNING: That's not in evidence but that's what it is, yes.

MR. DOUBEK: I don't think you put any of your witness disclosures in. Did you, Mike?

MR. FANNING: No.
MR. DOUBEK: Okay.
MR. FANNING: Other than that they're pleadings in the record.

MR. DOUBEK: As is this. Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
Let's move on.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, based upon your
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review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids?
A. Yes.
Q. And what's your opinion?
A. I think that in all honesty there were --

I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times
when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that $I$, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines.
Q. And is that the objective that the doctor
tries to achieve for patients on chronic pain medications?
A. Yes. I think that that is the objective
that we would all have, no matter what our specialty
is, that the less medication the better, but we have
to -- each patient has his own time line. I heard
talk about 8 to 12 weeks. You know, that would be
great, some patients can be tapered faster than that. Some patients will require a great deal of trust and interaction. It may take a year or two to taper them from their medication.
Q. Doctor, have you ever had patients that
you managed or assisted to manage their chronic pain
who have never been able to wean themselves
successfully from narcotic pain medications?
A. Unfortunately, yes.
Q. Is an alternative to permanent narcotic
pain medication other things such as pain pumps and implantable pain pumps and the like?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that why they do the pain pumps, is to
avoid and be able to better regulate the flow of narcotic?
A. Yes. And theoretically -- there is some practical problems. But theoretically by giving the pain medication within the spinal column -- well, it's certainly true you need much, much less pain medication to do that and theoretically at least you avoid the systemic complications, the constipation, the dry mouth, blurred vision and all the other things that a person might get from some of these drugs. If you just deliver a small amount
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intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain.
Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in
order to address the pain better?
A. Yes. At the price of having to put this
thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection.
Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask
about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have had pain patients who have
permanent pain problems, correct?
A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true.
Q. And do those people who have permanent
pain problems sometimes require opioids on a permanent basis?
A. Yes. And, again, what we're looking at is their overall level of function. We want them to work, interact with their family, have a social life and looking at their life, we want them to have a
life. Now, some people unfortunately to have a life require indefinite use of narcotics.
Q. Doctor, in your experience have you seen a trend whereby a lot of doctors just shy away from assuming care for folks who have chronic pain? A. I have seen that trend. Apparently the witness before me indicated that she wasn't aware of that trend. But just in my look at the Helena community, I would have to say that you're correct. Q. Dr. Kneeland yesterday testified that his success rate at weaning patients from narcotic pain medication approaches 10 percent. How does that compare with what you've seen in the case of these nine patients?
A. Well, at the slice of time I looked at, I would say that Dr. Ibsen was batting better than that, considerably better. I wouldn't realize that was Dr. Kneeland's testimony.
Q. How in the course of your practice would you treat a patient who the diagnosis was chronic pain? What kinds of things would you do for them? A. Well, as has been discussed by other people, I guess, you try to utilize as many resources as you have available. Now, that may be other practitioners from psychologists and

Page 767
psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have -- you may have counselors on board.

And then, of course, you have other
modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience.

Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute?

MR. DOUBEK: Certainly.
(Off the record briefly.)
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, in your review of
the records, did you find any instance where any of these nine patients overdosed or diverted any of their narcotic medications?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. In your view did the documentation lead
you to the conclusion that Dr. Ibsen spent
considerable time with his patients?
MR. FANNING: Objection, leading.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Do you have any opinion whether the documentation shows the amount of time
Dr. Ibsen spent with his patients?
A. It does.
Q. And what does it indicate to you?
A. From time to time certainly. I wouldn't
say every visit, every patient, but certainly there
are mentions of, you know, spent a long time
discussing this issue, whatever the issue.
Q. Did the documentation that you reviewed
indicate that alternatives to narcotics were offered to the patients?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think that's appropriate?
A. I think it's very appropriate.
Q. Do you think it's appropriate that
considerable time was spent on various occasions with the patients?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it essential in the care of
patients with chronic pain that there develops a
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1 trust relationship between doctor and patient?
A. Absolutely. It's very important.
Q. And why is that?
A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers.

And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't know. I think that in principle it's an interesting and probably a good idea. It's certainly good to explain the options and explain what you're doing, the risks and benefits. But having a signed, written agreement is, it can cause an ethical dilemma because patients may lose their trust if they feel that they're --

1 Q. Well, and if a patient is still in pain,
it's your ethical duty to provide care for that patient?
A. Yes. The onus is already on the physician, yes.

Anyway, I have mixed feelings about these pain management contracts for that reason. And I
know there are bioethicists that also share those
feelings, so it's not like I'm just coming up with this.
Q. Now, up until the time that you retired in

2012, did you use written pain contracts?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Or did you see the other doctors that you
were concurrently working with use written pain contracts?
A. I saw some but I can't give you any
specifics. I don't even remember which physicians, frankly.
Q. Doctor, in your report I see that you
stated that patients requiring narcotics have
somewhat limited treatment options. By that what do you mean?
A. Well, I think that what I meant there is limited options with respect to their caregivers,
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which we discussed previously. I think that that is -- access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are -most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to.
Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to
whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within
appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his
license?
A. I believe so.
Q. And your opinion in that regard is what?
A. Well, he's a licensed -- he's a physician
licensed to practice medicine in Montana and so he can prescribe the medications and have the other interactions with patients that are necessary within the scope of a license. I think that's --
Q. Do you feel he met standard of care in the
respects of his practice relative to these nine patients?
A. I think he did.
Q. Do you believe that these nine patients
should be categorized as difficult patients with
complex chronic pain symptoms?
MR. FANNING: Objection, leading.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
MR. DOUBEK: That's fine.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, with regard to
these nine patients, can you categorize them as patients with simple disorders or more complex disorders or what?
A. Yes.
Q. And how would you categorize them?
A. Well, I think the majority, if not all,
they are complicated, there are complex issues
involved. I guess that's it.
Q. Okay.

MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I
have.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning?
MR. FANNING: Thank you.
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## CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON

 BY MR. FANNING:3 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson,
that I've just placed on the table and that
represent the records for the nine patients. There
is also another stack of records that's L-1 through
L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small
stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records?
A. I did not ask to get any of the records.
Q. Well, was it important to you to have full
access to everything?
A. Yes.
Q. And it would probably be unfair to just
study part of it without having access to
everything?
A. I understood I had access to everything.

18 Q. Do you know which stack you considered
19 when you completed your opinion back in February?
20 A. I didn't have a physical stack. I had
21 access to the records that were online.
22 Q. So Dr. Ibsen had everything then available
23 to you electronically?
24 A. Everything that I needed. I'm not sure I
25 had -- I don't know what I didn't have, put it that

1 way.
Q. So you can't say with any certainty
whether or not you had the 800-page stack or the 2,800-page stack?
A. No.
Q. Who had control of the records?

Dr. Ibsen, right?
A. I don't know if he had ultimate control.

I was given free access to whatever was available in their clinic that could be retrieved electronically.
Q. And when did you get that?
A. January.

THE WITNESS: Was it January?
MR. DOUBEK: December.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So you began your study
in January, but you can't say with any certainty
what the collection actually amounted to because it wasn't physical?
A. Yeah. Again, I just reiterate. I know
what I have. I don't know what I didn't have.
Q. So could there have been things that you
didn't have?
A. There could have been.
Q. In the course of your long career as a
neurologist, did you ever have to sit down with the
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DEA and get coaching on how to prescribe narcotics?
A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them?
Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics.
A. Oh, no.
Q. Would you find that unusual?
A. Well, it would be unusual for me.
Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that
happen to them?
A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't
know.
Q. Did you ever have occasions to have
discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication?
A. Yes.
Q. How did that play out?
A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify
me -- this the pre-PDR days -- do you realize that
Joe Blow is getting stuff from someplace else? No, he didn't tell me about that. Or I may say I did know about that, in which case I oftentimes have a discussion with the patient.
Q. So if the pharmacist had access to a point
of view that you didn't, it was your experience that they'd share that with you?
1 A. Well, again, I know the times they did
2 share it. I don't know when they didn't share it.
3 Q. Of course.
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1 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal
2 requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure
you are, right?
4 A. As far as like the schedule and the
5 triplicate and things like that?
6 Q. Yes.
A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes.
Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure
you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only?
A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has -- and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but -Q. I am.
A. Okay. All right.
Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful?
A. No, I don't think it is.
Q. Is that generally understood by doctors?
A. I think so.
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as -- wait a minute. These sets of records we might refer to, Doctor. Could I get you to grab that other stack too?
A. "Caution: Federal law prohibits the transfer of this drug to any person other than the patient for whom it is," something, something.
Q. Sure. Do you see who the patient is?
A. Mark Ibsen.
Q. Would it be proper in your opinion for

Dr. Ibsen to just hand that over to another individual?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, assumes a fact not in evidence. That isn't what happened and, furthermore, the matter was dismissed by the Board of Medical Examiners screening panel.

MR. FANNING: Okay.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Can Dr. Ibsen
represcribe that in any fashion to a third party?
A. Represcribe it?
Q. Yes.
A. You mean write another prescription?

1 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead.
A. It's not ordinary. So what are the
circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that?
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd
like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient.

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful?

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful?
A. It's not lawful.
Q. Okay.
A. Is it humane? I don't know the circumstances.
Q. Right. And we can debate that part.
A. Yeah. Certainly if a patient is about to

25 go into withdrawal because they can't get any
other -- I don't know the circumstances. I'm not privy to that, so...
Q. Now, what I want to do next is talk about
your view of what's expected in responsible opioid prescribing. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Again, we're going to talk about the
standard of care in responsible opioid prescribing.
And you already testified that it's your judgment,
your medical opinion, that a written controlled
substance agreement is not necessary; is that
correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Are there any other restrictions that
should be employed to prescribe within the standard of care?
A. I think the standard of care would require
a doctor to discuss the options.
Q. Would that be charted?
A. May or may not be.
Q. And under the standard of care, best
practices, should there be documentation in the
chart that alternatives and risks were discussed
with the patient?
MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the form of the
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question. It's compound. Is he talking about
standard of care or best practices?
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Eliminate the
best practices part.
A. Standard of care. You know, it would have
been my standard of care.
Q. To chart it?
A. To chart it.
Q. And it's kind of like any other informed
consent, those are in writing, aren't they?
A. Yes.
Q. What other essentials are there to a
chronic pain management protocol within the standard of care?
A. I think that routine follow-up is required.
Q. And by follow-up, what does that include?
A. At the time of the expiration of the prescription, if the consideration is that this might be something that's going to be used long term, there needs to be some continued cooperation between the patient and the physician or prescriber.
Q. But should there be assessments of
efficacy?
A. Yes.

1 Q. That should be charted, shouldn't it?
A. Ideally.
Q. Ideally or under the standard of care?
A. You know, I think the standard of care
would suggest that somewhere in that chart there is an indication of how the patient is doing.
Q. Okay. What other expectations do you
have, just elemental, to meet the standard of care?
A. Well, we talked about informed consent, we
talked about routine follow-up. That would include
monitoring for side effects and part of the informed
consent would be discussing options, other options.
I already mentioned using other modalities, other
available resources. I'm not sure what you're
getting at. If you want to give me a multiple
choice, I can say yes or no.
Q. All right. Those are the ones off the
cuff. Do you understand what a pill count is?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you believe that a pill count should be
part of the standard of care?
A. I don't personally believe that.
Q. Okay. What about urinalysis?
A. I think if you have a question as to

25 whether the patient is taking their medication or
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other, or more importantly perhaps, if they're taking other nonprescribed medications, those are the main reasons for doing the urinalysis.
Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or a quantitative assessment?
A. Well, in the real world they're mostly
done qualitatively because it is very difficult to correlate a blood level with a certain dose.
Q. Is it important to have just a single
provider, that is to say, only one person writing
that individual opioids?
A. Again, I think that's the ideal.
Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm
sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I
don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum,
just the standard of care expected of an ordinary
doc in Montana.
A. Prescribing the same medication?
Q. No.
A. Or all medications?
Q. All pain substance or pain control medications for sure.
A. Yes, I believe that that is -- there
shouldn't be other prescribers prescribing narcotic pain medications.
Q. And if there were, a doctor would want to act upon that; would he not?
A. Yeah. And I think that's part of the reason for the Physician Drug Registry.
Q. The Prescription Drug --
A. The Prescription Drug Registry.
Q. Yeah, or MPDR. So sure, absolutely. In
whatever records you looked at, how many times did
you find MPDR records contained within?
A. All of the patients I looked at I had a
flow sheet showing, it must have been MPDR records.
Q. Okay. Now take that large ring binder
that's in front of you, Doctor, and that, again,
I'll represent, is a collection of records supplied
by Dr. Ibsen's office. We're calling it Exhibits 1
through 9, and within it the document you just
opened is Exhibit 28-1. Do you see that on the
bottom right?
A. Yeah, I had these things.
Q. Disregard that for a second.
A. Okay.
Q. Just look at the records. Apart from
those other exhibits that are included in there for
convenience, do you find any, I mean any MPDR
records in those 850 pages? Thumb through it. Are

Page 785
there any?
A. Well, Mr. Fanning, all I can tell you is
that when I reviewed the records, I had those. I
don't know that they are a part of this binder or what, but I had them in order for me to come up with my opinion.
Q. Okay. And I appreciate that.
A. Okay. Isn't that the point?
Q. Here is another stack of records, and this
is the 28 that Respondent has labeled Exhibits L-1
through L-9. You can randomly grab any of these and
tell me, is there any one MPDR record contained
within that? Just go ahead and help yourself.
A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can
only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report.
Q. So when you got your report, you had
access to MPDR records on those people?
A. Yes.
Q. All right.
A. I remember it very strikingly because I
wasn't aware that it existed. It was not there when I was prescribing medication. This is a new, fairly new development and I thought this is really a neat thing to have.
Q. And that was back in January when you first started --
A. Right.
Q. -- analyzing it? Okay. Now, let's take a
look at the stack that you have in front of you.
And, again, we've been all really cautious about not mentioning names so I'm going to talk about people in terms of their exhibit number.
A. Okay.
Q. And it's tabbed for each patient. Would
you turn to Tab 2 and there at the beginning of that we have Exhibit 28-2.
A. Are you talking about this thing?
Q. I am. One of the things that we talked about --
A. Just so you understand, I only had access
to the entries on the second page, of these anyway.
Well, I shouldn't say that. Yeah, from December of
' 13 on back is what $I$ had access to.
Q. But one of the things we talked about
earlier is how, one of the essentials is to only
have a single provider for narcotics or chronic pain drugs, right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Now, if you look back at a couple of
pages, can you tell me how many providers are there?
It will be in the second or third column from the
right. Just read them out. Can you see the columns?
A. Yep. Okay. Mitchell, Mitchell, Mitchell, Mitchell, Mitchell, Williams, Rabold -- no, no, no, Mitchell.
Q. You don't have to read all of them, just
every line. There are a number of doctors, aren't there?
A. Mulgrew, Mulgrew, Mulgrew, Mitchell. Yes.
Q. Knowles, Sinling, (phonetic) Lay, Coyle,

Jorstad. Right? Go back a page, please, Doctor. Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many.
Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis?
A. I would have.
Q. Does anything about that suggest that this
patient should have special scrutiny to make sure
that they don't behave like a doctor shopper?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart
that suggested he was attentive to that and
responded to it appropriately?
A. Frankly, Mr. Fanning, I do not recall this
specific patient in the references that you're talking about.
Q. Let's do this again one time. Would you
turn, Doctor, to Tab 5? And, similarly, you'll find
Exhibit 28-5 in front of that. Now, I'll ask you to just follow along with me to the last page.
A. To the last page. Okay.
Q. Yes. And we're just going to go from the
last page forward.
A. Okay.
Q. If you look at the date of that very first
prescription entered, it was January 13th of 2012.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And from there did you note the number of
physicians that that patient saw?
A. That she received prescriptions from?
Q. Correct.
A. Three.
Q. On that page?
A. On that page.
Q. How many the next page? Page forward one,
please. It's hard to keep track. Isn't it, Doctor?
A. Yeah. You lump the ER physicians
together, since those are ER visits.
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Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12 ?
A. I think that's probably a good guess.
Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to
find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point
about -- talking about that elemental point about
having just a single prescriber, was there anything
in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person
should deserve special attention?
A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since -- I'd have to go through it.
Q. But you can't say that there was, can you?
A. I can't say that there was.
Q. All right. Would a person who has this
difficult history deserve particular attention?
A. I think, yes.

20 Q. And that attention should be recorded in
the notes, wouldn't you think?
A. Well, I think it would be nice to know
that there are multiple physicians prescribing
medications for this patient. That would be useful for any of these doctors to know.
Q. Just one more of these exercises. Let's
turn to Tab 6, please. Now, on the last page, as
we've done before, there are a number of different
prescribers offering controlled substances, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And among those Dr. Ibsen, true?
A. Yes.
Q. But then on the second page, or the middle
page, at some point, you see at the top, there is a
change in the quality of that, isn't there? They
all are from Dr. Ellis?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know who Dr. Ellis is?
A. I do not.
Q. At that point that patient is receiving
exclusively Suboxone prescriptions. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And then that continues on through 2014
principally, doesn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. What is Suboxone?
A. Suboxone is a narcotic antagonist-
agonist.
Q. Meaning what?
A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate
blocker and an opiate stimulator.
Q. And what is it used for?
A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's
basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in
the way that you can move someone from heroin to
Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to
Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah.
Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone
for maintenance therapy?
A. I'm not sure these days who is...
Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA
registrations, isn't it?
A. Okay.
Q. You know that or you don't know?
A. I'll take your word for it.
Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. So pretty clearly this patient had
multiple prescribers over many months and then
finally settled into an outpatient addiction
treatment plan. We can tell that from these
records, can't we?
A. Yeah, I guess until February.
Q. Okay.
A. And then she got prescriptions from two other doctors.
4 Q. That's all I have of that right now.
Thank you, Doctor.
So the hallmark I think of your expert
witness disclosure was that you were applauding
Dr. Ibsen on his unusual skill in weaning patients,
correct?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And it's your testimony that the evidence
reflects that he's doing a good job of weaning patients?
A. Again, the records I had, the slice of time ending in January and not necessarily aware of what's happened since, but at that time the majority of the patients had been, their doses, total doses of narcotics had been decreased and in some cases stopped.
Q. But, again, the records that you saw were
the ones that were offered to you, the access that was offered by Dr. Ibsen, correct?
A. I don't know who chose those patients.

24 Q. In your testimony or, excuse me, in your
25 disclosure, the very first paragraph -- do you have
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it handy?
A. Yes.
Q. The very first sentence says you got to
review spreadsheet and current documents from the
Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right?
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. Did that bear on your opinion?
8 A. Yes, it did.
9 Q. But, again, we don't have that in either
10 Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know?
11 A. You mean minus these?
12 Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28.
13 A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll
14 take your word for it. I don't know.
15 Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now
16 entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful,
17 just the number, please.
18 A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which
19 ones were as of January.
20 Q. But a more important bit of evidence would
21 be the current MPDR records; do you agree?
22 A. Well, they would include the other ones.
23 Q. But --
24 A. The ones I saw should be incorporated in
25 here, wouldn't they?
Q. Right. But this is Exhibit 28, that
series, is up until mid-November. So that would be a better indication of who is off of narcotics than anything you might have studied in January, correct?
A. So as of now you want to know who is off narcotics?
Q. Yeah. And you can't say?
A. I can't say.
Q. All right. Did you study Dr. Ibsen's
records with an eye towards discussions about weaning?
A. Well, I looked for them, yes.
Q. And you found those discussions, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any reason that a person is
medically required to wait for some event to start weaning or could you start immediately?
A. I would say that depends on the person and depends on the event. I mean, if you -- I'm just thinking if I know someone is going to have to pack up from one house and move to another, maybe now is not the time to start weaning.
Q. But that would be in the chart.
A. You're kind of setting yourself up for
failure. I mean, you want to get the situation
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where things are stable for a long period of time.
Q. Because you have to deal with an
individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just
says wean without any further definition, is that
complete, is that adequate?
A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just
saying wean...
Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying,
because of some social or job-related issue, that
would not be good enough; is that right?
A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says
wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why.
Q. But in your medical judgment is that
adequate charting?
A. Well, it wouldn't be for me.
Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit
wean followed by three exclamation points?
A. Well, at least I know what's going through
the mind of the physician.
Q. You do? Well, what can you glean from
that?
A. Well, I can glean that he's thinking about
weaning.
Q. Is there a difference between thinking
about weaning and executing it?
A. Sure.
Q. And obviously what we're trying to do is execute it, not talk about it?
A. But one has to precede the other.
Q. Agreed. Let's do an exercise with

Exhibit 8. And I'm going to ask you to turn to the back of it more near Tab 9 , to page 737. The only
thing I want to note on this is it appears to be the
beginning of this set of records, because it's the
last date. And its date is February 20th, 2011, correct? It's up at the top.
A. Oh, February 20th. Yes.
Q. And this patient embarks on a course of care with Dr. Ibsen. And then let's page back to 709 if you would, please.

MR. FANNING: Do I need to give you the dates so you can keep up? I'm sorry. That's
February 21, Mr. Doubek.
MR. DOUBEK: Thanks, Mike.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So are you with me,

Dr. Anderson?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Can you read that chart note?
A. It says, "Recheck in four to six weeks.

Hope to begin weaning." I think.
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Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So
that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672.
And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived?
A. Yep.
Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012?
A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points.
Q. Anything else?
A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain."
Q. In other words, nine months have passed
and we have no indication of what the assessments
were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's
just now we're still exclaiming wean, right?
A. That's true.
Q. All right.
A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes
to see what all happened in there.
Q. Fair enough. Now page back to 690 at

December 21 of 2012.
A. I've got June 22nd.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Do you mean 590?
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) I meant to say 670. I
don't know what I said.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: It wasn't close.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Are you there, Doctor?
A. Yes.
Q. And you see that there is a number of
entries in that handwritten note. But midway
through it can you read the chart note that
Dr. Ibsen provided?
A. It says, "I want to wean off. Coloscopy
pending. Dr. Cortese. See Roush."
Q. That's all I need you to touch. So now
we're ten months into it and we're still just anticipating weaning.
A. Okay.
Q. Now page back --
A. What's with the coloscopy shell?
Q. Now we're at 655 and February 5th of 2013.

Are you with me?
A. Not yet. 655. Okay. "Refill meds."
Q. Are you having trouble reading it?
A. Fentanyl, Lortab. I'm not quite sure.
Q. Following that?
A. "Wean after colonoscopy and biopsy.

Wean."
Q. So now it's been a full year and all we've
done is anticipate weaning but apparently no progress, right?

## A. No progress with that.

Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with
respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR
records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will
be right there.
A. Okay.
Q. At the very top you'll see the last
charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that?
A. Right.
Q. Is this person still on narcotics?
A. Yes.
Q. And from that page it looks like a very
regular program of steady doses, doesn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, after studying that, can you still
stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly skilled in weaning patients?
A. Well, again, that was a statement based on
the records I had at the time and some of these
patients that were doing well a year ago are not doing so well now. I don't know the reasons why and I can't really comment on that.
Q. So your opinion that he met the standard
of care was based on information that was provided
to you by Dr. Ibsen some ten months ago?
A. Yes.
Q. And if there is information that might
undermine that, your opinion would have to change,
wouldn't it?
A. Well, as far as being particularly
skilled, you know, again, his record was pretty darn good back then.

MR. FANNING: No other questions. Thank you.

MR. DOUBEK: Just a few.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON
BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. With regard to this patient that you just
looked at, the reference to narcotic medications
prescribed after May of 2014 were prescribed by
another doctor, weren't they?
A. Yes.
Q. Not Dr. Ibsen?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that doctor prescribed levels at least
as high or higher than Dr. Ibsen, true?
A. For the most part higher.
Q. And you haven't looked at the cause or the
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reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct?
A. No.
Q. Now, as I understand your record review,

Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available
to you, whatever you wanted they made available to
you?
A. Yes.

MR. FANNING: Objection, leading.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you --

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
A. I stated that though earlier on, so...
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when
you agreed to take a look at the records in this
case, did you insist upon having access to
everything you wanted to have access to?
A. Yes.
Q. And I thought you testified, I just want
to clarify, that when you did your initial review, you reviewed a lot of records, and then at some point in time after you prepared your report, you reviewed even more records. Is that true?
A. Yes.

24 Q. At the time you prepared your report, you
25 spent maybe 16 to 20 hours of time reviewing, and
when you were all done and you had reviewed everything later on, you put in about 50 hours of time?
A. Total, yeah.
Q. And I want to ask you about testimony relative to informed consent for prescription pain medications. You don't typically have the -- or did you in your practice have a patient sign an informed consent form, much like a surgical informed consent form for prescription pain medication?
A. No, I did not.
Q. But you would discuss the efficacy, the limitations, the risks, associated with the pain medications?

## A. Certainly.

Q. You don't have any reason to believe

Dr. Ibsen didn't do the same thing, do you?
A. No, I do not.
Q. And when a patient gets a pain medication
filled at a pharmacy, typically do they not receive a lot of information about that medication's use, limitations, contraindications?
A. I certainly get a lot.
Q. And that's usually information that comes from the PDR?

## A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some

 of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right.MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think.

FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON
BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM:
Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that
this was based on your experience and training; is
that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And any opinions you offered, were those
based on a reasonable medical certainty?
A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean,
opinions I offered are, I offer are mine. I mean, they're the best I can do at the time.
Q. Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we take a lunch break.
(Lunch break.)
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MR. DOUBEK: We're going to ask Dr. Ibsen
to resume testifying.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay.
DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. MARK IBSEN (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. I'm not sure exactly where I left off, so

I'll just start anew.
MR. FANNING: Objection.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: No need. I've got that one covered.

MR. DOUBEK: I'm not sure what my last question was so I'll just start with a
different question is what I meant.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Not all of them over again.

MR. DOUBEK: No, please.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, tell me about your office practices relative to patients who present for care for their pain, chronic pain.
A. Well, that's an evolving process.

Regarding the nine patients, I think you could say
that each one of those had a unique presentation.
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Patient 4 presented with lack of sleep and a tremendous upset in their life, and then later on had some issues that required pain medication and then was off -- each patient had a different type of presentation. The way I handle everybody is, the motto is the healing begins when you walk through the door. They come in, they register, they say they want to be seen, they identify who the practitioner is that particular day. Once they're registered, unless they're acutely ill and need to lie down, then we do bedside registration. But once they're registered, they'll be taken through a vital sign station, go to a room, have an evaluation by one of the medical assisting staff.

There are protocols to follow in case, like if somebody has a problem urinating, they'll get a urinalysis before they see me. We want to keep the flow going. Being it's an urgent care, one of the measures of patient satisfaction is how long the wait is and how long it is to get in there and out of there.

So there is some lab protocols to follow, there is picking the appropriate room to go in. And then hopefully I get to that room fairly quickly. And then I introduce myself and I say, 'I'm Dr.

Ibsen. How can I serve you?" and it goes from there.
Q. Before we get to that point, do you have monthly staff meetings?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is covered insofar as long term
or chronic pain patients are concerned?
A. Well, we cover everything at our staff
meetings, everything is up for grabs. The departments of our business are empowered to invent their protocols so that they own them. So around the area of nursing, for example, someone may or may not have a question or some input about a patient.

Let's discuss Patient 3. What are we up to with that patient? So we go over -- we don't go over every patient that we've seen. Now, we call back everybody we've seen, so we do have an idea what's happened three days after they've been seen. So all of the staff is involved in that kind of process.

But if one of the employees has a question, we'll discuss it. If we have a difficult case, we'll discuss it. If we have a grief-inducing case, we'll really discuss that. So there is a lot of things that we have to process and deal with in
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our day-to-day work.
Q. Are you familiar with the Substance Abuse
and Mental Health Services Administration?
A. Yeah.
Q. SAMHSA?
A. SAMHSA. Yeah.
Q. Do they have materials that you utilize in your practice?
A. At some point during this process, that
booklet was recommended and I said, 'Sure, we'll look at that." And it didn't really particularly change anything we were doing so we brought that in and had everybody sign it.
Q. So you had your staff review it?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have your, all of your health care
providers at Urgent Care Plus complete the MPDR online training?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you registered and have been
registered for online access to patient histories
and report appropriate pharmacy prescription data to the MPDR?
A. Yes.
Q. And are there others in your clinic that
are similarly registered?
A. Yeah. Every practitioner we have is registered.
Q. And as I understand it, it went online in

October of 2012 and there was some time that it took
for the practitioners, such as yourself, to learn
how to use it, get registered and so forth. Were
you registered shortly after the first of the year?
A. I think January or February of '13.
Q. And you've used it --
A. Regularly since.
Q. When would you use it? What would occasion your using the Prescription Drug Registry?
A. Well, initially I wasn't used to using it,
so I would use it on a case where I didn't know where someone was coming from or if they'd seen a previous practitioner. And then it became clear to me that it was such a great tool that I instituted a policy where I think I'm using it in almost every case. So I don't know when I wouldn't use it. It's that good a tool.
Q. And we'll look into that in a little more detail.

I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit J. Would you identify that, please?
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A. This the Pain Resource Guide.
Q. What is that?
A. It was -- I spent a lot of time educating patients about what their possible options are and there was a lot of repetitive information involved in that and the staff was wondering -- you know, I would discharge a patient and I'd say, "Well, get them this handout and get them that handout. And I'm going to make this over here and I'm going to write this out," and not be able to read it. So the staff kind of wanted us to have something more systematic that I could point to or circle. And that's what this is. It's about five pages of resources that are available for people in Helena who are in pain.
Q. Do you hand that out to patients then?
A. Well, someone who is in acute pain, a chronic pain patient who suddenly came through the door that didn't have any other conversations about this in the past we would talk about it. Some patients are extremely empowered and in charge of their pain management and they don't need this. Q. When did you start handing that out to patients?
25 A. About a year ago.
the patients came to see me, yes.
Q. Approximately how many patients?
A. I think 21, 22.
Q. So 21 or 22 out of 850 is about, a very
small, 2.5 percent?
A. 2.5 percent, yeah.
MR. FANNING: Well, object to the question
because there is no established figure.

MR. DOUBEK: I agree.
MR. FANNING: But we will agree that there
were 21 or 22 for sure.
MR. DOUBEK: I agree.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by
these folks?
A. They came in and registered.
Q. Did they come in all together or --
A. No. No.
Q. -- or some at a time? Over what period of time?
A. No. Well, it was in April of 2014, yeah.

So the first patient came in and he was pale and sweaty and started telling me his story, and I was moved by his dilemma.
Q. What was his dilemma?
A. He had been a patient of Dr. Christensen.

He stated that he went over there to the office, encountered a closed office with crime scene tape
up, tried to make some phone calls and there was no
one answering the phones. He was out of his
medications and he was having pain and symptoms of
withdrawal, he was having abdominal pain, cramping, sweating, restless legs and goose flesh.
Q. What's that?
A. You know, goose bumps.
Q. Did you refuse to see these patients
because their doctor had had a problem with the
Board of Medical Examiners?
A. Well, I had no idea what Dr. Christensen's
problem was and it was none of my business. I
thought I had a patient that had a problem right in
front of me.
Q. So why did you agree to see these
patients?
A. My ethical agreement called me to see
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these patients.
Q. Why?
A. Well, there is only two things I can do.

I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's
life expectancy and save their life. The rest of
the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering.
Q. Did each patient present a different
condition?
A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge.
Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records?
A. No. Apparently -- no, they didn't. The
records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available.
Q. So how did you learn what you needed to
learn about these patients in order to adequately care for them?
A. I don't know. I think there is a legal term, res ipsa loquitur. I was looking at this guy, he was really sick. Then it was clear to me, oh, wait, there is a Prescription Drug Registry. I can

1 refer to that and see what he's been on. So it turns out that the availability of the Prescription Drug Registry gave me a tool to see exactly who he had been seeing and what medications he had been on. And then I had the opportunity to take a history and examine the patient, the scar on his neck, moving stiffly and physical exam clearly had him in opiate withdrawal.

I was so moved by the drama of this I was trying to contact the TV stations. This is news here. Here is a guy here who is in withdrawal and somebody put him in withdrawal and it wasn't me. Q. The fact that he hadn't had care available?

## A. Yeah.

Q. And have some of these patients, these 21
or 22 patients, now moved on and received care elsewhere, to your knowledge?
A. Yes.
Q. They're not all active patients of yours
presently?
A. Oh, no.
Q. Does that happen typically in your
practice, you see patients for a time and then after a time they move on?
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A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while.
Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. At the time, I don't know if you recall
the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with.

The next few visits people were going from -- they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't feel like I was familiar enough with Methadone to continue that process. So they were on maybe 360 milligrams of oxycodone a day. Most of them weaned down to maybe 120 milligrams of oxycodone.

When the barrier that Mr. Gardipee put in at 30 milligrams, I respected that boundary that he set and I immediately started to write for 10 milligram either Percocet or oxycodone. At that

1 point two of those patients I wrote a prescription for oxycodone 10 milligrams, two of those patients 3 altered that prescription. One of them actually had 4 it filled at 30 milligrams, changed a 10 to a 30 .
5 The other person was stopped and the prescription wasn't filled.

The reason -- the way we ascertained that that had happened is we take every Schedule II prescription, fax it to a destination pharmacy. So they get a fax; then the patient brings the hard copy with them. I don't see how I could in good conscience give a hard written prescription to someone who may be about to commit a felony and not do something to prevent it. So I just fax everything to the pharmacy so they can see a fax and then when that hard copy comes and matches up, we're good. If it doesn't match, we're not.
Q. So in the case of the person who altered
the prescription, you had faxed an accurate copy of
the prescription to the pharmacy but they relied
upon the hard copy?
A. Correct.
Q. Evidently didn't look at the faxed copy?
A. Perhaps.
Q. Who knows. Okay. To your knowledge, is
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1 that something you're required to do?
A. No.

3 Q. And to whom did you report the
prescription abuses?
5 A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person
I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task
Force. He and I have worked together investigating
several of my patients who have been accused of
fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics.
So I have -- his predecessor was Tom
Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well.
And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from
the DEA.
Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this
proceeding?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you
first visited with the DEA.
A. It wasn't my reason.
Q. Okay. What led you to meet with the DEA?
A. They came to my office and I said, "Here I
am."
Q. Did they say why they were coming to your office?
A. Well, I asked them and they said the Board
of Medicine asked them to come.
Q. What was the substance of the meeting with the DEA at that time?
A. That was the first time I had met both agents. It was myself on one side of the table and Ellen Stinar, the office manager, on the other and Agent Addis and Agent Tuss. And Agent Addis and I talked about dog mushing, actually. He actually had looked at the house of my dog mushing coach up in Lincoln. So we had something in common. We talked mushing for a while.

He told me he had been in Afghanistan for the previous seven years and he has now come to Montana. He asked me -- after all the icebreaking preliminaries were done, he asked me, well, what's our usual practice style, and I responded to him similar to how I'm responding to you. I told him how people come through the door, how they get processed, if they're here for a particular complaint we take care of whatever complaint, they have to deal with. We refer them when it's appropriate.

And gave them a tour of the whole place. Took them down to Natural Medicine Plus so they could see we have an open relationship and

Page 819
multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No.
Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you
could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated?
A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't ask to see any records, they didn't carry any subpoenas. They did carry themselves with their badges. And there is two things I remember very intently, because it was repeated quite often, they said, "You must be careful not to prescribe medications to people who might divert them." And I said to them, "Well, how would I know if they might divert them?" They said, "Well, there are red flags," and they pointed out
the various red flags, traveling a long distance, traveling in a pod or group, having had multiple previous physicians before, asking for particular medications by name, gaming, such as not being able to give a urinalysis if I asked for it, having beady eyes, et cetera, et cetera. So that was all there. And then the other thing they said to me when I asked them, "How should I be managing this, if you have some advice for me?'" and they said, "We can't advise you. We're not physicians."
Q. Did the way in which you went about prescribing pain medications for these patients of Dr. Christensen's differ from the way you went about prescribing medications for these nine patients?
A. Oh, yeah. It differed a lot.
Q. How so?
A. Well, the patients that had seen

Dr. Christensen were on -- I mean, they were on enough medication to put a city to sleep. So I've never prescribed 30 milligram oxycodone before in my life, and I saw those numbers and I was quite shocked by them. And then when I looked at the Prescription Drug Registry, it was clear to me that this was somewhat habituated to that dose and they were clearly tolerating it because they're not dead.
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And this patient in front of me is withdrawing and I've got to -- I'm ethically obligated to do something about that patient.
Q. Are you skilled to recognize that?
A. Yes.
Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22
who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them?
A. Several of them did exhibit signs of
withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21 , I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was -- it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take care of them.

The ones who weren't in withdrawal, they still told a very good story about -- and they would show me a scar or something like that indicating that they had a previous surgery. You know, one of the guys was a veteran, was grown up in Iraq. I thanked him for his service and I said to him, 'I don't think you went to Iraq and served our country and then came back here to be characterized as a

1
been on Methadone, we're not going to do that. So we're going to use the amount of oxycodone that they were on and then we're going to find out what their usual doses are. And then we're going to -- once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'" And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me.
Q. Did your care -- how many of these 21 or

22 remained patients at your clinic?
A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think

I might be seeing one or two or three of them still.
Q. But most of them have moved on?
A. Yes.
Q. Did any of these patients, were they the
cause of your subsequent conversations with the pharmacist at Osco?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about how that led to the
conversation with Mr. Gardipee.
A. Well, over the last year and a half, I've
gotten a lot of phone calls from pharmacists and the same questions would be asked, "Is this the medication you intend to prescribe?" And my response was somewhat routine, "Yes." So at some point I had fewer and fewer conversations with the pharmacists about a question like that because the answer was always the same. So I didn't hear much from Bob until he said to, he called the office and talked to Ellen and said, 'I'm not going to prescribe these 30 milligrams Oxycodones anymore."

I thought it was pretty clear the patients were tapering and some of them tapered and strictly, steeply, a couple people only saw me once or twice. Some of them, when I gave them the 360 oxycodone a day without the Methadone they didn't -- they weren't able to make it a month. So some of those patients got an increased dose the next several times.
Q. Of the OxyContin?
A. Of the oxycodone, but not of Methadone.

So most of their pain medicine was in the Methadone. So even if they made it through weeks on 360 oxycodone, I thought that was great compared to what they were on, and I'd never prescribed medication in that level before in my life.
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Q. So what was the reason why you decided to contact Mr. Gardipee?
A. Well, he was -- it was clear by his strict statement and boundary that he was not going to prescribe those anymore, that we had a problem we needed to talk about. And I was willing to acknowledge to him at that point that my communication hadn't been so great. It had been pretty much routine, like, 'Do you want to give this?'" "Yes." And my whole career I've given a lot of thought to the prescriptions that I write and if I write a prescription, it's because that's the prescription I want to write.

Other communications from pharmacists have been, well, they're allergic to this, you can't give them that. Of course, we'll give them a substitution. But these were different kinds of questions.

So at that point he's saying, "No. I want to know why." And I also thought that with this uncertainty about the DEA, like I wasn't quite sure why they were here other than the fact that the Board had sent them and I'm in the middle of this investigation from the Board. I wanted to know exactly the best way to problem solve an issue with
,

1
a bunch of different stakeholders, and the only way
I know to do that is to put all the stakeholders in the room and talk about it.

So I called the DEA and invited them to this meeting and I called Bob and, well, actually, I didn't, Ellen did at my direction. And so we decided to have a meeting. Bob wasn't able to go offsite so we met at Osco.
Q. And you had your meeting, was that in about June?
A. Somewhere late June.
Q. Of this year?
A. Yeah.
Q. And tell us about the meeting.
A. Well, the meeting was, I thought,
productive. I wanted to get a clear idea of where Bob was coming from in his, you know, strict no more 30 milligram oxycodone. I wanted to learn what he had to say. I wanted to get the DEA related to a doctor and a pharmacist working together. Like maybe I even wanted to demonstrate that I'm actually interested in being proactive about this. Here is a problem, let's deal with it.

So the perceived problem that Bob had was his corporate office had said that he dispensed more
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30 milligram oxycodone than they had in forever, and I got that. I wrote more than I'd ever written in forever. I had never written that prescription before April 14th of this year. So it was all new to me too, and I figured, well, if we're inventing this together, we should all talk about it and see if there isn't some way to come to some agreements that would help us get this done.
Q. So going forward, there was an agreement to prescribe the 10 milligram?
A. There was no agreement. Bob said what he was going to do and he's not going to fill them. So, okay, so -- and he also said that he had called all of the other pharmacists in town and talked to all of them and had agreement from all of them. Now, I did not survey all of those. So I took him at his word that no one else in town was going to fill these either. So I considered it a limit and a boundary, and I think my main thing was to consider it that it's the patient's got the pain, it's not my pain. If there is a limit, then there is a limit.

So I immediately started to prescribe Percocet 10 milligrams to those patients and some of them were intolerant of acetaminophen and Tylenol, part of Percocet, so some of them got 10 milligrams

Oxycodones.
Q. Other than the acetaminophen, is there a
fundamental difference between a Percocet and an oxycodone?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. Well, Percocet is harder to abuse than 10
milligram oxycodone. I think it's pretty much --
it's pretty well know that the acetaminophen
component, if people are intolerant, that's probably
a red flag for people who really just want to get
the oxycodone and sell it, snort it, shoot it, all
the other illegal stuff that happens with
prescription narcotics.
Q. Did the DEA weigh in on any aspect of the meeting?
A. Yes. The only thing that I was told, I
did hear probably ten more times, that we're not doctors and we can't tell you how to prescribe. And I also heard numerous times that you shouldn't prescribe to patients like these and I would say, "A patient like who?" "Patients who might be diverting." And I said, "Do you have any evidence that there has been diversion?" And they didn't say yes and they didn't say no. They said that was
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their prerogative to share with me or not. So they didn't share with me any evidence that everyone had been diverting.

Bob did say that one of his staff had seen
two trucks next to each other and something change
hands between them. And he promised to get that
video and he got it to the DEA, didn't get it to me.
But I didn't really need to see it anyway. I didn't
think that was -- I'm no law enforcement person, but
I didn't think that was anything more than a rumor.
So the DEA, the last thing they said to me
was, "Dr. Ibsen, you are not only risking your DEA
license by prescribing to these folks, you are
risking your freedom."
Q. So --
A. That got my attention and I said, "All
right. I want to do this right. How can I do it?"
"I can't tell you. We're not doctors."
Q. So going forward, Osco continued to fill
prescriptions for those persons, albeit it at the
lesser amount?
A. Correct. Osco and other pharmacies in
town.
Q. How about the contact that you had with

Mr. Otteson at Walgreens? Tell us about that. What
led to your filing a complaint against Walgreens over that?
A. This is my first interaction, you know.

In retrospect, I can see very clearly what happened, but at the time it was, I was in the dark. So I had a patient who had -- this was in February. This is not one of the nine patients. She has fibromyalgia and had been seeing another physician who released her from care. I think she was three or four days early on a couple refills and they decided that they didn't want her anymore.

So she came to see me and she was on Hydrocodone for her fibromyalgia. We had extensive conversations about how to get off of that. And she had weaned a little bit or not at all. So in February she went and had a dental procedure, a crown or something like that done. And her dentist left town and she got an infection. And here is another dental thing. But, anyway, her face was swelled out to here and she was having a lot of pain. So we intervened with her dental infection, gave her several series of different antibiotics, similar to what you heard about on Patient 5. And she got better.

In the meantime, she had used up her 130
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Hydrocodone on my recommendation and she had also gotten several days of Percocet, because her pain had, was way higher from the teeth than it was from the fibromyalgia.
Q. All right.
A. At the end of the month, well, March 1st comes along and she came to me saying, 'Thank you. My dental infection is better. I appreciate it so much. It's time for my 120 Hydrocodone." So we talked about the different options and the Pain Resource Guide and all that kind of stuff. And she said, ''Thank you, and I'm not quite ready to wean yet," and I agreed with her. She had just gotten over this horrible dental infection. So I wrote her a prescription for her usual $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ of Hydrocodone. And she had gotten that from me for about five months running and from the previous doctor for a couple years, I think.

So she went up to Walgreens and came back an hour and a half later in tears and she said they wouldn't fill it. And I said, "Why?'" They said, "Well, it's too much for -- the pharmacist said it was too much." And I thought, "Wow, that's interesting." And the staff started to talk. We had a busy day, so I had the staff look into it.

They were told that the pharmacist wasn't comfortable with that amount. And I'd never actually heard that term before, so it was the first day I'd ever heard that term, I'm not comfortable.
My response was the pain medication is for the comfort of the patient, not the pharmacist.
Q. Well, had the pharmacist ever contacted
you --
A. No.
Q. -- to that point?
A. No.
Q. Or thereafter?
A. Well, there was one interaction that
terminated the conversation. But up until that point, no.
Q. Did you try to find out why the pharmacist
would not fill the prescription?
A. I did. He just said he wasn't
comfortable.
Q. So ultimately did you file a complaint against him with the Board of Pharmacy? A. I did. I thought that the -- I thought it was an inauthentic presentation to state that he wasn't comfortable. I had a sense that there was something else behind his lack of comfort. I think
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I was taking it somewhat personally, frankly, because I had never had a pharmacy refuse a prescription from me that wasn't written -- that was written at my direction that didn't have an allergy or other contraindication.

So I thought he was saying by refusing the prescription that I was somehow bad or wrong or incompetent or not legitimate in some way. So I was a little stunned. And I thought it would be best that maybe the pharmacies, the pharmacists and I could all get together, we could have an adult sort of lead the whole program, because we were at loggerheads.
Q. You learned later on that Walgreen's
corporate had had a difficulty with prescription drugs down in Florida?
A. Yes. They had a $\mathbf{\$ 7 0}$ million fine apparently for some malfeasance around keeping track of all their opioids.
Q. So from headquarters came the news that
they had to deal with this in a certain way, prescription medications?
A. Well, yeah. It turns out there is a
checklist that the pharmacists have been given that worked for Walgreens and it's called the good-faith
dispensing policy.
Q. But you hadn't been given a copy of that ever?
A. No. That's hard to find. I didn't find that until quite recently on the Internet.
Q. In any event, did the pharmacist at

Walgreens ever tell you precisely why he was refusing to fill that prescription?
A. Well, yeah. He said it was -- he wasn't comfortable with that number of pills and the patient had been filling that for months.
Q. I understand that. But did he ever inform you why he was uncomfortable with that much medication?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And to this day you've not heard otherwise?
A. To this day in testimony he attested that
it was too many pain pills for a toothache. But I
never attested it was for a toothache. It was for
her monthly fibromyalgia prescription for her Hydrocodone.
Q. Which Hydrocodone she had been receiving
for a couple years before her toothache?
A. Right.
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1 Q. Doctor, I'd like to talk to you about the
nine patients. First of all, do you run a pain
clinic?
A. No.
Q. Is taking care of pain patients the
majority of your practice?
A. Well, if they're not coughing and they're not having diarrhea, they're having pain. So I
would say taking care of these kind of complex pain patients, no. But everybody who comes to the door has something that's bothering them that they want to have adjusted.
Q. And I'm talking about chronic pain
patients. Does that comprise the majority of your practice?
A. Oh, no, no, no. It's all about the
sprained ankles, sinus infections, pneumonia, abdominal pain, ectopic pregnancies, the usual. Q. How do you approach a patient who presents for the first time with a complaint of pain? And I'm not talking about the sprained ankle, blah, blah, blah.
A. So the key thing to find is what's the
pain generator. So what's this pain about? How did it get going? Is this a pain pattern that's got a
pathway established? If pain persists at a certain pattern and it wears like a pathway in the nervous system, it's called a neuroplasticity system. But basically if you have pain that isn't addressed, then that pain itself becomes a problem, like its own separate problem on a problem list. If you have pain from a neck injury and your pain gets better, then you had acute pain. If you have pain from an injury that doesn't get better, that pathway develops and gets its own life to itself.

So I'm going to find out what's the pain generator, how long have they had it, what have they been treated for, what are they doing now already, what works, what doesn't, why are you here, who fired you and why. Essentially patients would come through my door because they've been on pain medication before. I don't initiate pain medications for a chronic pain patient.
Q. And is that true in the case of these nine
patients, they had other providers prior to seeing you for the first time?
A. For the most part, yes.
Q. And when a patient comes to you and
informs you that they've been on pain medications
from some other provider previous, what do you do in
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order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact?
A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to
see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the
Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit
difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider.
Q. When you get old records, do you include
them in your records?
A. Yes.
Q. All the time?
A. No.
Q. In the general case, other than these nine
people then, what do you do as far as conducting a
physical examination, if you do?
A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical
examination.
Q. What does that consist of?
A. Well, first of all, the physical
examination is paired with a history. So 80 percent of diagnosis comes from the history. So there is a conversation, a lot of these patients are upset, a certain amount of listening to get their upset settled down. And then a physical examination is exactly what it is, it's an examination of the body

1
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them.
So sometimes I spend a great deal of time
with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point.
Q. I'm going to give you -- I don't know if
you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do
you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients?
A. I do.
Q. You've studied their records?
A. Yes.
Q. Not only in preparation for this but you
know your patients?
A. I do.
Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is
your recollection as to how that patient presented initially?
A. I've known this patient for over two years now. This patient came to me with some pain in the left knee. There had been numerous procedures done, complicated by Methicillin-resistent staph aureus.
The patient was upset that her surgical procedures had led to a lot of complications. The patient was
highly intense in her personal presentation. She had a great big scar on her left patella. She limped a lot. She also was agitated. She was tapping her knee, or tapping her right leg quite vigorously. I remember she would do that regularly. She gave a history with a lot of complexity, bipolar disorder, lots of medications and, wow.
Q. Just in case you need it, that's our

Exhibit L-6.
MR. DOUBEK: Sorry I didn't coordinate the numbers.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) In any event, take a look
at those records. Is that the patient we're talking
about?
A. Yeah.
Q. When you first --
A. But I've got to make a correction. If
this is the first visit she presented after having
had a fall and she had a large hematoma on the side of her trunk.
Q. And I don't know that that page in front
of you is the first visit.
A. Okay.
Q. These are the records as we received them.

But let's take a look at the first page of that
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exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right?
A. Bottom central is 1832 .
Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected
on that first page.
A. Well, this the general history, medication
list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part.
Q. Is that form a form created by a company
called Practice Velocity?
A. Yeah. That's -- Practice Velocity is our
billing company and they provide charting for us to
use. They're I think the most-used urgent care
documentation system in the country.
Q. In that regard, your company is called

Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this
yesterday?
A. I did.
Q. But is there a difference between an
urgent care facility and your facility, Urgent Care
Plus?
A. Just the fact that I'm there.
Q. That's the plus part?
A. Yeah.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Excuse me just
one second. Ma'am, as a remainder, the documents we're talking about here are sealed from public view. So please don't get them into your shot, any of these documents that are in front of me or in front of the doctor or any of the attorneys. Thank you.

MR. DOUBEK: Thanks again.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) So tell me what's on that first page.
A. So there is a main problem, it says in parentheses, "List only one," and it checkmarks as other, specified, and written in there by Dori is hematoma. Then below that is the date of onset, 6-20 of ' 11 and this is $\mathbf{6 - 2 2}$ of ' 11 . She's been taking ibuprofen, last dose two hours ago. Pain at six out of ten. Radiates downwards. She has a history of MRSA not related to a motor vehicle injury and not work-related. Chronic active conditions bipolar. Medications are listed. Previous surgeries are listed. And family history is left blank. Quit tobacco in 2011. Alcohol checked never. And street or unprescribed drugs are checked no. That's down the left side of the chart. On the right side of the chart, recent abnormal for use symptoms. Boxes are checked nothing
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constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic.
Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas.
Q. Excuse me. But if something is not
checked, does that mean it's something that you
didn't consider or you just didn't check it because
there is nothing abnormal about it?
A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered.

If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom.
Q. Go ahead and continue.
A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33 -year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then written over there is APAP. Pregnant isn't checked. Last tetanus shot isn't checked because it's not related.
Q. And these records are in the typical
format that they were in back in at least 2011, right?
A. Correct.
Q. The second page, what is the information and why is that form different?
A. This says 1833. This is the objective part. So subjective is what the patient attests to, objective is what we find on physical examination. The vital signs are written in. Oxygen saturation, and then boxes to check either normal or abnormal depending on -- psychiatric she's oriented. Her mood and affect are appropriate. Down the line, down to skin it says erythema, cyanosis, ecchymosis or laceration. And over to the right is a diagram written that says six by ten centimeter superficial ecchymosis area, tender, nodular, no fluctuans. Q. And that's the objective that relates to her presentation with a sore knee, I take it? A. Actually she presented this time with an abdominal complaint. So, yeah, sorry about that. Q. All right. And then the third page of that chart, what is intended to be covered or addressed there?
A. That's the assessment and plan. So in the old SOAP note it would be subjective, objective, assessment, and plan. So in the scientific model it would be hypothesis, theory and action plan.

[^1]1 A. Yes, Seroquel, Klonopin and a Mirena IUD.
2 Q. And she was not receiving the Hydrocodone at that time?
A. I don't know.
Q. This is a patient who you did take care of for longer term pain?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have a recollection of the
conversation with this patient about what you would
be willing to do for her to address her long-term pain?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. Well, she has a lot going on. So the
bipolar is probably the biggest issue for her is she was seeing a psychiatrist for that. And as she talked to me more and more about her knee, it became clear that that hadn't worked well for her at all and that was a pain generator for her on an ongoing basis. So the options given to her were continue the pain medication, look forward to possibly weaning, keeping her functionality up, taking care of anything that would cause her to fall and have this hematoma happen, that sort of thing.
Q. When you first began prescribing pain
medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan?
A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time.
Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation?
A. Correct.
Q. At the point in time when you determined
that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do
you then at that point employ written pain
contracts?
A. Now, yes. Then, no.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her abdomen and so she didn't tolerate pain very well and I was kind of wondering why. There is some studies that show if you're on opioids for a long period of time they actually decrease your ability to tolerate pain. So that was kind of a clue that maybe this opiate thing needs to be interrupted. So we talked at length about what would happen if she
were to be off the opiates.
Q. Did you record that you talked at length with her?
A. There is a -- you mean in terms of how many minutes I spent with her?
Q. Well, not necessarily how many minutes but
the fact that you feel you talked to her at length
about that issue.
A. That length.
Q. Well, specifically on the visits --

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Excuse me. Just
so the court reporter -- you told about the
length and --
A. Okay. So 230 pages worth.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you.
A. And subsequently she had been -- here I
can find out her previous medical doctor. So the
abdominal thing resolved, now she's having ongoing
knee pain. She's coming -- it's clear that she's
going to be coming to see us because of the knee
pain and now it's time for some conversation. So
this is on 8-15 of 2011.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Page number?
A. 1855, 56, 57 and onward.
Q. So is that when she was -- is that the
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1

2
A Yeah
A. Yeah
Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that?
6 A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did.
Q. And is that recorded there?
A. Well, I gave her a total of $\mathbf{6 0}$ of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be a month. So it was an agreement to kind of have that happen. I diagnosed her with complex regional pain syndrome, which is kind of a chronic pain diagnosis that you would see. You wouldn't see that in an acute pain setting.
Q. Before you, or incidental to you
prescribing the Ultram, did you have any
conversation with her about such things as where she would get her medications, you know, whether she could get them from other prescribers and those types of things?
A. Right. She told me that she was going to come see us now and I just said, "Well, if you're going to do that, you can't see previous people that you're not satisfied with." And she was happy with that, she wasn't satisfied. So she was doctor shopping when she came to me. So she was not getting the kind of relief that she felt that she deserved. And she was upset, angry, and I did not feel that that was a good thing to have persist in terms of being able to tolerate her pain. The more raw you are emotionally, the less likely you are to be able to tolerate a painful stimulus. So I could see that that was going to be an issue for her and she's had her bipolar issues, that sort of thing, so I could tell that I was going to get involved.
Q. What kinds of things would you tell this patient and patients in general who you were going to treat for chronic pain in terms of where they could get their meds, how much meds, what your plan was going to be for them, so forth?
A. What conversation would I have with them?
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Q. Yes.
A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar
left patella." The rest of the physical exam is
checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/
despondent/hopeful." She went through all those
different fields of emotional projection while she
was talking with me. That indicates to me that I --
in order to get that from somebody you have to spend
a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that.
Q. You said though with regard to this
patient you didn't use a written pain contract,
right?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though
with her --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- about pain?
A. Yeah.
Q. What was part and parcel of your oral
agreement with this patient?
A. Oh, well, you can't go to a bunch of different physicians. You can't just come here for a few pills and go to Dr. Skillman for more pills. You have to stick with one area. Pharmacy shopping
at that time was verboten. These days everybody is -- there is a shortage of pain medications and everybody is going to a lot of different pharmacies and the Prescription Drug Registry allows for accommodating that. But at that time it was, you know, you can't go to multiple pharmacies, you can't do any forgeries.
Q. Are these all conversations that -- is
this the kind of conversation you typically had with
patients like this, that is, patients who are going to have long-term pain problems?
A. Sure. Just in the same way that if
someone is hypertensive I'm going to say, "Well, here's a problem you might have with Atenolol. It's going to put a ceiling on your ability for your heart rate to go up; it's a beta blocker. The side effects of beta blockers are blah, blah, blah. You might want to get off that at some point. If not, if you tolerate it, we'll continue it. If not, we'll adjust it," et cetera.
Q. Do you record that conversation anywhere in your record?
A. No, usually I'm having the conversation.
Q. And just as though you were prescribing
the beta blocker, Atenolol, you wouldn't necessarily
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write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug?
A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really
well and much better than I do.
Q. Let's take a look at the next patient,

Number 2. Number 2 is --
A. I'm not seeing her in this file.
Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7.
A. Got it.
Q. With regard to that patient, what do you
recall her initial presentation was?
A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had
back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety.
She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a
splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a
hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass,
lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having
chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see
Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back
procedure.
Q. So she had a number of -- she was
postsurgical many times?
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. And in a lot of pain?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Would you have the same conversation with
her as you had with Patient Number 1?
A. Yeah.
Q. In terms of where she gets her
prescription, you're the only provider?
A. Right.
Q. Those types of things?
A. Well, yeah. And, again, each prescription that $I$ write is photocopied and faxed, so there is a copy in the chart. So my records -- I don't write what prescription I'm going to write because I've written the prescription and copied and made it a part of the record.

So here she goes to Safeway with Flexeril 20 and Lortab 10/325 180, which is enough for her to take six a day for a month.
Q. Now, as I understand, this patient
ultimately transferred her care to another doctor or two; is that correct?
A. Yeah.
Q. And we talked about it a little bit
different, a little bit yesterday, and I noted that
Dr. Sargent gave her 168 Hydrocodone on 4-14-12,
Dr. Ellis gave her 180 on 4-12-12 and 54
Hydromorphone on 4-12 and 180 on 5-3-12. She was

Page 855
not one of your success stories; is that true?
A. Right. I actually did talk to her at
length about weaning multiple times. There was a
period of time where she actually got off pain
medications, and it was my recollection that around
the time that this complaint was filed she was not
on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but
my recollection was she had gotten off any pain
medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like
what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators.
Q. And you don't know whether she had other
acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you?
A. Right. It says here on 2047, 'Law
enforcement investigated."
Q. And I see that --
A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048.
Q. And I see in the Montana PDR that she was
under the care then of Dr. Tom Winer, who is an oncologist. You don't know what that relates to?
A. She told me he had treated her before. I
think that's what the splenectomy was about.
Q. All right. Next patient, Number 3. Do
you have L-5?
A. Got it.
Q. Do you remember that patient's presenting,
presentation initially?
A. This starts off with the assessment page,
so let me get -- it looks like she had a laceration
on her right fifth finger.
Q. Had she had a history of multiple
neurosurgical procedures and orthopedic procedures?
A. Yes.
Q. And when she initially presented, then did you learn about those things by talking to her?
A. Not the time we did the finger wound.
Q. She just had a finger laceration and you
took care of that?
A. Yeah. But on May 28th, on page 1433 , the
patient had back surgery on 4-1-2011, which was I
think was six weeks, or eight weeks prior to
presenting, and has back pain in her legs since.
And it talks about the Hydromorphone that she's on, the Morphine, Gabapentin, estrogen, Ambien, and it lists her back surgery and the fact that she's got hardware in her spine. Later on I discovered that she had had a traumatic brain injury, that she had signs and symptoms of fibromyalgia. She had a lot
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1 going on.
2 Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written
pain contract with her?
A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was
still within the eight weeks of the previous
6 surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a
candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to
get her pain under control, see if we can get her --
I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon
from her surgery. And she was still not doing well.
I didn't know if this was going to turn into some
failed back surgery syndrome.
Q. At some point in time she was under your
care for chronic pain?
A. Yeah.

16 Q. And at some point in time she was able to
17 wean off of all narcotic pain medication?
18 A. Yes.
19 Q. And then I see within the past month she
20 received a short, a small amount --
21 A. Like 30 or something.
22 Q. -- Endocet?
23 A. Yeah.
24 Q. What's Endocet?
25 A. It's like Percocet basically, so it's
oxycodone and acetaminophen.
Q. But in terms of getting off the narcotic pain medications, that was a success story?
A. Yes.
Q. Take a look at patient --
A. Now, for just a quick second.
Q. Go ahead.

MR. FANNING: Objection, nonresponsive.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Do you have anything else
you'd like to add regarding this patient?
MR. FANNING: Objection to the form of the question. It's open-ended and seeks a narrative.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
A. So I'm not to answer the question?
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) No. Just wait. I'll ask a bunch more.

This patient, what do you recall about discussions relative to pain management that you were going to provide for this patient?
A. And this is on Patient 3?
Q. Yes.
A. Yeah. Well, the fact that someone has decreased their pain medication down to zero and
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substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again.
Q. And I understand from her testimony
yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the
natural medicine, I think physical therapy --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and other modalities. Are those things
that you recommended?
A. Yes.
Q. So what is bringing the multidisciplinary
approach to these people all about?
A. Well, I never intended to have a
multidisciplinary pain clinic and I don't. But what I do have is Urgent Care Plus right next to Natural
Care Plus with a physical therapy department in the
same building. So we do have a little bit of one-stop shopping.

I do -- since I've had pain myself, I
realize that pain is manifested in a lot of different levels. The reflex of your hand on the stove and it hits to spine, the second level would be the level of the brain where your brain says don't put yourself in that situation again. The next step would be the level of the mind where your mind is being judgmental of you for being such an idiot that you got injured in the first place. And then the fourth level of dealing with pain is what's happened at the soul level. What really happens to a patient who is having pain ongoingly day to day, not sleeping, not able to relate to their friends and loved ones, not able to work, not able to
actually have a life, like Dr. Anderson was saying. Q. Is money a limiting factor in terms of these people being able to resort to other modalities?
A. Mine or theirs?
Q. Theirs.
A. Theirs. It would be essentially a lot of the -- well, I like to say that Dr. Roush's patients are the healthiest patients in town because they can
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1 afford to have the expensive urine. So, yeah, they take a lot of nutritional supplements. It's kind of hard to tell, are they healthy because they take all these supplements or are they wealthy because they take all these supplements and can spend all this money? I don't know. They're really healthy patients. So I look towards that as a goal for some of my patients who aren't so healthy.

The problem is exactly what you said, that the insurance companies don't pay for cranial sacral therapy. They don't pay for prolotherapy on the part of Dr. Roush. They do pay for some physical therapy. They may or may not pay for chiropractic. They'll never pay for a massage. They wouldn't pay for a hot tub, and they won't pay for a healthy diet.
Q. Special bed, pillows, et cetera?
A. Yeah.
Q. Let's take a look at the next patient,

Number 4. That would be L-2. Do you have that?
A. Yeah.
Q. This patient testified yesterday. Would
you consider him a success --
A. Yes.

25 Q. -- in terms of weaning him off of all
narcotic pain medication?
A. Yeah. My interest is in people's well-being. This patient is doing well.
Q. Was he on pain medication when he first came to see you?
A. Yes.
Q. For what reason?
A. Well, he had occasional pains in his neck.

He had numerous injuries to his neck before. His visit with me was about a psychiatric problem and that pretty eclipsed the other pain issues until we got that under control. Once his psychiatric issues were under control, then his pain issues reared their head.
Q. When was he first put on pain medication by you?
A. Oh, boy. This is not necessarily in order. So I'm sorry, I can't tell by looking at this stack.
Q. Do you remember when it was approximately that you first saw him?
A. These charts are out of order. It looks
like they're going earlier as I thumb back though.
So maybe -- I'm just going to -- it looks like 9-8 of ' 11 .
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1 Q. Did you get him in contact with a
psychiatrist?
A. I did. Well, two psychiatrists as a
matter of fact.
Q. And did you and the psychiatrists then
work to provide concurrent care for this fellow?
A. Yeah.
Q. And how does that work, logistically are
you in contact with the psychiatrists?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you exchange emails or faxes or letters
or do you just get on the phone and talk?
A. Mostly phone and talk.
Q. Do you record all of those conversations?
A. No.
Q. Do you note them in your file?
A. Yes.
Q. All of them?
A. No.
Q. So how was it that you and this patient
determined that he needed to be off the pain medications?
A. It was the patient's insistence that he get off the pain medications. He didn't like how he felt on them.
Q. Did that seem appropriate with you?
A. I was all in line with that.
Q. And is that sort of your standard
approach, that you don't want these people on pain medications indefinitely?
A. Yeah. Are you kidding me? $\mathbf{3 5 0}$ people die a year in Montana from prescription drug overdose.
I'm against that.
Q. So how did you go about the weaning
process in order to enable this fellow to be off of the pain meds?
A. Well, this was complicated. He had all this I think neck-generated headache, so I think the pain generator was in his neck. He had a lot of degenerative changes in his neck. He wasn't interested in a surgical procedure. We didn't really work that up any further. But what he was interested in was an improvement of his headaches.

I did prescribe medical marijuana for him. I also had him go and see Dr. Roush. He actually did, regretfully, have a chiropractic adjustment, in spite of his anxiety about it, and I was standing by holding his hand when he had the adjustment.
Q. It didn't do him any good?
A. It didn't do him any good.
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Q. It did him some bad possibly?
A. It did him some bad. So he was willing to try stuff I suggested. He ultimately went to
Dr. Roush and he had an injection procedure called prolotherapy. The theory of prolotherapy is you inject an irritant, it becomes its own pain generator. The body's five-way anti-inflammatory cascade kicks in and the ligaments actually tighten up. It would be the opposite of a steroid injection, in other words. And there is a lot of pain generated by that inflammatory injection.

And then once that pain creates an anti-inflammatory response in tightening up the ligaments, they're better. He performed exactly like that. He hated the injections.
Q. But, in any event, you were able to get
him off the pain medications?
A. Yeah. It's great. He's pleased.
Q. Patient Number 5 is L-1.
A. She has her own binder.
Q. Yeah.
A. That's pretty cool.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Are we going to have a session -- Never mind.

MR. DOUBEK: Time is a problem.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) When this lady presented
to you, she testified yesterday that she had like
six gynecological surgeries and then she had pulmonary embolus?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is pulmonary embolus in your experience supposed to be a painful event?
A. Pretty much.
Q. And, of course, the six GYN surgeries. So she was on pain medications for those conditions?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you able to wean her off of the pain medications?
A. Yes.
Q. And for what period of time?
A. Well, she was really only -- she wasn't really in chronic pain, she had a stacked-up series of acute pains. So each surgical procedure she'd have she'd tend to have some pain post-operative to that, her surgeon would prescribe enough that he thought it was appropriate. She had more discomfort. I'd work it up and sometimes I'd find something, sometimes I wouldn't. Then she would be back to her surgeon for another procedure. So that kind of went on and on.
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And then she had the pulmonary embolism, and once that was resolved and she was off the Warfarin, I never saw her for pain after that.
Q. Well, according to Exhibit M, which is
your copies of the PDR, you last prescribed
Hydrocodone 10 on 3-11-13 and there isn't another prescription for Hydrocodone until 10-29-13, so a period of seven months.
A. Okay.
Q. And you don't know why she was on

Hydrocodone after she was off of it in the spring of --
A. Did I prescribe it the seven months later?
Q. No. A different doctor. So as far as you
were concerned, you were able to successfully wean her from the pain medication?
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. And this is a patient who obtained in many
instances early refills on her Hydrocodone?
A. Oh, yeah. I wouldn't call an early refill on someone who is having acute pain. Unless if I come back to your room while you're having a kidney stone and I give you more morphine, that's an early refill. Essentially we have to give enough pain medication to get the pain relieved. There is no
early refill when you're dealing with acute pain.
Q. So by that you mean the prescription
wasn't enough to do the job?
A. Yeah. The pain is not being relieved.

She had a period of time where she took -- I think the month she actually had the pulmonary embolism -it was a month's worth of prescription. I think it was January. But, at any rate, during that month she was recovering from your pelvic surgery, she had her pulmonary embolism, and she used up maybe 120 Hydrocodone and she was not getting pain relief and she got Percocet. So she got Percocet and Hydrocodone in the same month. But it wasn't some tragic event, it was attempting to get her pain under control. It was all acute pain.
Q. Would you talk to the patient when she
asked for an early refill?
A. Yeah.
Q. Would you make a recordation in the
records about that sort of thing?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you record why it was she got an early refill?
A. This would be different stuff, different
day.
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Q. What do you mean?
A. Well, it's not the same as SSDD. This is an acute workup here. So she's got pain in her pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain.
Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew
what was going on and causing the pain?
A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd
better listen to her because every time she has
something, she has something. She was sick every
time she said she was sick.
Q. Including PE?
A. Right.
Q. Which is life-threatening.
A. Yes.
Q. The next patient is L-3.
A. Got it.

MR. FANNING: Mr. Doubek, is that number 6 ?

MR. DOUBEK: It is. Wait a minute. L-3
is Patient Number 6. Right, Mike.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) This patient presented to
you with what kind of problem?
A. It looks like he needed help with his pain.
Q. And according to the records of the PDR,
it looks like the last prescription of Hydrocodone was 4-16-13, so --
A. And he only saw me a few times, yeah.
Q. Yes, he had been under the care of

Dr. Weinert, who prescribed him as much or more than you, and then he was under the care of Dr. Ellis, who prescribed Suboxone?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And also under the care of Dr. Will

Schneider, who prescribed more Hydrocodone.
A. (Nods head.)
Q. Did he present to you with a chronic pain or acute pain?
A. He said he had pain in his low back and also, what Susan wrote was the main reason he was here was for medication refill. He was lifting and pushing on heavy objects. So it was multifactorial. He had low back pain, shoulder pain, neuropathy, high blood pressure, hypothyroidism, anxiety, five previous knee surgeries on the right, two previous knee surgeries on the left, two previous shoulder
surgeries and recurrent shoulder pain requiring -he was looking forward to a third one -- a hernia and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera.
Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next
three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8.
For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication?
A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was in a lot of trouble.
Q. So you prescribed pain medication for that condition?
A. Yes, for his back pain.
Q. And then at some point in time this past
summer he was receiving no further pain medication
from you; is that true?
A. I think December of 2013 was his last prescription from me.
Q. I see one in the PDR for 7-17-14 for 60

Hydrocodone and then nothing from you after that.
A. Yeah. He must have come in because of an acute flare.
Q. And he got seven from somebody else that
next week but none after that according to the PDR
as of this month?
A. Yeah.
Q. Success story in terms of --
A. I would say success. You'd have to ask him.
Q. Patient Number 8 is L-9. For what reason
did this patient present to you for pain care?
A. She initially had a urinary tract
infection. But it turns out that she ultimately
started coming to see us because her
gastroenterologist would refuse to treat her pain.
She had, I think, ulcerative colitis, and she went
from a Helena gastroenterologist -- sorry, Crohn's
disease -- a Helena gastroenterologist to a series
of gastroenterologists in Missoula. And then the
note here says, 'Dr. Lee refuses to see her." She
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was going to Dr. Morris in Missoula, he retired and moved on. She ended up seeing Dr. Cortese in Butte. None of these gastroenterologists were comfortable giving her ongoing opioids for her pain. She was a tough case.
Q. And it looks like after you -- your last
prescription was on 5-12 of this year?
A. Yeah. I told her that -- essentially that was when my hearing was scheduled for June. I said, 'Here comes June 23rd. You better wean slow or wean fast. If I lose my prescription privileges, it's going to be uncomfortable to you and then she decided to move on.
Q. And this other practitioner, according to
the records, has prescribed the same amount of Hydrocodone that you did?
A. Right. So is she a failure? Is that what you're asking me?
Q. Sure.
A. Okay. No. She's been maintained somewhat
functional. She's pretty much disabled by her Crohn's disease. I was not able to get her off any opiates. She's also not increased her opiates. She's had specialists from out of town to manage her therapy. She's on Humira now for her Crohn's
disease and I think she's stable.
Q. At some point in time you stopped giving
her fentanyl. Was she intolerant to that?
A. Correct.
Q. How do you know that?
A. She didn't like it. It didn't relieve her
pain and she felt that it was not lasting the three
days, and I was not comfortable giving her higher
doses of fentanyl in order to have her last longer.
Q. The last patient, Number 9, is --
A. Got it.
Q. This is a lady who had an implantable pain
pump a couple of times actually, the last time it
was removed for bad wiring. Why did she present to you for pain management, or attention for her pain?
A. It looks like it was 11-12 of 2010. Her
provider is no longer available and $I$ no longer recall who that was.
Q. John Stevens, died in a plane wreck.
A. So reflex sympathetic dystrophy, spinal cord stimulator, depression, ulcers. She was on Percocet four a day, Cymbalta, the spinal cord stimulator that had stopped functioning, Clonazepam, Ambien, Flexeril. Complicated.
Q. And this patient remains on pain
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medication?
A. She does. She's been off very briefly, but never more than a month free of pain meds.
Q. But she's been on for a number of
maladies?
A. Right.
Q. Doctor, do you occasionally have patients
who are going to be on pain medications of some type or another indefinitely?
A. Well, I never said that to them. Only
time will tell about that. But what I do distinguish with patients is that pain is a -- pain will take you out of the present and put you either in your past worrying about it or into your future worrying about what your future is going to have. So what I say repeatedly to patients is don't think that you're going to always have that pain, you're just looking through the filter of pain right now. And it wouldn't let you consider the option of not having pain. So I never say to somebody, yeah, you're not going to be on them indefinitely.

I inherited a lot of these patients. I
don't start people on pain medications. I consider my job to be to get people off medications. As an ER doc for 30 years, I couldn't deal with any of
these patients. I had to say, you know, 'If you're in chronic pain, go see your doctor. An emergency on your part is not necessarily an emergency on my part." And, yet, now I don't know where these patients could go. When they come to me and if I don't take care of them, who will?
Q. Do you feel that with respect to any of
these patients, any of these 21 or 22 or these 9
patients or anybody else that you have you've ever overprescribed narcotics?
A. No.
Q. What about your recordkeeping, do you feel
that it satisfies standard of care?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. Every patient comes in, every patient gets an exam, every patient gets a story to tell, every patient has an assessment made and every patient that gets a prescription, their prescription is recorded. There is a lot of notes that I take that I hand to the patients to go home with. I spend a great deal of time with certain patients at certain times.

I used to do this in the ER but I could
only do it at 3:00 in the morning. So I kind of
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enjoy taking on a challenging patient from time to time, particularly a patient who can't get care anywhere else. I served in India, I served in the West Indies. I'm interested in serving the underserved, and this is a patient population that's highly underserved all of a sudden. Q. Do you know why that is?
A. It's completely mysterious to me. My theory is that -- and this is going to sound really cynical -- pain is the fifth vital sign was supported by an organization called the American Pain Society. The American Pain Society was supported 85 percent of their finances by Perrigo Pharma, and they've produced some of those medications that have been used for the last 14 years. So a lot of pressure on doctors. And as an emergency physician, I was assessed by a survey called Press Ganey on how well did I treat patients' pain. And I was very serious about treating acute pain when I was in the ER. In fact, I would have nurses balk from time to time about how much pain medication I wanted to give. And I think the definition of how much pain medicine you need to give is give it until it's enough. And in the ER you can give it until they stop breathing and nobody

1
ever did. So I'm not particularly overwhelmed by the process of giving high doses of pain medications to people who might need them. I've seen people have pain generators that are very, very powerful. I also give other patients other medications in the ER that are life-threatening if I couldn't control their airway such as Succinylcholine or Etomidate, all kinds of heavy-duty medications. So I'm not afraid of the medication profile themselves.
Q. Doctor, are you aware of any standard of
care applicable to your practice that requires you have a written pain contract with patients such as these?
A. No. In fact, these patients, a lot of
these patients were negatively affected by a pain contract.
Q. And have you reviewed the medical
literature to determine whether there is any
difference between the management of a pain patient who has a written contract versus one who does not have a written contract?
A. Well, I think there is lots of
recommendations about having written contracts. My goal is never to be carrying a patient long term. My goal has always been weaning them. So a pain
contract never made any sense to me from the standpoint of the Patients $3,4,7,8$, that have been successfully weaned, therefore, making a pain contract made no sense to me.

As far as the ones that stayed, on -- it
was always my goal to get Patient Number 8 off of those medications for her Crohn's disease. So --

MR. FANNING: Objection. I believe the
question was is there any standard of care
about a written pain contract and now we've got
a series of narratives that are unbridled.
MR. DOUBEK: He's describing the basis for that.

MR. FANNING: That's a yes or no.
MR. DOUBEK: No, it isn't.
THE WITNESS: So bridled --
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Hold on. Will
you read the question?
(Previous question read.)
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think the
question has been answered. Thank you.
MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.
Q. (By Mr. Doubek) What do you do in lieu of
having a written pain contract with your patients?
A. When I'm finished with my patient, at the
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objection for hearsay.
MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you
did and I believe it was admitted. That was
the letter, your response to the Board?
MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was.
MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was
Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and
you said that it could be admitted provided
that it wasn't offered for the truth of the
matter asserted.
MR. DOUBEK: For the --
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct.
So D was not offered.
MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the
same purpose.
MR. FANNING: And I object because it's
nothing but hearsay. That witness was here,
did testify, and there is no reason to
substitute hearsay for live testimony.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We have FR's testimony, so D is not admitted.

MR. DOUBEK: All right. That's fine. I'd offer it.

1
Q. But
Q. But, in fact, it was not boundaries he
set, it was boundaries that you had crowed about in the newspaper, correct?
A. Crowed about?

5 Q. You had been interviewed extensively in
the newspaper about your success in weaning,
correct?
A. I don't recall everything in that article.
Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite
them to interview you?
A. Yes.
Q. And you don't recall the gist of it?
A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big
challenge.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony
that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account?
A. Yeah, he may have said that.
Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he
was holding you to; isn't that right?
A. No.
Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA
just refused to tell you how to treat your patients;
is that right?
A. No, that's not what I said.
Q. Okay, what did you say?
A. I said the DEA said we're not physicians
and we can't give you direction about how to care
for your patients.
Q. Was there ever an instance where the DEA
said this individual is diverting, don't prescribe to him?
A. Not the DEA.
Q. Who? What would be the Missouri River

Drug Task Force?
A. Yes.
Q. That would be Shane Hiett?
A. Yes.
Q. That would be the individual you said you
worked cooperatively with?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you follow his advice?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you still prescribing to the patient
that we're calling Exhibit 29-21?
A. I don't know.
Q. And I know that's a bit of an oblique
question. So do you recognize that individual?
A. Oh, I do.
Q. And were you ever counseled by Shane Hiett
to discontinue because he was suspected of being a drug seeker?
A. Shane and I had some conversations and at one point he did say I should not prescribe for that patient.
Q. So he did give you specifics about real
threats, didn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. But --
A. Do you know about the rest of my conversation with Shane Hiett?
Q. Did you continue to prescribe to that individual?
A. No, actually what I did is I had a
continued conversation with Shane Hiett and I told
Shane about the circumstances of the individual, the additional confounding circumstances that he has, and Shane and I came to an agreement that I would continue to prescribe to him.
Q. In other words, you did? That's a yes?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's responsive to your question.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) My question specifically
was, did you continue to prescribe to that individual? And that would be easily answered -A. Yes.
Q. Very good.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay.
Gentlemen, I know that this a tense situation,
but I can see tension rising and I would ask
you both to kind of scale back a little bit now before it gets further down the road. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: What kind of guidance would you give me?

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well...
MR. DOUBEK: Be cool.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Be cool.
THE WITNESS: All right. Will do.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: And Mr. Fanning as well.

## THE WITNESS: Great.

Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Regarding the

Dr. Christensen patients that you said came to you on 30 milligram oxycodone, you made a remark that I noted, "It was enough of a drug to put a city to sleep." Do you recall that?
A. I did say that.
Q. And you suggested that it was probably
because they were habituated, that they could tolerate that level of pain, is that correct, or that level of dosage?
A. Yes.
Q. But --

25 A. I was able to review them in the

## Prescription Drug Registry, which is a great tool.

Q. But there is also another possibility that
would account for those large quantities and that
was that they were diverting them. That's possible,
isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Regarding the discussion that you had with

Jeremy Otteson that led to the complaint that you
filed. You know what I'm talking about, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you say that you could admit now that maybe the communication wasn't ideal?
A. Correct.
Q. And did you also say that part of it was
because you were possibly defensive because it seemed as though that was an attack on your skill as a physician?
A. Yes.
Q. But had you just told Jeremy Otteson that this person was a regular patient and this was not for a toothache but for fibromyalgia, much of this could have been avoided, couldn't it?
A. No. He was told that.
Q. Is it in your judgment a weaning success

5 if that patient goes to another provider and
continues opioid medication through that person?
A. I don't judge my successes with patients
on what they do with another provider.
Q. Okay. Is it fair to say, Dr. Ibsen, that
you kind of get your back up a little bit when
you're challenged?
MR. DOUBEK: Objection, irrelevant, immaterial.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you know what I mean?
A. No.
Q. Do you get defensive when you're
challenged?
MR. DOUBEK: Objection, vague.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you rephrase, Mr. Fanning?

MR. FANNING: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you feel as though
when you're confronted with the sort of conflicts
that we all have to deal with as grownups and professionals that you manage those professionally and appropriately --
A. Yep.

24 Q. Okay. But isn't it true that everybody
25 who has testified here in this proceeding that
challenged you suffered some form of counterattack
or retaliation?
3 A. No.
4 Q. All right. Let's go through them.
A. Okay.

6 Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at
your office with the courage to challenge you about
your prescribing practice.
MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by
counsel characterizing her as having the
courage. She was fired.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge
you about your prescribing practices?
A. Yes.

15 Q. And since that she's been maligned at this
16 hearing, hasn't she?
17 A. Did I malign her?
18 Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been
19 maligned at this hearing?
20 A. I don't know.
21 Q. Did you hear your counsel give his opening
22 saying that she was a poor employee?
23 A. Well, that was a fact.
24 Q. Did you look at her records that suggested
25 that she had no disciplinary write-ups of any kind
until she was fired?
A. That's not true.
Q. The women from the Western Montana Mental

Health Center testified. You recall that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that all had to do with that patient
who went to Hays-Morris House in crisis and you
offered her your narcotic, correct?
A. No.
Q. What happened?
A. I offered her my Percocet.
Q. Thank you. But they refused to give that to the patient as you directed?
A. There was an initial agreement that they
would and, yes, then they didn't.
Q. And you were very unhappy about that, weren't you?
A. I don't recall how unhappy I was. I was unhappy. I'm not sure about very.
Q. But did you call one of those women on her
private time and tell her to bring your fucking meds back?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall the testimony that they
alerted you that if you continued to harass the
staff that they would have you arrested should you
appear?
A. No.
Q. Did you threaten to --
A. They were going to arrest me in some future?
Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they
advised you you would be arrested?
A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want.
Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint
against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks
for refusing to give those medications as you
thought they should be given?
A. No.
Q. So all of that testimony that they offered
was false?
A. No. I was going to file a complaint against the Western Montana clinic and the Hays-Morris House for admitting a patient who was suicidal because of their pain and refusing to give them pain medication, admitting a patient to a facility where they had no capability of taking care of the medical needs of that patient and essentially fraudulently projecting that they could actually
care for that patient.
Q. Jeremy Otteson refused to give or issue
the prescription that you provided for one of those patients, right?
A. I'm sorry. I'm confused.
Q. Okay. Jeremy Otteson is the Walgreens
pharmacist who testified.
A. Yes.
Q. And he declined to give the full
prescription for a certain patient that you wrote?
A. Correct.
Q. And that made you very unhappy, didn't it?
A. Well, it actually made the patient very
unhappy. She was the one in tears.
Q. But then you retaliated against

Mr. Otteson by filing a complaint with the Board of
Pharmacy.
A. So do you think that filing a complaint against a board is a retaliation?

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir.
Mr. Fanning is asking you questions at this
time. If your counsel wants to ask you
questions in response to his, he certainly will be able to do that.
A. Well, I'm not going to characterize it as
retaliation.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a
complaint against Mr. Otteson?
A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy
for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a
patient.
Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a
number of months, didn't she?
A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do.
Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your
clinic on a number of occasions.
A. Yeah. You sent her.
Q. We know that you met with her and

Mr. Gardipee.
A. Yes.
Q. And we know that there were a number of
telephone calls between her office and yours and her
and your office manager.
A. Yes.

20 Q. Then this past summer when you became
21 frustrated with her, you threatened her as well,
22 didn't you?
23 A. No.
24 Q. So her testimony that you were no longer
25 welcome to call and discuss issues with her, that's
false?
A. Agent Addis talked to me about not talking
to the DEA agents any further without my attorney
present. He did call me about that.
Q. Your attorney or their attorney?
A. My attorney.
Q. All right.
A. They said there was an active
investigation with the Deputy U.S. Attorney of the
State of Montana and that there was no longer, no
longer could they talk to me without my attorney
present.
Q. In fact, it's probably fair to say that
you were unhappy with the fact that you were being prosecuted by the Board of Medical Examiners?
A. No.
Q. Do you think that me as an individual is
treating you unfairly?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, you've written extensively about
that in your Facebook posts, haven't you?
A. I would say that's probably 1 percent of what's on my Facebook.
Q. Well, it's the 1 percent though let's talk
about now. Do you feel as though there is some sort
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of conspiracy against you?
A. No.
Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get
ready for conflict"?
A. I don't know.
Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree
that that was so?
A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page
and could confirm it, I probably would.
Q. Did you say that you won't stand for
bullying?
A. I think I might have.
Q. More than once?
A. Okay.
Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say
that more than once in your Facebook?
A. Okay.
Q. One more time. On more than one occasion
did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners
or me as an individual was bullying you?
A. I'm not sure if it's more than one
occasion. I'd have to look and see. If you could
show me the actual Facebook entries, I would
actually affirm that. But not looking at them
currently, I can't say one way or the other. I'd
say it's pretty likely I might have done it once.
If it's more than once, I would have to actually look at the facts and then attest to whether it was three or five or more than one.
Q. It could be though? It could be?
A. It could be.

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's been asked and answered.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you indicate that
you were going to do something to make this process
ugly?
A. No.
Q. You never said that?
A. No. The process is pretty ugly already
so, no.
Q. Let me quote something to you and see if you remember writing this.

MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, this has nothing
to do with any of the issues in this case, and
I would object to this line of questioning.
MR. FANNING: It has everything to do with credibility and has everything to do with whether or not the facts that are recorded in Exhibits 22 and 23 have resurfaced and we need
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to address them.
MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link
by any witness at this point, and I would
object to further questions in this regard.
Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form.

MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the
record.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back?
(Previous question read.)
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something --
A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what you're reading?
Q. You know what, I think that's a capital
idea. I believe it's Exhibit 21. It is.
MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, we have objected
to this and I believe you sustained our
objection and, thus, questions about this
irrelevant document should be sustained.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: At this point the question is not about the document, it's about the Facebook page. And the doctor has that and can see it, so I think your client opened the door on this.

MR. DOUBEK: Well, he was asked the question though and he's trying to answer the question.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Dr. Ibsen, I think it might be to your right. Is that it? You can keep it, sir. Turn to page or, excuse me, Exhibit 21, please. Now, turn to page 869 within that.

MR. DOUBEK: May I have a continuing objection about Facebook -- it is certainly likely that the doctor is upset that he's being hauled into a procedure like this. I don't think that's abnormal for anyone and, thus, asking him about his level of upsetness is irrelevant and immaterial.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: You can have a continuing objection.

MR. DOUBEK: That's what I want. Thank you.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Are you on page 689?
A. Yeah. It says BOME.
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1 Q. Right. Below that.
A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to $F$ off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics."
Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you
want to keep reading, you're welcome to.
A. Okay.

MR. DOUBEK: Doctor, just -- this is garbage. Just answer the questions. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So you didn't think anything of the discovery, right? You thought that was something that was frivolous or just an imposition that was designed to impose upon you for
no reason?
MR. DOUBEK: Objection, argumentative.
MR. FANNING: That's a question.
MR. DOUBEK: It's argumentative.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You said that you
weren't going to answer ridiculous questions
designed to trip you up, right? You read that?
A. Let me refer back to that page. "They
will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous
repetitive questions designed only to have me trip
up."
Q. So you didn't think much of the discovery process, did you?
A. What does discovery process mean?
Q. Well, if you turn the page on 870 , you've
got photographs of the discovery that I sent to you.
Does that refresh your recollection?
A. I can't read those photographs.
Q. No. But that's what I'm talking about.

Those were questions that I offered you designed to elicit what this case was about.
A. Right.
Q. And it was your determination that that
wasn't something you were willing to participate in?
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A. These were the same questions that were presented to me in the original complaint from Sarah Damm of 17 months prior to this. And it seemed to me to repeat the questions without any historical precedent of how I answered the previous question, that it was designed to get me to answer a question differently than I answered 17 months prior. That seems like to me it was designed to make me make a mistake.
Q. So was that the reason --
A. Why would I have to answer all those questions all over again?
Q. Was that the reason why there was 2,000
pages of medical records that we didn't get initially?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection --
A. You got those initially, my friend.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) All right. Now on
page 870 , the last two lines of text. Did you
author that where it says, "I am sharing this"?
A. "Because bullying only responds to
transparency."
Q. Keep going.

24 A. 'I won't stand by while someone is
25 bullied, that includes me."

1 Q. So do you think the Board of Medical
Examiners is acting outside of its bounds or that I am individually?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So what did you resolve to do about that?
A. What did I resolve to do about that?
Q. Right. You said that you weren't going to stand by and be bullied. What were you going to do?
A. It seemed to me that the process was quite secretive and that having discussed the situation with my attorney on numerous occasions, having responded to requests by the Board of Medicine attorney numerous times to both open up my clinic, bring in the SAMHSA document, take different courses, jump through several hoops, it seems to me like there were several agreements that were in place that if we just get these things done, we can get this thing resolved. And the more we did, the more it didn't get resolved.

So it became clear to me that this process was going somewhere with no interest in any resolution based on the behavior of the attorney for the Board of Medicine. I don't have anything against the Board, I don't think they've heard about
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any of this. My problem is with you. And you've talked to my attorney numerous times and we've had settlement conversations numerous times and you've reneged on each one of them and here we are.

So it seemed clear to me that this process was going to go on and on, maybe in order to build your career. I have no idea what you're up to. All I know is what I'm up to.
Q. Okay. What you were up to is you revealed on page 872 . So page forward a little bit where the post with your name on it begins. Can you read that first line?
A. 872. 'Edie Cartwright says GDSF."
Q. I'm talking about your post, the first line.

MR. DOUBEK: Just read it to yourself. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Read it out loud, please.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Go ahead. MR. DOUBEK: Go ahead.
A. 'It's going to get public and ugly.

Ariela Cohen and Marshall" -- (phonetic)
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) That's all I need, sir.

So what do you mean by it's going to get ugly? What are going to do?
A. Is this pretty right now?
Q. Were you threatening me?

MR. DOUBEK: This is just argument.
MR. FANNING: What we're doing is
establishing the foundation for the documents
that the Hearing Officer excluded before
because of the relevance.
A. Well, I don't know. Is this ugly or not?
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Let's turn to page 877, and we're almost done with this material. Now, there are a number of posts that are attributed to you, but there is one in the middle that begins clearly. Read that out loud, please.
A. 'Bringing ER in helped. Had me thinking of rabbit mostly. But like the Shrek story, there is some of each character in each of us. Aye?"
Q. Actually, what I said was the one that
begins with the word clearly.
A. I don't see one that begins with the word clearly.
Q. Just below that.
A. 'Poo sticks" is the one that's right below
that.
Q. Keep going.
A. Okay. "See what floats by."
got good judgment?
A. Well, he is a person who is a friend of mine.
Q. Do you know if he's ever met me?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, this is irrelevant and immaterial.

MR. FANNING: We're looking at the underpinning on Exhibit 24.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Where are we going?

MR. FANNING: It's pretty clear that
Dr. Ibsen set about a program to attack anybody
who's threatened him, that includes me, and 24
is that attack.
MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's irrelevant to any issue in this case.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I don't see
that -- I don't see the connection.
MR. FANNING: Well, the connection is that Dr. Ibsen has tried to undermine anybody who has ever threatened him and somehow he perceives me as the object of a threat. So he contacted the Board of Medical Examiners and called me a vicious dog and that this was a witch hunt and that he was going to assure that
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I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out
of control attorney with my own agenda.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on.

MR. FANNING: Okay.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to
do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of
Medical Examiners?
A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question.
Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this
disciplinary action?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you
were frustrated with the action?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature
of the attorney's conduct?
A. No.
Q. So you don't have any idea how this
stranger -- where does he live?
A. Well, right now he is in Kentucky.
Q. So he has nothing to do with Montana or
me. How was it that he became aware of this and that I was behaving like a vicious dog?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. You had nothing to do with that?
A. No, I didn't say I didn't have anything to do with it.

MR. FANNING: Now, I want to advise
Counsel and the Hearing Officer that I want to
explore some specifics in Exhibits 22 and 23
and it may be that you don't want that done publicly.

MR. DOUBEK: I don't think it ought to be done, period. It's got nothing to do with the issues of charting or prescribing or care rendered to these. In fact, you offered it and said this is just backup information if that's what we get to at the conclusion of this matter.

MR. FANNING: Well, I don't want to quibble, but what I indicated is that that is information that would be instructive both to the Hearing Examiner and to the Board of Medical Examiners adjudication panel in the event that the Hearing Examiner finds that there has been unprofessional conduct and some discipline is appropriate. That discipline I'm suggesting is a lot of behavioral and
psychological work.
MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez.
Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years
ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was
cooperative and was cleared by folks,
professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement.

MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is.

MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care.

MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find
that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have
the testimony. I don't find the issue to be
relevant.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Were some of your
patients addicted?
23 A. No.
24 Q. So if the chart indicated that they
25 believed themselves to be addicted, that would be
inaccurate?
A. Well, you know, addiction is a complex
issue. I don't treat addiction patients, so I said no based on the fact that my patients aren't being seen for their addictions. I apologize for my confusion that might have come from my short answer.
Q. Well, but addiction patients deserve an
entirely different medical approach than a chronic pain patient; would they not?
A. So you're saying --
Q. That's a question. I'm not saying
anything. Do addiction patients require a different
medical approach than somebody with more of a
run-of-the-mill chronic pain presentation?
A. I think there is a -- to use a term you
used -- venn diagrams with some overlap.
Q. But some of these by admission had
addiction issues, didn't they?
A. Perhaps, yes. They all had pain issues.
Q. But Patient Number 2 --
A. Excuse me. Let me refer to that.
Q. It will be here, Doctor. If you're using
your numbers, that's not going to work. You need to use this one. Turn to page 96 of that document, will you, please?

1 A. Yes. 96.
Q. In the second block of text in the middle,
that patient is charted as saying that she admits
she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a
Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right?
A. According to the note from the nurse that
typed this, yes.
Q. And that nurse is one of your staff
members?
A. Correct.
Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it?
A. Correct.
Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized
physicians can offer maintenance treatment to
addicted patients, right?
A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis --
Q. Is that a yes or a no?
A. It's not a yes-or-no answer.
Q. So was it possible for you to treat
addiction on an outpatient basis?
A. No.
Q. Right. Okay.
A. So are you saying by this --

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Doctor,

1 Mr. Fanning is asking the questions at this point.

MR. DOUBEK: What page number is that?
THE WITNESS: This is page 96.
MR. DOUBEK: Okay. Excuse me.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And then Patient

Number 4 --
A. Hang on. I want my attorney to catch up.

MR. DOUBEK: It may take a while. No, that's fine.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) I'm going to try to
hasten this along a little bit. Patient Number 4 at page 300 reported to you that --
A. Hang on. I'm not quite caught up to you
yet. Okay. Thanks.
Q. -- that he was on Suboxone and now he
wanted off; is that correct?
A. It says here, "Wants to wean Suboxone.

19 When he stops, he get symptoms of withdrawal."
20 Q. But, in fact, if you look at page 295,
21 what actually occurred was Dr. Ellis cut him off for
22 breach of the understanding that they had; isn't
23 that right?
24 A. It says, 'Patient upset. Dr. Ellis saw
25 him only once. There was a problem. Now cut off
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and XXXX's trouble weaning from Suboxone.'"'
Q. Now, if I could get Mr. Doubek's
indulgence. In your Exhibit L-2 at page 857.
A. Okay.

5 Q. What actually happened, according to in
Nurse Ryder's note?
A. "Lindy from Dr. Ellis's office called UCP
with concerns about XXXX" -- Sorry.
Q. Okay. Continue.

10 A. -- 'under investigation for fraudulently
obtaining controlled substances. He called
Dr. Ellis to do a pill count. He refused."
Q. That's enough.
A. 'States he has sold his business and is
moving to Florida."
6 Q. Let's return to the other smaller stack of
documents, Patient Number 6. At page 537 you
discussed weaning with Patient 6.
A. Yep.

20 Q. What was the date of that?
21 A. 3-29 of '13.
22 Q. It was shortly after that that you last
23 saw that individual, wasn't it?
24 A. I don't know.
25 Q. And to help you out, Doctor. If you'd
turn to the tab there at 6 , you'll find the MPDR for that patient. And you can turn to the second page and see your last prescribing. Your last prescription was issued on April 16th of '13, right?
A. Hang on. Correct.
Q. So, in other words, within a month after
you suggested he wean, he left your practice and
then ultimately ended up with Dr. Ellis?
A. Right.
Q. And --
A. I guess he didn't want to wean.
Q. Yeah, that's my point. Then he ended up
on a long-term Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis?
A. I don't know what happened to him after he saw me.
Q. Well, you look at the front page of

Exhibit 28-6 and see that he's regularly prescribed Suboxone by Dr. Ellis.
A. Correct.
Q. In other words, he also was in an
addiction recovery program.
A. You'd have to ask the patient or Dr. Ellis about that.
Q. So, in other words, of the nine patients,
three of them ended up in addiction care, didn't
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## they?

A. No. Dr. Ellis is a pain doctor. He's a
psychiatrist. He puts himself out as someone who
treats patients with chronic pain.
Q. But not with Suboxone?
A. He doesn't treat them with Suboxone? I
think that's the only thing he uses.
Q. You know that the law forbids you from
using narcotics as a maintenance therapy for addicted patients, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's not what you were doing with these patients?
A. No. These patients had pain.
Q. There were a number of firsts that we
heard about your practice and Urgent Care Plus. Do
you recall some of the testimony from the other witnesses?

MR. DOUBEK: I don't understand the question. It's vague.

MR. FANNING: I hadn't really got to a
question yet.
A. Oh, I thought that was a question.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) No. I just said do you

25 recall the other witnesses who testified. The
witnesses from Western Montana Mental Health Clinic, there were three of them, remember?
A. No. There were more than three from that incident.
5 Q. They indicated that your clinic was the
first time they had seen a physician divert his own
medication to a patient. Do you recall that testimony?
A. You might be right.
Q. They testified that your incident was the
first time that they ever had to threaten to call the police on a physician. Do you recall that?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's been asked and answered.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Your former physician
assistant and your personal caregiver, Lisa
Weinreich testified, correct?
A. (Nods head.)
Q. She testified that yours was the first
instance where she had to call the Board of Medical
Examiners for consultation on a patient's conduct.
That was you, wasn't it?
A. Actually, I trained Lisa so she's only
been practicing for maybe three years. So whatever
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firsts she has, she had a lot of them with me.
Q. And she testified that was the first time that she had to call a lawyer for consultation about what to do with a prescription?
A. Did she have to call a lawyer? I'm not sure she did have to call a lawyer. Clearly the fact that she won't talk to me now because she is in communication with a lawyer because of all the FUBAR about this ugly incident that continued to get ugly. So, yeah, my relationship with Lisa is uncertain right now because she has retained a lawyer and I'm chagrined about it.
Q. In fact, she quit her job because of her supervising physician's conduct, your conduct?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection --
A. Well, thank you very much for saying so.

But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is where she lives, and didn't quit her job and she was working part time with us anyway.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you recall her
testimony that she had lost faith in you and quit
taking shifts?
A. No.

24 Q. Your office manager said --
25 A. She, in fact, said I was a phenomenal
clinician, it seems to me.
Q. She did. Your office manager testified
that this was her first experience with having the
DEA have a role in office practices. Do you recall that?
A. Yeah. Thanks to you.
Q. Pharmacist Jeremy Otteson said your
practice was the first time that he ever refused to
fill a prescription for a physician.
A. Okay.
Q. You have to answer yes or no. Do you
recall that testimony?
A. Do I recall that testimony?
Q. Yeah.
A. Yes.
Q. He also testified that your clinic was the
first time that he ever had seen that many
out-of-town patients flood to an urgent care practice. Do you recall that?
A. No.
Q. Pharmacist Bob Gardipee testified your
clinic was the first instance where he had a sitdown
meeting with a doctor and the DEA to sort out the doctor's prescribing practices.
25 A. Well, there is a lot of firsts here.

1 Q. Yeah, there are. DEA Agent Tuss said that
yours was the first incident where she had to have a
conversation of that same sort.
A. What sort? I'm not sure what you're
saying.
Q. Going back to my previous question. There
was a triumvirate between you, the DEA, and the pharmacist?
A. A triumvirate?
Q. Would you like another term?
A. I just don't know what you mean.
Q. There was a three-way meeting between you,
the DEA, and the pharmacist to figure out how to --
A. And the office manager was there too, so I guess it was a...
Q. -- to how to figure out how to improve
your prescribing practices. That was a first for the DEA as well.
A. It was about improving my prescribing practices?
Q. What was it about?
A. It was about resolving the conflict
between myself and Mr. Gardipee and getting prescriptions for my patients who needed them. Q. Isn't it just true that you don't believe
the laws apply to you?
A. No.
Q. No, they don't apply, or no, you don't
believe that?
A. It's not true. You asked me is it true.

I said no.
Q. But the law requires you to prescribe in
the ordinary course of a legitimate medical practice, doesn't it?
A. The law requires me to prescribe in the legitimate...
Q. In the course of a legitimate medical practice.
A. Yeah. I think I have a legitimate medical practice, yes.
Q. But, yet, all of those people are trying
to intervene to redirect your practice.
MR. DOUBEK: Objection,
mischaracterization of the testimony of several witnesses.

THE WITNESS: I called the -HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.

## A. I called the meeting.

MR. DOUBEK: Mark, wait. He sustained
that objection.

Page 921
1 Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So do you recall that
Montana's medical marijuana law applies to you?
A. Are you asking me am I a medical marijuana patient?
Q. No. I'm asking whether or not you believe
the restrictions on offering medical marijuana to
Montana patients applies to you and your practice.
A. I've actually prescribed many medical
marijuana prescriptions and they've all been
approved by the State.
Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you --
A. You have a complex question and I'm trying
to answer it the best I can.
Q. Do you feel as though your medical
marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law?
A. Yes.

18 Q. All right. And Montana law requires
19 objective evidence of some source of chronic pain
20 before you can offer that medical marijuana, right?
21 A. No.
22 Q. You don't think that that's true?
23 A. No.
24 Q. So Montana law does not require MRI, CT,
25 x-ray or some other similar objective --

1 A. It requires previous records.
Q. Okay. And we could find those in the charts of the nine?
A. Yes.
Q. And in the event that there is not
objective evidence, two physicians have to sign;
isn't that correct?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, isn't it also the case that

Montana law disallows any medical marijuana recommendation for greater than one year?
A. There is a question on the medical
marijuana form, 'For what period of time is the
patient going to need medical marijuana (not to
exceed one year)?"
Q. That's exactly it. And do your
prescriptions exceed one year?
A. No.
Q. Isn't it true that every single one of
them says lifetime?
A. That's how long they're going to need it.

But they're going to, they get a prescription card
every year.
Q. But it says "not to exceed one year" and
in the face of that you write lifetime every single
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time, don't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And so there is no point in me even
bothering to go through it because --
A. You don't have to.
Q. -- it says one year, you say lifetime?
A. No. It says not to exceed one year. What
period of time does this patient perhaps need
medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's
a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down.
Q. Always?
A. Every one.
Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning,
regardless of their hope for --
A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana.
Q. No.
A. No. They only have it for a year and then
they can re-up if they want to. There is no need to worry about that.
Q. Do you recall writing a letter to the
editor to some publication called Emergency Medicine
News?
A. Nope.
Q. Did you in September of 2014?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. I'll hand you this. I'm going to mark it
as department's exhibit, I think we're at 30.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thirty.
6 MR. FANNING: Thank you.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) This is just one page of

8 it.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you subscribe to Emergency Medicine

News?
A. It says Life In Emergistan.
Q. Yes, it does. Read the letters to the
editor, please. Does your name appear anywhere in
there?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you write that letter?
A. Let me see. I think I did.
Q. So now you remember it?
A. I do.
Q. Can I have that back, please?
A. I would like to read it the rest of the
way.
Q. Certainly.

25 A. In fact it might just make it easier so I
can refer to it. Do you mind if $I$ take a picture of it?
Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy
of it but I don't.
MR. DOUBEK: That's fine.
A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter
to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency
Medicine News was from you. That is your --
A. I think so.

MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want.

MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you -- I don't
know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you
read the part that's in the second column that
begins, "The patient must titrate"?
A. Yes.
Q. Go ahead and read it out loud, please.

3 A. 'The patient must titrate his intake,
24 which he does without guidance from or dependence on
25 any physician. No visits, pill counts, groveling
for more, being discharged, abandoned if he uses too much. These patients are empowered and independent, which is exactly what we say we want for our patients. They do not come in begging for more or they don't come in at all."
Q. So does that accurately reflect your
philosophy about pill counts? Is that a form of groveling?
A. No. What that refers to is the fact that patients on medical marijuana only have to come in once a year. They don't have to have a pill count because they're not on any pills. It's more convenient for the patient to come in once a year for their medical marijuana card than it is to have to go through the various things that happen when you do the pharmacy crawl, you have to pee in a cup, you have to beg for pills, you have to possibly get abandoned, or your doctor has the risk of losing his prescribing privileges and move on to someone else. All of those things are at risk for someone who is on an opioid and they're not at risk for someone who is on a medical marijuana card.
Q. But isn't it true that you have an
obligation as a physician to continue to monitor those patients for whom you offer medical marijuana?
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You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you?
A. Well, they come back next year for another card.
Q. So for one year they are on their own to
use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever
frequency that they choose?
A. That's right.
Q. But under the law you're required to
monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct?
A. Well, every patient I write a medical
marijuana card for I invite them to come back if
they have a problem and they don't seem to do that.
Q. And you believe that that satisfies the
laws' demand that you monitor the patient?
A. I do.
Q. Were any of your patients suspected of
fraudulently obtaining dangerous drugs?
A. Yes.
Q. A number of them, weren't they?
A. Suspected, yes.

25 Q. And that could have been discerned had you
looked at the MPDR records and seen multiple providers, true?
A. False.
Q. Okay. You don't believe the fact that
there are multiple providers is indicative of doctor shopping?
A. Do you want to talk about the specifics of all these patients?
Q. Not especially. I'm just talking about a
generality. The MPDR --
A. I use the MPDR.
Q. And if there are multiple prescribers
simultaneously with you, would that make you hesitant and say hey, I cannot prescribe any more because you are violating our oral contract?
A. It depends on if they've told me about that person or if I referred them to that person. It depends on a lot of different scenarios. If the scenario you're providing is I'm seeing another doctor hoping to, you not notice I'm seeing this other doctor and obtaining these other medications then yes, the answer would be that would be a red flag for me.
Q. And sometimes did you redirect the course of your care based on that analysis of the MPDR?
A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those?
A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that
there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills.
A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of reasons to have an early refill.
Q. But did you ever on any instance see that
there was an early refill and say I'm not going to
prescribe for you now?
3 A. Yes.
24 Q. And what percentage do you suppose that
25 happened?

1 A. I don't know.
Q. Less than 1 percent?
A. There is only nine patients we're talking
about. Less than 1 percent would be like somebody's toe.
Q. But we're talking about hundreds of prescriptions, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, did you ever refuse to continue to
care for a patient or refuse to prescribe for a patient --
A. I would never refuse to care for a patient.
Q. Even if they're obviously doctor shopping?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I want to make sure I understand
that. Even if they're obviously doctor shopping,
you are still going to prescribe for them?
A. No. You asked me if I would care for them.
Q. Are you still going to prescribe for them?
A. No.
Q. Now, it's a fact that one of your patients
ended up being prosecuted recently for fraudulently obtaining, right?

## A. I don't know that.

Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm
going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31.
MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that?
MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar?
(Sidebar discussion.)
MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up
yesterday but I didn't want to because it would
give away the name. So I'm not going to say
that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name.

MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed.

MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these people, and that's the only thing I want to do.

MR. DOUBEK: He hasn't seen that or anything of the kind, so I don't know how you can ask him about it.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, he may not know.

MR. DOUBEK: Okay.
(Sidebar discussion ended.)
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Dr. Ibsen, I'm going to
give you this document that we've marked as
Exhibit 31. And you don't have to announce the
individual's name. But in the top of the first page
there there is a patient, there is a name, State of
Montana versus, right?
A. Okay. Yeah.
Q. Is that individual who is the defendant in
that criminal case a patient, or former patient of yours?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was that person charged with?
A. Let's see what it says here. Fraudulently
obtaining dangerous drugs (common scheme) a felony.
Q. Now flip to the next page and there is
typically a list of witnesses on an information.
Are you listed as a witness in that?
A. I am.
Q. In fact, there is a whole bunch of doctors
listed as witnesses, aren't there?
A. There is, and there is some midlevels
there too that are called doctor.
Q. Yeah, and probably mislabeled. But,
nevertheless, a number of prescribers and you're one
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of them, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
A. That's it for this?
Q. Well, no. In fairness, flip one more page.
A. Thank you.
Q. One more page. I just want to indicate
the outcome of that case, and I don't want to
misrepresent it. There was a deferred prosecution
agreement that at least for the time being has
resolved that, right?
A. I don't know. This the first I've seen this.

MR. DOUBEK: It's a legal document and so forth.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) That's fine. I don't
want to put you on the spot, but I didn't want to
suggest that this had a different outcome than it actually did.
A. So was it a good outcome? I don't know
what that actually means.
MR. DOUBEK: Mark, it doesn't matter.
MR. FANNING: And I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 31 and cite Rule 202
because the Hearing Examiner can take judicial notice of this pleading.

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, no link to any issue in this proceeding.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm going to let it in. I don't know what weight it has, but we'll let it in.

MR. DOUBEK: That's fine.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You testified, or rather

I should say Alicia Tuss testified that in her
discussion with you you announced that there were a
number of red flags that would alert you to a
patient that deserved attention to make sure that
they didn't divert or overuse, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Would we ever find any of those red flags
noted in your charts?
A. Maybe.
Q. That's not something you thought was worth documenting?
A. It was pretty clear where people who were from Great Falls or Florence came to see me because of their inability to obtain their pain medication from Dr. Christensen's office. I made it very clear about each one of those in the documents. So I'm
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not quite sure what you're talking about. In fact,
I called the DEA as soon as I noticed there were a couple family members that were coming to me and I said, 'Here is a couple that are actually following the red flag warnings that you've given me." And I told them about them in April, that there is a
family coming to see me, they all were seeing
Dr. Christensen and now they're seeing me. This
sort of concerns me for the possibility that these
people could be diverting, you might want to look into it and that's what I said to them.
Q. Was there more than one family?
A. There was some intertwining going on, so I don't know if it's one family or two.
Q. But that's certainly unusual in your
experience to have a whole family with that kind of intractable pain?
A. We've already documented there is a lot of firsts.
Q. So did you think it was a red flag or
didn't you?
A. Yeah. I talked to the DEA about it
immediately.
Q. And what about the original nine? Were
there any red flags among the nine that you would
have charted?
A. Well, the fact that they come through my door is a red flag. The fact that they're doctor shopping when they see me is a red flag, so they're all red flags. They've been cut loose by some other physician, they're on high doses of opiates for chronic pain issues, and they're coming to an urgent care. That's a red flag.
Q. Are you willing to work with Michael

Ramirez and the Montana Professional Assistance
Program, or do you just have such a dislike or distaste or distrust for them that that could never be effective?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection, irrelevant and beyond the scope of any question he ought to be posing to this witness at this time. It's a have you stopped beating your wife kind of question.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, why don't you take that one step at a time.
Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You worked with

Mr. Ramirez for a year or maybe a little bit over a year if everything was added up?
A. I worked with Mr. Ramirez would be
probably -- there probably would be other ways to
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characterize it more effectively than that.
Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year?

MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again,
a continuing objection to all questions about this.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection.

MR. DOUBEK: Thanks.
10 Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP
contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly?
I'm not sure how long.
A. Sure.
Q. And when you went to a particular clinic
for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and
Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he
agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a
different clinic, right?
A. No.

20 Q. But you did, in fact, get a second
21 evaluation at a different clinic?
22 A. Well, you asked several things in that
23 sentence. You said I didn't approve of it.
24 Q. Okay. Why didn't you follow the
25 recommendations of the first clinic?

1 A. I went to the Menninger Clinic at the behest of my partners at the emergency department at St. Peter's Hospital. They got the idea that I was abusing a substance. They got an idea that I was impaired. In order to save my job, I had to go to the Menninger Clinic. They evaluated me there after five days and I think it was a $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}$ fee.

They came up with a diagnosis of narcissistic personality disorder. I said, 'Okay, great. Send me back to work with all the other narcissists." I asked them to document any harm to any patient and there wasn't any. And they said, "Okay. Wait just one second. We have a ten-week inpatient treatment program for you at a thousand dollars a day." At that point I balked.
Q. A thousand dollars a day?
A. (Nods head.)
Q. Okay.
A. So it was $\$ 70,000$ for me to do an inpatient treatment program at a facility like theirs. It seemed like I could hear cha-ching, cha-ching going on in the background.
Q. So you thought that their professional opinion was just driven by money?
A. No. I thought it was driven by malice.

1 Q. All right.
A. They were being used by the people that
were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department.
Q. All right. So --
A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought
it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical
one and I later settled with that group.
Q. But then for one reason or another you got
a second evaluation within a couple of months?
A. For one reason or another?
Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell
me why.
A. (Nods head.)
Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get
a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you?
A. Right.
Q. That was about May of 2007?
A. Yep. It was actually before the ten weeks would have gone by.
Q. So was that second evaluation adopted in
and applied as part of your MPAP contract with
Mr. Ramirez?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you follow the expectations of the MPAP contract?
A. Yes. It called for me to do things that I didn't think were applicable to me. My counsel counseled me to sign the agreement anyway. I thought it was a parallel to sending me to the gulag and I didn't like it, and I wanted to keep my job. It turns out that the job was gone anyway.
Q. But at the end of one year, Mr. Ramirez
agreed to release you from that contract and you're
free to practice without any restrictions either
from the Board or from MPAP, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So did you have the ability now to work
cooperatively and protectively with MPAP or is that something that's a bridge that you burned, and you just can't find it within yourself to do it again?
A. Well, it's -- fortunately I live in the now and now I'm not being offered an MPAP agreement or contract, and I really can't predict how I might feel in the future. I can't care for Mr. Ramirez one bit, but I don't know what I'll do if that's offered to me.

MR. FANNING: I have no further questions, Mr. Scrimm.

Page 941
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Redirect?
MR. DOUBEK: No. No questions.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have none.
Thank you, Doctor.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses?

MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at
this time, or I guess any other time.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time.

MR. DOUBEK: Thanks.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record.

MR. FANNING: Rebuttal?
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir.
I didn't see that expression on your face so I
thought we were done.
MR. FANNING: I didn't know where Mr.
Doubek was. Probably ten minutes at the most.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay.
(Off the record briefly.)
(Witness sworn.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. JEAN-PIERRE PUJOL BY MR. FANNING:
Q. Would you state your name and spell it for
the assistance of the court reporter, please?
A. Jean-Pierre Pujol. J-e-a-n-P-i-e-r-r-e

P-u-j-o-l. J.P. works.
Q. You are a physician?
A. I am.
Q. Licensed in good standing in Montana?
A. Yes.
Q. Formerly affiliated with Urgent Care Plus?
A. Yes.
Q. When was that?
A. I'm not certain when I first started
working with Mark. It's been a while. Three years maybe, four, somewhere around there. Up until this summer, July.
Q. July of '14?
A. Yes. Somewhere in that ballpark.
Q. What was the nature of your work at Urgent

Care Plus? What do you view an urgent care clinic to offer?
A. I did just what it says, urgent care. I
took care of acute illnesses, injuries, that was
what I did. If you had a cold, pneumonia, or chest
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pain, whatever, that's what I did.
Q. Was it common for you at that facility to
have a long-term physician-patient relationship or primary care relationship?
A. When -- no. When I -- especially when I
started working part time with Mark, definitely not.
When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what
was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who
tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it.
That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent
care. That's what I do.
Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to
have a primary care relationship?
A. No.
Q. It's just not something that you preferred
to do?
A. It's personally -- I did not prefer to do
that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I
didn't really want to do primary care. That was a personal choice.
Q. Did you have any chronic pain patients at

Urgent Care Plus?
A. Me personally?
Q. Yes.

5 A. No.
Q. Were you like a contract employee or
contractor or an employee?
A. I don't really know the true business. I
worked there, you know, I was paid. And I don't
know that I was officially a contract employee. I
was I think for a while because the way I was paid
is more of a check without the taxes taken out.
Then I became an employee where I actually was paid that way.
Q. Was Dr. Ibsen then your supervisor or some
sort of superior in the hierarchy?
A. I don't know if he looked at it that way
but I guess technically, yes.
Q. Did you have patients in common with

Dr. Ibsen?
A. Well, yes.
Q. How about pain patients?
A. Well, there were pain patients who would
come to -- sometimes when Dr. Ibsen was not there
that I was asked to manage. Mark and I had an understanding that that was not my job and I would generally not take care of those patients. But I can't say that they didn't come in. They did come in on occasions.
Q. On occasions did someone come in in
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distress and say I absolutely need to be seen?
A. That -- I'm not sure how to answer that.

One person's perception of reasons being seen versus
maybe what my perception of them may not make sense.
Q. Let's talk about the patients stated.
A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state -- are we talking about the pain patients?
Q. Yes.
A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day.
Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day?
A. Usually if Mark was there because -- and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak about when I was there. Because it was pretty clear to the staff and most of the patients that if I was working, then I wasn't going to be managing the pain patients. It was not something I felt comfortable doing or wanted to do.
Q. If you were going to see a patient and
prescribe an opioid, were you able to take any
precautions to assure that it was legitimate?
A. To the best of my knowledge, I tried to, yes. I would check the Prescription Drug Registry, look at the old chart, try to get a feel for the person. And after doing this for 30 -some years, you kind of get a little bit of a radar sensation or Gestalt.
Q. Would you calculate when a prescription should be due?
A. If you mean did I go to the Prescription Drug Registry and see how many they had taken or been given before.
Q. Exactly.
A. I did that with any patient, almost any patient that I was giving narcotics to. Even if it was somebody that came in for their broken bone or a laceration, that was just kind of my routine. Q. If it appeared as though they were coming in early and they should have medications remaining, what would you do?
A. I'd usually calculate how many I thought they should have, how many they should have left and then say, "Hey," point to what I see, ''this is how many of this drug you should have left and if you don't, you know, I'm sorry, but I'm not going to
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give them to you."
Q. In other words, you'd refuse early
refills?
A. 90 -- you know, nothing is $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ percent
absolutely. But the vast majority, 90 -plus percent of the time I would do that.
Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic
among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients?
A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else.
Q. At periodic office meetings did you
discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication?
A. You know, I was actually reading that
in -- I don't know if it was in the subpoena to me or in one of the notes I was reading about that -and I honestly -- I didn't attend all of those meetings. I've attended, you know, maybe half a dozen, I don't know, and I know it came up occasionally. It wasn't -- in my opinion I don't
know that I would say it was all the time. I
wouldn't say it was every meeting. But it definitely did come up.
4 Q. Did you have occasion from time to time to visit with Dr. Ibsen about this disciplinary action?
A. Yes.
Q. Once or twice or many times?
A. I don't know. More than a few, let's put
it that way. I'd say, yeah, a thousand plus, you know.
Q. Did Dr. Ibsen indicate to you that he was
being mistreated by the Board of Medical Examiners or me as an individual?
A. Boy, I don't know that he viewed you as an individual. I do think he thought the Board of Medical Examiners was being unfair, yes.
Q. Did he express why that was?
A. I think it was because he -- well, I hate
to say he when he's sitting right here. It's really
hard for me not to address Mark. Mark believes, you know, he's providing a service that very few in the medical community are willing to provide, and so he thinks that what the Board was coming after him for was unfair, yes.

I don't know if that answers your
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questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons.
Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague
and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action?
A. Yes. Yeah.
Q. What did you indicate?
A. Well, I suggested that Mark -- and he
knows this -- that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, sometimes you just have to pull back and, you know, go through the hoops. That was my take on it.
Q. As his colleague and friend, did you come to know him and his personality?
A. You know, we were friends in the sense

25 that we've known each other since Kalispell. He and

1
2
A. He listened, you know, he did. I do
believe -- we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right.
Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer?
A. I did.
Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that?
A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't want -- yeah. I was nervous about it. Also, I wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so...
Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying --
A. Yes.
Q. -- visits?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did that affect you?
A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like
being scrutinized. I like my license, I like practicing, or I did like practicing. And having the DEA in the door, yeah, it put the fear in me. Fear of God. Fear of the DEA, not for God. Q. So were you fearful of your standing in the community, in the licensed medical community?
A. I was -- yeah, I was a little afraid of
what, you know, what -- yeah, you know, what the rest of the community might think.
Q. Were you afraid that an association with
that clinic may result in some sort of spillover to your license?
A. That's a good word. I was looking for a
word to find and spillover is a good word. Yes, I
was a little concerned about that.
Q. So did that drive your decision to leave?
A. It definitely influenced it greatly. It
wasn't the only factor but it was a factor.
Q. Now, just the last series of questions.

You didn't have a lot of common patients but did you
have occasion to review Dr. Ibsen's charting?
A. I did.
Q. And are you familiar with his attention to
detail and his completeness?
A. Yes.
Q. Describe your observations about

Dr. Ibsen's charting.
A. Before or after the electronic medical
records?
Q. Let's say before.
A. Before, I don't think they were -- in some
cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision.
Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care?
A. Oh, that -- I don't know how to phrase
this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase
that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30
years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we
become more and more litigious, we're trying to be
less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly --I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more.
Q. Was your charting more complete than

Dr. Ibsen's?
A. I would like to think so most time. But on the -- I know you probably don't want to hear this, but I am going to put the but in there because I think this is important. I used to work with a gentleman, Dr. Book, and his notes were incredible. You knew exactly what he was thinking and where he was going and, literally, it would sometimes be two pages long. Compared to his notes, my notes weren't
there. I was lucky to get a page. My page compared to Mark's, yeah, I think I have more documentation than Mark did.

So when you ask me standard of care, I don't know. Dr. Book's I think would be the, I don't want to say the gold standard but what people should aspire to and mine may be more adequate.
Q. Should people aspire to the level of
documentation that Dr. Ibsen used?
A. Aspire to it, no. I would have to say no.
Q. Would you be here had I not subpoenaed you?
A. Probably not.

MR. FANNING: No further questions.
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. JEAN-PIERRE PUJOL, M.D. BY MR. DOUBEK:
Q. Let me ask you, do you consider Mark a good doctor?
A. I think he's a good doctor, yeah.
Q. Do you think he is a caring doctor?
A. I think he is a caring doctor.
Q. Hard-working?
A. Hard-working.
Q. And honest?
A. Yes, honest, yeah.
Q. And you and he have a good relationship?
A. I think so.
Q. He likes you a lot.
A. Well, I think we have a mutual like.

MR. DOUBEK: No other questions.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else?
MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you,
Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen?
MR. DOUBEK: No.
MR. FANNING: I don't believe so,
Mr. Scrimm.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to
suggest that -- I would like to talk about some
scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing
schedule sometime next week.
MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should
be available. I just wondered if we needed to consider recalling Dr. Kneeland before we commit to a briefing schedule.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: No.
MR. DOUBEK: Okay. Let's try to shoot for
Monday or whatever.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Monday is
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| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | terrible next week. But I'll have Sandy Duncan | 1 |
| 2 | get ahold of you and we'll work something out | 2 |
| 3 | to figure out briefing schedule and details of | 3 |
| 4 | that briefing. | 4 |
| 5 | Are you each satisfied that you have moved | 5 |
| 6 | the exhibits that you wanted to move? And I'm | 6 |
| 7 | sorry, I have a list from our last in October | 7 |
| 8 | and unfortunately I have misplaced it -- it's | 8 |
| 9 | probably buried on my desk upstairs -- of the | 9 |
| 10 | exhibits that appear to have been discussed but | 10 |
| 11 | not moved. | 11 |
| 12 | MR. FANNING: And I'm grateful for the | 12 |
| 13 | opportunity. I moved Exhibit 21 and I'd like | 13 |
| 14 | to renew that. I moved Exhibit 24 and I'd like | 14 |
| 15 | to renew that. I'm going to withdraw 25. And | 15 |
| 16 | what else was left? And renew 26. | 16 |
| 17 | MR. DOUBEK: We would object for the same | 17 |
| 18 | reasons. | 18 |
| 19 | HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I thought we | 19 |
| 20 | dealt with 26. 21 and 24 are admitted. 24 is | 20 |
| 21 | not admitted. Let me correct that. I was | 21 |
| 22 | looking at 25 when I said that. What was the | 22 |
| 23 | other one, Mr. Fanning? |  |
| 24 | MR. FANNING: I withdrew 25 and renewed | 23 |
| 25 | 26. | 24 |
|  |  | 25 |

MR. DOUBEK: Which one was 26 ?
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe 26 was admitted.

MR. DOUBEK: Which one was that, Mike?
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: It's the notice
posted in the doctor's office about --
MR. DOUBEK: I think it was admitted.
MR. FANNING: Thank you, gentleman.
MR. DOUBEK: And I wouldn't object to it anyhow.

HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I will
have Sandy Duncan get ahold of you about a
briefing schedule conference.
MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much.
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels.
(The hearing was concluded at
4:55 p.m.)
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| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 676: 22 \\ \text { abuses (2) } \\ 815: 5 ; 817 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 948:5;949:12;951:7 } \\ & \text { active (3) } \\ & 814: 20 ; 842: 18 ; 894: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 761:14;765:4;846:10; } \\ & \text { 849:13;897:1;948:20 } \\ & \text { addressed (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 650:9;820:10;908:5 } \\ & \text { advised (2) } \\ & 718: 3 ; 891: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,000 (1) | abusing (1) | actively (3) | 720:18;761:15 | advocating (1) |
| 938:7 | 938:4 | $703: 2 ; 706: 13 ; 727: 5$ | 836:4;844:21 | $661: 14$ |
| $\$ 70(1)$ $833 \cdot 17$ | academic (1) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \text { activities (2) } \\ 695: 5 ; 696: \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { addressing (1) } \\ 714: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { affairs (1) } \\ 719: 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 833: 17 \\ \mathbf{\$ 7 0 , 0 0 0}(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ | Academy (1) | activity (1) | adduce (1) | affect (7) |
| 938:19 | $\begin{gathered} 737: 3 \\ \text { accept (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 662: 13 \\ \text { actual (2) } \end{array}$ | $756: 12$ <br> adequate | $\begin{aligned} & 665: 17 ; 686: 22,24 ; \\ & 694 \cdot 5 \cdot 708 \cdot 0 \cdot 844 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| A | 666:17;673:6;760:11 <br> access (24) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 658:4;895:2 } \\ \text { actually (46) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 761: 4 ; 795: 5,14,16 ; \\ & 954: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 951: 18 \\ \text { affected (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | :19;685:1 |  | 878:15 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { abandoned (2) } \\ 926: 1.18 \end{gathered}$ | $731: 8,11,20,22 ; 739: 7$ | 692:11;696:21;702:1; | 715:5;813:20 | $878: 15$ affidavit (1) |
| abbreviated (1) | 7;771:2;773:12,15,17, | 705:5;713:9;774:17; | ADHD (2) | 880:21 |
| $838: 12$ | 21;774:9;775:23; | 816:3;818:8,8;822:18; | 690:3,5 | affiliated (2) |
| ABD (1) | 785:18;786:16,19; | 826:5,21;832:3; | adjudication (1) | 735:21;942:11 |
| 845:5 | 787:15;792:21;801:15; | 844:17;847:22;855:2, | 908:21 | affirm (1) |
| abdomen (5) | 807:21 | 4;860:17;864:20; | adjunct (3) | 895:24 |
| $845: 5 ; 847: 16,19$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { accessible (2) } \\ 668: 4.5 \end{array}$ | 865:8;868:6;874:13; | 648:22;680:22; | afford (1) |
| 869:4,7 <br> abdomina | accommodating (1) | 891:25;892:13;895:24; | adjust (1) | Afghanistan (1) |
| 812:12;835:18; | 852:5 | 896:2;897:17,18; | 852:20 | 818:12 |
| 844:18;845:3;848:18; | accomplished (2) | 904:17;911:17;912:21; | adjusted (1) | afraid (3) |
| 853:14 | according (6) | 913:5;916:24;921:87. | adjustment (2) | 878:9;952 |
| aberrant (2) | according (6) 867:4;870:4;872:9; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 933:20,22;935:4; } \\ & \text { 939:20;943:7;944:8; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { adjustment (2) } \\ 864: 21,23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { afternoon (3) } \\ & 880: 12 ; 882: 13,14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $698: 17,21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 867: 4 ; 870: 4 ; 872: 9 \\ & 873: 14 ; 911: 6 ; 913: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 939: 20 ; 943: 7 ; 944: 8 ; \\ & 947: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 864:21,23 } \\ \text { adjustments (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 880:12;882:13,14 again (41) |
| 673:4 | account (3) | acupuncture (1) | 758:21 | 657:8,12;669: |
| ability (7) | 810:24;883:12;887:3 | 729:4 | Administration (3) | 673:14;685:20;692:2; |
| 665:16;674:8;692:9; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { accountability (1) } \\ & 656: 16 \end{aligned}$ | acute (27) <br> 650:25;653.13. | 664:9;666:2;807:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 696:11;703:19,25; } \\ & 748: 1 ; 755: 15 ; 761: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 728: 3 ; 847: 22 ; 852: 15 \\ & 940: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 656:16 } \\ & \text { accountable (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 650:25;653:13; } \\ & \text { 661:20;671:12,14,22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { administrative (1) } \\ 732: 5 \end{array}$ | 748:1;755:15;761:18, $18,22 ; 763: 12 ; 765: 22$ |
| able (29) | 682:3,8 | 25;672:3,14,17;721:4; | admission (3) | 771:2;774:19;776:1; |
| 666:21;695:6 | accreditation (1) | 754:2;767:7;809:17; | 910:17;925:12 | 780:7;783:12;784:13; |
| 725:20;730:21;737:22, | 661:14 | 836:8;849:23;855:13; | 933:25 | 786:6;788:3;789:12; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23 ; 753: 4 ; 759: 25 \\ & 760: 22 ; 764: 7,15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { accurate }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 816: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 866:18;867:21;868:1, } \\ & 15 ; 869: 3 ; 870: 17 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { admit (2) } \\ 880: 16 ; 887: 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 792:14,20;793:9,13,16; } \\ & 799: 19 ; 800: 7 ; 804: 18 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 809:10;820:4;824:16; | accurately (1) | 872:7;877:19;929:11; | admits (1) | 842:7;854:8;859:12; |
| 826:7;850:14,16; | 926:6 | 942:24 | 911:3 | 860:8;901:12;937:4; |
| 857:16;860:15,16,16, | accused (1) | acutely (2) | admitted (11) | 940:17:951:19 |
| 19;865:16;866:12; | 17: | 805:10;857: | 747:17;778:1;8 | against (11) |
| 867:15;873:22;886:25; | acetaminophen (6) $691: 17,19 ; 827: 24$ | add (2) <br> 858•11•949. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 749: 14 ; 830: 1 ; \\ & 832 \cdot 21 \cdot 864 \cdot 8 \cdot 891 \cdot 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 892: 24 ; 945: 25 \\ & \text { abnormal (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $828: 2,9 ; 858: 1$ | added (1) | admitting (2) | $19 ; 892: 15,19 ; 893: 3$ |
| 842:24;843:9;844:8; | achieve (1) | 936:23 | 891:20,22 | 895:1;902:25 |
| 898:17 | 763:19 | addicted (6) | admonition (1) | agencies (2) |
| above (2) | achieved (1) | 663:11;909:22,25 911:4,16.915.10 | 647:2 | 656:18;661:14 |
| 705:9;871:16 | 705:25 acknowledge (2) | 911:4,16;915:10 <br> addiction (11) | adopt (1) <br> 709:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { agency (1) } \\ 664: 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| abscess (2) 690:10,14 | acknowledge (2) $674: 3 ; 825: 7$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { addiction (11) } \\ 754: 17 ; 791: 17,22 ; \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 709: 15 \\ \text { adopted (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 664:9 } \\ \text { agenda (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| absence (4) | acknowledged (1) | 910:2,3,7,12,18; | 939:22 | 907:2 |
| 690:24;691:9 | 684:16 | 911:21;914:21,25 | adopting (1) | agent (12) |
| 695:11;701:24 | acronym (2) | addictions (1) | 731:25 | 680:11;6 |
| Absolutely (10) | 664:7;679:11 | 910:5 Addis (4) | adult (1) | $817: 12,12,14 ; 818: 7,7$, $7 \cdot 893 \cdot 7 \cdot 0 \cdot 894 \cdot 2 \cdot 919 \cdot 1$ |
| 657:15,25;681:8; | act (3) <br> 737:16;747:2;784:2 | Addis (4) <br> 817:12;818:7,7; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 833:11 } \\ \text { adverse (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7;893:7,9;894:2;919:1 } \\ & \text { agents ( } \mathbf{2} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 682: 1 ; 693: 21 ; 769: 2 \\ & 771: 12 ; 784: 7 ; 945: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 737:16;747:2;784:2 acting (9) | $\begin{aligned} & 817: 12 ; 818: 7,7 ; \\ & 894: 2 \end{aligned}$ | adverse (1) $707: 10$ | agents (2) 818:5;894:3 |
| 947:5 | 676:25;677:6,8,9,11, | additional (2) | advice (2) | aggressively (2) |
| abuse (6) | 12,12;727:18;902:2 | 741:21;885:12 | 820:9;884:12 | 715:9;729:22 |
| 649:7;664:8,11; | action (9) | address (12) | advisable (2) | agitated (1) |
| 717:18;807:2;828:7 | 678:21;759:4;762:1; | 668:11;674:8;718:6; | $711: 14,25$ | 840:3 |
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[^0]:    1 A. Of 2011. The problem list included
    2 sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana.
    3 Q. So following that, did you see any
    medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses?
    A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated with -well, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective.
    Q. We talked a little bit about a patient
    with falls. Is this that patient?
    A. It is.

    20 Q. Can opioids contribute to falls?
    21 A. Absolutely. Sure.
    22 Q. Are falls a medical hazard --
    23 A. They are.
    24 Q. -- or is it just an inconvenience?
    25 A. Well, no. They can be very dangerous.

[^1]:    1 Q. So this is the plan part?
    2 A. This is the plan part.
    3 Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal
    4 pain?
    5 A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US,
    ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab.
    7 Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound
    machine on patients with pain?
    A. Yes. It turns out that this particular
    time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and
    he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to
    Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this
    hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't
    anything else besides hematoma, and then she was
    given a total of 20 Lortab.
    Q. So that's a Lortab what level?
    A. Hydrocone 5.
    Q. So that's a lower level for a short period
    of time?
    A. Uh-huh.

    25 Q. Was she on other medications at that time?

