BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS 1 2 STATE OF MONTANA 3 In the matter of Case No. 2013-MED-LIC-372 Regarding: 4 In the Matter of the Proposed) Case No. Discipline of 190-2014 5)) 6 MARK IBSEN, M.D.)) 7 Medical Doctor, License No. 7378. 8 9 TRANSCRIPT OF CONTESTED CASE HEARING VOLUME V 10 11 On the 4th day of December, 2014, 12 13 beginning at 8:30 a.m., a contested case hearing was heard at the Department of Labor and Industry, 14 15 1315 Lockey, Helena, Montana, before David Scrimm, Hearing Examiner, and Lisa R. Lesofski, Registered 16 17 Professional Reporter, Notary Public. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Maı	rk Ibsen, M.D.			December 04, 2014
		Page 644		Page 646
1	APPEARANCES:		1	The following testimony was taken:
2	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DR. IBSEN:		2	* * * * * * * * *
3	JOHN C. DOUBEK Attorney at Law		3	
4	Doublek, Pyfer & Fox 307 North Jackson		4	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Good morning,
5	Helena, Montana 59624		5	everyone. We'll go on the record at this time.
6				I won't get any lengthy details like we did
7	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF			last time.
8	MEDICAL EXAMINERS:		8	This is day four of the hearing involving
9	MICHAEL L. FANNING Special Assistant Attorney General			Dr. Ibsen in Case Number 2013-MED-LIC 372
10	Department of Labor & Industry 301 South Park			regarding the proposed disciplinary treatment
11	P.O. Box 200514 Helena, Montana 59624-0514			of the license of Dr. Mark Ibsen, M.D.
12			12	We are going backwards a little bit to
13			13	have Mr. Fanning call one of his witnesses.
14				Before we do that, I will note for the record
15				that Ms. Blank is your witness there is someone
16				that I have seen in a group of people from time
17				to time at the Montana City Grill. I don't
18				know that we've had ever any discussion of any
19				kind and certainly not about pharmacy or this
20			20	case or anything. So I just want to disclose
21			21	that for the record.
22			22	And then, Ms. Blank, I'll have you take a
23			23	seat up here. And before you sit down, I'll
24			24	swear you in.
25			25	MR. FANNING: I know you weren't going to
		Dogo 645		Page 647
1	INDEX	Page 645		Fage 047
2		Page:		go through your introductory remarks, but does
3	EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D.:	rage.		the Hearing Examiner's admonition about no
4	Direct by Mr. Fanning Voir Dire by Mr. Doubek	647 654		recording and photographs still apply except to
5	Direct Continued by Mr. Fanning Cross by Mr. Doubek	655 708	4	the press?
6	Redirect by Mr. Fanning Examination by Hearing Examiner Scrimm	721 725	5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Indeed. Indeed.
7	Further Examination by Mr. Fanning	730		If you have a cell phone on, please turn it off
8	EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON: Direct by Mr. Doubek	733		at this time, or any other recording devices
9	Voir Dire by Mr. Fanning	745 749		for that matter.
10	Direct Continued by Mr. Doubek Examination by Hearing Examiner Scrimm	751	9	(Witness sworn.)
	Direct Continued by Mr. Doubek Cross by Mr. Fanning Dediment by Mr. Deubek	753 773	10	
11 12	Redirect by Mr. Doubek Further by Hearing Examiner Scrimm	800 803		DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING:
13	EXAMINATION OF DR. MARK IBSEN:	804	12	
13 14	Direct Continued Mr. Doubek Cross by Mr. Fanning	804 882		Q. Good morning. Would you state your name
14 15	EXAMINATION OF DR. JEAN-PIERRE PUJOL:	040		for the record, please? A. Starla Blank.
15 16	Direct by Mr. Fanning Cross by Mr. Doubek	942 954		Q. What do you do for a living, Ms. Blank?
17				A. I'm a pharmacist.
18			18	
18 19			19	
			20	Q. Let's go through a little bit of your
20			20 21	
21 22			21 22	
22				A. I do. I have a bachelor of science in
23 24			23	
24 25				
25				or Province, and or it out tout to build

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 2014
Page 648	Page 650
1 University.	1 sponsored a bill that I helped craft that
2 Q. Do you hold any licenses?	2 sponsored a bin that i helped craft that2 strengthened the fraudulently obtaining dangerous
3 A. I do. I hold a Montana pharmacist	3 drug statute, and that is 45-9-104, I believe, and
4 license.	4 that did pass in the 2000 session as well.
5 Q. How long have you been licensed?	5 Q. After the MPDR passed, did you have a role
6 A. Twenty-six years. Twenty-eight. Oops.	6 in its implementation?
7 Q. Are you a member of any professional	7 A. I did. I was selected, I was appointed by
8 societies?	8 then Attorney General Bullock to a council that was
9 A. I am. I'm a member of the Montana	9 mandated by the legislation to advise the Board of
10 Pharmacy Association and a member of the American	10 Pharmacy on the Prescription Drug Registry, on
11 Society of Health-System Pharmacists.	11 implementation, rule writing, functionality, that
12 Q. Have you ever had any association with	12 sort of thing, and I ultimately was elected chair of
13 regulatory offices?	13 that committee, and I still hold that position.
14 A. I have. I was the executive director of	14 Q. Do you have any particular experience in
15 the Board of Pharmacy starting in July in 2006, and	15 pain management and treatment of chronic pain
16 I held that position until May of 2007.	16 patients?
17 Q. Have you ever served on the Board of	17 A. I have education in pain management. In
18 Pharmacy for the State of Montana?	18 the late '90s I attended a seminar at the University
19 A. I have. I was recently appointed to the	19 of Wisconsin, which is well known for being thought
20 Board of Pharmacy by Governor Bullock in July.	20 leaders in pain management, and I obtained a pain
21 Q. Have you ever taught pharmacy?	21 resource professional certification through the
22 A. I have. I'm an adjunct faculty at the	22 University of Wisconsin. And then, you know,
23 University of Montana; we have pharmacy students.	23 through that my employer at the time had sent me
24 I've also taught in a classroom setting at Rocky	24 to that and because of that I helped to implement
25 Mountain College to their physician assistant	25 pain protocols in the hospital, more in an acute
Page 649	Page 651
1 program.	1 care setting, not chronic pain protocols.
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of 	1 care setting, not chronic pain protocols.
1 program.	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? 4 A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. G. Have you taken any leadership roles in 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications.
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. J. It did not. Q. So 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management d anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management,
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that group led the charge for the Prescription Drug 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart failure. There are pharmacists in the state who are
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that group led the charge for the Prescription Drug Registry legislation in 2009. That was also an 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart failure. There are pharmacists in the state who are
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that group led the charge for the Prescription Drug Registry legislation in 2009. That was also an unsuccessful attempt at passing that legislation. 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart failure. There are pharmacists in the state who are pain management providers. Q. Can you describe your pharmacological
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that group led the charge for the Prescription Drug Registry legislation in 2009. That was also an unsuccessful attempt at passing that legislation. 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart failure. There are pharmacists in the state who are pain management providers. Can you describe your pharmacological training versus a medical doctor's, if you know?
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that group led the charge for the Prescription Drug Registry legislation in 2009. That was also an unsuccessful attempt at passing that legislation. Q. Ultimately that did pass? A. Ultimately it did pass in 2011. The 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management roles, such as hypertension, lipid management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart failure. There are pharmacists in the state who are pain management providers. Q. Can you describe your pharmacological training versus a medical doctor's, if you know? A. I don't know how much pharmacology or
 program. Q. What did you teach at the University of Montana Pharmacy School? A. We host pharmacy students for on-the-job training. Q. Have you taken any leadership roles in topics of narcotics abuse or diversion? A. I have. In my role as the executive director of the Board of Pharmacy I helped to champion the Prescription Drug Registry legislation. Q. When was that? A. That was in 2000 that was the 2007 session, I believe. Q. Did that pass then? A. It did not. Q. So A. And then I was also the president at the time of the Montana Pharmacy Association, and that group led the charge for the Prescription Drug Registry legislation in 2009. That was also an unsuccessful attempt at passing that legislation. 	 care setting, not chronic pain protocols. In my current role at St. Peter's, I sit with the multidisciplinary group at St. Peter's Medical Group and we review cases of chronic pain patients, and I am part of that group and make recommendations for pain management or tapering medications or changing medications. Q. Can you describe the role of a pharmacist in the medication management team? A. Sure. The pharmacists are part of the medication management team, especially in the setting where I work in in the hospital and clinic setting. Pharmacists are involved in the management of anticoagulation therapy, so that would be blood thinner, Coumadin or Warfarin therapy. Pharmacists are involved in other disease, take management, osteoporosis, asthma and COPD, congestive heart failure. There are pharmacists in the state who are pain management providers. Can you describe your pharmacological training versus a medical doctor's, if you know?

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 652		Page 654
1	do. The professional curriculum for a pharmacist	1	qualify Ms. Blank as an expert
	is, there is two years of prepharmacy, that's	2	in drug therapy management,
3	general. The professional curriculum is four years,	3	including pain management and
4	three of that is didactic, and that is all around	4	drug therapy for chronic
5	medications, pathophysiology and drug therapy	5	diseases.")
6	management. And then that last year is practical	6	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Just to be
7	experience in different pharmacy practice settings.	7	clear, we're not well, let me ask. You
8	Q. Have you ever previously been qualified as	8	don't write prescriptions or diagnose people
9	an expert?	9	and we're not going into that sort of
	A. I have not.	10	MR. FANNING: There would be, Mr. Scrimm,
	Q. Did we overlook anything on your CV?		a little bit of testimony on her review of
	A. Yes. I am a board certified		charts and when a particular symptom or
13	pharmacotherapy specialist. That is a certification	13	complaint is announced, in her professional
14	that is in post-graduate you have to apply to be	14	judgment certain medications would be called
15	considered to take a national exam. There are exams	15	for. And the question is whether or not they
16	in different specialties of pharmacy, for example,	16	were applied.
17	there is a nutrition, you can be certified in	17	MR. DOUBEK: May I ask one question then
18	nutrition, you can be certified in oncology, you can	18	just to clarify that?
19	be certified in ambulatory care. Mine is	19	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Yes.
20	pharmacotherapy, more of a general. And that is	20	HEARING EARININER SCRIMIN, 105.
	somewhat of a status. There are in Montana 64 BCPS		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D.
22	certified pharmacists.	22	BY MR. DOUBEK:
	Q. Out of how many pharmacists, do you have		Q. Ms. Blank, you're not qualified to discuss
	any idea?		the standard of care for a medical practice, are
	A. In the state of Montana licensed about,		you?
2.5	In the state of Montalia needsea about,	2.5	you.
	Page 653		Page 655
1		1	
	oh, 1,500, but that's a guess.		A. I am not.
2	oh, 1,500, but that's a guess.Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of	2	A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.
2 3	oh, 1,500, but that's a guess.Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just	2 3	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified
2 3 4	oh, 1,500, but that's a guess.Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy	2 3 4	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert.
2 3 4 5	oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays?	2 3 4 5	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified
2 3 4 5 6	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the 	2 3 4 5	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy management and drug 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of Dr. Ibsen's. And I reviewed the records from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy management and drug therapy for chronic diseases. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of Dr. Ibsen's. And I reviewed the records from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry for those nine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy management and drug therapy for chronic diseases. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any objection? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of Dr. Ibsen's. And I reviewed the records from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry for those nine patients. I also reviewed the records of patients
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy management, including pain management and drug therapy for chronic diseases. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any objection? MR. DOUBEK: It's your call. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of Dr. Ibsen's. And I reviewed the records from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry for those nine patients. I also reviewed the records of patients that were transferred from the care of one provider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy management and drug therapy for chronic diseases. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any objection? MR. DOUBEK: It's your call. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: All right. Can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of Dr. Ibsen's. And I reviewed the records from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry for those nine patients. I also reviewed the records of patients that were transferred from the care of one provider to Dr. Ibsen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 oh, 1,500, but that's a guess. Q. Now, there is sometimes an image of pharmacists as a person with a white coat who just counts pill. Is that the condition of the pharmacy practice nowadays? A. I hope not. And that kind of pill-counting role, that's what people see in the drugstores sometimes. Hopefully those pharmacists are coming out and speaking with patients and talking with patients. I have in the past worked as a community pharmacist. My current role that I've had for most of my professional career is in acute care and ambulatory care, and very much the pharmacists are involved in patient care, patient education, drug therapy management. MR. FANNING: I would move to qualify Ms. Blank as an expert in drug therapy management, including pain management and drug therapy for chronic diseases. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any objection? MR. DOUBEK: It's your call. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I am not. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: She is qualified as an expert. MR. FANNING: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. (Continued) Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, lest I forget from time to time. Can we assume that all of your opinions that you offer are based on a reasonable degree of pharmaceutical or scientific certainty? A. Yes. Q. What material did you review in preparation for your testimony? You're wincing. A. Yeah. I reviewed the original material that was provided that I believe was provided to the Board of Medical Examiners on nine patients of Dr. Ibsen's. And I reviewed the records from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry for those nine patients. I also reviewed the records of patients that were transferred from the care of one provider

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 2014
Page 656	Page 658
1 A. Yes. And then I reviewed the, rereviewed	1 Q. And you mentioned dosage. What would you
2 the original nine patient records with all the extra	2 consider in the dosage analysis?
3 content.	3 A. It varies by drug. And that it may be the
4 Q. With respect to Dr. Christensen's	4 actual total milligram dosage of the drug that's
5 patients, how much time did you spend on that	5 prescribed, especially for children, you would be
6 relative to the others?	6 looking at dose versus their, the dose of the drug
7 A. Very little.	7 versus their weight, and then it's all the frequency
8 Q. All right. Now, when a pharmacist	8 of how often the medication is prescribed to be
9 receives a prescription from a lawful provider, are	9 taken, so that maybe that is excessive.
10 certain laws applicable to your conduct?	10 Q. Now, specifically with pain medications,
11 A. Sure. There is yes, there are laws	11 is that what you're talking about?
12 around the practice of pharmacy. There are laws	12 A. Any medication.
13 regulating what a valid prescription is. There are	13 Q. Is it your obligation to determine whether
14 laws regulating how long the records must be kept.	14 or not that prescription is consistent with
15 There are many laws around, rules and laws around	15 legitimate medical care?
16 drug storage and accountability, inventories.	16 A. It is, and
17 Q. Sure. But that comes from both federal	17 Q. What does that mean to you?
18 and state agencies?	18 A. And the DEA specifically says that around
19 A. Correct.	19 controlled substances that pharmacists have a
20 Q. Is it part of your training and your	20 corresponding duty to make sure that a prescription
21 credentialing to be familiar with pharmaceutical	21 for a controlled substance is written for a
22 jurisprudence?	22 legitimate medical purpose.
23 A. Yes, it is.	23 Q. Can a pharmacist overrule a doctor or
24 Q. What is that?	24 other prescriber?
25 A. We have to in order to be licensed as a	25 A. A pharmacist can exercise their own
Page 657	Page 659
1 pharmacist in the state that you're practicing, you	1 judgment and not fill a prescription and
	Jang in a liter from from a
2 have to take a jurisprudence exam, which includes	2 Q. In fact, they're obligated to do that if
J I /	2 Q. In fact, they're obligated to do that if3 they think it's warranted, aren't they?
3 components of both federal law and the law of the	3 they think it's warranted, aren't they?
3 components of both federal law and the law of the4 state that you're practicing in.	
3 components of both federal law and the law of the	3 they think it's warranted, aren't they?4 A. Yes, they are.
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options?
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is.
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done?
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is.
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct?
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct.
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean?
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 19 that is a very important component of filling a 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean? 19 A. That means it's a closed distribution
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 19 that is a very important component of filling a 20 prescription is to review for drug interactions. 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean? 19 A. That means it's a closed distribution 20 system, where it's from the manufacturer to the
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 19 that is a very important component of filling a 20 prescription is to review for drug interactions. 21 Q. What is the harm for failing to do that? 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean? 19 A. That means it's a closed distribution 20 system, where it's from the manufacturer to the 21 pharmacy to the patient is all regulated and it is a
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 19 that is a very important component of filling a 20 prescription is to review for drug interactions. 21 Q. What is the harm for failing to do that? 22 A. Well, potential harm with interacting, two 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean? 19 A. That means it's a closed distribution 20 system, where it's from the manufacturer to the 21 pharmacy to the patient is all regulated and it is a 22 closed system.
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 19 that is a very important component of filling a 20 prescription is to review for drug interactions. 21 Q. What is the harm for failing to do that? 22 A. Well, potential harm with interacting, two 23 drugs interacting with each other. 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean? 19 A. That means it's a closed distribution 20 system, where it's from the manufacturer to the 21 pharmacy to the patient is all regulated and it is a 22 closed system. 23 Q. So it goes from point one to two to three?
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 19 that is a very important component of filling a 20 prescription is to review for drug interactions. 21 Q. What is the harm for failing to do that? 22 A. Well, potential harm with interacting, two 23 drugs interacting with each other. 24 Q. But physical harm to the patient? 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean? 19 A. That means it's a closed distribution 20 system, where it's from the manufacturer to the 21 pharmacy to the patient is all regulated and it is a 22 closed system. 23 Q. So it goes from point one to two to three? 24 A. Uh-huh.
 3 components of both federal law and the law of the 4 state that you're practicing in. 5 Q. Okay. When considering a prescription 6 order, what does a pharmacist have to review? What 7 thought goes into dispensing that? 8 A. So, again, if it's a valid prescription, 9 it needs to have all of the components of a valid 10 prescription. Then drug, the dosage, the 11 instructions, the quantity, that the prescription 12 is, again, lawfully signed and dated by the 13 prescriber. 14 Q. Do you consider drug interactions? 15 A. Absolutely. 16 Q. What would that be? 17 A. If you have information about other 18 medications that the patient is currently taking, 19 that is a very important component of filling a 20 prescription is to review for drug interactions. 21 Q. What is the harm for failing to do that? 22 A. Well, potential harm with interacting, two 23 drugs interacting with each other. 	 3 they think it's warranted, aren't they? 4 A. Yes, they are. 5 Q. But if they don't overrule, what are their 6 options? 7 A. Contacting the physician or the prescriber 8 and having a conversation about whatever they have 9 concerns with that specific prescription or 10 interactions, whatever the issue is. 11 Q. Is that commonly done? 12 A. Yes, it is. 13 Q. Okay. Another topic of law. The 14 prescription controlled substance in the United 15 States are in what's called a closed system; is that 16 correct? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. And what does that mean? 19 A. That means it's a closed distribution 20 system, where it's from the manufacturer to the 21 pharmacy to the patient is all regulated and it is a 22 closed system. 23 Q. So it goes from point one to two to three?

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 660		Page 662
1	A. It ends with the user, with the patient, whoever is going to consume the medications.	1 2	Q. You mentioned the pendulum swinging. Has that reversed?
3	Q. Is it ever lawful for that patient to	3	A. It is. The pendulum is moving the other
4	transfer the drug to another person?	4	
5	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, beyond the scope	5	management, and there is evidence in the literature
6	of the witness's disclosures in this case.	6	to show that chronic opioids are really not that
7	There has been no disclosure about this.	7	effective for pain.
8	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, I	8	Q. Were there other worries besides the
9	see you looking for your disclosures.	9	efficacy that drove that shift?
10	MR. FANNING: Indeed. Forget it. I'll	10	A. Sure. There have been studies done as
11	withdraw the question and move on.	11	recently as 2011 showing that people who are on
12	MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.	12	chronic pain management have higher rates of
13	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do pharmacists risk any	13	depression, less activity, they're less productive,
14	sort of sanction if they violate any of the laws	14	they're not working when matched with controls. So
15	that you described?	15	it really begs the question about the efficacy of
	A. Yes, they do.	16	opioids for chronic pain in many cases.
	Q. Have you heard of that occurring?	17	
	A. Yes. There was just the case in the paper		A. Sure. There are societal repercussions
	recently where a pharmacist was actually sent, was		1 0,
20	going to be imprisoned for inappropriate dispensing	20	
21	of controlled substances.	21	
	Q. So that's not just an academic issue but	22	
	it's something that pharmacists recognize? A. Sure.	23	American's use of opioids versus the rest of the world?
	Q. Let's talk about the present history of		A. I am. Well, I think that in the United
23	Q: Let's talk about the present instory of	23	The Function of the context
	Page 661		Page 663
1	pain management and chronic pain management in the	1	States we have 18 percent of the world's population,
1 2	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what	2	States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured
2 3	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain	2 3	States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75
2 3 4	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases?	2 3 4	States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world.
2 3 4 5	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases?A. The pain management really has changed.	2 3 4 5	States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are
2 3 4 5 6	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was 	2 3 4 5 6	States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain. Pain is what the patient says it is. So really,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion. A. Sure, diversion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain. Pain is what the patient says it is. So really, there really was this big shift of the pendulum	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion. Q. So let's talk about diversion. What drugs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain. Pain is what the patient says it is. So really, there really was this big shift of the pendulum from, you know, pain and pain medicines being reserved for either acute instances or in a chronic case just for like cancer pain, and that really has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion. Q. So let's talk about diversion. What drugs are commonly diverted?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain. Pain is what the patient says it is. So really, there really was this big shift of the pendulum from, you know, pain and pain medicines being reserved for either acute instances or in a chronic case just for like cancer pain, and that really has shifted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion. Q. So let's talk about diversion. What drugs are commonly diverted? A. The most common drugs diverted in Montana anyway as of a 2008 statistic was Hydrocone, oxycodone, Fentanyl and Methadone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain. Pain is what the patient says it is. So really, there really was this big shift of the pendulum from, you know, pain and pain medicines being reserved for either acute instances or in a chronic case just for like cancer pain, and that really has shifted. Q. What did that do to the number of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion. Q. So let's talk about diversion. What drugs are commonly diverted? A. The most common drugs diverted in Montana anyway as of a 2008 statistic was Hydrocone, oxycodone, Fentanyl and Methadone. Q. And why are those desirable on the street?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	pain management and chronic pain management in the United States. Let's say 25 years ago or so, what were the common applications of chronic pain treatment, what diseases? A. The pain management really has changed. In the like late '80s, in the '80s, chronic pain was considered cancer pain and that was you treated cancer pain, but we didn't have a lot of this chronic pain like we do now. There really became a shift in medical practice and thinking where pain there was a much more heightened awareness of pain, treating pain. Pain became the vital sign. Regulatory and accreditation agencies were, you know, advocating for patients and surveying health systems for appropriate pain management and recognizing pain. Pain is what the patient says it is. So really, there really was this big shift of the pendulum from, you know, pain and pain medicines being reserved for either acute instances or in a chronic case just for like cancer pain, and that really has shifted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 States we have 18 percent of the world's population, but we use 95 percent of the Hydrocone manufactured in the world and we in the United States use 75 percent of all opioids used in the world. Q. Apart from the human misery of drugs, are there legal issues that are worrisome? A. Well, sure. I mean, I referenced that law that the pharmacy association helped champion where fraudulently obtaining medications puts people at risk for being in trouble with the law. You know, if people are addicted or dependent to opioids, they may become and get in trouble with the law to try and obtain those. Q. There is a label for that transfer, isn't there, applying the drug improperly? I'll just say it, diversion. Q. So let's talk about diversion. What drugs are commonly diverted? A. The most common drugs diverted in Montana anyway as of a 2008 statistic was Hydrocone, oxycodone, Fentanyl and Methadone. Q. And why are those desirable on the street? A. Well, those are the most.

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 664		Page 666
1	have a high street value.	1	American General's Office under Bullock's
2	Q. Do you know from your experience how	2	administration did a lot of work on enhancing
3	diversion is accomplished? Where do the drugs come	3	enforcement of prescription drug laws. He created a
4	from? What are the sources?	4	task force with a dedicated prosecutor to
5	A. There is national data from SAMHSA that	5	prescription drug crimes and hired several
6	shows the	6	investigators, and had implemented drug take-back
7	Q. Excuse me. You used an acronym.	7	days, where the public could bring back their unused
8	A. SAMHSA, Substance Abuse and Mental Health	8	medications to get them out of the home. That's
	Service Administration, it's a federal agency. And	9	certainly a risk for diversion to have medications
9	they have information on where people obtain the		sitting in the home. People may be at risk for
10	prescription drugs that they abuse. They've done	10 11	theft of those medications, controlled or not.
11	surveys. And overwhelmingly it's a big pie		So we implemented drug take-back days and
12	chart and, overwhelmingly, the drugs come from	12	ultimately permanent drug drop-off locations. So
13	friends and family, physicians, directly from a	13	
14	physician, or they were bought or sold from a	14	those are located in generally in law enforcement offices because law enforcement previously law
15		15	i v
16	friend. Drug dealers and the Internet, very, very	16	enforcement was the only, were the only people who
17	small pieces of that pie, very small percentage.	17	could accept controlled substances back from the end
18	And ultimately the drugs that we get from friends	18	user, part of that closed system. Just recently the
19	and family come from physicians prescribing and	19	DEA changed those rules and with some work and some
20	pharmacies filling those prescriptions.	20	regulation, pharmacies and hospitals can take back,
21	Q. So what are the risks of unregulated usage	21	will be able to take controlled substances back as
22	of these drugs?	22	well lawfully.
23	A. Well, I mean, ultimately death. I mean,	23	Q. Just as a way to get that off the street?
24	if people can take opioids, especially combine them		A. Exactly.
25	with other substances like alcohol or	25	Q. What about change in thinking in the
_	D 005		D 007
	Page 665		Page 667
1	Page 665 benzodiazepines, which is another class of	1	Page 667 medical community or education?
1	-		
	benzodiazepines, which is another class of		medical community or education?
2	benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the	2 3	medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just
2 3 4	benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is	2 3 4	medical community or education?A. Yes. Lots of educational programs justabout this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum
2 3 4 5	benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have	2 3 4 5	medical community or education?A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness
2 3 4 5 6	benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use.	2 3 4 5 6	medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating
2 3 4 5 6 7	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, 	2 3 4 5 6	medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 	2 3 4 5 6 7	medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. Q. Given all of this, has there been a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that. Q. That's nationwide and locally as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. Q. Given all of this, has there been a response by government leaders or law enforcement 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that. Q. That's nationwide and locally as well? A. Locally, for sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. Q. Given all of this, has there been a response by government leaders or law enforcement leaders? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that. Q. That's nationwide and locally as well? A. Locally, for sure. Q. Was the MPDR one of the other outcomes of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. Q. Given all of this, has there been a response by government leaders or law enforcement leaders? A. I'm sorry. I don't understand. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that. Q. That's nationwide and locally as well? A. Locally, for sure. Q. Was the MPDR one of the other outcomes of this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. Q. Given all of this, has there been a response by government leaders or law enforcement leaders? A. I'm sorry. I don't understand. Q. Given the societal and individual dangers, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that. Q. That's nationwide and locally as well? A. Locally, for sure. Q. Was the MPDR one of the other outcomes of this? A. Yes, it was. How could I forget that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. Q. Given all of this, has there been a response by government leaders or law enforcement leaders? A. I'm sorry. I don't understand. Q. Given the societal and individual dangers, has there been a societal response? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that. Q. That's nationwide and locally as well? A. Locally, for sure. Q. Was the MPDR one of the other outcomes of this? A. Yes, it was. How could I forget that? Yes, it was. So the implementation of the PDR, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 benzodiazepines, which is another class of controlled substances, like Valium or Ativan, the risk of death is a possibility. And then there is impairment with driving. It is a risk to have unregulated opioid medication use. Q. Let's take that example you just offered, driving. Suppose somebody was on a course of 30 milligram oxycodone. Is that a high dosage? A. That is a high dosage for a single tablet, yes. Q. Would it be safe for such a person to operate a motor vehicle? A. Well, that's hard to say. Certainly there is warnings put on every prescription that says caution, this drug causes drowsiness and may impair your ability to drive. So, yes, that is a risk. Those drugs will affect everyone differently. Q. Given all of this, has there been a response by government leaders or law enforcement leaders? A. I'm sorry. I don't understand. Q. Given the societal and individual dangers, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 medical community or education? A. Yes. Lots of educational programs just about this kind of changing, swinging the pendulum back the other way about the lack of effectiveness of chronic opioids for treating pain, educating patients about the dangers of opioids, educating patients about alternatives to managing their pain other than medications. So there is a lot of education going on but we still need a lot, lot more. We have a long way to go. Q. In fact, that's occurring right here in Helena, isn't it? A. Yes, it is. Q. Did you happen to see the IR on Tuesday about the high rate of prescription drug use by local middle schoolers? A. I did see that. Q. That's nationwide and locally as well? A. Locally, for sure. Q. Was the MPDR one of the other outcomes of this? A. Yes, it was. How could I forget that?

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 668		Page 670
1	And then the Prescription Drug Registry went live at	1	they must supply the information at least weekly.
2	the end of 2012.	2	Even pharmacies that are out of state and mail in to
	Q. Maybe that's clear, but by live, it was		patients of Montana, they must be licensed in the
		3	-
	accessible to health care providers	4	
	A. Yes. I'm sorry. It was accessible to	5	out-of-state pharmacies would also be required to,
6	health care providers.	6	if they are licensed in the state of Montana, would
	Q. What data is collected?	7	be required to submit that information.
8	A. The information in the PDR contains all	8	
9	controlled substance prescription information, so it	9	submit it, is it mandatory for the doctor or other
10	has the patient's name, their date of birth, their	10	provider to inspect it?
11	address, it has the prescriber, the drug that was	11	A. It is not.
12	prescribed, the quantity, the day's supply, it has	12	Q. Now, I'd like to turn our attention to
13	the pharmacy that filled the prescription and it	13	opioid prescribing in general and sometimes I use
14	also notes how the prescription was paid for,	14	the word narcotic and sometimes opioid and that may
15	whether that was cash or insurance, Medicaid or	15	be haphazard. Can you describe the difference?
16	workman's comp.	16	A. Sure. Narcotic is more of a layman's term
17	Q. What can a doctor learn from that data	17	and kind of a big basket of things that we tend to
18	that you just described?	18	throw a lot of things in there. Opioids are in that
19	A. That is very powerful data. That data can	19	narcotic basket. But opioids specifically are
20	help a physician or a pharmacist determine, you	20	derived from opium, and that would include the
	know, what that, if that patient has been compliant		opioid pain relievers like Morphine, Hydromorphone,
21		21	
22	with the current regimen that the physician	22	oxycodone, Hydrocone. Other things that we throw in
23	prescribed, if they're getting prescriptions from	23	the narcotic basket might include the
24	multiple providers, if they haven't disclosed to one	24	benzodiazepines that I talked about before, those
25	provider other controlled medications that they are	25	are sedative types of medications, Ativan, Valium.
	D 000		D
	Page 669		Page 671
1	Page 669 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show	1	Page 671 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when
1			
	taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show	2	So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when
2 3	taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is	2 3	So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain
2 3 4	taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling.	2 3 4	So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and
2 3 4 5	taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling.Q. What does that tell us?A. If someone has insurance and then all of a	2 3 4 5	So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe?
2 3 4 5 6	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a 	2 3 4 5 6	So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically
2 3 4 5 6 7	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have 	2 3 4 5 6 7	So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better. Q. Small supply of what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their prescription pads if they are ever worried that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better. Q. Small supply of what? A. Of pain medication. I'm sorry. Of an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their prescription pads if they are ever worried that somebody is misusing that information to obtain 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better. Q. Small supply of what? A. Of pain medication. I'm sorry. Of an opioid or even a nonopioid pain medication. So when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their prescription pads if they are ever worried that somebody is misusing that information to obtain controlled substances. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better. Q. Small supply of what? A. Of pain medication. I'm sorry. Of an opioid or even a nonopioid pain medication. So when acute pain continues longer than we expect,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their prescription pads if they are ever worried that somebody is misusing that information to obtain 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better. Q. Small supply of what? A. Of pain medication. I'm sorry. Of an opioid or even a nonopioid pain medication. So when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their prescription pads if they are ever worried that somebody is misusing that information to obtain controlled substances. Q. Is it mandatory to supply the information from the pharmacies? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better. Q. Small supply of what? A. Of pain medication. I'm sorry. Of an opioid or even a nonopioid pain medication. So when acute pain continues longer than we expect,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 taking. It's all very helpful. Again, it will show quantities. How the medications are paid for is telling. Q. What does that tell us? A. If someone has insurance and then all of a sudden they're paying cash, that would kind of be a red flag that why are you paying cash if you have insurance. And a lot of times that means the insurance won't pay for it because you already got a supply that they covered and they keep an eye on the day supply. So if you get 30 days and you try to get some more in 15 days, they likely will not fill it and if you want it, you're going to have to pay cash for that transaction. It's also a great tool for prescribers because they can look up their own information and see all of the prescriptions that they have written by a patient. And so they can keep an eye on the, like the integrity of their DEA number, their prescription pads if they are ever worried that somebody is misusing that information to obtain controlled substances. Q. Is it mandatory to supply the information 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 So in the pure sense it's best to say opioid when you're talking about the prescription pain medicines. Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts and the MPDRs, what did you typically prescribe? A. For the treatment of pain he typically prescribed opioids. Q. Now, are those among the ones that can be diverted or desirable on the street? A. Sure. Yes. Q. Can you describe what is meant by chronic pain versus acute pain? A. Sure. And there are different definitions. But acute pain is just that, something that occurs right now. You cut yourself with a knife, you have pain. If you get treatment for that, you'll likely get a small supply and within a couple of days you're better. Q. Small supply of what? A. Of pain medication. I'm sorry. Of an opioid or even a nonopioid pain medication. So when acute pain continues longer than we expect, sometimes people call that the definition of chronic

Ivia	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 672		Page 674
1	pain that lasts longer than we expect.	1	pharmacy practice, any type of agreements need to be
	Q. Is it incumbent on a physician to take		written down and signed by both the provider and the
3	precautions about misuse of an acute pain	3	patient to acknowledge understanding.
4	prescription?	4	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Now, from your point of
	A. Yes. Responsible, I mean, responsible		view, is a chronic pain relationship improper in an
	prescribing, and there are lots of guidelines for	6	urgent care setting?
6	responsible opioid prescribing. It specifically		MR. DOUBEK: Objection, beyond the scope
7	says that you do an evaluation, you look for	7	of this witness' ability to address that.
8	alternatives to opioids either in lieu of opioids or	8	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
9		9	MR. FANNING: Okay.
10	along with opioids, so that might be whatever, heat, ice, elevation, other medications.	10	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you feel as though
	Q. What I'm talking about specifically, and I	12	from your experience with local providers that there
13	don't know if I was clear. Are there different	13	are, is there an exodus of doctors from the chronic
14	differences between an acute prescription versus one	14	pain arena?
15	is that going to be a chronic prescription?		A. Not from my perspective. I work with the
	A. Sure. Sorry.	16	physicians at the St. Peter's Medical Group and they
	Q. Presumably there are minimums for acute	17	all have chronic pain patients. I realize
	pain, right?	18	physicians come and go from the community, but, to
	A. Sure. And that's what I was describing,	19	my knowledge, the primary care providers have most
	just doing a proper assessment no matter what. But	20	of the chronic pain patients in the Helena
	when somebody is taking opioids long term and there	21	
	is a relationship between that prescriber and that		Q. Has the topic of chronic pain created
23	patient, generally the prescriber has a duty to	23	divisions among care providers, that is, is there a
	protect him or herself and also the patient by	24	resistance or friction between doctors and
25	explaining the risks and benefits of opioids, by	25	pharmacists?
	Page 673		Page 675
1		1	
	cautioning the patient to store them correctly.		A. Specific to chronic pain?
2	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain	2	A. Specific to chronic pain?Q. Yeah.
2 3	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that	2 3	A. Specific to chronic pain?Q. Yeah.A. No, not that I know of.
2 3 4	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by.	2 3 4	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to
2 3 4 5	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your	2 3 4 5	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or
2 3 4 5 6	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription
2 3 4 5 6 7	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain agreements. In your experience is there such a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved? A. Well, that's a very large dose for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain agreements. In your experience is there such a thing in proper medicine?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved? A. Well, that's a very large dose for a single tablet of oxycodone. And, I mean, generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain agreements. In your experience is there such a thing in proper medicine? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, asking for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved? A. Well, that's a very large dose for a single tablet of oxycodone. And, I mean, generally that's a dosage that would probably be used in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain agreements. In your experience is there such a thing in proper medicine? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, asking for a conclusion about the practice of medicine. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved? A. Well, that's a very large dose for a single tablet of oxycodone. And, I mean, generally that's a dosage that would probably be used in a cancer patient or somebody with, you know, very,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain agreements. In your experience is there such a thing in proper medicine? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, asking for a conclusion about the practice of medicine. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) From the pharmacist's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved? A. Well, that's a very large dose for a single tablet of oxycodone. And, I mean, generally that's a dosage that would probably be used in a cancer patient or somebody with, you know, very, very severe pain.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain agreements. In your experience is there such a thing in proper medicine? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, asking for a conclusion about the practice of medicine. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) From the pharmacist's point of view, is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved? A. Well, that's a very large dose for a single tablet of oxycodone. And, I mean, generally that's a dosage that would probably be used in a cancer patient or somebody with, you know, very, very severe pain. Q. And then there has been a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 cautioning the patient to store them correctly. Many prescribers will enter into a pain agreement or a pain contract and set out rules that both the provider and the patient will abide by. For example, the provider says I agree to treat your pain, I agree to accept your reports of pain and as the patient you must only use me as your provider for pain medication, or if you go elsewhere, you must let me know. You must if I want to count your pills, I have the right to do that as the provider. It I want to do a urine drug test to make sure that you're taking the medications, I have the right to do that. Those sorts of things would be part of a pain agreement, again, along with a lot of education about the risks and benefits of long-term opioids. Q. We've heard testimony about oral pain agreements. In your experience is there such a thing in proper medicine? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, asking for a conclusion about the practice of medicine. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) From the pharmacist's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Specific to chronic pain? Q. Yeah. A. No, not that I know of. Q. Do you from time to time have occasion to speak with a doctor about a particular dosage or quantity of prescription narcotic or prescription pain medication? A. In my specific role, no. But in the retail pharmacy setting, and I guess I do fill in in our retail pharmacy and, sure, if there is a question or a problem, you call that prescriber and you get your issue resolved. Q. Has that been the way it's always been? A. Sure. Q. Is there something peculiar about 30 milligram oxycodone in the medical setting? Is it unique or reserved? A. Well, that's a very large dose for a single tablet of oxycodone. And, I mean, generally that's a dosage that would probably be used in a cancer patient or somebody with, you know, very, very severe pain.

111100	·k Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 676		Page 678
1	that other people don't?	1	oxycodone.
	A. That's a really good question. I think		Q. That's your personal experience?
3	some of that is societal. But the need or the want		A. Yes.
4	to make end of life as comfortable as possible. I	-	Q. Do you know who the prescriber was?
5	think prescribers are much more comfortable to do		A. Yes.
6	whatever it takes. And then there certainly, with		Q. Who?
7	cancer especially, there is such an objective reason		A. Dr. Christensen.
8	for the pain with cancer and I just think everybody,		Q. From a medical statistical standpoint, is
9	prescribers are more comfortable, pharmacists are		it likely that an entire family would have the same
	more comfortable saying oh, that person has cancer.		intractable chronic pain?
	Q. We're talking about terminal cancer?		A. No, it is not.
	A. Correct. Right. End of life.		Q. All right. Now let's turn to the
	Q. Now, we did hear some testimony earlier	13	pharmacist's expectations of treatment of chronic
14	about longer-acting versus shorter-acting	14	pain, and these were things that you discussed in
15	medications. In a chronic pain setting, which is	15	your expert witness disclosure. Is it typical to
16	the medically preferred variety?	16	have a single sort of pain medication or some other
	A. In a chronic pain setting, the	17	combination?
18	longer-acting opioids are preferred.	18	
	Q. And why is that?	19	analgesia, which means different types of pain,
	A. Less, you have less lows and highs, more	20	different types of medications with different
	even pain management. The thought is they're less	21	mechanisms of action. So you can have an opioid
22	abused, and because they are on a scheduled basis	22	which works on the opioid receptor to relieve pain;
	versus an as-needed basis, they're easier to	23	then you can have an anti-inflammatory, like
	control. A 30-day supply is very defined.	24	ibuprofen, which relieves, takes down inflammation
	Q. Is a 30 milligram oxycodone longer acting	25	and helps relieve pain by a different mechanism.
	Q. 16 a 50 ministani okyeodone tonget adang		und norps reneve puin sy a anterene meenamen.
	Page 677		Page 679
1		1	-
	by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10		And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the
2	by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram?	2	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each.
2 3	by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate	2 3	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning
2 3 4	by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram?A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30	2 3 4	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like
2 3 4 5	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher 	2 3	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of
2 3 4 5 6	by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting.	2 3 4 5 6	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if
2 3 4 5 6 7	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, 	2 3 4 5 6 7	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like 	2 3 6 7 8 9 10	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole families traveling from another city to Helena to 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin? A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole families traveling from another city to Helena to get 30 milligram oxycodone. Do you have any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin? A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin, and that is a medication that can be used to treat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole families traveling from another city to Helena to get 30 milligram oxycodone. Do you have any experience with such a thing? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin? A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin, and that is a medication that can be used to treat seizures and it's also a medication that's used to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole families traveling from another city to Helena to get 30 milligram oxycodone. Do you have any experience with such a thing? A. I do. We've had a I mean, let me 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin? A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin, and that is a medication that can be used to treat seizures and it's also a medication that's used to treat nerve type of pain, neuropathic pain.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole families traveling from another city to Helena to get 30 milligram oxycodone. Do you have any experience with such a thing? A. I do. We've had a I mean, let me correct that. It wasn't a family traveling from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin? A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin, and that is a medication that can be used to treat seizures and it's also a medication that's used to treat nerve type of pain, neuropathic pain. Q. And you also mentioned a product called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole families traveling from another city to Helena to get 30 milligram oxycodone. Do you have any experience with such a thing? A. I do. We've had a I mean, let me correct that. It wasn't a family traveling from another town, but there has been a family that has 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin? A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin, and that is a medication that can be used to treat seizures and it's also a medication that's used to treat nerve type of pain, neuropathic pain. Q. And you also mentioned a product called Lidocaine, what is that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 by virtue of its number of milligrams than a 10 milligram? A. No, it is not. Oxycodone immediate release comes in 5 milligram, 10 milligram, and 30 milligram tablets, and the fact that one is a higher dosage does not make it longer acting. Q. For purposes of diversion and street sale, which is more attractive, longer acting or shorter acting? A. Well, I would say both. But the shorter acting are that's one of the reasons we like longer acting is because the shorter acting are more sought out for diversion. Q. Does that have a different euphoric effect on the A. Sure. A quicker, a more rapid high, yes. Q. We've had testimony previously about whole families traveling from another city to Helena to get 30 milligram oxycodone. Do you have any experience with such a thing? A. I do. We've had a I mean, let me correct that. It wasn't a family traveling from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 And that is preferable so it helps you minimize the dose of each. And along with pharmacologic, meaning drugs, to relieve pain, the nonpharmacologic, like the ice and the heat and the elevation and all of those things are important and really preferred if the pain can be managed with those versus pharmacologic methods. Q. In your expert disclosures you mentioned NSAIDs. What are they? A. The acronym stands for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and those are drugs like ibuprofen, naproxen, which is Aleve. Q. Those are over the counter? A. They are. They are both prescription strength and over the counter. Q. What's gabapentin? A. Gabapentin, the brand name is Neurontin, and that is a medication that can be used to treat seizures and it's also a medication that's used to treat nerve type of pain, neuropathic pain. Q. And you also mentioned a product called Lidocaine, what is that? A. Lidocaine is an anesthetic, it's a

	ik idsen, wi.d.		December 04, 2014
	Page 680		Page 682
1	and that can be very effective for certain types of	1	A. Absolutely.
	pain.		Q. And who's responsible for holding the
	Q. In your review of Dr. Ibsen's charts on		patient accountable?
	the nine patients, did you find that whole array of		A. Well, that's part of the patient and
	medications being applied?	5	provider relationship. I think the provider in
	A. In general, no. I mean, yes, there are	6	making those referrals and thinking that's important
7			needs to communicate that to the patient and help
8	or people were on ibuprofen, but for most cases and	, 8	hold them accountable for that.
9	for the longest periods of time, patients might be	9	Q. Did you find any effort and chart it in
10	on and off different medications, opioids were		the notes about Dr. Ibsen counseling them on those
11	single agent.		issues?
12	Q. Now, you mentioned nonpharmocological		A. No, I did not.
	therapies and gave us a couple of examples. Is		Q. Medical marijuana is legal in Montana, is
13 14	there a reason why you personally would not try		it not?
			A. Yes.
15	something as simple as heat and cold?	_	
	A. Well, I don't know why you wouldn't.		Q. And you hesitate and I know why. Because
17	Although if you want, if you want a pill to make	17	it's still illegal in the federal system, right?
18	things better, I guess that's one reason. But if	18	A. Correct.
19	you are, if you prefer to have medications for	19	Q. But under Montana state law, it's a
20	whatever reason, diversion being one of them, then	20	perfectly lawful part of a program.
21	you would ask for medications versus		A. Okay.
	Q. What other adjunct professionals would you	22	Q. We don't have to get into that. Some of
	expect to contribute to a chronic pain management	23	these patients were offered medical marijuana, were
	program?		they not?
25	A. Well, from a prescriber and then a	25	A. Yes, they were.
	Paga 681		Page 683
	Page 681		Page 683
	pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical	1	Page 683 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy?
	-	2	Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy?A. Yes.
2 3	pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing.	2 3	Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy?A. Yes.Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with
2 3 4	pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health?	2 3 4	Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy?A. Yes.Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies?
2 3 4	pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing.	2 3 4	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was
2 3 4 5	pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health?	2 3 4	Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy?A. Yes.Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies?
2 3 4 5 6	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical therapist then followed up with Dr. Ibsen's office and gave a report of what was done, what the plan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't here to testify about the standard of care for a physician, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical therapist then followed up with Dr. Ibsen's office and gave a report of what was done, what the plan was, what the treatment plan was. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't here to testify about the standard of care for a physician, right? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical therapist then followed up with Dr. Ibsen's office and gave a report of what was done, what the plan was, what the treatment plan was. Q. Did you see a lot of follow-through on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't here to testify about the standard of care for a physician, right? A. Right. Q. But are you capable of recognizing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical therapist then followed up with Dr. Ibsen's office and gave a report of what was done, what the plan was, what the treatment plan was. Q. Did you see a lot of follow-through on that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't here to testify about the standard of care for a physician, right? A. Right. Q. But are you capable of recognizing appropriate pharmacology for a certain disease?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical therapist then followed up with Dr. Ibsen's office and gave a report of what was done, what the plan was, what the treatment plan was. Q. Did you see a lot of follow-through on that? A. No, I did not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't here to testify about the standard of care for a physician, right? A. Right. Q. But are you capable of recognizing appropriate pharmacology for a certain disease? A. I'm highly qualified for that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 pharmacist is frequently part of the team, physical therapy can be part of the team, behavioral health, nursing. Q. What do you mean behavioral health? A. Like a psychologist. Q. What about something as simple as weight loss and exercise? A. Absolutely. Weight loss and exercise are probably some of the best ways to help treat pain. Q. Did you see that commonly applied in Dr. Ibsen's nine patients? A. No, I did not. Q. Were there instances of that? A. There was some referrals to physical therapy in the patient records and very few much more referrals than follow-ups. But there were also some examples in the records where that physical therapist then followed up with Dr. Ibsen's office and gave a report of what was done, what the plan was, what the treatment plan was. Q. Did you see a lot of follow-through on that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Can that be part of a combination therapy? A. Yes. Q. Did you find that it was coordinated with the other therapies? A. No, not no. Just that it was authorized. But there was no documentation of coordination. Q. Now, you have experience with disease states and medical management of certain diseases, right? A. Sure. Right. Q. You reviewed all of the notes in Dr. Ibsen's charts, both in the smaller set and the larger set? A. I did. Q. And we've already established when Mr. Doubek asked you a question, that you aren't here to testify about the standard of care for a physician, right? A. Right. Q. But are you capable of recognizing appropriate pharmacology for a certain disease?

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 684		Page 686
1	see the expected follow-through?	1	questioned if you suspect that, wouldn't you treat
	A. Yes.	2	with that, it would be even calcium or recommend to
	Q. Can you give an example?	3	increase the calcium in your diet? You don't
	A. Sure. Sure. I will refer to my notes	4	necessarily have to take a supplement. But that was
5	here. There was Patient Number 1 in one of her	5	not noted.
	visits was concerned about her cholesterol, and a	6	And then there is another patient, and
6	lipid panel was ordered by Dr. Ibsen and that the		that is Patient Number 3, who visited the emergency
7	results came back and showed, indeed, her	7	department at St. Peter's and had a lab test for low
8		8	-
9	cholesterol was high, her total cholesterol, her	9	calcium and recommendation from the ER physician
10	triglycerides were high, her HDLs, which is the bad	10	that that patient should have a Vitamin D level and
11	cholesterol, was low, so that's not good. And	11	follow up with her primary care provider. That
12	her did I say HDL is the good cholesterol was	12	patient also had risks for osteoporosis because she
13	low sorry about that and then her LDLs, which	13	had frequent fractures and she had frequent I'm
14	is the bad cholesterol, was also high.	14	sorry, frequent falls, not frequent fractures,
15	And those results came back and were	15	frequent falls. And she was getting bursts, which
16	acknowledged by Dr. Ibsen and it says "follow-up"	16	means a regimen of steroids, like Prednisone, and a
17	with his initials. And then on her next visit,	17	burst means you start out high and then you taper
18	which was the reason for the visit was documented	18	down, she was getting that frequently for part of
19	medication refill and lab results and there was	19	her pain condition. And those notes from that ER
20	nothing, nothing charted that was done. There was	20	visit were in Dr. Ibsen's records but they never
21	no medications prescribed or a trial noted that a	21	followed up on.
22	trial of lifestyle modification, diet, exercise was	22	Q. Does Prednisone affect calcium or bone
23	recommended.	23	strength?
24	Q. Okay. Were there other examples where	24	A. It can affect bone strength, yes.
25	something seemed to trigger a medication response	25	Q. Any other instances of charting that
	Page 685		Page 687
	and he didn't find it?	1	Page 687 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find
	and he didn't find it?	2	suggested necessary medication but you didn't find
2 3	and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a	2 3	suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it?
2 3 4	and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be	2 3 4	suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it?A. Not specifically, no.
2 3 4 5	and he didn't find it?A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the	2 3 4 5	suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it?A. Not specifically, no.Q. Were there instances when you found what
2 3 4 5 6	and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones.	2 3 4 5	suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it?A. Not specifically, no.Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines
2 3 4 5 6 7	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient 	2 3 4 5 6 7	suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it?A. Not specifically, no.Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it?A. Not specifically, no.Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I need to I can find it, twice it was said DEXA scan ordered. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the prescription would specifically say Zyprexa 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I need to I can find it, twice it was said DEXA scan? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the prescription would specifically say Zyprexa 10 milligrams at bedtime as needed for sleep and that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I need to I can find it, twice it was said DEXA scan ordered. Q. Again, what is a DEXA scan? A. A DEXA scan is a scan to check on the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the prescription would specifically say Zyprexa 10 milligrams at bedtime as needed for sleep and that was, that would be an unusual medication to use for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I need to I can find it, twice it was said DEXA scan ordered. Q. Again, what is a DEXA scan? A. A DEXA scan is a scan to check on the health of a person's bones. So you would do that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the prescription would specifically say Zyprexa 10 milligrams at bedtime as needed for sleep and that was, that would be an unusual medication to use for sleep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I need to I can find it, twice it was said DEXA scan ordered. Q. Again, what is a DEXA scan? A. A DEXA scan is a scan to check on the health of a person's bones. So you would do that because you suspect maybe osteoporosis or weakening 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the prescription would specifically say Zyprexa 10 milligrams at bedtime as needed for sleep and that was, that would be an unusual medication to use for sleep. Q. Ms. Blank, what I would like you to do is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and he didn't find it? A. For one patient there were, there was a couple notations where a DEXA scan was to be ordered. A DEXA scan is a scan to check for the health of your bones. Q. Excuse me. Do you have the patient number? A. Sorry. I'm looking while I am talking. Q. Do you have the name? I don't want you to say it, but you can A. Sorry. Q. Do you want to set that aside and move on to another one. A. Sure. I'm sorry. Here it is. It's Patient Number well, this is actually a different patient. So in one patient, who I can't recall the number and I don't see it in my notes, though if I need to I can find it, twice it was said DEXA scan ordered. Q. Again, what is a DEXA scan? A. A DEXA scan is a scan to check on the health of a person's bones. So you would do that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 suggested necessary medication but you didn't find it? A. Not specifically, no. Q. Were there instances when you found what appeared to be inconsistent medication orders? For example, I'm talking about Patient 4 and migraines and sleep. A. Yeah. Patient 4 had a lot of, had insomnia as one complaint, had migraines as another complaint and was, in my opinion, was not getting the right treatment for treatment of insomnia. He was getting a benzodiazepine, multiple benzodiazepines and chloral hydrate, which is another it's not in the benzodiazepine family but it's another sedative hypnotic and it is a controlled substance. And then he was also getting a drug called Zyprexa for sleep. This person also had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder, but the prescription would specifically say Zyprexa 10 milligrams at bedtime as needed for sleep and that was, that would be an unusual medication to use for sleep.

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 688		Page 690
1	reason they were contraindicated or were	1	documentation of why.
	ineffective?		Q. I think he testified yesterday he was
	A. No. I mean, A benzodiazepine is an		
	· •		diagnosed with ADHD.
4			A. That would be amphetamines are a
5	not two at a time. If one doesn't work, something		treatment for ADHD.
6	else needs to be tried. And a benzodiazepine at the		Q. But you didn't find that charted in there?
7	same time taking chloral hydrate, another sedative,		A. I did not.
8	1		Q. I'm going to draw your attention to
	Q. Is it hazardous?	9	Patient Number 5, and I believe that individual had
	A. It can be, yes. It can cause	10	some sort of dental abscess. Are you familiar with
	oversedation.	11	that one?
12	Q. Was it effective in treating the patient?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. No, it was not. He suffered from insomnia	13	Q. Did you consider the treatment for that
14	for a long time. That did seem to be better once he	14	abscess?
15	was referred to a psychiatrist by Dr. Ibsen and in	15	A. I did. I'm critical of Dr. Ibsen's
16	treating his psychiatric issues his sleep did	16	prescribing for that particular condition. She saw
17	improve. And at some point from the records it	17	not only Dr. Ibsen but some of the midlevel
18	looks like those visits continued for several months	18	providers, the physician assistants that work with
19	and then it looks like that relationship was severed	19	him, and they had prescribed I think she had a
20	between that psychiatrist and Patient 4 and Dr.	20	couple of different courses of Clindamycin, which is
21	Ibsen assumed primary care for all of that patient's	21	an antibiotic and commonly used to treat dental
22	needs.	22	types of problems, and appropriately so. It's a
23	He was later referred to another	23	medication that covers the bacteria that live in the
24	psychiatrist, I believe, it wasn't a local person.	24	
25	But that patient was put on a lot of different	25	
25	But that patient was put on a lot of unferent	25	an, anaciones we can them.
	Page 689		Page 691
	-		
1	medications and I think, in my opinion, needed to be	1	
2	/ 8 I	2	8
3	different medications, preferably opioids and never	2	days. Rocephin is a cephalosporin, that's a class
4		3	
	8 8 8	4	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but
	to treat, to try and treat that.	4	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line
		4	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but
	to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had	4 5 6	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line
6	to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had	4 5 6	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and
6	to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments	4 5 6	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the
6 7 8 9	to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just	4 5 6 7 8 9	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the
6 7 8 9 10	to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications?	4 5 7 8 9 10	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air.
6 7 8 9 10	to treat, to try and treat that.Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications?A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had
6 7 8 9 10 11	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2,
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of medications? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of medications? A. Right. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That said, she did tolerate those but then I have to ask
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of medications? A. Right. Q. Was that patient later put on 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That said, she did tolerate those but then I have to ask the question, well, then, why wasn't the record
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of medications? A. Right. Q. Was that patient later put on amphetamines? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That said, she did tolerate those but then I have to ask the question, well, then, why wasn't the record corrected and why wouldn't you take that off?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of medications? A. Right. Q. Was that patient later put on amphetamines? A. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That said, she did tolerate those but then I have to ask the question, well, then, why wasn't the record corrected and why wouldn't you take that off? Q. I think I understood what you mean, but it
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of medications? A. Right. Q. Was that patient later put on amphetamines? A. Yes. Q. What was that for? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That said, she did tolerate those but then I have to ask the question, well, then, why wasn't the record corrected and why wouldn't you take that off? Q. I think I understood what you mean, but it was noted in the record that she'd had an allergy to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 to treat, to try and treat that. Q. That same patient you mentioned had had some sort of chronic insomnia. Are there treatments or studies that could have been done other than just prescribing medications? A. Sure. For chronic insomnia, a referral for a sleep study is one thing. Giving the even as simple as having a discussion about sleep hygiene and what somebody is doing around the time they're sleeping and going you know, there is certain steps that people can take to try to improve their sleep or getting to sleep if they have problems, and that wasn't documented. Q. In other words, measures short of medications? A. Right. Q. Was that patient later put on amphetamines? A. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of antibiotic. And it's pretty broad spectrum but it's not an effective, definitely not a first-line drug for treating dental types of bacteria and certainly does not cover anaerobes, those bacteria frequently seen in the mouth that grow in the absence of air. Q. Were there instances where patients had reported certain allergies but were given drugs that may have contained that agent? A. Yes. Q. I'm referring you to Patient Number 2, just to hasten this along. A. Okay. Yes. Patient Number 2 had acetaminophen as an allergy on her record but routinely got Lortab or Norco, which is a combination of Hydrocone and acetaminophen. That said, she did tolerate those but then I have to ask the question, well, then, why wasn't the record corrected and why wouldn't you take that off? Q. I think I understood what you mean, but it was noted in the record that she'd had an allergy to

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 692		Page 694
1	A. Correct.	1	Q. What medical or pharmaceutical changes
2	Q. Again, I'm charting note, on Patient		occurred after this patient had a series of falls?
	Number 3 there was a reference to fibromyalgia. Do		A. None. This patient had multiple falls and
	you recall that?		was on multiple medications that could contribute to
	A. I do. Yeah.	5	
	Q. Did you see a development of that	6	consciousness. That included medical marijuana, a
7	diagnosis and care for that diagnosis?	7	
8	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, beyond the scope	8	for depression called citalopram, a sleep medication
9	of the witness' disclosure and ability to	9	called Ambien and then her opioid was Dilaudid. And
10	testify. She's testifying about medical care.	10	there was even a letter from a program through her
11	MR. FANNING: Okay. Actually what		insurance kind of identifying all of her risk
12	occurred, in response to that, was that there	12	factors for falls, including her medications, though
13	was a medical marijuana recommendation for	13	some of those were not listed on that letter, and
14	fibromyalgia but just no charting and no other		encouraged both the patient and the provider to have
15	care for that. And that's the purpose of this	15	a conversation about strategies they could use to
16	line of questioning.	16	mitigate the risk of falls.
17	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm going to	17	Q. Did you note in the charts any then
18	overrule the objection.	18	responsive medication changes to that caution?
19	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So with respect to that		A. No, I did not.
20	patient, did you find any evidence of fibromyalgia	20	
21	charted in the notes?	21	and chronic pain management, was there ever a
	A. That patient had visits documented, one at	22	documented plan of any kind with respect to any of
	the end of May and one in early June, one in	23	
	mid-June. The problem list included		A. There was not.
	Q. Of what year?		Q. Would you expect to find that?
	Page 693		Page 695
1	-	1	
	Page 693 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on		A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid
	A. Of 2011. The problem list included	2	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan
2 3	A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on	2 3	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your
2 3 4	A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana	2 3 4	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan
2 3 4	A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and	2 3 4	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight
2 3 4	A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being	2 3 4	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to,
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and	2 3 4	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. Q. We talked a little bit about a patient 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the literature now says that chronic pain opioid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. Q. We talked a little bit about a patient with falls. Is this that patient? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the literature now says that chronic pain opioid treatment really isn't effective, right? A. It's not yes, I mean, that's true but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. Q. We talked a little bit about a patient with falls. Is this that patient? A. It is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the literature now says that chronic pain opioid treatment really isn't effective, right? A. It's not yes, I mean, that's true but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. Q. We talked a little bit about a patient with falls. Is this that patient? A. It is. Q. Can opioids contribute to falls? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the literature now says that chronic pain opioid treatment really isn't effective, right? A. It's not yes, I mean, that's true but it's not universal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. Q. We talked a little bit about a patient with falls. Is this that patient? A. It is. Q. Can opioids contribute to falls? A. Absolutely. Sure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the literature now says that chronic pain opioid treatment really isn't effective, right? A. It's not yes, I mean, that's true but it's not universal. Q. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. Q. We talked a little bit about a patient with falls. Is this that patient? A. It is. Q. Can opioids contribute to falls? A. Absolutely. Sure. Q. Are falls a medical hazard 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the literature now says that chronic pain opioid treatment really isn't effective, right? A. It's not yes, I mean, that's true but it's not universal. Q. Sure. A. But, yes. Q. Is there a correlation between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Of 2011. The problem list included sciatica and chronic pain, that's it. But then on August 15th of 2011, the medical marijuana authorization was completed by Dr. Ibsen and it said that fibromyalgia, chronic pain and fibromyalgia and sleep disorder were the reasons that they was being prescribed or authorized for medical marijuana. Q. So following that, did you see any medication regimens that were consistent with those diagnoses? A. Well, certainly for chronic pain, that patient was getting lots of medications for chronic pain and for sleep, she was also being medicated for sleep. Fibromyalgia typically isn't treated withwell, not that it's not treated with opioids but they're really not effective. Q. We talked a little bit about a patient with falls. Is this that patient? A. It is. Q. Can opioids contribute to falls? A. Absolutely. Sure. Q. Are falls a medical hazard A. They are. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. That is part of responsible opioid prescribing in pain management, that you have a plan that includes, you know, your pharmacologic, your medications, your nonpharmacologic, exercise, weight loss, what kind of activities, what are the patient's goals, I mean, do they want to be able to, you know, walk to the mailbox. I mean, some sort of objective measures so that both the patient and the provider know that the pain plan is working or it's not working. Q. Is there a hazard to the absence of such a plan? A. Yes, there is. It can lead to inappropriate therapy, escalating doses, narcotic dependence. Q. Now, you already testified that the literature now says that chronic pain opioid treatment really isn't effective, right? A. It's not yes, I mean, that's true but it's not universal. Q. Sure. A. But, yes. Q. Is there a correlation between the

Mai	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 696		Page 698
1	longer you're on pain medication, does that instruct	1	look at a document that wasn't one of those
	on whether or not you're more likely to have a		type of documents. But I just wanted to make
3	successful outcome or unsuccessful?	3	sure that we are still doing what we can to
	A. Well, if I understand your question	4	protect those patient's rights.
5	correctly, what the evidence has shown is that the	5	NEWS REPORTER: I'll make sure.
6	longer people are on opioids, the worse they tend to	6	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sorry to
7	do, that their activities decrease, their depression	-	interrupt.
8	increases, their sense of well0being decreases.		Q. (By Mr. Fanning) What I began to say was
	Q. So is there then a drive to try to get		we had testimony yesterday from a number of patients
	people off quicker?	10	who said that thorough examinations occurred
	A. There should be if that patient again,	11	
	that's the importance of part of that plan. If what	12	
13		13	over the course of fairly lengthy visits. Did you
14	be done.		find evidence of that in the charting?
15	Q. In some instances with regard to charting,	15	A. No, I did not.
16	did you find that there was just a mention of	16	Q. In fact, there were a couple of quite
17	prescription refill and little else?	17	aberrant chart notes. I'm going to refer you to
18	A. Yes.	18	Patients 1 and 9. Do you know what I'm talking
19	Q. Were there any other charting	19	about?
20	irregularities or anything that left you wondering	20	A. I do.
21	what was actually going on?	21	Q. What were those aberrant chart notes? Go
22	A. Well, in many and really most of the	22	ahead.
23	patients who were getting opioids for pain, there		A. Same shit, different day is what the note
	were not assessments, pain assessments. You know,		said.
25	there wasn't a plan. It was just reason for visit,	25	Q. Did you find charting in the records of an
	Page 697		Page 699
1		1	
1	medication refill, very little documented exam or		effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of
		2	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids?
2 3	medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled.	2 3	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids?A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There
2 3 4	medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were	2 3 4	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids?
2 3 4 5	medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled.Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether	2 3 4 5	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids?A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone
2 3 4 5	medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled.Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we?	2 3 4 5	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids?A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about
2 3 4 5 6	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will 	2 3 4 5 6	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that
2 3 4 5 6 7	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician 	2 3 4 5 6 7	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a benzodiazepine but he did fill the opioids, an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the public's right to now. So I would ask you to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a benzodiazepine but he did fill the opioids, an opioid prescription for that same patient, so kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the public's right to now. So I would ask you to not focus on any of those documents. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a benzodiazepine but he did fill the opioids, an opioid prescription for that same patient, so kind of knowing that that patient was not being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the public's right to now. So I would ask you to not focus on any of those documents. NEWS REPORTER: That's not a problem. No 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a benzodiazepine but he did fill the opioids, an opioid prescription for that same patient, so kind of knowing that that patient was not being completely truthful with him.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the public's right to now. So I would ask you to not focus on any of those documents. NEWS REPORTER: That's not a problem. No recognizable text. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a benzodiazepine but he did fill the opioids, an opioid prescription for that same patient, so kind of knowing that that patient was not being completely truthful with him. Q. You mentioned then two instances where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the public's right to now. So I would ask you to not focus on any of those documents. NEWS REPORTER: That's not a problem. No recognizable text. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a benzodiazepine but he did fill the opioids, an opioid prescription for that same patient, so kind of knowing that that patient was not being completely truthful with him. Q. You mentioned then two instances where outside sources were alerting to what might be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 medication refill, very little documented exam or notes from Dr. Ibsen and then the medications were refilled. Q. Now, we can't say from the chart whether he did or did not perform a full exam, can we? A. It's very difficult to tell. And I will say the midlevel providers, the physician assistants, there is some very excellent assessments in there. I mean, some of them have done a very good job, but in general I did not see those thorough assessments from Dr. Ibsen. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can I interrupt for just a minute? The gentleman with the camera back there. We do have a number of documents on the tables, and I don't know about Ms. Blank's notes, that concern the medical records of a number of people who have privacy interests that we have determined outweigh the public's right to now. So I would ask you to not focus on any of those documents. NEWS REPORTER: That's not a problem. No recognizable text. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	effort to avoid any improper use or diversion of opioids? A. No, I did not. Just the opposite. There were records, in the records documentations of phone calls from other providers giving information about mutual patients where that patient had misled that provider and the provider was letting Dr. Ibsen know that there was documentation from insurance companies informing Dr. Ibsen that a patient had, you know, like I can find that had multiple prescribers and multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. And it didn't appear in fact, one patient, Dr. Ibsen caught that patient. They had asked for a medication and he had found out that they had just got it filled somewhere and he did not fill that medication, but did fill and that was a benzodiazepine but he did fill the opioids, an opioid prescription for that same patient, so kind of knowing that that patient was not being completely truthful with him. Q. You mentioned then two instances where

Ma	rk Idsen, NI.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 700		Page 702
1	A. Correct.	1	actually in the urine. But, yes, there were some
	Q. So could that same information have been		urine drug screens.
	drawn from the MPDR once it went live?		Q. Let's take that up a little bit. So if
	A. Yes, it could have been.		suppose somebody was prescribed oxycodone, they
	Q. In your examination of the two sets of		would test for the presence of oxycodone; is that
	records on the nine, the original set of 800 and	6	
7		-	A. Correct.
	find any MPDR records?		Q. As a qualitative study but not a
	A. I did not.	9	
	Q. You personally, though, examined MPDR	10	
	records on those individuals, didn't you?		test would reveal they took perhaps two and the
	A. Yes, I have.		other two were unaccounted for?
	Q. Did you find instance of early refills?		A. Well, in a quantitative study.
	A. Yes, I did.		Q. But that was not done?
	Q. Just for the record then, what do you mean		A. Correct.
	by an early refill?		Q. Did you find any evidence of pill counts?
	A. An early refill would mean that if a		A. I did not.
18	medication is prescribed as a certain dose and		Q. Now, a great deal of the testimony has
19	quantity and that the day's supply would be		centered on the concept of wearing. Do you know
20	calculated based on that dose and quantity. So if		what's meant by that term?
	it's supposed to last a 30-day supply, for		A. I do.
	example, but then another prescription would be		Q. What is it?
	written for the same thing and another quantity so		A. Weaning would mean tapering off, so
	that that person, that patient was getting extra		Q. Do you participate in weaning or tapering
	based on the plan of the first prescription.		as part of your job today?
2.5	based on the plan of the first prescription.	2.5	us part of your job today.
	Page 701		Page 703
1	Q. Now, in fairness, before the MPDR came	1	A. I do. With the pain team that I am
2	online, it would be difficult to know if somebody		involved in, those providers are actively tapering
3	was doctor shopping unless you really studied it,	3	some of their patients.
4	right?	4	Q. So how does that happen in the team that
5	A. Yes, that's true.	5	you just described?
6	Q. But was there ever an instance where		A. Usually I'm asked to do that and come up
7	Dr. Ibsen had offered all of the prescriptions and	7	with a regimen and make out a schedule, a sheet that
8	he, you know, he was the early, he was the sole	8	tells usually week to week what the dosage will be
9	provider. Do you recall such an instance?	9	and the tapers typically are between 8 to 10 to 12
10	A. Yes. There is lots of instances where he	10	weeks.
11	going it is a 20 day gunnly on the preservintion must	1	
	says it's a 30-day supply or the prescription must	11	Q. Who is on the team?
12	last until a certain date, but then another		Q. Who is on the team?A. My team specifically?
12 13		12	
	last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that,	12 13	A. My team specifically?Q. Yes.
13	last until a certain date, but then another	12 13	A. My team specifically?Q. Yes.A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the
13 14 15	last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription.	12 13 14 15	A. My team specifically?Q. Yes.A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the
13 14 15 16	last until a certain date, but then anotherprescription is subsequently written before that,kind of overruling that first prescription.Q. There are other methods to assure that	12 13 14 15 16	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses.
13 14 15 16	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. 	12 13 14 15 16	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed
13 14 15 16 17 18	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you ever see any instances of 	12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you ever see any instances of urinalysis? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations are, again, discussed with the patient and followed
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you ever see any instances of urinalysis? A. I did. Urine drug screening. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations are, again, discussed with the patient and followed through.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you ever see any instances of urinalysis? A. I did. Urine drug screening. Q. Yes. Was that done regularly? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations are, again, discussed with the patient and followed through. Q. Now, one person that you didn't mention as
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you ever see any instances of urinalysis? A. I did. Urine drug screening. Q. Yes. Was that done regularly? A. No. But it was done for some patients. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations are, again, discussed with the patient and followed through. Q. Now, one person that you didn't mention as part of the team is the patient. Are they a unit in
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you ever see any instances of urinalysis? A. I did. Urine drug screening. Q. Yes. Was that done regularly? A. No. But it was done for some patients. And those were all qualitative tests, just meaning 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations are, again, discussed with the patient and followed through. Q. Now, one person that you didn't mention as part of the team is the patient. Are they a unit in the group?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	 last until a certain date, but then another prescription is subsequently written before that, kind of overruling that first prescription. Q. There are other methods to assure that somebody is compliant with a regimen, correct? A. Correct. Q. Did you ever see any instances of urinalysis? A. I did. Urine drug screening. Q. Yes. Was that done regularly? A. No. But it was done for some patients. And those were all qualitative tests, just meaning 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. My team specifically? Q. Yes. A. A pharmacist, a psychologist, the physicians and nurses. Q. And that's a collaborative design? A. Correct. That team's input gets discussed with every patient and the team's recommendations are, again, discussed with the patient and followed through. Q. Now, one person that you didn't mention as part of the team is the patient. Are they a unit in the group? A. Well, they don't participate in the group.

Ivia	rk idsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 704		Page 706
1	decided is communicated with them and sometimes	1	right?
2	they'll ask the committee to reconsider or, you		A. That was a good summation.
3	know, ask something of the committee. But they are		Q. Did your evidence or your review of the
4	not physically present at the meetings.		records or the MPDR bear that out?
	Q. But they're a participant in the program		A. It did not, and not what was provided.
6	then?		Q. There was a substantial difference between
7	A. Yes.	7	the records of the nine, which I think we're calling
8	Q. Is there a written design?	8	28-1 through 9, and the records of the patients from
	A. Yes. There is a pain management agreement	9	Dr. Christensen, which I think we've been calling
10	or really a controlled substance agreement, because	10	
11	the committee does, you know, all controlled	11	
12	substances, so benzodiazepines as well. And that's	12	A. A couple of things that I noticed were
13	outlined in there that their cases will be reviewed	13	
14	by this group and that the group's recommendations	14	
15	are binding.	15	Exhibit 29 patients frequently noted in the
16	Q. So I want to make sure we're talking about	16	
17	the same thing. There is a pain agreement and is	17	the office moved to electronic type of medical
18	there also a tapering agreement or is it all one?	18	records rather than, you know, check boxes and
19	A. Yes, it's all one.	19	handwritten, which these documents were. They were,
20	Q. Did you find any evidence in any of the	20	you know, typed out, electronically generated.
21	nine charts in either set of records of a written	21	Q. Did you find that the new records from the
22	agreement such as that?	22	electronics contained more information, more medical
23	A. I did not.	23	data?
24	Q. Did you find any notes about, whether or	24	A. Well, they definitely were they
25	not it was that formal, about how weaning was going	25	definitely had volume, they had a lot of words. But
	Page 705		Page 707
1	to be accomplished?	1	in my review, which I do need to stipulate was not
	A. No. There were definitely notes about		in-depth, they didn't contain a lot of depth.
	weaning or need to wean or discussed weaning, but no		Q. Are you familiar with the EMRs generally?
	plan or follow-through.		A. I am.
	Q. Did you find that weaning actually	5	Q. Do you read a lot of them?
	occurred?		A. I do.
7	A. In general, no. In some cases there would	7	Q. You said with some regret it sounds like.
8	be an attempt but then several weeks to months later	8	A. Yeah. It's a frequent part of my job in
9	that patient would be at or above what they started,	9	the hospital is to be reviewing patient records for
10	where they were at when that weaning note was	10	a multitude of reasons, for drug usage or adverse
11	written.	11	drug events or medication errors.
12	Q. Is there any evidence of the patient's	12	Q. So on those EMRs
13	investment in weaning?	13	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry. Can
14	A. There is some documentation that some	14	you tell us what an EMR is?
15	patients wanted to wean and there also is some	15	THE WITNESS: Electric medical record.
16	documentation that said not, you know, patient not	16	MR. FANNING: Sorry.
17	ready to wean.	17	
18	Q. Is the patient's investment a likely	18	each time or are those field of data auto-populated?
19	outcome of success?	19	
20	A. Yes, it is.	20	the EMR that are, you know, discrete fields that
21	Q. Did you have a chance to review	21	must always be populated and there are some that are
22	Dr. Anderson's expert witness disclosure?	22	kind of auto-populated and you fill in. It really
	A. I did.	23	
	Q. And generally he concluded that Dr. Ibsen	24	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
25	achieved extraordinary weaning results; is that	25	between the sets was the appearance of the MPDR
25			······································

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 708		Page 710
1	records. Did you review those Dr. Christensen	1	Number 1, that same shit, different day, quote.
2	patients thoroughly enough to determine whether or		There were quotes around that, right?
	not the MPDR informed Dr. Ibsen's treatment?		A. You know, I'm sorry. I don't remember.
	A. In the brief scan that I did, it looked		Q. Could that have been what the patient told
	,		
	like even if the patient was getting something		the doctor and he simply wrote it down?
6	somewhere else, Dr. Ibsen was continuing to		A. Perhaps.
7	prescribe. Much like the examples I gave where he		Q. Patient Number 3, you indicated that
8	had a heads-up from other providers but still that	8	fibromyalgia was listed as the reason for the
9	didn't seem to affect his prescribing.	9	medical marijuana authorization but then you said
10	Q. In the face of that data that was in front	10	there was also notation that the patient had chronic
11	of him, was he still offering early refills?	11	pain and sleep disorder. So all of those things
	A. You know, I can't I don't have that		
13	detail.	13	A. They were.
14	MR. FANNING: That's all I have. Thank	14	
15	you.	15	you don't know what caused her fall, whether it was
16	MR. DOUBEK: Yes.	16	ice on the pavement of a convenience store or
17	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Doubek will	17	anything?
18	ask you some questions now.	18	A. Sometimes the details of those falls are
19		19	in the record, one said fell out of a truck, one
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D.	20	said slipped on ice but, no.
21	BY MR. DOUBEK:	21	Q. So you don't know whether the medication
22	Q. I'll try to be quick but I have a number	22	caused her to fall, correct?
23	of questions. Dr. Kneeland said yesterday that	23	A. I do know that medications can contribute
24	there were a number of legitimate reasons for early	24	to falls.
25	refills. Do you agree?	25	Q. Right. As does ice. And you don't know
	Page 709		Page 711
1	-	1	
	A. There can be. Sure.		whether it was a combination
2	A. There can be. Sure.Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps	2	whether it was a combination A. True.
2 3	A. There can be. Sure.Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard	2 3	whether it was a combinationA. True.Q or the ice that caused her to fall?
2 3 4	A. There can be. Sure.Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree?	2 3 4	whether it was a combinationA. True.Q or the ice that caused her to fall?All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I 	2 3 4 5	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is
2 3 4 5	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which 	2 3 4 5 6	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily violating standard of care, true? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective. Q. Do you remember the time frame or the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily violating standard of care, true? A. True. As long as some there is some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective. Q. Do you remember the time frame or the time lapse between the Clindamycin and the Rocephin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily violating standard of care, true? A. True. As long as some there is some certain basic things that are a part of the standard 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective. Q. Do you remember the time frame or the time lapse between the Clindamycin and the Rocephin?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily violating standard of care, true? A. True. As long as some there is some certain basic things that are a part of the standard of care. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective. Q. Do you remember the time frame or the time lapse between the Clindamycin and the Rocephin? A. I don't. I'm sorry. Q. In any event, the patient was still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily violating standard of care, true? A. True. As long as some there is some certain basic things that are a part of the standard of care. Q. You made reference to quotes from a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective. Q. Do you remember the time frame or the time lapse between the Clindamycin and the Rocephin? A. I don't. I'm sorry. Q. In any event, the patient was still complaining about the infection and the doctor felt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. There can be. Sure. Q. He said the pill counts are perhaps recommended but not required or considered standard of care. Do you agree? A. They certainly aren't required, and I guess the standard of care would depend on which clinic you're working in. They may not be a standard of care in his clinic, but I believe in the St. Peter's pain agreement they are a part of the Q. So does the standard of care change depending upon the facility? A. Well, there are recommendations in the instance of responsible opioid prescribing and pain management. And I guess the standard of care, given those recommendations, different places will adopt different parts of those recommendations. Q. So the practice in one facility may be different than the practice in another facility and it doesn't mean that either facility is necessarily violating standard of care, true? A. True. As long as some there is some certain basic things that are a part of the standard of care. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 whether it was a combination A. True. Q or the ice that caused her to fall? All right. Thank you. With regard to Patient Number 5, this is the person who had a dental infection. You recognize that the patient's antibiotic prescriptions were changed, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. And the patient got better from her dental infection, true? A. She did. Q. So the doctor was watching the situation, determined it advisable to change the prescription regimen, it was changed and the patient's infection went away, right? A. I wouldn't necessarily agree with that. I would say that probably the antibiotic she had been taking prior to were becoming effective. Q. Do you remember the time frame or the time lapse between the Clindamycin and the Rocephin? A. I don't. I'm sorry. Q. In any event, the patient was still

IVIA	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 712		Page 714
1	something with a broader-based coverage?	1	than that. Maybe they made phone calls. But it
	A. That's what the record shows, yes.		seems that the pharmacies were filling liberally, as
	Q. With regard to Patient Number 4, you		well as them being prescribed liberally.
	talked about the prescription of amphetamines.		Q. Now, you talked about a shift in the focus
5	Those were initially prescribed by the patient's		of taking care of and addressing folks with cancer
6	psychiatrist, Dr. Tollefson; isn't that true?	6	and that that sort of changed over a period of time
7	A. The records that I show, I believe it was	7	such that there was an emphasis put on patients with
8	Dr. Ibsen.	8	chronic pain who were noncancer patients. Right?
9	Q. But after the patient had seen	9	A. Right.
	Dr. Tollefson, if you know?		Q. So at some point in time the medical
	A. I don't.		community was focusing on the fact that there are
12	Q. You don't have any evidence, do you,	12	
13	whether any of these nine patients diverted any of	13	A. Sure.
14	their prescription medications?	14	Q. And which was not caused by cancer?
15	A. Not from these records.	15	A. Sure.
16	Q. Did St. Peter's Hospital, the patients who	16	Q. And doctors' obligations, from your
17	evidently sought some care from some of the doctors	17	pharmacy background, is to take care of those kinds
18	at St. Peter's Medical Group I assume, is that what	18	of patients; isn't that true?
19	happened? Did some of Christensen's patients seek	19	A. Sure.
20	care from some of the doctors employed by St.	20	Q. And, in fact, do you know anything of the
21	Peter's Hospital?	21	statistics of suicides committed by chronic pain
22	A. I don't know the answer to that question.	22	patients?
23	Q. All you know is that some of them sought	23	A. I do not.
24	to have pain prescription medications filled at	24	Q. I saw an article speaking of the
25	St. Peter's pharmacy?	25	newspaper where an Ohio State football player
	Page 713		Page 715
1		1	
	A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen.		Page 715 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and
			killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a
2 3	A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by	2	killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and
2 3 4	A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen.	2 3 4	killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth.
2 3 4 5	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who 	2 3 4 5	killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also 	2 3 4 5 6	killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain;
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? A. Pharmacists, uh-huh. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? A. Pharmacists, uh-huh. Q. You're not here critical of any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true? A. That's optimal, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? A. Pharmacists, uh-huh. Q. You're not here critical of any pharmacists who might have filled and dispensed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true? A. That's optimal, yes. Q. Are you aware of any of these nine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? A. Pharmacists, uh-huh. Q. You're not here critical of any pharmacists who might have filled and dispensed prescriptions for pain meds, are you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true? A. That's optimal, yes. Q. Are you aware of any of these nine patients who didn't have a good relationship with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? A. Pharmacists, uh-huh. Q. You're not here critical of any pharmacists who might have filled and dispensed prescriptions for pain meds, are you? A. Not a specific pharmacist. But I have to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true? A. That's optimal, yes. Q. Are you aware of any of these nine patients who didn't have a good relationship with Dr. Ibsen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? A. Pharmacists, uh-huh. Q. You're not here critical of any pharmacists who might have filled and dispensed prescriptions for pain meds, are you? A. Not a specific pharmacist. But I have to say why were they filling these medications so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true? A. That's optimal, yes. Q. Are you aware of any of these nine patients who didn't have a good relationship with Dr. Ibsen? A. No. I mean, that's I mean, it's hard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Correct. Written by Dr. Christensen. Where the prescriptions were written by Dr. Christensen. Q. And you don't know whether they also were there any doctors from St. Peter's who prescribed pain medications for them, if you know? A. I don't know. Q. You're not here critical of any prescription actually filled in this case by any or all of the pharmacists, are you? A. I'm sorry? Q. Well, the prescriptions that are referenced, for example, in the PDR were all filled by pharmacists. A. Correct. Q. And before you had the PDR, the prescriptions that these nine patients received were dispensed, filled and dispensed by the pharmacists? A. Pharmacists, uh-huh. Q. You're not here critical of any pharmacists who might have filled and dispensed prescriptions for pain meds, are you? A. Not a specific pharmacist. But I have to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 killed himself and was found in a trash bin with a note that said he couldn't stand the headaches and so forth. There are suicides amongst patients who are not adequately medicated for their chronic pain; isn't that true? A. I would I mean, I heard that story as well and I believe that's why some of that pendulum swung to more aggressively treat chronic pain, for that very reason. Q. Doctor-patient relationship, there are risks with these medications, these prescription pain medications? A. Yes. Q. And because of that, these medications really necessitate a close need for the doctor to listen to his or her patients and for the patient and the doctor to develop a real trust relationship; isn't that true? A. That's optimal, yes. Q. Are you aware of any of these nine patients who didn't have a good relationship with Dr. Ibsen?

Page	716 Page 718
1 the support that Dr. Ibsen has, his patients appear	1 A. No.
2 to really like him and think he is a good provider.	2 Q. And you're not all right. And with
•	
3 Q. Now, you said that the PDR in Montana went	3 regard to Patient Number 4, have you been advised
4 live in October of 2012.	4 that that patient believes and has testified that
5 A. Correct.	5 Dr. Ibsen was the only person to successfully
6 Q. And I think when I deposed you previously	6 address and treat his headache problem?
7 you told me that there were classes and courses for	7 A. I did read that in the paper.
8 the provider so they could learn about it and how to	8 Q. You don't know anything about that
9 use it, true?	9 patient's care before he began seeing Dr. Ibsen, do
10 A. True.	10 you?
11 Q. And you said, I think you said it's still	11 A. I do not.
12 not required for providers to use but certainly they	12 Q. And you don't know whether that patient
13 should?	13 had an oral agreement with Dr. Ibsen concerning his
14 A. Correct.	
	14 medications, do you?
15 Q. And Dr. Ibsen uses it?	15 A. I don't. But I will say that the standard
16 A. He does now it appears from his more	16 is oral agreements in health care, anything oral, if
17 recent records.	17 it's not written down, it's not it didn't happen
18 Q. As I understand it, there is a lag time in	18 or you can't say that it happened.
19 the pharmacy reporting prescriptions to the PDR, and	19 Q. Unless the patient and the doctor both say
20 it might be eight days I think?	20 it did happen, right?
21 A. Maximum of eight days. Some pharmacies	21 A. (Shakes head.)
22 report daily, but the law says you have to report	22 Q. If the doctor and the patient say it did
23 within a week and so technically it could be eight	23 happen, did it happen?
24 days.	24 A. Well, if they said it did but we don't
25 Q. In the usual course a pharmacist fills and	25 know any of the details of that.
-	
Page	717 Page 719
1 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor,	1 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you?
1 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor,	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? And can't verify that, yeah.
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true?
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement.
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? 	 1 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? 2 A. And can't verify that, yeah. 3 Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with 4 the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain 5 agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain 6 but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know 7 whether that recommendation can be enforced by 8 St. Peter's; isn't that true? 9 A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time 10 you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on 11 Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said 12 that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is 13 a pain agreement. 14 Q. So that was just put that was just made
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. 	 1 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? 2 A. And can't verify that, yeah. 3 Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with 4 the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain 5 agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain 6 but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know 7 whether that recommendation can be enforced by 8 St. Peter's; isn't that true? 9 A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time 10 you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on 11 Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said 12 that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is 13 a pain agreement. 14 Q. So that was just put that was just made 15 effective here a week ago?
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement. Q. So that was just put that was just made effective here a week ago? A. Well, I can't
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious 	 1 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? 2 A. And can't verify that, yeah. 3 Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with 4 the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain 5 agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain 6 but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know 7 whether that recommendation can be enforced by 8 St. Peter's; isn't that true? 9 A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time 10 you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on 11 Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said 12 that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is 13 a pain agreement. 14 Q. So that was just put that was just made 15 effective here a week ago? 16 A. Well, I can't 17 Q. Did you get the memo?
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement. Q. So that was just put that was just made effective here a week ago? A. Well, I can't Did you get the memo? A. I didn't.
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious 	 1 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? 2 A. And can't verify that, yeah. 3 Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with 4 the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain 5 agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain 6 but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know 7 whether that recommendation can be enforced by 8 St. Peter's; isn't that true? 9 A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time 10 you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on 11 Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said 12 that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is 13 a pain agreement. 14 Q. So that was just put that was just made 15 effective here a week ago? 16 A. Well, I can't 17 Q. Did you get the memo?
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement. Q. So that was just put that was just made effective here a week ago? A. Well, I can't Did you get the memo? A. I didn't.
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should be a close working relationship between the 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement. Q. So that was just put that was just made effective here a week ago? A. Well, I can't Did you get the memo? B. I didn't. Q. But it wasn't when I took your deposition
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should be a close working relationship between the pharmacist and the physician? 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with 4 the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain 5 agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain 6 but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know 7 whether that recommendation can be enforced by 8 St. Peter's; isn't that true? 9 A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time 10 you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on 11 Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said 12 that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is 13 a pain agreement. 14 Q. So that was just put that was just made 15 effective here a week ago? 16 A. Well, I can't 17 Q. Did you get the memo? 18 A. I didn't. 19 Q. But it wasn't when I took your deposition 20 on October 6th, 2014, right?
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should be a close working relationship between the pharmacist and the physician? A. There should be, yes. Q. And I believe I've asked you previously, 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement. Q. So that was just put that was just made effective here a week ago? A. Well, I can't Q. Did you get the memo? A. I didn't. Q. But it wasn't when I took your deposition on October 6th, 2014, right? A. Well, I would say at least I wasn't aware. Q. But you are the head of pharmacy.
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should be a close working relationship between the pharmacist and the physician? A. There should be, yes. Q. And I believe I've asked you previously, you're not aware of any case where Dr. Ibsen's 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement. Q. So that was just put that was just made effective here a week ago? A. Well, I can't Q. Did you get the memo? A. I didn't. Q. But it wasn't when I took your deposition on October 6th, 2014, right? A. Well, I would say at least I wasn't aware. Q. But you are the head of pharmacy. A. Well, they don't talk to me about their
 dispenses a prescription as ordered by the doctor, true? A. True. Q. If, however, the pharmacist has a legitimate reason to question the prescription, they're not obligated to fill it, right? A. Right. Q. It would be standard of care for a pharmacist to communicate with the prescribing doctor about the reasons for not filling and dispensing an ordered prescription, true? A. Not in every case but, yes, yes. Q. Or if they had a serious question about the prescription? A. Of course, yes. Q. Because when we're dealing with opioids, prescription drug medications that have some serious implications with their use and abuse, there should be a close working relationship between the pharmacist and the physician? A. There should be, yes. Q. And I believe I've asked you previously, 	 Q. Unless they both fleshed it out for you? A. And can't verify that, yeah. Q. Now, you work at St. Peter's and also with the St. Peter's Medical Group. Plans and pain agreements are recommended for doctors treating pain but it is not currently mandatory, nor do you know whether that recommendation can be enforced by St. Peter's; isn't that true? A. Well, and I did tell you that at the time you deposed me. In the paper just the other day, on Tuesday, the vice-president of medical affairs said that that is a policy at St. Peter's, that there is a pain agreement. Q. So that was just put that was just made effective here a week ago? A. Well, I can't Q. Did you get the memo? A. I didn't. Q. But it wasn't when I took your deposition on October 6th, 2014, right? A. Well, I would say at least I wasn't aware. Q. But you are the head of pharmacy.

	k IDSCH, MI.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 720		Page 722
1	committee that reviews pain management care, right?	1	A. Correct.
	A. Right.		Q. But I thought you indicated that some
	Q. And you didn't know about it until you		points were central.
	read it		A. Correct.
	A. Yes, yes. The group that I am working		Q. Is that your testimony?
	with, which is not every provider in St. Peter's		A. Yes.
	Medical Group, I did review the pain management		
	• • • •		Q. What points are central? Would an event
	agreement, had input into it and the plan had been		diagram be that intersection that always applies?
	that that was going to be implemented clinic-wide,		A. For a pain management agreement, I would
	but I know that the providers I'm working with are	10	
	using it. I do not I am not involved in	11	
	day-to-day clinic operations, I'm at the hospital.	12	I I /
	So I can't answer that.	13	
	Q. You're just working for a living?	14	
	A. I am.	15	
	Q. With regard to Patient Number 5, and I	16	
	know this is tough to throw a number at you, she	17	can be an easy way to follow up. And that patient
	testified that Dr. Ibsen successfully addressed and	18	
19	treated her pulmonary embolus. Is that condition a	19	Q. Is it your understanding that that should
20	painful condition or can it be a very painful	20	be in writing?
21	condition?	21	A. Yes.
22 A	A. Not having had a pulmonary embolism, I	22	Q. Do pill counts factor in that?
23	can't speak from experience. But I have a lot of	23	A. Sure. Some agreements
24	experience in caring for people via their	24	Q. I'm just talking about central ones
25	anticoagulation management who have had and that is	25	though, not the variations group to group, but the
	Page 721		Page 723
1	not something that they complain about the pain. At	1	ones that you view as essential to the standard of
	the time they have it, of course, it's like a,		care regardless of the practice.
	presents similar to a heart attack. But		A. Pill counts should be in there. Does that
	subsequently after the acute event, I'm not aware		mean that all of that has to be done in that
			mean that an of that has to be uone in that
-	that that's a painful condition.		
6 (that that's a painful condition.	5	agreement? No. But that should be the patient
	Q. All right. If the patient said she was in	5 6	agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to
7	Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have	5 6 7	agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills
7 8	Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you?	5 6 7 8	agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count.
7 8 9 A	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. 	5 6 7 8 9	agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count.Q. What about some sort of risk assessment,
7 8 9 A 10	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other 	5 6 7 8 9 10	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it,
7 8 9 A 10 11	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments?
7 8 9 A 10 11 12	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should
7 8 9 4 10 11 12 13	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? 	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed.
7 8 9 <i>A</i> 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying?
7 8 9 A 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 1 8 19 (20	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions about the standard of care for physicians. Do you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1, page 63 of the larger of the two binders. Now, that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 (20 21	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions about the standard of care for physicians. Do you recall that?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1, page 63 of the larger of the two binders. Now, that is a chart note on Patient 1 from October 20, 2011,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 (20 21 22 2	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions about the standard of care for physicians. Do you recall that? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1, page 63 of the larger of the two binders. Now, that is a chart note on Patient 1 from October 20, 2011, right?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 1 8 19 (20 21 22 4 23 (Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions about the standard of care for physicians. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. And he indicated, if I can paraphrase, 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1, page 63 of the larger of the two binders. Now, that is a chart note on Patient 1 from October 20, 2011, right? A. Yes. Correct.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 (20 21 22 4 23 (24	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions about the standard of care for physicians. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. And he indicated, if I can paraphrase, that some groups may have slightly different	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1, page 63 of the larger of the two binders. Now, that is a chart note on Patient 1 from October 20, 2011, right? A. Yes. Correct. Q. And can you read for the Hearing Examiner
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 (20 21 22 4 23 (24	 Q. All right. If the patient said she was in a lot of pain immediately after that, you don't have any reason to doubt that, do you? A. No. MR. DOUBEK: I don't have any other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, any redirect? MR. FANNING: Just very, very briefly. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D. BY MR. FANNING: Q. Mr. Doubek asked you a number of questions about the standard of care for physicians. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. And he indicated, if I can paraphrase, 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 agreement? No. But that should be the patient should be made aware that they may be called upon to do a urine drug screen or to bring in their pills for a pill count. Q. What about some sort of risk assessment, do you believe that should be part of it, individualized risk assessments? A. Well, an individual risk assessment should be done before the opioids are even prescribed. Q. Is that standard of care, or do you feel comfortable saying? A. That is I know that that is an element of responsible opioid prescribing. Q. There is a large stack of paper right in front of you and I want you to turn to Exhibit 1, page 63 of the larger of the two binders. Now, that is a chart note on Patient 1 from October 20, 2011, right? A. Yes. Correct. Q. And can you read for the Hearing Examiner

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 2014
Page 724	Page 726
1 I don't know, examination?	1 Q. There is nothing to prevent you from doing
2 A. It says, "Same shit, different day," and	2 anybody's records if you wanted to?
3 "Scar, left knee."	3 A. Well, just like with an electronic medical
4 Q. Is there any quotes around that?	4 record, there is an audit trail and HIPAA rights
5 A. There are not.	5 prevail and so that would I would be violating
6 Q. Now, turn to page 740. That is Patient 9,	6 privacy of anybody who I looked up who was not in my
7 correct?	 7 care or who I was not, you know who I had not
8 A. Correct.	 8 some valid medical reason to be looking.
9 Q. Do you see a date for when that visit is?	9 Q. Do you know, are pain medications, are
10 A. 3-29 of '13.	10 they prescribed to the older part of our population?
11 Q. What is entered for that patient's	11 A. Certainly. Yes.
12 complaint for this day? Read the entirety of it.	12 Q. Is that the largest part?
13 A. It says, "53-year-old female here to get	13 A. I don't have any information on the
14 refill on meds," and that's in one handwriting, and	14 demographics of who receives pain medication. But I
15 then in other handwriting consistent with	15 know from my experience with the St. Peter's Medical
16 Dr. Ibsen's is, "SSDD."	16 Group, yes, there are a lot of very elderly people
17 Q. Is it in quotes?	17 who are getting pain medications. These patients
18 A. It is not.	18 were relatively young patients, so
19 Q. In other words, the chart is limited to	19 Q. There has been some talk about diversion
20 more refills, same shit, different day, basically?	20 and about well, just people getting pills they
21 A. Yes.	21 shouldn't and perhaps selling them or buying them
22 MR. FANNING: No other questions.	22 should t and perhaps sening them of outying them22 illegally. Are the pharmacies doing something to
23 MR. DOUBEK: None on that.	22 Integarly. The the pharmacles doing something to23 do they have cameras in the parking lot to see that
HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I may have a few	24 the people aren't getting the bottle of pills and
25 here. Let me just look through.	25 turning them over to somebody in the parking lot?
23 here. Det me just rook through.	23 turning them over to someoody in the parking lot.
Page 725	Page 727
1 EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D.	1. Is that part of
	 Is that part of A. Well, I can't speak to that for a lot
 2 BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: 3 Q. You said the Montana Prescription Drug 	3 of pharmacies, you know, we don't at St. Pete's. I
4 Registry became effective when?	4 mean, we have cameras outside our pharmacy. But
5 A. Late in October of 2012. And the	 5 that's not there is not somebody actively
	 6 surveilling for what's going down in the parking lot
6 information in the registry, once it went live on7 that October date, late October date, pharmacies had	 after somebody leaves.
	8 Q. And I'm just confused on we have had a
	9 lot of discussion about 30 milligram oxycodone and I
12 Q. Now, who uses that?13 A. So there is an online access to the	
	13 drug has really being around for a long time. So
14 Prescription Drug Registry and only prescribers, so	14 there is not a brand name per se that's commonly
15 that would be physicians, nurse practitioners,	15 recognized for immediate release oxycodone. But the
16 physician assistants, people with prescriptive	16 long-acting or the sustained-release oxycodone, the
17 authority and pharmacists can access that	17 brand name is Oxycontin, and we all know that name, 18 I think That's the long acting
18 information electronically. Law enforcement can get	18 I think. That's the long acting.
 19 information on a single patient with a subpoena. 20 O Would you be able to look up Mr. Fanning's 	19 And just for your information, oxycodone
20 Q. Would you be able to look up Mr. Fanning's21 records?	20 30 milligrams is the immediate release, but there is
	21 an OxyContin 30 milligram tablet and that is the
22 A. Well, I would but I wouldn't. I would	22 extended release.
23 have no reason, unless he was under my care. Unless24 I was filling a prescription for him, I would not	23 Q. And Hydrocone has nothing to do with any24 of that, it's the
25 look up Mr Kapping	
25 look up Mr. Fanning.	25 A. Hydrocone, yes, is just another opioid,

1114			Determber 04, 2014
	Page 728		Page 730
1	but that's a separate entity from oxycodone.	1	Q. Thank you.
	Q. In any of the pain treatment that you've	2	MR. DOUBEK: No other questions.
	talked about, is the ability of a patient to get	3	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any follow-up on
4	their insurance to cover that a factor at all?	4	
	A. If I understand your question, insurance	5	MR. FANNING: Only if it could be
6	companies, prescription insurance plans, yes, they	6	instructed to the Hearing Officer. But, yes,
7			some questions about who has access to the
8	very focused on and they may not cover, based on		MPDR. I think I can clarify that a little bit.
9	a formulary, they may cover OxyContin but they won't	9	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: If you'd like
10	cover Zohydro, which is a new hydrocodone product.		to.
11	But in general insurance companies cover pain	11	
12	medications, but whenever an insurance company is		FURTHER EXAMINATION OF STARLA BLANK, PHARM.D.
13	covering any drug, they have limits of how much a	13	BY MR. FANNING:
14	person can get; usually it's a 30-day supply or a	_	Q. You had a lot to do with that law and the
15	90-day supply for chronic medications. So if		subsequent regulations, didn't you, Ms. Blank?
16	somebody is trying to fill something that's		A. Yes, I did.
17	conflicting with something else that's been filled,		Q. And one of the things that the legislature
18	they might deny payment.	18	was concerned about in denying it the first couple
19	Q. And what about the alternative to	19	of failures was confidentiality?
20	medications? Do you know if the insurance companies		A. Correct.
21	regularly pay for massages and physical therapy and		Q. Were you able to overcome those concerns?
22	chiropractic?		A. Yes.
	A. I'm definitely not an expert in that area.		Q. Are there built-in protections about who
	But, yes, they do pay. Some do; some don't. But I		can access the records?
	will say that it is a challenge, because medications		A. Yes, there are.
	Page 729		Page 731
1	are more likely to be paid for, or easier to be paid	1	Q. And, in fact, there are regulations that
2			follow that up?
3	the alternative types of things that might be very		A. Yes.
4	helpful to patients, acupuncture. But some	4	MR. FANNING: And I'm going to cite the
5	insurances do; some don't.	5	Hearing Examiner to 37-7-1506 and its companion
	Q. Is that just part of the curve, the	6	regs.
	pendulum you talked about that maybe they will at		Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In fact, only certain
8	some point?		individuals can access the MPDR, right?
	A. Good question. I don't know a good	9	
10	philosophical question.	10	
		11	people who can access it are those with specific
	pain before the rise in opioids?	12	training?
	A. That's a very good question. Though I		A. That's correct.
	couldn't quite remember when I graduated from		Q. Who designed the training?
15	pharmacy school or how long I've been a pharmacist.		A. I did.
16	Since I've been practicing there really, I mean,		Q. Yeah, you did. And you offered that
17	that was kind of the start in the very late '80s,	17	
18	early '90s of that pendulum swinging. So in my		A. Correct.
19	training I really learned that you treat pain and	19	Q. So once they've been trained on the
20	pain is what the patient says it is. So I wasn't in	20	limits, they can access it?
21	the medical field at the time when I guess we didn't		A. Correct.
22	treat pain so aggressively.		Q. For whom can a provider access records?
	Q. Did we just suck it up back then?		A. Only for the patients who are in their
	A. The old cowboy mentality. Yeah, I really		care or patients referred to them for their care.
	don't have a good answer to that question.		Q. So if they're considering adopting that
		1	

Mark Ibse	n, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 732		Page 734
1 patien	it?	1	education. At that time Dartmouth was a two-year
2 A. Y			basic sciences curriculum and then you transferred
	re there sanctions if somebody violates	3	somewhere else for your clinical years. And I
	confidentiality rules?		transferred back home to the University of
	es, administrative sanctions, yes.	5	Minnesota. I grew up in Minneapolis. I got my M.D.
	or their licensure?	6	in December of 1972.
7 A. Y	es.	7	Q. From the University of Minnesota?
8 Q. As	s well as criminal violations?	8	A. University of Minnesota. I did a one-year
9 A. Y	es.	9	internship. It was called a rotating medical,
10 HE	ARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you've	10	interim internship in Portland, Oregon, Emanuel
11 satisfi	ed my interests.	11	Hospital. And then returned to the University of
12 MF	R. FANNING: Got it.	12	Minnesota where I did a neurology residency from
13 HE	CARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anything else?	13	July of '74 until July, or the end of June of 1977.
14 MF	R. DOUBEK: No.	14	Q. And what is involved in the or what was
15 HE	CARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you,	15	involved in the residency attendant the specialty
16 Ms. B		16	area of neurology?
	R. DOUBEK: We'll call our next witness.	17	A. Well, neurology is a medical specialty as
18 HE	ARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We'll take a	18	opposed to surgical, a medical specialty that is
	inute recess.	19	involved with the diagnosis and treatment of
	eak taken.)	20	neurologic disorders, disorders of the brain, spinal
	R. FANNING: I rest. I mean, my case in	21	cord, peripheral nerves, muscles, that type of
	is over.		thing.
	ARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I understood		Q. And after you completed your neurology
	but thanks for the		residency, what did you do professionally?
25 MF	R. FANNING: Well, I just wanted to do	25	A. I was in the private practice of neurology
	Page 733		Page 735
1 that so	o we could say that we have just reached	1	in Fargo, North Dakota, and in that capacity I was
	t of inflection.	2	the neurologist that was part of the chronic pain
	R. DOUBEK: Sounds good.	3	management team there. And then end of 1988,
	ARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We're back on	4	basically 1989 through 1991 I was, I moved to
5 the re-	cord and you're going to call	5	Jonesboro, Arkansas. I was with the Northeast
6 Dr. A	nderson.	6	Arkansas Internal Medicine Clinic. I was with 20
7 MF	R. DOUBEK: And we would.	7	other internists. And we kind of got the neurology
8 (W	itness sworn.)	8	program rolling there.
9		9	And I yearned to be back north, maybe more
10 DIREC	CT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON	10	properly, out of the south, and decided to move to
11 BY	MR. DOUBEK:	11	Helena when I found that there was a position here.
12 Q. D	octor, please state your name and	12	So I've been here since 1991, almost all of the time
- ·	cal address.	13	in private practice of neurology.
	harles Bradley Anderson. 729 North Ewing	14	Q. And your private practice was at offices
15 Street		15	at the St. Peter's Hospital?
-	nd what is your current medical status?	16	A. Yes. I rented office space in the
	am retired.	17	basement of St. Peter's to begin with, and then when
-	ongratulations. As of when?	18	they built the Maria Dean Medical Building, I moved
	s of December 20th of 2012.	19	into that. And there were a couple of years in the
	octor, I'd like you to trace your	20	early 2000s that I had a partner. And then just
-	econdary education, medical school, internship	21	before I left St. Peter's in 2006, I was affiliated
	o forth.	22	with another neurologist another year and a half.
	kay. Graduated from Dartmouth College in		Q. The first one would have been Dr. Dietz?
	and I attended Dartmouth Medical School for	104	
-			A. Dr. Mark Dietz, yes.
-	ears, the first two years of my medical		A. Dr. Mark Dietz, yes. Q. And then Mulgrew?

In the Matter of the Proposed Discipline of Mark Ibsen, M.D.	Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 201
Page 736	
1 A. And then Dr. Mulgrew, yes.	1 patients that required continued follow-up, which
2 Q. Doctor, out of your practice of neurology	2 was most of them, I would then see for follow-up
3 at St. Peter's Hospital, can you describe the nature	3 appointments at regular intervals.
4 of your practice?	4 Q. And these were at least a part of these
5 A. It was definitely a general practice of	5 patients were folks that were suffering from chronic
6 neurology. I saw everything from strokes to	6 pain?
7 seizures to Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis,	7 Å. Oh, yes.
8 spinal cord injuries, muscular diseases, peripheral	8 Q. How would you conduct your typical first
9 neuropathies, the whole gamut.	9 visit or your initial visit with these kinds of
10 Q. By the way, were you board certified in	10 patients?
11 neurology?	11 A. Well, my approach was to take a fairly
12 A. Yes, I was.	12 lengthy history. Generally these were patients that
13 Q. And what is required to become a board	13 were fairly complex. Since the physicians in Helena
14 certified neurologist?	14 were not really used to having a neurologist
15 A. Well, you have to have been in practice	15 available, they became quite sophisticated in their
16 for one year and then you pass the test.	16 management of neurologic problems, and so I pretty
17 Q. There is an oral and a written component	17 much saw those that they reserved referring those
18 to the test?	18 patients that were generally more complex to me. So
19 A. Yes.	19 it took a long time to get a detailed history. Then
20 Q. And did you pass that upon initial	20 I would perform a neurologic examination. Of
21 A. Yes, I did. First time, luckily.	21 course, I would have notes that I would get from the
22 Q. At that time were you required thereafter	22 referring physician, I'd review them first and go
23 to recertify in order to keep your board	23 over them with the patient. And then we'd come up
24 eligibility?	24 with a plan, and some of the plan I would carry out
25 A. No. At that time we were not.	25 myself and some of the plan would be recommended to
Page 737	Page 739
1 Q. That's good.	1 the referring physician.
2 A. It was Yes, but, you know, in order to	2 Q. All right. Now, Doctor, in this case I
3 keep up the American Academy of Neurology has	3 want to discuss with you how you went about
4 regular courses in usually April or late March of	
	4 preparing the report that you did in this case, the
5 each year and I tried to attend as many of those	4 preparing the report that you did in this case, the5 documents that you considered and what you did
things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of	
• •	5 documents that you considered and what you did
6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of	5 documents that you considered and what you did6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case.
6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of7 course, now it's online, and I did that.	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists.
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 I came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing.
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 I came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16,
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 I came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16,
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, 14 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over 15 these records.
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, 14 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over 15 these records. 16 Q. Before you prepared your report?
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, 14 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over 15 these records. 16 Q. Before you prepared your report? 17 A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 I came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 18 A. Yes, sir. 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, 14 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over 15 these records. 16 Q. Before you prepared your report? 17 A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight 18 patients was the initial?
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q with that provider? 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, 14 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over 15 these records. 16 Q. Before you prepared your report? 17 A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight 18 patients was the initial? 19 Q. Well, eight and then nine.
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q with that provider? 20 A. Yes, sir. 	 ⁵ documents that you considered and what you did ⁶ generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. ⁷ A. I had access to, remote access to the ⁸ medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review ⁹ those on my computer, print out those things that ¹⁰ needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. ¹¹ I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various ¹² medications and the doses and that type of thing. ¹³ That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, ¹⁴ 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over ¹⁵ these records. ¹⁶ Q. Before you prepared your report? ¹⁷ A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight ¹⁸ patients was the initial? ¹⁹ Q. Well, eight and then nine. ²⁰ A. Yeah. It was a limited number. I hear
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q with that provider? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. How did that work? 	 5 documents that you considered and what you did 6 generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. 7 A. I had access to, remote access to the 8 medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review 9 those on my computer, print out those things that 10 needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. 11 I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various 12 medications and the doses and that type of thing. 13 That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, 14 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over 15 these records. 16 Q. Before you prepared your report? 17 A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight 18 patients was the initial? 19 Q. Well, eight and then nine. 20 A. Yeah. It was a limited number. I hear 21 you talking about 2,800 pages and stuff and I'm
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q with that provider? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. How did that work? 22 A. It worked out pretty well. I was able to 	 ⁵ documents that you considered and what you did ⁶ generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. ⁷ A. I had access to, remote access to the ⁸ medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review ⁹ those on my computer, print out those things that ¹⁰ needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. ¹¹ I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various ¹² medications and the doses and that type of thing. ¹³ That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, ¹⁴ 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over ¹⁵ these records. ¹⁶ Q. Before you prepared your report? ¹⁷ A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight ¹⁸ patients was the initial? ¹⁹ Q. Well, eight and then nine. ²⁰ A. Yeah. It was a limited number. I hear ²¹ you talking about 2,800 pages and stuff and I'm ²² going whoa. I'm glad I was not part of that.
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 I came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q with that provider? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. How did that work? 22 A. It worked out pretty well. I was able to 23 keep I was able to get timely records to the 	 ⁵ documents that you considered and what you did ⁶ generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. ⁷ A. I had access to, remote access to the ⁸ medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review ⁹ those on my computer, print out those things that ¹⁰ needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. ¹¹ I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various ¹² medications and the doses and that type of thing. ¹³ That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, ¹⁴ 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over ¹⁵ these records. ¹⁶ Q. Before you prepared your report? ¹⁷ A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight ¹⁸ patients was the initial? ¹⁹ Q. Well, eight and then nine. ²⁰ A. Yeah. It was a limited number. I hear ²¹ you talking about 2,800 pages and stuff and I'm ²² going whoa. I'm glad I was not part of that. ²³ Q. As I understand it, you reviewed about 850
 6 things as I could, plus subscription courses. Of 7 course, now it's online, and I did that. 8 Q. Was a component of your practice to help 9 manage pain that your patients were having? 10 A. Yes, especially early in my career. Once 11 I came to Helena, as the only neurologist kind of in 12 a five county area, I basically was a consultant for 13 neurologic management, pretty much that was it. I 14 left the primary care management up to the referring 15 physician. 16 Q. So you would act on a consultative basis 17 with that providing 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 Q with that provider? 20 A. Yes, sir. 21 Q. How did that work? 22 A. It worked out pretty well. I was able to 	 ⁵ documents that you considered and what you did ⁶ generally in arriving at your opinions in this case. ⁷ A. I had access to, remote access to the ⁸ medical records at Urgent Care, and I would review ⁹ those on my computer, print out those things that ¹⁰ needed to be printed out, like the medication lists. ¹¹ I constructed for myself spreadsheets of the various ¹² medications and the doses and that type of thing. ¹³ That was pretty much it. I spent I think about 16, ¹⁴ 17 hours. It was close to 20 hours or so going over ¹⁵ these records. ¹⁶ Q. Before you prepared your report? ¹⁷ A. Yes. And that was, I think, eight ¹⁸ patients was the initial? ¹⁹ Q. Well, eight and then nine. ²⁰ A. Yeah. It was a limited number. I hear ²¹ you talking about 2,800 pages and stuff and I'm ²² going whoa. I'm glad I was not part of that.

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 2014
Page 740	Page 742
1 Q. And then you've reviewed, after preparing	1 Q. Now, there has been the issues have
2 your report, you've reviewed other records	2 grown a bit in this matter. One of the issues that
3 concerning these patients?	a has been listed is a criticism or the issue about
4 A. Yes. Subsequently I did receive the newer	4 Dr. Ibsen's charting. In this regard have you had
5 electronic medical record of visits and also	5 experience reviewing charts from other doctors over
6 obtained separate printouts of the MPDR that we've	6 the course of your practice?
7 discussed, or has been discussed previously.	7 A. Yes, I have. From 2000 to 2002 I was the
8 Q. Did you also visit with Dr. Ibsen about	8 chairman of the credentialing committee at
9 his office protocols?	9 St. Peter's, and from 2002 to 2004 I was the chief
10 A. Yes, I did, and with his office manager.	10 of staff at St. Peter's. And during those years,
11 Q. And was that helpful to you?	11 those four years, I had plenty of opportunity to
12 A. Yes, it was. And I have had occasion to	12 look at charts.
13 go down to that clinic from time to time and see how	13 Q. Is it nearly a truism that you never see
14 things work. But, yes, I was in contact with	14 two doctors' charts that resemble each other?
15 Dr. Ibsen quite a bit. If I had questions about any	15 A. Yes, I think that's fair.
16 of these patients that I needed clarification, I	16 Q. Why is that?
17 knew I could contact him.	17 A. Well, I think that most physicians tend to
18 Q. And you did and he responded?	18 be somewhat independent-minded. They have their own
19 A. Yes, I did.	19 idea of how things should be done and their patient
20 Q. Did you learn that there are other, or	20 populations vary a lot. In my case a short, the
21 that Urgent Care Plus and Dr. Ibsen typically had a	21 shortest appointment I had was 30 minutes, the
22 working relationship with other practice modalities	22 longest an hour and a half. Well, these days the
23 such as chiropractic, natural medicine and the like?	23 forces that are on physicians are such that, you
24 A. Yes, I was.	24 know, you don't find many doctors that can spend
25 Q. What did you learn about that?	25 that amount of time.
Page 741	Page 743
1 A. Well, that those other modalities were	1 Q. Now when you say the forces involved are
2 available and were used, you know, chiropractors	2 at play, what do you mean by that?
 3 would see Dr. Ibsen's patients. I couldn't 4 necessarily keep track of all the different 	3 A. Well, the governmental regulatory forces,
	4 the economic forces, the supply and demand forces
 5 individuals, but there were midlevel practitioners 6 and there was naturopaths and, you know, kind of a 	 5 all tend to gang up on an individual practitioner or 6 combine so that it seems like less and less of the
	7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face
8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a	7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the
8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing.
8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients10 that he was caring for?	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour?
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad.
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like?
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes.
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 18 Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you 18 reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time?
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 18 Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea 19 as to how much time you spent talking to Dr. Ibsen, 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you 18 reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time? 19 A. Yes.
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 18 Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea 19 as to how much time you spent talking to Dr. Ibsen, 20 going to Urgent Care Plus and reviewing the 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you 18 reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. True?
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 18 Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea 19 as to how much time you spent talking to Dr. Ibsen, 20 going to Urgent Care Plus and reviewing the 21 additional medical records after you reviewed those 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you 18 reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. True? 21 A. Yes.
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 18 Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea 19 as to how much time you spent talking to Dr. Ibsen, 20 going to Urgent Care Plus and reviewing the 21 additional medical records after you reviewed those 22 you earlier records? Any good estimate as to the 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you 18 reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. True? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. If you had questions about what the
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 18 Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea 19 as to how much time you spent talking to Dr. Ibsen, 20 going to Urgent Care Plus and reviewing the 21 additional medical records after you reviewed those 22 you earlier records? Any good estimate as to the 23 amount of time you spent? 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you 18 reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. True? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. If you had questions about what the 23 records were telling you, were those the occasions
 8 Q. Did you believe that he brought a 9 multidiscipline approach to address the patients 10 that he was caring for? 11 A. It appeared that he did and that that was 12 available and that he did it. 13 Q. And is that true relative to these eight 14 or nine patients that you specifically looked at? 15 A. I can't recall specifically those patients 16 utilizing the chiropractors or the naturopaths, I'm 17 sorry. 18 Q. That's okay. Doctor, do you have any idea 19 as to how much time you spent talking to Dr. Ibsen, 20 going to Urgent Care Plus and reviewing the 21 additional medical records after you reviewed those 22 you earlier records? Any good estimate as to the 	 7 time, the total time, is available for face-to-face 8 contact and more of it's being taken up by the 9 regimented documentation and that type of thing. 10 Q. Sort of like the UPS driver has got to 11 make a certain number of deliveries each hour? 12 A. It's getting to be that bad. 13 Q. And you also see more and more involvement 14 of intermediaries, such as PAs, nurse practitioners 15 and the like? 16 A. Midlevels, yes. 17 Q. And you saw that in the records that you 18 reviewed from Dr. Ibsen's office from time to time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. True? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. If you had questions about what the 23 records were telling you, were those the occasions

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 744		Page 746
1	A. I knew I could call him, and I did. I	1	that they wouldn't have because of your focus,
	mean, I didn't know what the heck is MMJ, and I had		right?
3	to find out it's medical marijuana. I learned a new		A. I presume so.
	one today that SSDD, but I don't plan on using it.		Q. But your focus never was chronic pain
	Q. I've also seen in a lot of doctors'		management, was it?
	records the letters CRS. Is that something you've		A. No.
7	also seen in medical records?		Q. You indicated though that there were
	A. CRS?		A. I must say, even when I was the so-called
	Q. Can't remember stuff.	0 0	director of this pain management program in Fargo,
	A. Oh, yes, yes. And CRA.	10	it was only a part of practice.
	Q. In any event, did you have specific	11	Q. In fact, if I got my notes right, that was
	concerns about Dr. Ibsen's charting?		pretty early in your career?
	A. Well, they weren't the most legible		A. Yes, about 1978 to 1988. That was a
	records. I would say that he was in the lower half		multidiscipline, we had psychiatry, psychology,
	as far as legibility goes. Personally, it was		pharmacy was a big part, neurosurgery, orthopedics.
15	easier for me as a clinician to go through pre	15	Q. After you established your practice here
16 17	MR. FANNING: Excuse me, can I object?	16 17	and began focusing more on neurology, was chronic
	You haven't offered him as an expert and this		pain management part of your practice?
18 19	is beyond the scope of his disclosure, so I'm	18	A. No.
19 20	going to object to that testimony.		Q. And I think you said that you came here,
20 21	MR. DOUBEK: Well, I'm offering him as an		what, around I'm sorry, what
22	expert.		A. 1991.
22 23	MR. FANNING: Okay. Then I would like		Q. So that's not one of the things you
23 24	permission to voir dire. But that doesn't		routinely diagnosed and treated?
24 25			A. Only peripherally.
20	change the fact that that issue wash t	25	A. Only peripherany.
	Page 745		Page 747
1			
	disclosed in your expert witness disclosure	1	O Did you ever teach that chronic pain
	disclosed in your expert witness disclosure.		Q. Did you ever teach that, chronic pain
2	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we	2	management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty
2 3	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and	2 3	management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace?
2 3 4	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll	2 3 4	management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace?A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of
2 3 4 5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and	2 3 4 5	management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace?A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical
2 3 4 5 6	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning.	2 3 4 5 6	management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace?A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School.
2 3 4 5 6 7	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere?
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? A. Specialty. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-601
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? A. Specialty. Q. And general practitioners would consult 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-601 provides us guidance for when an individual is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? A. Specialty. Q. And general practitioners would consult with you on areas that were beyond their area of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-6O1 provides us guidance for when an individual is qualified to testify as a medical expert, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? A. Specialty. Q. And general practitioners would consult with you on areas that were beyond their area of expertise, I guess? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-601 provides us guidance for when an individual is qualified to testify as a medical expert, and quoting from that, he must be licensed and, of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? A. Specialty. Q. And general practitioners would consult with you on areas that were beyond their area of expertise, I guess? A. Or if they just had questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-601 provides us guidance for when an individual is qualified to testify as a medical expert, and quoting from that, he must be licensed and, of course, he is, and "Routinely treats or has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? A. Specialty. Q. And general practitioners would consult with you on areas that were beyond their area of expertise, I guess? A. Or if they just had questions. Q. Sure. And your training and your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-601 provides us guidance for when an individual is qualified to testify as a medical expert, and quoting from that, he must be licensed and, of course, he is, and "Routinely treats or has routinely treated within the previous five
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we deal with the expert witness aspect first and then we'll go back to the question. And I'll have you read it. Go ahead, Mr. Fanning. VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. FANNING: Q. Dr. Anderson, we just met a moment ago for the first time. A. Yes. Q. I'm Mike Fanning. I'm the attorney for the Board. Neurology, is that a A. The board of? Q. Board of Medical Examiners. A. Okay. Q. Neurology is a specialty or a subspecialty? A. Specialty. Q. And general practitioners would consult with you on areas that were beyond their area of expertise, I guess? A. Or if they just had questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 management, I mean, did you ever act as faculty someplace? A. In Fargo I was an assistant professor of neurology at the University of North Dakota Medical School. Q. But, in short, over the last ten years or so here in Helena, you didn't really focus on that and it wasn't as though you were a professor of that or an adjunct anywhere? A. No. MR. FANNING: Well, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'm going to object to him testifying as an expert, and the grounds for that are that while I have every bit of confidence in his skill as a neurologist, the chronic pain management is a specific subset of medicine that he's admitted that he hasn't focused on. And while it's not necessarily directly mandatory, 26-2-601 provides us guidance for when an individual is qualified to testify as a medical expert, and quoting from that, he must be licensed and, of course, he is, and "Routinely treats or has

	Page 748		Page 750
	-		C C
1	subject of the malpractice claim." Again, this		A. Yes.
2	isn't malpractice but standard of care is the		Q. Would you describe your experience in that
3	standard of care.		regard?
4	The other option is, "is or was within the		A. Well, as a co-caregiver for these
5	previous five years an instructor of students,"	5	patients, I care what medications they're taking,
6	and such a thing. So he can't meet that	6	5 1
7	foundation and since he's unable to meet that	7	8
8	foundation, he should be precluded from		Q. And has that always been part and parcel
9	testifying as expert in this issue.		of your practice since arriving in Helena at
10	MR. DOUBEK: If I might respond. That		St. Peter's Hospital?
11	statute does relate to qualifications in a	11	A. Well, since time immemorial, yes.
12	medical malpractice trial, not in a proceeding	12	Q. So even before that, before 1990?
13	such as this. This doctor has testified that	13	A. Yes.
14	he has cared for folks who have had chronic	14	Q. And do you feel competent to render an
15	pain conditions. Starla Blank, the pharmacist,	15	opinion as to whether standard of care was or was
16	testified that they have a lot of family	16	not met by Dr. Ibsen in caring for patients who were
17	practitioners that care for chronic pain	17	receiving pain medications for their chronic pain?
18	patients and, thus, I think this doctor is	18	A. I believe so.
19	qualified to address whether standard of care		Q. Based on what?
20	was met, whether he feels that good practices		A. Based on my overall medical knowledge and
21	were met by Dr. Ibsen relative to the nine	21	my experience in at least treating patients who are
22	chronic pain patients whose medical records he	22	similarly treated.
23	reviewed.		Q. As I understand, a significant amount of
24	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, in looking		your practice while at St. Peter's Hospital has been
25	at the Department's contentions, there are a		in a consultative status, but you are involved, are
	Dana 740		
	Page 749		Page 751
1		1	
1	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts,		Page 751 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently
	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts,	2	you not, in the care of patients who are referred to
2 3	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional	2 3	you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then?
2 3 4	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly	2 3	you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes.
2 3 4 5	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription.	2 3 4	you not, in the care of patients who are referred toyou by other doctors and you treat them concurrentlywith those other doctors then?A. Yes. Yes.MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor.
2 3 4 5	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at	2 3 4 5	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just
2 3 4 5 6	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in	2 3 4 5 6	you not, in the care of patients who are referred toyou by other doctors and you treat them concurrentlywith those other doctors then?A. Yes. Yes.MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20,	2 3 4 5 6 7	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area.	2 4 5 6 7 8 9	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or whether they be tried on one of the newer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or whether they be tried on one of the newer anticonvulsant pain management drugs that have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or whether they be tried on one of the newer anticonvulsant pain management drugs that have been referred to, the Lyrica, the Gabapentin, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. Dr. Anderson, do you have experience in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or whether they be tried on one of the newer anticonvulsant pain management drugs that have been referred to, the Lyrica, the Gabapentin, the Cymbalta, those medications which would frequently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. Dr. Anderson, do you have experience in the management of patients who take prescriptive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or whether they be tried on one of the newer anticonvulsant pain management drugs that have been referred to, the Lyrica, the Gabapentin, the Cymbalta, those medications which would frequently overlap with my dealings with the patient,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	number of areas that it contends regarding monitoring patients, documenting charts, incomplete record keeping and unprofessional responses that would seem are not directly related to excessive narcotic prescription. But from what the doctor has testified to at this point, he has not been really involved in chronic pain treatment for at least some 20, maybe 30 years. So I don't see qualifying him as an expert in that area. MR. DOUBEK: In which area? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, through your expert witness you are trying to defend the doctor against contentions that he excessively prescribed narcotic drugs. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask a few more questions then, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sure. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. Dr. Anderson, do you have experience in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you not, in the care of patients who are referred to you by other doctors and you treat them concurrently with those other doctors then? A. Yes. Yes. MR. DOUBEK: All right. Your Honor. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I'm just going to ask a couple questions. EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING OFFICER SCRIMM: Q. Do you treat them concurrently from what I have heard, other doctors manage the pain, you deal with some other aspect. You're knowledgeable what those other doctors are doing but you're not working with the patient directly on caring for their chronic pain? A. Well, usually I would be involved in some way with their pain, you know, whether it's suggesting that they also go to physical therapy or whether they be tried on one of the newer anticonvulsant pain management drugs that have been referred to, the Lyrica, the Gabapentin, the Cymbalta, those medications which would frequently

Ivia	K 105ch, 141.D.	1	December 04, 2014
	Page 752		Page 754
1	they had a head injury and they've got chronic pain	1	drugs for treatment of other than moderately severe
2	and they've also had seizures, there would be	2	acute pain, but many patients with chronic pain at
3	considerable overlap there.	3	
4	You know, it's something you really can't	4	than a trial basis. You know, I guess Mr. Fanning
5	get away from if you're going to practice medicine.	5	and was it Ms. Blank?
6	I did not primarily prescribe narcotics recently but	6	
7	many of my patients would be on narcotics. I guess		A. Were talking about the whole scenario of
8	that's what I can say.	8	the sociopolitical scene and the pendulum and this
9	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Doubek, why	9	and that. But back in the late '70s and the early
10	don't you offer your expert with some I	10	to mid '80s, you know, the pressures, the same
11	believe Mr. Fanning limited well, I think	11	pressures were there. I mean, we weren't the first
12	there are topics that he may not be an expert	12	people to come up with a multidiscipline pain
13	in but there may be topics he is an expert in	13	management program back in the late '70s, we weren't
14	and I would prefer that you offer him for a	14	the first ones. And we had plenty of experience to
15	more limited purpose than a general purpose.	15	draw on from other large centers in the country.
16	MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, I would offer him	16	The issues have always been related to the
17	for the purposes outlined in his report, which	17	fear of addiction, the reality of dependency,
18	is Exhibit E.	18	dependence, medical dependence, and avoiding the
19	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I don't see how	19	complications of the use of these medications with
20	that statement limits the scope of his	20	the understanding that for whatever reason, they are
21	expertise. So help me out here with what you	21	often necessary.
22	intend to get him to opine about.	22	So you would try to structure a program
23	MR. DOUBEK: Well, I think this doctor is	23	back then that would bring as many resources
24	an expert in pain management. It's been part	24	available to the management of the chronic pain
25	and parcel of his concurrent care of patients	25	patient.
	Page 753		Page 755
1		1	-
	since, as he said, the beginning of his		Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go
2	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to	2	Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain?
2 3	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has	2 3	Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain?A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a
2 3 4	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion	2 3 4	Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain?A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that.
2 3 4 5	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed	2 3 4 5	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at
2 3 4 5 6	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital?
2 3 4 5	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues,	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist?
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist? A. Yes. Q. Have you prepared a report back in February about your initial review of the medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. Doctor, what has been your experience with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist? A. Yes. Q. Have you prepared a report back in February about your initial review of the medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. Doctor, what has been your experience with the use of pain medications?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist? A. Yes. Q. Have you prepared a report back in February about your initial review of the medical records of Dr. Ibsen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. Doctor, what has been your experience with the use of pain medications? A. I assume you are talking now about opiate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist? A. Yes. Q. Have you prepared a report back in February about your initial review of the medical records of Dr. Ibsen? A. Yes, I have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 since, as he said, the beginning of his practice. He knows what kind of care needs to be rendered, he knows what kind of care has been rendered, and he's able to give an opinion about whether Dr. Ibsen's practice conformed with that or not. As far as narcotic overuse or such issues, he's also familiar with that and can opine about that. I can certainly ask the doctor about his experiences with prescription pain medications, but I presumed it was simply implicit in the nature of his practice and has been for many years. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we explore that a little more. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON (Continued) BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. Doctor, what has been your experience with the use of pain medications? A. I assume you are talking now about opiate derivatives. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So you're familiar about how it is you go about treating folks with chronic pain? A. Yes. Yeah. I think that I have had a fair amount of experience with that. Q. And through the time of your tenure at St. Peter's Hospital? A. Yes. Q. In working with other physicians, oftentimes I take it you would let them prescribe the narcotic medications but you would have to be familiar with what they were doing so that you could participate and make sure patients were receiving the best care possible? A. That's correct. Q. And that's something, again, you've done since the beginning of your medical practice as a neurologist? A. Yes. Q. Have you prepared a report back in February about your initial review of the medical records of Dr. Ibsen? A. Yes, I have. Q. And that's based upon what we've already

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 756		Page 758
1	Q. Did you come to any opinions about	1	A. I'd say it would be basically diagnosis.
	Dr. Ibsen's care of those eight or nine patients?		First of all, trying to arrive at a diagnosis, a
	MR. FANNING: Objection.		
3		3	8
4	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We haven't	4	1 /
5	qualified him yet as an expert.	5	is often very useful but sometimes it's not given
6	MR. DOUBEK: I'm sorry.	6	enough emphasis. Once the diagnosis was fairly
7	MR. FANNING: I still don't believe there	7	3 1 7
8	is any foundation under that standard announced	8	8
9	26-2-601. And even if they gained a little	9	1 /
10	ground, there is another Subsection 3 that	10	narcotics being just one of them. And simple
11	requires a person in one medical specialty or	11	recommendations with regard to overlapping
12	subspecialty to adduce evidence that the	12	medications, you know, the simultaneous use of other
13	standard of care overlaps, and we haven't even	13	potentially psychoactive medications as I would call
14	heard that. So I don't think he's capable of	14	them, including benzodiazepines, phenothiazines.
15	doing that based on his own history, but we	15	There is a whole host of drugs that are used
16	haven't even embarked on the second part. So I	16	primarily by psychiatrists, which then might overlap
17	still maintain my objection. And if it's	17	the use of the narcotics. The mechanism or reaction
18	useful, I can offer you a copy of the code, I	18	of the narcotics, whether the narcotics are given
19	happen to have it here.	19	intrathecally through a pump, a pain, opioid pump.
20	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Do you have any	20	You know, following the results, I guess that's
21	case law that that statute is applicable in	21	Q. Making adjustments during that course of
22	licensing cases?	22	time that you're caring for the patient?
23	MR. FANNING: No, other than the fact that	23	A. If not making them myself, then at least
24	as and Mr. Doubek knows better than the rest	24	recommending that my best judgment is that, you
25	of us a standard of care is the ultimate	25	know, this drug be tapered and this one be
	Page 757		Page 759
1		1	
	issue in all of these questions or the standard		emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked
2	issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different	2	emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with
2 3	issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a		emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also
2 3 4	issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves.	2 3 4	emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that
2 3 4 5	issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to	2 3 4 5	emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum
2 3 4 5 6	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to 	2 3 4 5 6	emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) As a part of your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really can't avoid it. Some doctors try, they say I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) As a part of your practice, have you been involved in the management 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really can't avoid it. Some doctors try, they say I don't want to hear it. If you hurt, see someone else or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) As a part of your practice, have you been involved in the management of care for patients with chronic pain? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really can't avoid it. Some doctors try, they say I don't want to hear it. If you hurt, see someone else or see your primary care doctor or whatever.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) As a part of your practice, have you been involved in the management of care for patients with chronic pain? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really can't avoid it. Some doctors try, they say I don't want to hear it. If you hurt, see someone else or see your primary care doctor or whatever. Q. But you haven't done that in the course of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) As a part of your practice, have you been involved in the management of care for patients with chronic pain? A. Yes. Q. And would you describe that in as much 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really can't avoid it. Some doctors try, they say I don't want to hear it. If you hurt, see someone else or see your primary care doctor or whatever. Q. But you haven't done that in the course of your practice?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 issue in all of these questions or the standard of care in one setting can't be any different than the standard of care in another because a patient deserves what a patient deserves. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm not going to qualify the doctor as an expert with regards to chronic pain management. I have not heard anything from him where he was directly managing pain care or even well, period. And then he does, he is qualified regarding the practice of medicine, regarding other allegations of the Board of Medical Examiners regarding some failures with those records and other things, but I cannot qualify him as an expert on chronic pain. MR. DOUBEK: Let me ask one or two more questions, if I might. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. And then we need to move on. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) As a part of your practice, have you been involved in the management of care for patients with chronic pain? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 emphasized. You know, the previous witness talked about multimodality drug use, looking at drugs with different, not only efficacy profiles but also mechanism of action and, you know, making sure that whatever drug was chosen was used to the maximum before deciding that it wasn't working. Q. And are those issues that you're familiar with and have been involved with in the course of your 30 years of practice? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Ibsen is board certified in family medicine and emergency medicine. You are board certified in neurology. Dr. Kneeland is board certified in anesthesiology. Does that make you and Dr. Kneeland, in your view, less qualified to talk about pain management issues than Dr. Ibsen, or is there some commonality involved here? A. The commonality is pain, yes. Pain is so ubiquitous that it hits all specialties. You really can't avoid it. Some doctors try, they say I don't want to hear it. If you hurt, see someone else or see your primary care doctor or whatever. Q. But you haven't done that in the course of

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 760		Page 762
1	Q. So you've cared for patients with chronic	1	Notice of Proposed Department's Action based
	pain, have been involved in the management of their		
	chronic pain for 30-something years now?		of 2013 I wrote that one of the issues was
	A. Yes.		
	Q. Okay.	5	and I don't believe that you're going to find
6	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Perhaps this is	6	that in Dr. Anderson's expert disclosure. So
7	something we'll ultimately end in briefing, but	7	that goes beyond his disclosure and should have
8	I'm going to qualify him as an expert here.	8	been updated if he was going to testify about
9	MR. DOUBEK: Thank you.	9	that. And since he didn't, that should be
10	MR. FANNING: And I understand the ruling,	10	precluded.
11		11	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: And Exhibit E is
12	an expert have some parameters, he can't be an	12	his expert witness disclosure?
13	expert on everything.	13	MR. DOUBEK: Yes.
14	MR. DOUBEK: Well, I hope he qualifies an	14	MR. FANNING: That's not in evidence but
15	expert on the areas that I'm going to go into	15	that's what it is, yes.
16	with him.	16	MR. DOUBEK: I don't think you put any of
17	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: What are those	17	your witness disclosures in. Did you, Mike?
18	areas? Why don't we define this. I think	18	MR. FANNING: No.
19	Mr. Fanning is correct.	19	MR. DOUBEK: Okay.
20	MR. DOUBEK: I think that Dr. Anderson is	20	MR. FANNING: Other than that they're
21	an expert in chronic pain management. I think		pleadings in the record.
	he's an expert and able to testify about	22	MR. DOUBEK: As is this. Okay.
	whether there has been overprescription. I	23	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
	think he is an expert in issues pertaining to		Let's move on.
	the care required of Dr. Ibsen going forward		Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, based upon your
	Page 761		Page 763
1		1	
	with each of these patients. I don't know if		review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and
2	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I	2	review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do
2 3	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view	2 3	review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients
2 3 4	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to	2 3 4	review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids?
2 3 4 5	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an	2 3 4 5	review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues;	2 3 4 5 6	review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids?A. Yes.Q. And what's your opinion?
2 3 4 5 6 7	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was	2 3 4 5 6 7	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language again and again in the ensuing testimony. So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines. Q. And is that the objective that the doctor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language again and again in the ensuing testimony. So you might want to mark that so we can return to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines. Q. And is that the objective that the doctor tries to achieve for patients on chronic pain medications?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language again and again in the ensuing testimony. So you might want to mark that so we can return to it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines. Q. And is that the objective that the doctor tries to achieve for patients on chronic pain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language again and again in the ensuing testimony. So you might want to mark that so we can return to it. MR. FANNING: If I can be heard on one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines. Q. And is that the objective that the doctor tries to achieve for patients on chronic pain medications? A. Yes. I think that that is the objective
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language again and again in the ensuing testimony. So you might want to mark that so we can return to it. MR. FANNING: If I can be heard on one little follow-up issue. And, again, I heard 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines. Q. And is that the objective that the doctor tries to achieve for patients on chronic pain medications? A. Yes. I think that that is the objective that we would all have, no matter what our specialty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 with each of these patients. I don't know if anybody is an expert on charting, but I certainly think that he can talk about his view of the charting and whether it was adequate to inform him so as to allow him to form an opinion about these other issues; overprescribing, whether, you know, there was good pain management as per the records, and I think that's about it. I have to say that the issues grew after the time of Dr. Anderson's initial report but they are what they are and I'm not objecting to that now. But I think he should be qualified to address the same issues that Dr. Kneeland addressed. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we may need to go back to that particular language again and again in the ensuing testimony. So you might want to mark that so we can return to it. MR. FANNING: If I can be heard on one little follow-up issue. And, again, I heard the Hearing Examiner's ruling, but charting is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 review of all the records, talking to Dr. Ibsen and staff and observing his practices at his clinic, do you have an opinion whether any of these patients were overmedicated with opioids? A. Yes. Q. And what's your opinion? A. I think that in all honesty there were I mean, I cannot tell for sure if there were times when they were receiving too much or too little. I don't know for sure. I only know the numbers that were provided to me by I presume the MPDR. And my impression was that, again, at that time that I, the slice of time that I was looking at things, most of those patients were either tapered or were in the process of being tapered from their doses of narcotics and other psychoactive medications like benzodiazepines. Q. And is that the objective that the doctor tries to achieve for patients on chronic pain medications? A. Yes. I think that that is the objective that we would all have, no matter what our specialty is, that the less medication the better, but we have

W a	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 764		Page 766
1 2	great, some patients can be tapered faster than that. Some patients will require a great deal of	1 2	life. Now, some people unfortunately to have a life require indefinite use of narcotics.
3	trust and interaction. It may take a year or two to	3	Q. Doctor, in your experience have you seen a
4	taper them from their medication.	4	trend whereby a lot of doctors just shy away from
5	Q. Doctor, have you ever had patients that	5	assuming care for folks who have chronic pain?
6	you managed or assisted to manage their chronic pain	6	A. I have seen that trend. Apparently the
7		7	witness before me indicated that she wasn't aware of
8	successfully from narcotic pain medications?	8	that trend. But just in my look at the Helena
	A. Unfortunately, yes.	9	community, I would have to say that you're correct.
	Q. Is an alternative to permanent narcotic	10	Q. Dr. Kneeland yesterday testified that his
	pain medication other things such as pain pumps and	11	success rate at weaning patients from narcotic pain
	implantable pain pumps and the like?	12	medication approaches 10 percent. How does that
	A. Yes.Q. Is that why they do the pain pumps, is to	13	compare with what you've seen in the case of these nine patients?
	avoid and be able to better regulate the flow of		
	narcotic?	16	would say that Dr. Ibsen was batting better than
	A. Yes. And theoretically there is some	17	that, considerably better. I wouldn't realize that
18	practical problems. But theoretically by giving the	18	was Dr. Kneeland's testimony.
19	pain medication within the spinal column well,	19	Q. How in the course of your practice would
20	it's certainly true you need much, much less pain	20	you treat a patient who the diagnosis was chronic
21	medication to do that and theoretically at least you	21	pain? What kinds of things would you do for them?
22	avoid the systemic complications, the constipation,	22	A. Well, as has been discussed by other
23	the dry mouth, blurred vision and all the other	23	people, I guess, you try to utilize as many
24	things that a person might get from some of these	24	i i i
25	drugs. If you just deliver a small amount	25	other practitioners from psychologists and
	Page 765		Page 767
1	intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding	1	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may
2	intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain.	1 2	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board.
2 3	intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain.Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in		psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other
2 3 4	intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain.Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better?	2 3 4	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got
2 3 4	intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain.Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better?A. Yes. At the price of having to put this	2	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got
2 3 4 5 6	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is 	2 3 4	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts
2 3 4 5 6 7	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled 	2 3 4 5 6 7	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute? MR. DOUBEK: Certainly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true. Q. And do those people who have permanent 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute? MR. DOUBEK: Certainly. (Off the record briefly.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true. Q. And do those people who have permanent pain problems sometimes require opioids on a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute? MR. DOUBEK: Certainly. (Off the record briefly.) Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, in your review of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true. Q. And do those people who have permanent pain problems sometimes require opioids on a permanent basis? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute? MR. DOUBEK: Certainly. (Off the record briefly.) Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, in your review of the records, did you find any instance where any of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true. Q. And do those people who have permanent pain problems sometimes require opioids on a permanent basis? A. Yes. And, again, what we're looking at is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute? MR. DOUBEK: Certainly. (Off the record briefly.) Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, in your review of the records, did you find any instance where any of these nine patients overdosed or diverted any of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true. Q. And do those people who have permanent pain problems sometimes require opioids on a permanent basis? A. Yes. And, again, what we're looking at is their overall level of function. We want them to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute? MR. DOUBEK: Certainly. (Off the record briefly.) Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, in your review of the records, did you find any instance where any of these nine patients overdosed or diverted any of their narcotic medications?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 intrathecally, which is to say the fluid surrounding the spinal cord and brain. Q. So it gets to where it needs to go in order to address the pain better? A. Yes. At the price of having to put this thing in, this device, which, of course, is expensive, like everything, it has to be refilled from time to time and there are complications, potential infection. Q. I guess the only point I'm trying to ask about is it seems that if you go the route of an implantable pain pump, that decision is made in recognition of the fact that this patient is going to have a permanent problem? A. Yes. Q. And you have had pain patients who have permanent pain problems, correct? A. Like I say, unfortunately, that's true. Q. And do those people who have permanent pain problems sometimes require opioids on a permanent basis? A. Yes. And, again, what we're looking at is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 psychiatrists to orthopedists, whatever. It may have to have you may have counselors on board. And then, of course, you have other modalities, as the pharmacist testified. You've got the contrasting hot and cold packs, you've got anti-imflammatories, NSAIDs, you have steroid bursts that you can use for acute pain flare-ups, which in my experience are generally shorter than I think what she was talking about, but that's just my experience. Chiropractic, you know, naturopathic treatments. I mean, any resources that you can bring into this situation, discussions with the family. You try to gather as many resources as you possibly can. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can we pause there for a minute? MR. DOUBEK: Certainly. (Off the record briefly.) Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, in your review of the records, did you find any instance where any of these nine patients overdosed or diverted any of

IVIA.	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 768		Page 770
1	you to the conclusion that Dr. Ibsen spent	1	Q. Well, and if a patient is still in pain,
	considerable time with his patients?		it's your ethical duty to provide care for that
	-		patient?
3	MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.		1
4			A. Yes. The onus is already on the
	Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Do you have any opinion	5	1 5 7 5
	whether the documentation shows the amount of time	6	Anyway, I have mixed feelings about these
7	1 1	7	1 8
	A. It does.	8	know there are bioethicists that also share those
	Q. And what does it indicate to you?	9	feelings, so it's not like I'm just coming up with
	A. From time to time certainly. I wouldn't	10	
	say every visit, every patient, but certainly there		Q. Now, up until the time that you retired in
12	are mentions of, you know, spent a long time	12	1
13	discussing this issue, whatever the issue.		A. No, I did not.
	Q. Did the documentation that you reviewed		Q. Or did you see the other doctors that you
	indicate that alternatives to narcotics were offered		were concurrently working with use written pain
	to the patients?		
	A. Yes.	17	A. I saw some but I can't give you any
	Q. Do you think that's appropriate?	18	
	A. I think it's very appropriate.		frankly.
	Q. Do you think it's appropriate that		Q. Doctor, in your report I see that you
	considerable time was spent on various occasions		stated that patients requiring narcotics have
	with the patients?	22	1 2
	A. Yes.		you mean?
	Q. And is it essential in the care of		A. Well, I think that what I meant there is
25	patients with chronic pain that there develops a	25	limited options with respect to their caregivers,
	Dere 700		Dave 774
	Page 769		Page 771
	trust relationship between doctor and patient?		which we discussed previously. I think that that
2	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important.		which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling
2 3	trust relationship between doctor and patient?A. Absolutely. It's very important.Q. And why is that?	2 3	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing
2 3 4	trust relationship between doctor and patient?A. Absolutely. It's very important.Q. And why is that?A. The patients must understand that you're	2 3 4	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and
2 3 4 5	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, 	2 3 4 5	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is
2 3 4 5 6	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or 	2 3 4 5	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going
2 3 4 5 6	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to 	2 3 4 5	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license? A. I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't know. I think that in principle it's an interesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license? A. I believe so. Q. And your opinion in that regard is what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't know. I think that in principle it's an interesting and probably a good idea. It's certainly good to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license? A. I believe so. Q. And your opinion in that regard is what? A. Well, he's a licensed he's a physician
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't know. I think that in principle it's an interesting and probably a good idea. It's certainly good to explain the options and explain what you're doing,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license? A. I believe so. Q. And your opinion in that regard is what? A. Well, he's a licensed he's a physician licensed to practice medicine in Montana and so he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't know. I think that in principle it's an interesting and probably a good idea. It's certainly good to explain the options and explain what you're doing, the risks and benefits. But having a signed,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license? A. I believe so. Q. And your opinion in that regard is what? A. Well, he's a licensed he's a physician licensed to practice medicine in Montana and so he can prescribe the medications and have the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't know. I think that in principle it's an interesting and probably a good idea. It's certainly good to explain the options and explain what you're doing, the risks and benefits. But having a signed, written agreement is, it can cause an ethical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license? A. I believe so. Q. And your opinion in that regard is what? A. Well, he's a licensed he's a physician licensed to practice medicine in Montana and so he can prescribe the medications and have the other interactions with patients that are necessary within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	trust relationship between doctor and patient? A. Absolutely. It's very important. Q. And why is that? A. The patients must understand that you're on their side. Because so many of them have been, I'll use the word fired by other physicians or otherwise fall through the cracks, that they need to have someone that they can trust that they know is interested in their case, they're interested in the patient and not necessarily the numbers. And I have to say that's kind of my issue with these pain contracts, pain management contracts, is they set things up as us, physicians, versus them. It's kind of like the assumption of guilt, you know, until proven otherwise. We don't count the pills of people taking Digoxin or, you know, an anticoagulant. We don't demand that they're on time for their appointments. I don't know. I think that in principle it's an interesting and probably a good idea. It's certainly good to explain the options and explain what you're doing, the risks and benefits. But having a signed,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 which we discussed previously. I think that that is access is a problem. Again, it's my feeling that finding a sympathetic physician who is willing to work with you on prescribing medications and follow up and decriminalizing the interaction is unusual. I think that's, you know, I'm just going to say it's hard to find in Helena. It isn't that there isn't sympathetic doctors here, but the regulatory demands and the legal implications and the concerns about overprescription and such are most doctors, I'd have to say most in my experience don't want to mess with that unless they absolutely have to. Q. Doctor, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Ibsen practiced medicine within appropriate boundaries and guidelines of his license? A. I believe so. Q. And your opinion in that regard is what? A. Well, he's a licensed he's a physician licensed to practice medicine in Montana and so he can prescribe the medications and have the other interactions with patients that are necessary within the scope of a license. I think that's

	Page 772		Page 774
1	respects of his practice relative to these nine	1	way.
	patients?		Q. So you can't say with any certainty
	A. I think he did.		whether or not you had the 800-page stack or the
4	Q. Do you believe that these nine patients		2,800-page stack?
5	should be categorized as difficult patients with	5	A. No.
6	complex chronic pain symptoms?	6	Q. Who had control of the records?
7	MR. FANNING: Objection, leading.	7	Dr. Ibsen, right?
8	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.	8	A. I don't know if he had ultimate control.
9	MR. DOUBEK: That's fine.	9	I was given free access to whatever was available in
10	Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, with regard to	10	their clinic that could be retrieved electronically.
11	these nine patients, can you categorize them as	11	Q. And when did you get that?
12	patients with simple disorders or more complex	12	A. January.
13	disorders or what?	13	THE WITNESS: Was it January?
14	A. Yes.	14	
	Q. And how would you categorize them?		Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So you began your study
	A. Well, I think the majority, if not all,	16	in January, but you can't say with any certainty
	they are complicated, there are complex issues	17	5
	involved. I guess that's it.		wasn't physical?
19	Q. Okay.		A. Yeah. Again, I just reiterate. I know
20	MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I		what I have. I don't know what I didn't have.
21	have.		Q. So could there have been things that you
22	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning?		didn't have?
23	MR. FANNING: Thank you.		A. There could have been.
24			Q. In the course of your long career as a
25		25	neurologist, did you ever have to sit down with the
	Page 773		Page 775
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON	1	DEA and get coaching on how to prescribe narcotics?
2	BY MR. FANNING:		
			A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them?
3		2	A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them?
	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that	2 3	
4	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that	2 3 4	A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them?Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing
4 5	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson,	2 3 4 5	A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them?Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics.
4 5 6	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There	2 3 4 5 6	A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them?Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics.A. Oh, no.
4 5 6	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual?
4 5 6 7	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that
4 5 7 8 9	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that
4 5 7 8 9 10	Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything? A. I understood I had access to everything. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything? A. I understood I had access to everything. Q. Do you know which stack you considered 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify me this the pre-PDR days do you realize that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything? A. I understood I had access to everything. Q. Do you know which stack you considered when you completed your opinion back in February? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify me this the pre-PDR days do you realize that Joe Blow is getting stuff from someplace else? No,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything. Q. Do you know which stack you considered when you completed your opinion back in February? A. I didn't have a physical stack. I had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify me this the pre-PDR days do you realize that Joe Blow is getting stuff from someplace else? No, he didn't tell me about that. Or I may say I did
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything. Q. Do you know which stack you considered when you completed your opinion back in February? A. I didn't have a physical stack. I had access to the records that were online. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify me this the pre-PDR days do you realize that Joe Blow is getting stuff from someplace else? No, he didn't tell me about that. Or I may say I did know about that, in which case I oftentimes have a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything? A. I understood I had access to everything. Q. Do you know which stack you considered when you completed your opinion back in February? A. I didn't have a physical stack. I had access to the records that were online. Q. So Dr. Ibsen had everything then available 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify me this the pre-PDR days do you realize that Joe Blow is getting stuff from someplace else? No, he didn't tell me about that. Or I may say I did know about that, in which case I oftentimes have a discussion with the patient.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything? A. I understood I had access to everything. Q. Do you know which stack you considered when you completed your opinion back in February? A. I didn't have a physical stack. I had access to the records that were online. Q. So Dr. Ibsen had everything then available to you electronically? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify me this the pre-PDR days do you realize that Joe Blow is getting stuff from someplace else? No, he didn't tell me about that. Or I may say I did know about that, in which case I oftentimes have a discussion with the patient. Q. So if the pharmacist had access to a point
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. We got a stack of records, Dr. Anderson, that I've just placed on the table and that represent the records for the nine patients. There is also another stack of records that's L-1 through L-9 is the big stack and our 1 through 9 is a small stack. Did you ask to get the complete set of records? A. I did not ask to get any of the records. Q. Well, was it important to you to have full access to everything? A. Yes. Q. And it would probably be unfair to just study part of it without having access to everything? A. I understood I had access to everything. Q. Do you know which stack you considered when you completed your opinion back in February? A. I didn't have a physical stack. I had access to the records that were online. Q. So Dr. Ibsen had everything then available 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Sit down with the DEA to coach them? Q. No. For them to coach you on prescribing narcotics. A. Oh, no. Q. Would you find that unusual? A. Well, it would be unusual for me. Q. Any of your colleagues ever have that happen to them? A. I don't speak for my colleagues. I don't know. Q. Did you ever have occasions to have discourse with a pharmacist about a course of medication? A. Yes. Q. How did that play out? A. I've had pharmacists call me and notify me this the pre-PDR days do you realize that Joe Blow is getting stuff from someplace else? No, he didn't tell me about that. Or I may say I did know about that, in which case I oftentimes have a discussion with the patient. Q. So if the pharmacist had access to a point of view that you didn't, it was your experience that

	Dere 770		December 04, 2014
	Page 776		Page 778
1	A. Well, again, I know the times they did	1	I've handed you what's been admitted as
2	share it. I don't know when they didn't share it.	2	the Department's 17 and you'll see that that's a
	Q. Of course.	3	photocopy of a prescription label. Do you see that?
	A. Yeah.		A. Uh-huh. Yes.
	Q. So did you find their point of view		Q. Can you read the little cautionary
6	helpful? I mean, was that useful in your medical		instruction on the bottom left corner?
	management?		A. "Caution: Federal law prohibits the
	A. Well, if I found out that they were, for		transfer of this drug to any person other than the
9	example, if a medication that I prescribed would		patient for whom it is," something, something.
	interfere somehow, I have to say most often I had		Q. Sure. Do you see who the patient is?
11	8		A. Mark Ibsen.
	you know, because of some overriding concern. But		Q. Would it be proper in your opinion for
13	sometimes, you know, we can always learn, and		Dr. Ibsen to just hand that over to another
14	especially if I found out in the case of pain	14	individual?
	medication that they were getting it elsewhere, that	15	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, assumes a fact not
16	raised a different dimension.	16	in evidence. That isn't what happened and,
17	Q. And I expect you appreciated that, didn't	17	furthermore, the matter was dismissed by the
	you?	18	
	A. Yes.	19	MR. FANNING: Okay.
_	Q. Did you find that there was friction	20	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
	between you and the pharmacist when they alerted you		Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Can Dr. Ibsen
	to those facts?		represcribe that in any fashion to a third party?
	A. I don't recall that there was.		A. Represcribe it?
	Q. So you		Q. Yes.
25	A. They were pretty benign interactions.	25	A. You mean write another prescription?
	Page 777		Page 779
	Page 777		Page 779
	Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal		Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that
2	Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal	2	Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that
2 3	Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure	2 3	Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for
2 3 4	Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right?A. As far as like the schedule and the	2 3	Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it?
2 3 4 5	Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right?A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that?	2 3 4 5	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful?
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? A. No, I don't think it is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? A. It's not lawful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? A. No, I don't think it is. Q. Is that generally understood by doctors? A. I think so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? A. It's not lawful. Q. Okay. A. Is it humane? I don't know the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? A. No, I don't think it is. Q. Is that generally understood by doctors? A. I think so. Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? A. It's not lawful. Q. Okay. A. Is it humane? I don't know the circumstances.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? A. No, I don't think it is. Q. Is that generally understood by doctors? A. I think so. Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as wait a minute. These sets of records we might 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? A. It's not lawful. Q. Okay. A. Is it humane? I don't know the circumstances. Q. Right. And we can debate that part.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? A. No, I don't think it is. Q. Is that generally understood by doctors? A. I think so. Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as wait a minute. These sets of records we might refer to, Doctor. Could I get you to grab that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? A. It's not lawful. Q. Okay. A. Is it humane? I don't know the circumstances. Q. Right. And we can debate that part. A. Yeah. Certainly if a patient is about to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Sure. Are you familiar with legal requirements about prescribing narcotics? I'm sure you are, right? A. As far as like the schedule and the triplicate and things like that? Q. Yes. A. I'm aware of some of those things, yes. Q. And you practiced for 30 years, I'm sure you have lots of experience. Is it permissible for a patient to transfer their drug to somebody else when it's prescribed to their name only? A. I would think unless in very unusual circumstances, that's not cool. I mean, if someone runs out and a friend of theirs has and I'm not talking about narcotics necessarily, but Q. I am. A. Okay. All right. Q. It probably happens, but is it lawful? A. No, I don't think it is. Q. Is that generally understood by doctors? A. I think so. Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as wait a minute. These sets of records we might 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Yeah. Now, you said that in a way that you looked puzzled. It's just not ordinary for someone to have a prescription and then represcribe it to somebody else, is it? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Go ahead. A. It's not ordinary. So what are the circumstances? What happened? Can you fill me in on that? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Well, I can if you'd like. The testimony has been that Dr. Ibsen had a patient who was desperate for pain medications so he wrote out a script for his medication to transfer it to that patient. MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's only a part of the story. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Is that lawful? A. It's not lawful. Q. Okay. A. Is it humane? I don't know the circumstances. Q. Right. And we can debate that part.

December 04, 201
Page 782
1 Q. That should be charted, shouldn't it?
2 A. Ideally.
3 Q. Ideally or under the standard of care?
4 A. You know, I think the standard of care
5 would suggest that somewhere in that chart there is
6 an indication of how the patient is doing.
7 Q. Okay. What other expectations do you
8 have, just elemental, to meet the standard of care?
9 A. Well, we talked about informed consent, we
10 talked about routine follow-up. That would include
11 monitoring for side effects and part of the informed
12 consent would be discussing options, other options.
13 I already mentioned using other modalities, other
14 available resources. I'm not sure what you're
15 getting at. If you want to give me a multiple
16 choice, I can say yes or no.
17 Q. All right. Those are the ones off the
18 cuff. Do you understand what a pill count is?
19 A. Yes.
20 Q. Do you believe that a pill count should be
21 part of the standard of care?
22 A. I don't personally believe that.
23 Q. Okay. What about urinalysis?
24 A. I think if you have a question as to
25 whether the patient is taking their medication or
Page 783
1 other, or more importantly perhaps, if they're
i other, or more importantly perhaps, if they re
2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are
2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are
2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis.
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment?
 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose.
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids?
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal.
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum,
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana.
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication?
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication? 19 Q. No.
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication? 19 Q. No. 20 A. Or all medications?
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication? 19 Q. No.
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication? 19 Q. No. 20 A. Or all medications?
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication? 19 Q. No. 20 A. Or all medications? 21 Q. All pain substance or pain control 22 medications for sure.
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication? 19 Q. No. 20 A. Or all medications? 21 Q. All pain substance or pain control 22 medications for sure. 23 A. Yes, I believe that that is there
 2 taking other nonprescribed medications, those are 3 the main reasons for doing the urinalysis. 4 Q. Should that be a qualitative assessment or 5 a quantitative assessment? 6 A. Well, in the real world they're mostly 7 done qualitatively because it is very difficult to 8 correlate a blood level with a certain dose. 9 Q. Is it important to have just a single 10 provider, that is to say, only one person writing 11 that individual opioids? 12 A. Again, I think that's the ideal. 13 Q. I don't want to talk about ideals. I'm 14 sorry, Doctor. I want to talk about standard. I 15 don't want to talk about some unreachable optimum, 16 just the standard of care expected of an ordinary 17 doc in Montana. 18 A. Prescribing the same medication? 19 Q. No. 20 A. Or all medications? 21 Q. All pain substance or pain control 22 medications for sure.

	rk idsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 784		Page 786
1	Q. And if there were, a doctor would want to	1	Q. And that was back in January when you
	act upon that; would he not?		first started
	A. Yeah. And I think that's part of the		A. Right.
	reason for the Physician Drug Registry.		Q analyzing it? Okay. Now, let's take a
	Q. The Prescription Drug		look at the stack that you have in front of you.
	A. The Prescription Drug Registry.	6	And, again, we've been all really cautious about not
	Q. Yeah, or MPDR. So sure, absolutely. In		mentioning names so I'm going to talk about people
8	whatever records you looked at, how many times did		in terms of their exhibit number.
9	you find MPDR records contained within?		A. Okay.
10	A. All of the patients I looked at I had a		Q. And it's tabbed for each patient. Would
11	flow sheet showing, it must have been MPDR records.		you turn to Tab 2 and there at the beginning of that
12	Q. Okay. Now take that large ring binder		we have Exhibit 28-2.
13	that's in front of you, Doctor, and that, again,	13	A. Are you talking about this thing?
14	I'll represent, is a collection of records supplied		Q. I am. One of the things that we talked
15	by Dr. Ibsen's office. We're calling it Exhibits 1	15	about
16	through 9, and within it the document you just	16	A. Just so you understand, I only had access
17	opened is Exhibit 28-1. Do you see that on the	17	to the entries on the second page, of these anyway.
18	bottom right?	18	Well, I shouldn't say that. Yeah, from December of
19	A. Yeah, I had these things.	19	'13 on back is what I had access to.
20	Q. Disregard that for a second.	20	Q. But one of the things we talked about
21	A. Okay.	21	earlier is how, one of the essentials is to only
22	Q. Just look at the records. Apart from	22	have a single provider for narcotics or chronic pain
23	those other exhibits that are included in there for	23	drugs, right?
24	convenience, do you find any, I mean any MPDR	24	A. Uh-huh.
25	records in those 850 pages? Thumb through it. Are	25	Q. Now, if you look back at a couple of
	Page 785		Page 787
1	there any?	1	pages, can you tell me how many providers are there?
2	A. Well, Mr. Fanning, all I can tell you is	2	It will be in the second or third column from the
3	that when I reviewed the records, I had those. I	3	right. Just read them out. Can you see the
4	don't know that they are a part of this binder or	4	columns?
5	what, but I had them in order for me to come up with	5	A. Yep. Okay. Mitchell, Mitchell, Mitchell,
6	my opinion.	6	Mitchell, Mitchell, Williams, Rabold no, no, no,
	Q. Okay. And I appreciate that.	7	Mitchell.
	A. Okay. Isn't that the point?	8	Q. You don't have to read all of them, just
	Q. Here is another stack of records, and this	9	5
10	is the 28 that Respondent has labeled Exhibits L-1	10	there?
11			A. Mulgrew, Mulgrew, Mulgrew, Mitchell. Yes.
10	tell me, is there any one MPDR record contained		Q. Knowles, Sinling, (phonetic) Lay, Coyle,
	-		Jorstad. Right? Go back a page, please, Doctor.
13	within that? Just go ahead and help yourself.		
13	A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can		Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many.
13 14 15	A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used		Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many. Harper. Did you have access to that when you did
13 14 15 16	A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report.	14 15 16	Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many. Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis?
13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report.Q. So when you got your report, you had	14 15 16 17	Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many.Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis?A. I would have.
13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? 	14 15 16 17	Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many.Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis?A. I would have.Q. Does anything about that suggest that this
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? A. Yes. 	14 15 16 17 18 19	Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many.Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis?A. I would have.Q. Does anything about that suggest that this patient should have special scrutiny to make sure
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? A. Yes. Q. All right. 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many.Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis?A. I would have.Q. Does anything about that suggest that this patient should have special scrutiny to make sure that they don't behave like a doctor shopper?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. I remember it very strikingly because I 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many. Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis? A. I would have. Q. Does anything about that suggest that this patient should have special scrutiny to make sure that they don't behave like a doctor shopper? A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. I remember it very strikingly because I wasn't aware that it existed. It was not there when 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many. Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis? A. I would have. Q. Does anything about that suggest that this patient should have special scrutiny to make sure that they don't behave like a doctor shopper? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. I remember it very strikingly because I wasn't aware that it existed. It was not there when I was prescribing medication. This is a new, fairly 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many. Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis? A. I would have. Q. Does anything about that suggest that this patient should have special scrutiny to make sure that they don't behave like a doctor shopper? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that suggested he was attentive to that and
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. I remember it very strikingly because I wasn't aware that it existed. It was not there when I was prescribing medication. This is a new, fairly new development and I thought this is really a neat 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many. Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis? A. I would have. Q. Does anything about that suggest that this patient should have special scrutiny to make sure that they don't behave like a doctor shopper? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that suggested he was attentive to that and responded to it appropriately?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Well, I'll take your word for it. I can only speak to what I reviewed. That's what I used to come up with my report. Q. So when you got your report, you had access to MPDR records on those people? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. I remember it very strikingly because I wasn't aware that it existed. It was not there when I was prescribing medication. This is a new, fairly 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Ellis, Gallis, Rabold. I mean, there are many. Harper. Did you have access to that when you did your analysis? A. I would have. Q. Does anything about that suggest that this patient should have special scrutiny to make sure that they don't behave like a doctor shopper? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that suggested he was attentive to that and

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 788		Page 790
1	specific patient in the references that you're	1	Q. Just one more of these exercises. Let's
			-
2	Q. Let's do this again one time. Would you		turn to Tab 6, please. Now, on the last page, as we've done before, there are a number of different
3			·
	turn, Doctor, to Tab 5? And, similarly, you'll find		prescribers offering controlled substances, correct?
	Exhibit 28-5 in front of that. Now, I'll ask you to		A. Yes.
	just follow along with me to the last page.		Q. And among those Dr. Ibsen, true?
	A. To the last page. Okay.		A. Yes.
	Q. Yes. And we're just going to go from the	8	C
	last page forward.	9	page, at some point, you see at the top, there is a
	A. Okay.	10	change in the quality of that, isn't there? They
	Q. If you look at the date of that very first	11	all are from Dr. Ellis?
12	prescription entered, it was January 13th of 2012.		A. Yes.
	Do you see that?	13	Q. Do you know who Dr. Ellis is?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. I do not.
15	Q. And from there did you note the number of	15	Q. At that point that patient is receiving
16	physicians that that patient saw?	16	exclusively Suboxone prescriptions. Do you see
	A. That she received prescriptions from?	17	
	Q. Correct.	18	A. Yes.
	A. Three.		Q. And then that continues on through 2014
	Q. On that page?	20	principally, doesn't it?
	A. On that page.		A. Yes.
	Q. How many the next page? Page forward one,		Q. What is Suboxone?
	please. It's hard to keep track. Isn't it, Doctor?		A. Suboxone is a narcotic antagonist-
	A. Yeah. You lump the ER physicians		agonist.
	together, since those are ER visits.		Q. Meaning what?
2.5	- Sector, Since more are like the tistor		2
	Page 789		Page 791
1		1	
	Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12?		A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate
2	Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12?A. I think that's probably a good guess.	2	A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator.
2 3	Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12?A. I think that's probably a good guess.Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to	2 3	A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator.Q. And what is it used for?
2 3 4	Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12?A. I think that's probably a good guess.Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about	2 3 4	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's
2 3 4 5	Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12?A. I think that's probably a good guess.Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right?	2 3 4 5	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about talking about that elemental point about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about - talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about - talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about - talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was, can you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? A. I think, yes. Q. And that attention should be recorded in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. So pretty clearly this patient had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? A. I think, yes. Q. And that attention should be recorded in the notes, wouldn't you think? A. Well, I think it would be nice to know 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. So pretty clearly this patient had multiple prescribers over many months and then finally settled into an outpatient addiction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about - talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was, can you? A. I can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? A. I think, yes. Q. And that attention should be recorded in the notes, wouldn't you think? A. Well, I think it would be nice to know that there are multiple physicians prescribing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. So pretty clearly this patient had multiple prescribers over many months and then finally settled into an outpatient addiction treatment plan. We can tell that from these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? A. I think, yes. Q. And that attention should be recorded in the notes, wouldn't you think? A. Well, I think it would be nice to know that there are multiple physicians prescribing medications for this patient. That would be useful 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. So pretty clearly this patient had multiple prescribers over many months and then finally settled into an outpatient addiction treatment plan. We can tell that from these records, can't we?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Is it fair to say there is 10 or 12? A. I think that's probably a good guess. Q. And if we turn the page, we're going to find something similar? Are we're talking about different physicians now, right? A. Right. Q. Okay. Going back to that elemental point about - talking about that elemental point about having just a single prescriber, was there anything in Dr. Ibsen's chart that noted that this person should deserve special attention? A. Well, again, without going through, it's been almost a year since I'd have to go through it. Q. But you can't say that there was, can you? A. I can't say that there was. Q. All right. Would a person who has this difficult history deserve particular attention? A. I think, yes. Q. And that attention should be recorded in the notes, wouldn't you think? A. Well, I think it would be nice to know that there are multiple physicians prescribing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Meaning it has properties of an opiate blocker and an opiate stimulator. Q. And what is it used for? A. It's used for maintenance therapy. It's basically another narcotic. It's like Methadone in the way that you can move someone from heroin to Methadone. You can move them from Hydrocone to Suboxone, although they may withdraw but, yeah. Q. But who is entitled to prescribe Suboxone for maintenance therapy? A. I'm not sure these days who is Q. It's a special qualification under the DEA registrations, isn't it? A. Okay. Q. You know that or you don't know? A. I'll take your word for it. Q. But it's used for addiction treatment, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. So pretty clearly this patient had multiple prescribers over many months and then finally settled into an outpatient addiction treatment plan. We can tell that from these

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 792		Page 794
	Q. Ohm		O Dist Dut this is Eachibit 20 that
	Q. Okay.		Q. Right. But this is Exhibit 28, that
	A. And then she got prescriptions from two		series, is up until mid-November. So that would be
	other doctors.	3	
4	Q. That's all I have of that right now.	4	
5	Thank you, Doctor.		A. So as of now you want to know who is off
6	So the hallmark I think of your expert		
7	witness disclosure was that you were applauding		Q. Yeah. And you can't say?
8	Dr. Ibsen on his unusual skill in weaning patients,		A. I can't say.
9	correct?	9	Q. All right. Did you study Dr. Ibsen's
10	A. Yes.	10	records with an eye towards discussions about
11	Q. And it's your testimony that the evidence	11	weaning?
12	reflects that he's doing a good job of weaning	12	A. Well, I looked for them, yes.
13	patients?	13	Q. And you found those discussions, right?
14	A. Again, the records I had, the slice of	14	A. Yes.
15	time ending in January and not necessarily aware of	15	Q. Is there any reason that a person is
16	what's happened since, but at that time the majority	16	medically required to wait for some event to start
17	of the patients had been, their doses, total doses	17	weaning or could you start immediately?
18	of narcotics had been decreased and in some cases	18	A. I would say that depends on the person and
19	stopped.	19	depends on the event. I mean, if you I'm just
20	Q. But, again, the records that you saw were	20	thinking if I know someone is going to have to pack
21	the ones that were offered to you, the access that	21	up from one house and move to another, maybe now is
22	was offered by Dr. Ibsen, correct?	22	not the time to start weaning.
23	A. I don't know who chose those patients.	23	Q. But that would be in the chart.
24	Q. In your testimony or, excuse me, in your	24	A. You're kind of setting yourself up for
25	disclosure, the very first paragraph do you have	25	failure. I mean, you want to get the situation
	Page 793		Page 795
1		1	
	it handy?		where things are stable for a long period of time.
2	it handy? A. Yes.	2	where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an
2 3	it handy?A. Yes.Q. The very first sentence says you got to		where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just
2 3 4	it handy?A. Yes.Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the	2 3 4	where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that
2 3 4 5	it handy?A. Yes.Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right?	2 3 4 5	where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate?
2 3 4 5 6	it handy?A. Yes.Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right?A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just
2 3 4 5 6 7	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points? A. Well, at least I know what's going through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which ones were as of January. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points? A. Well, at least I know what's going through the mind of the physician.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points? A. Well, at least I know what's going through the mind of the physician. Q. You do? Well, what can you glean from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which ones were as of January. Q. But a more important bit of evidence would be the current MPDR records; do you agree? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points? A. Well, at least I know what's going through the mind of the physician. Q. You do? Well, what can you glean from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which ones were as of January. Q. But a more important bit of evidence would be the current MPDR records; do you agree? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points? A. Well, at least I know what's going through the mind of the physician. Q. You do? Well, what can you glean from that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which ones were as of January. Q. But a more important bit of evidence would be the current MPDR records; do you agree? A. Well, they would include the other ones. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points? A. Well, at least I know what's going through the mind of the physician. Q. You do? Well, what can you glean from that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 it handy? A. Yes. Q. The very first sentence says you got to review spreadsheet and current documents from the Montana Prescription Drug Registry, right? A. Yes. Q. Did that bear on your opinion? A. Yes, it did. Q. But, again, we don't have that in either Exhibit 1 through 9 or L-1 through 9, if you know? A. You mean minus these? Q. No. Those are Exhibit 28. A. Okay. Again, without wading through, I'll take your word for it. I don't know. Q. Which of Dr. Ibsen's patients are now entirely off of narcotics? Now, again, be careful, just the number, please. A. Yeah. And I didn't keep track of which ones were as of January. Q. But a more important bit of evidence would be the current MPDR records; do you agree? A. Well, they would include the other ones. Q. But 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 where things are stable for a long period of time. Q. Because you have to deal with an individual's circumstance. But if a chart note just says wean without any further definition, is that complete, is that adequate? A. Well, if it just says wean, it's just saying wean Q. So it doesn't explain why we're delaying, because of some social or job-related issue, that would not be good enough; is that right? A. Well, if it just says wean, it just says wean. It doesn't say why, it doesn't say why. Q. But in your medical judgment is that adequate charting? A. Well, it wouldn't be for me. Q. Is that adequate medicine to just posit wean followed by three exclamation points? A. Well, at least I know what's going through the mind of the physician. Q. You do? Well, what can you glean from that? Q. Is there a difference between thinking

	rk Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 20
	Page 796	Page 79
1	A. Sure.	1 Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Are you there, Doctor?
	Q. And obviously what we're trying to do is	2 A. Yes.
	execute it, not talk about it?	3 Q. And you see that there is a number of
	A. But one has to precede the other.	4 entries in that handwritten note. But midway
	Q. Agreed. Let's do an exercise with	5 through it can you read the chart note that
	Exhibit 8. And I'm going to ask you to turn to the	6 Dr. Ibsen provided?
7		7 A. It says, "I want to wean off. Coloscopy
8	thing I want to note on this is it appears to be the	8 pending. Dr. Cortese. See Roush."
9	beginning of this set of records, because it's the	9 Q. That's all I need you to touch. So now
	last date. And its date is February 20th, 2011,	10 we're ten months into it and we're still just
	correct? It's up at the top.	11 anticipating weaning.
	A. Oh, February 20th. Yes.	12 A. Okay.
	Q. And this patient embarks on a course of	13 Q. Now page back
	care with Dr. Ibsen. And then let's page back to	14 A. What's with the coloscopy shell?
15	709 if you would, please. MR. FANNING: Do I need to give you the	
16	÷ .	16 Are you with me?
17	dates so you can keep up? I'm sorry. That's	17 A. Not yet. 655. Okay. "Refill meds."
18	February 21, Mr. Doubek.	18 Q. Are you having trouble reading it?
19	MR. DOUBEK: Thanks, Mike.	19 A. Fentanyl, Lortab. I'm not quite sure.
	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So are you with me,	20 Q. Following that?
	Dr. Anderson?	21 A. "Wean after colonoscopy and biopsy.
	A. Uh-huh.	22 Wean."
	Q. Can you read that chart note?	23 Q. So now it's been a full year and all we've
	A. It says, "Recheck in four to six weeks.	24 done is anticipate weaning but apparently no
25	Hope to begin weaning." I think.	25 progress, right?
	Page 797	Page 79
1	-	
	Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So	1 A. No progress with that.
2	Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672.	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with
2 3	Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived?	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR
2 3 4	Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived?A. Yep.	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will
2 3 4 5	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. Is this person still on narcotics?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. Is this person still on narcotics? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. Is this person still on narcotics? A. Yes. And from that page it looks like a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. Is this person still on narcotics? A. Yes. Q. And from that page it looks like a very regular program of steady doses, doesn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. Is this person still on narcotics? A. Yes. Q. And from that page it looks like a very regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. Is this person still on narcotics? A. Yes. Q. And from that page it looks like a very regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? A. Yes. Now, after studying that, can you still
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. Is this person still on narcotics? A. Yes. Q. And from that page it looks like a very regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? A. Yes. Q. Now, after studying that, can you still stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes to see what all happened in there. 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. And from that page it looks like a very regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? A. Yes. Q. Now, after studying that, can you still stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly skilled in weaning patients?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes to see what all happened in there. Q. Fair enough. Now page back to 690 at 	 A. No progress with that. Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will be right there. A. Okay. Q. At the very top you'll see the last charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see that? A. Right. Q. And from that page it looks like a very regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? A. Yes. Q. Now, after studying that, can you still stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly skilled in weaning patients? A. Well, again, that was a statement based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes to see what all happened in there. Q. Fair enough. Now page back to 690 at December 21 of 2012. 	 1 A. No progress with that. 2 Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with 3 respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR 4 records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will 5 be right there. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. At the very top you'll see the last 8 charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see 9 that? 10 A. Right. 11 Q. Is this person still on narcotics? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And from that page it looks like a very 14 regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Now, after studying that, can you still 17 stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly 18 skilled in weaning patients? 19 A. Well, again, that was a statement based on 20 the records I had at the time and some of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes to see what all happened in there. Q. Fair enough. Now page back to 690 at December 21 of 2012. A. I've got June 22nd. 	 1 A. No progress with that. 2 Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with 3 respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR 4 records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will 5 be right there. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. At the very top you'll see the last 8 charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see 9 that? 10 A. Right. 11 Q. Is this person still on narcotics? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And from that page it looks like a very 14 regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Now, after studying that, can you still 17 stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly 18 skilled in weaning patients? 19 A. Well, again, that was a statement based on 20 the records I had at the time and some of these 21 patients that were doing well a year ago are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes to see what all happened in there. Q. Fair enough. Now page back to 690 at December 21 of 2012. A. I've got June 22nd. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Do you mean 590? 	 1 A. No progress with that. 2 Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with 3 respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR 4 records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will 5 be right there. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. At the very top you'll see the last 8 charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see 9 that? 10 A. Right. 11 Q. Is this person still on narcotics? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And from that page it looks like a very 14 regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Now, after studying that, can you still 17 stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly 18 skilled in weaning patients? 19 A. Well, again, that was a statement based on 20 the records I had at the time and some of these 21 patients that were doing well a year ago are not 22 doing so well now. I don't know the reasons why and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes to see what all happened in there. Q. Fair enough. Now page back to 690 at December 21 of 2012. A. I've got June 22nd. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Do you mean 590? Q. (By Mr. Fanning) I meant to say 670. I 	 1 A. No progress with that. 2 Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with 3 respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR 4 records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will 5 be right there. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. At the very top you'll see the last 8 charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see 9 that? 10 A. Right. 11 Q. Is this person still on narcotics? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And from that page it looks like a very 14 regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Now, after studying that, can you still 17 stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly 18 skilled in weaning patients? 19 A. Well, again, that was a statement based on 20 the records I had at the time and some of these 21 patients that were doing well a year ago are not 22 doing so well now. I don't know the reasons why and 23 I can't really comment on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yeah, I think that's what it says too. So that is February 21. Now let's page back to 672. And that is November 20th, 2012. Have you arrived? A. Yep. Q. What's the chart note for November 20th of 2012? A. It says, "Wean," two exclamation points. Q. Anything else? A. No. Well, it says, "Colitis," something, "anxiety, chronic pain." Q. In other words, nine months have passed and we have no indication of what the assessments were, what the changes were, why the delay, it's just now we're still exclaiming wean, right? A. That's true. Q. All right. A. I haven't looked at the intervening notes to see what all happened in there. Q. Fair enough. Now page back to 690 at December 21 of 2012. A. I've got June 22nd. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Do you mean 590? 	 1 A. No progress with that. 2 Q. Now, the last thing I want you to do with 3 respect to Patient Number 8 is look at the MPDR 4 records that are printed on Exhibit 28-8. It will 5 be right there. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. At the very top you'll see the last 8 charted one, it's October 30th of 2014. Do you see 9 that? 10 A. Right. 11 Q. Is this person still on narcotics? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And from that page it looks like a very 14 regular program of steady doses, doesn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Now, after studying that, can you still 17 stand by your opinion that Dr. Ibsen is particularly 18 skilled in weaning patients? 19 A. Well, again, that was a statement based on 20 the records I had at the time and some of these 21 patients that were doing well a year ago are not 22 doing so well now. I don't know the reasons why and

In the Matter of the Proposed Discipline of Mark Ibsen, M.D.

rk idsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
Page 800		Page 802
to you by Dr. Ibsen some ten months ago?	1	when you were all done and you had reviewed
		everything later on, you put in about 50 hours of
		time?
	-	
		A. Total, yeah.
		Q. And I want to ask you about testimony
		relative to informed consent for prescription pain
		medications. You don't typically have the or did
0	-	you in your practice have a patient sign an informed
-		consent form, much like a surgical informed consent
		form for prescription pain medication?
MR. DOUBEK: Just a few.		A. No, I did not.
	12	Q. But you would discuss the efficacy, the
		limitations, the risks, associated with the pain
		medications?
	15	A. Certainly.
	16	Q. You don't have any reason to believe
		Dr. Ibsen didn't do the same thing, do you?
	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A. Yes.	19	Q. And when a patient gets a pain medication
Q. Not Dr. Ibsen?	20	filled at a pharmacy, typically do they not receive
A. That's correct.	21	a lot of information about that medication's use,
Q. And that doctor prescribed levels at least	22	limitations, contraindications?
	23	A. I certainly get a lot.
A. For the most part higher.	24	Q. And that's usually information that comes
		-
Q. And you haven't looked at the cause or the	25	from the PDR?
-	25	
Q. And you haven't looked at the cause or the Page 801	25	from the PDR? Page 803
-		
Page 801	1	Page 803
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor	1	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct?	1 2 3	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No.	1 2 3	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes.
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review,	1 2 3 4 5	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available	1 2 3 4 5	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right.
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you.
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think.
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM:
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that
Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM:
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct?
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes.
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty?
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. Q. And I thought you testified, I just want 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty? A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean,
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. Q. And I thought you testified, I just want to clarify, that when you did your initial review, 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty? A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean, opinions I offered are, I offer are mine. I mean,
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. Q. And I thought you testified, I just want to clarify, that when you did your initial review, you reviewed a lot of records, and then at some 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMMI: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty? A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean, opinions I offered are, I offer are mine. I mean, they're the best I can do at the time.
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. Q. And I thought you testified, I just want to clarify, that when you did your initial review, you reviewed a lot of records, and then at some point in time after you prepared your report, you 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty? A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean, opinions I offered are, I offer are mine. I mean, they're the best I can do at the time. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you very much.
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. Q. And I thought you testified, I just want to clarify, that when you did your initial review, you reviewed a lot of records, and then at some point in time after you prepared your report, you reviewed even more records. Is that true? 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty? A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean, opinions I offered are, I offer are mine. I mean, they're the best I can do at the time. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you.
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. Q. And I thought you testified, I just want to clarify, that when you did your initial review, you reviewed a lot of records, and then at some point in time after you prepared your report, you reviewed even more records. Is that true? A. Yes. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty? A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean, opinions I offered are, I offer are mine. I mean, they're the best I can do at the time. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we
 Page 801 reasons why that's the case and nor has that doctor here at this proceeding, correct? A. No. Q. Now, as I understand your record review, Dr. Ibsen and his office made everything available to you, whatever you wanted they made available to you? A. Yes. MR. FANNING: Objection, leading. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) And you HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. A. I stated that though earlier on, so Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Right. I know. And when you agreed to take a look at the records in this case, did you insist upon having access to everything you wanted to have access to? A. Yes. Q. And I thought you testified, I just want to clarify, that when you did your initial review, you reviewed a lot of records, and then at some point in time after you prepared your report, you reviewed even more records. Is that true? 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Page 803 A. I would imagine. Yeah, it seems like some of it's also interpreted by an English-speaking person so that we can understand it, yes. Q. All right. MR. DOUBEK: Those are all the questions I have. Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have two, I think. FURTHER EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Q. Doctor, the testimony you offered was that this was based on your experience and training; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And any opinions you offered, were those based on a reasonable medical certainty? A. To the best of my knowledge. I mean, opinions I offered are, I offer are mine. I mean, they're the best I can do at the time. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you.
	 to you by Dr. Ibsen some ten months ago? A. Yes. Q. And if there is information that might undermine that, your opinion would have to change, wouldn't it? A. Well, as far as being particularly skilled, you know, again, his record was pretty darn good back then. MR. FANNING: No other questions. Thank you. MR. DOUBEK: Just a few. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON BY MR. DOUBEK: Q. With regard to this patient that you just looked at, the reference to narcotic medications prescribed after May of 2014 were prescribed by another doctor, weren't they? A. Yes. Q. Not Dr. Ibsen? A. That's correct. Q. And that doctor prescribed levels at least as high or higher than Dr. Ibsen, true? 	Page 800to you by Dr. Ibsen some ten months ago?1A. Yes.2Q. And if there is information that might3undermine that, your opinion would have to change,4wouldn't it?5A. Well, as far as being particularly6skilled, you know, again, his record was pretty darn7good back then.8MR. FANNING: No other questions. Thank9you.10MR. DOUBEK: Just a few.111212REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. CHARLES ANDERSON13BY MR. DOUBEK:14Q. With regard to this patient that you just15looked at, the reference to narcotic medications16prescribed after May of 2014 were prescribed by17another doctor, weren't they?18A. Yes.19Q. Not Dr. Ibsen?20A. That's correct.21Q. And that doctor prescribed levels at least22as high or higher than Dr. Ibsen, true?23

	k Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 202
	Page 804		Page 80
1	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Where are we	1	Ibsen. How can I serve you?" and it goes from
2	now?	2	there.
3	MR. DOUBEK: We're going to ask Dr. Ibsen		Q. Before we get to that point, do you have
4	to resume testifying.		monthly staff meetings?
5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay.		A. Yes.
6			Q. And what is covered insofar as long term
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. MARK IBSEN (Continued)		or chronic pain patients are concerned?
8	BY MR. DOUBEK:	8	A. Well, we cover everything at our staff
9	Q. I'm not sure exactly where I left off, so	9	meetings, everything is up for grabs. The
L0	I'll just start anew.	10	departments of our business are empowered to invent
.1	MR. FANNING: Objection.	11	their protocols so that they own them. So around
.2	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: No need. I've	12	the area of nursing, for example, someone may or may
.3	got that one covered.	13	not have a question or some input about a patient.
.4	MR. DOUBEK: I'm not sure what my last	14	Let's discuss Patient 3. What are we up
.5	question was so I'll just start with a	15	to with that patient? So we go over we don't go
.6	different question is what I meant.	16	over every patient that we've seen. Now, we call
.7	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Not all of them	17	back everybody we've seen, so we do have an idea
.8	over again.	18	what's happened three days after they've been seen.
.9	MR. DOUBEK: No, please.	19	So all of the staff is involved in that kind of
0	Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Doctor, tell me about	20	process.
1	your office practices relative to patients who	21	But if one of the employees has a
2	present for care for their pain, chronic pain.	22	question, we'll discuss it. If we have a difficult
	A. Well, that's an evolving process.	23	case, we'll discuss it. If we have a grief-inducing
	Regarding the nine patients, I think you could say	24	case, we'll really discuss that. So there is a lot
25	that each one of those had a unique presentation.	25	of things that we have to process and deal with in
	Page 805		Page 80
1	Patient 4 presented with lack of sleep and a	1	our day-to-day work.
2	tremendous upset in their life, and then later on		Q. Are you familiar with the Substance Abuse
3	had some issues that required pain medication and		and Mental Health Services Administration?
4	then was off each patient had a different type of	4	A. Yeah.
5	presentation. The way I handle everybody is, the	5	Q. SAMHSA?
6	motto is the healing begins when you walk through	6	A. SAMHSA. Yeah.
7	the door. They come in, they register, they say	7	Q. Do they have materials that you utilize in
8	they want to be seen, they identify who the	8	your practice?
9	practitioner is that particular day. Once they're	9	A. At some point during this process, that
.0	registered, unless they're acutely ill and need to	10	booklet was recommended and I said, "Sure, we'll
.1	lie down, then we do bedside registration. But once	11	look at that." And it didn't really particularly
2	they're registered, they'll be taken through a vital	12	change anything we were doing so we brought that in
3	sign station, go to a room, have an evaluation by	13	and had everybody sign it.
4	one of the medical assisting staff.	14	Q. So you had your staff review it?
.5	There are protocols to follow in case,	15	A. Yes.
.6	like if somebody has a problem urinating, they'll	16	
.7	get a urinalysis before they see me. We want to	17	providers at Urgent Care Plus complete the MPDR
8	keep the flow going. Being it's an urgent care, one	18	online training?
9	of the measures of patient satisfaction is how long	19	
20	the wait is and how long it is to get in there and	20	Q. And are you registered and have been
21	out of there.	21	registered for online access to patient histories
22	So there is some lab protocols to follow,	22	and report appropriate pharmacy prescription data to
		1	
23	there is picking the appropriate room to go in. And	23	the MPDR?
23 24	there is picking the appropriate room to go in. And then hopefully I get to that room fairly quickly. And then I introduce myself and I say, "I'm Dr.	24	the MPDR?A. Yes.Q. And are there others in your clinic that
	then hopefully I get to that room fairly quickly.	24	A. Yes.

Mark Ibsen, M	I.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 808		Page 810
1 are simila	rly registered?	1	MR. DOUBEK: I'd offer Exhibit J.
	Every practitioner we have is	2	MR. FANNING: No objection.
3 registered		3	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: J is admitted.
0	s I understand it, it went online in	-	Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Is this something that
-	f 2012 and there was some time that it took	5	goes into a patient's chart or is there a reference
	actitioners, such as yourself, to learn	5	made to the fact you've given a copy of this
-	e it, get registered and so forth. Were	7	Exhibit J to a patient, or how does that work?
	ered shortly after the first of the year?		A. I would say that I referenced Pain
	k January or February of '13.	9	Resource Guide. I think if we totaled up the number
	ou've used it	10	we've passed out, it would probably be in the five
11 A. Regul		11	
-	would you use it? What would		Q. But it's your standard approach now to
	your using the Prescription Drug Registry?	13	give them this unless for some reason they decline
	initially I wasn't used to using it,	14	to receive it?
	d use it on a case where I didn't know		A. Well, yeah. The staff usually injure
	neone was coming from or if they'd seen a	16	themselves by rolling their eyes with yet another
	practitioner. And then it became clear to	17	Pain Resource Guide.
-	t was such a great tool that I instituted a		Q. Doctor, some time ago there was a doctor
	ere I think I'm using it in almost every	19	in Hamilton, Dr. Christensen, who had a large
	I don't know when I wouldn't use it. It's	20	practice devoted primarily to taking care of pain
21 that good		20	patients, folks that were in chronic pain. The
-	e'll look into that in a little more	22	witness from the DEA testified at the earlier
23 detail.	e il look into that il a little more	23	portion of this hearing that he had thousands of
	ng to show you what's been marked	24	patients, there was a newspaper account that said he
-	J. Would you identify that, please?	25	had about 850 chronic pain patients. Whatever the
25 us Exilion	s. Would you identify that, preuse.	23	had about 656 emonie pain parents. Whatever the
	Page 809		Page 811
1 A This f	he Pain Resource Guide.	-	number is, I understand that when his practice
2 Q. What		2	closed, some of those folks came to you to receive
-		2	care. Is that true?
	bout what their possible options are and		A. I wasn't there when all of the stuff
	a lot of repetitive information involved		happened with Dr. Christensen, but I was there when
	d the staff was wondering you know, I		the patients came to see me, yes.
	scharge a patient and I'd say, "Well, get		Q. Approximately how many patients?
	handout and get them that handout. And		A. I think 21, 22.
	to make this over here and I'm going to		Q. So 21 or 22 out of 850 is about, a very
	out," and not be able to read it. So the		small, 2.5 percent?
	of wanted us to have something more		A. 2.5 percent, yeah.
	c that I could point to or circle. And	12	MR. FANNING: Well, object to the question
-	at this is. It's about five pages of	13	because there is no established figure.
	that are available for people in Helena	14	MR. DOUBEK: I agree.
15 who are i			MR. FANNING: But we will agree that there
160 Do vo	-	15 16	-
-	u hand that out to patients then?	16	were 21 or 22 for sure.
17 A. Well,	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a	16 17	were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree.
17 A. Well, 18 chronic p	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the	16 17 18	were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
 17 A. Well, 18 chronic p 19 door that 	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the didn't have any other conversations about	16 17 18 19	 were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by
 A. Well, chronic p door that this in the 	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the didn't have any other conversations about e past we would talk about it. Some	16 17 18 19 20	 were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by these folks?
 A. Well, chronic p door that this in the patients a 	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the didn't have any other conversations about e past we would talk about it. Some ure extremely empowered and in charge of	16 17 18 19 20 21	 were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by these folks? A. They came in and registered.
 A. Well, chronic p door that this in the patients a their pair 	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the didn't have any other conversations about e past we would talk about it. Some are extremely empowered and in charge of a management and they don't need this.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by these folks? A. They came in and registered. Q. Did they come in all together or
 A. Well, chronic p door that this in the patients a their pair Q. When 	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the didn't have any other conversations about e past we would talk about it. Some ure extremely empowered and in charge of	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by these folks? A. They came in and registered. Q. Did they come in all together or A. No. No.
 17 A. Well, 18 chronic p 19 door that 20 this in the 21 patients a 22 their pair 23 Q. When 24 patients? 	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the didn't have any other conversations about e past we would talk about it. Some are extremely empowered and in charge of a management and they don't need this. did you start handing that out to	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by these folks? A. They came in and registered. Q. Did they come in all together or
 A. Well, chronic p door that this in the patients a their pair Q. When 	u hand that out to patients then? someone who is in acute pain, a ain patient who suddenly came through the didn't have any other conversations about e past we would talk about it. Some are extremely empowered and in charge of a management and they don't need this. did you start handing that out to	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 were 21 or 22 for sure. MR. DOUBEK: I agree. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Doubek) How were you contacted by these folks? A. They came in and registered. Q. Did they come in all together or A. No. No. Q or some at a time? Over what period of

	ark Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 812		Page 814
	A. No. Well, it was in April of 2014, yeah.	1	refer to that and see what he's been on. So it
			turns out that the availability of the Prescription
			Drug Registry gave me a tool to see exactly who he
	sweaty and started telling me his story, and I was moved by his dilemma.		had been seeing and what medications he had been on.
	Q. What was his dilemma?		And then I had the opportunity to take a history and
	A. He had been a patient of Dr. Christensen.	5	examine the patient, the scar on his neck, moving
	He stated that he went over there to the office,	6	stiffly and physical exam clearly had him in opiate
		8	withdrawal.
8		-	I was so moved by the drama of this I was
2		9	trying to contact the TV stations. This is news
10		10 11	here. Here is a guy here who is in withdrawal and
11		12	
12			
13	sweating, restless legs and goose flesh.Q. What's that?		available?
	•		A. Yeah.
15	78 I		
16			
17		17	1 ,
18		18	
	• A. Well, I had no idea what Dr. Christensen's		A. Yes.
20	1 5	20	
21			presently?
	e front of me.		A. Oh, no.
	Q. So why did you agree to see these		Q. Does that happen typically in your
	patients?	24	1 , 5 1
25	5 A. My ethical agreement called me to see	25	a time they move on?
			Page 815
	Page 813		Page 815
1	Page 813	1	Page 815 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and
1	these patients.	2	A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and
2	A these patients. 2 Q. Why?	2 3	A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while.
3	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. 	2 3 4	A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while.Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did
4	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's 	2 3 4 5	A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while.Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription
2 3 4 5	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of 	2 3 4 5 6	A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while.Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them?
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy 	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while.Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them?A. Yes.
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that
2 3 4 5 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 10 11 12	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with.
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available. Q. So how did you learn what you needed to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't feel like I was familiar enough with Methadone to
2 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 5	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available. Q. So how did you learn what you needed to learn about these patients in order to adequately 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't feel like I was familiar enough with Methadone to continue that process. So they were on maybe 360
	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available. Q. So how did you learn what you needed to learn about these patients in order to adequately care for them? A. I don't know. I think there is a legal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't feel like I was familiar enough with Methadone to continue that process. So they were on maybe 360 milligrams of oxycodone a day. Most of them weaned
2 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 15 16 15 20 21	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available. Q. So how did you learn what you needed to learn about these patients in order to adequately care for them? A. I don't know. I think there is a legal term, res ipsa loquitur. I was looking at this guy, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't feel like I was familiar enough with Methadone to continue that process. So they were on maybe 360 milligrams of oxycodone a day. Most of them weaned down to maybe 120 milligrams of oxycodone.
2 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 16 19 20 21 22	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available. Q. So how did you learn what you needed to learn about these patients in order to adequately care for them? A. I don't know. I think there is a legal term, res ipsa loquitur. I was looking at this guy, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't feel like I was familiar enough with Methadone to continue that process. So they were on maybe 360 milligrams of oxycodone a day. Most of them weaned down to maybe 120 milligrams of oxycodone. When the barrier that Mr. Gardipee put in at 30 milligrams, I respected that boundary that he set and I immediately started to write for 10
2 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 these patients. Q. Why? A. Well, there is only two things I can do. I can sometime occasionally, maybe, impact someone's life expectancy and save their life. The rest of the people I see, it's about suffering, and this guy was suffering. Q. Did each patient present a different condition? A. Oh, yeah, yeah. The first guy that came in, he had had failed neck surgery, had a lot of back pain. He was on this mix of short-acting and long-acting opiates that seemed like it was huge. Q. So were these patients folks who brought with them a stack of medical records? A. No. Apparently no, they didn't. The records were apparently confiscated, so they were not available. Q. So how did you learn what you needed to learn about these patients in order to adequately care for them? A. I don't know. I think there is a legal term, res ipsa loquitur. I was looking at this guy, he was really sick. Then it was clear to me, oh, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Sometimes the miracles are quick and sometimes they take a while. Q. With regard to these 21 or 22 persons, did you ever report any medication or prescription abuses relative to any of them? A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that. A. At the time, I don't know if you recall the testimony of Mr. Gardipee, he talked about the high number of oxycodone pills that were being taken. And we had an evolving process about that where Mr. Gardipee refused to fill any more of those, which I concurred with. The next few visits people were going from they initially started on Methadone and oxycodone. When I saw them, I didn't give them any Methadone, I just gave them the oxycodone. I didn't feel like I was familiar enough with Methadone to continue that process. So they were on maybe 360 milligrams of oxycodone a day. Most of them weaned down to maybe 120 milligrams of oxycodone. When the barrier that Mr. Gardipee put in at 30 milligrams, I respected that boundary that he set and I immediately started to write for 10

IVIA	IK IDSCH, MI.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 816		Page 818
1	point two of those patients I wrote a prescription	1	of Medicine asked them to come.
2	for oxycodone 10 milligrams, two of those patients		Q. What was the substance of the meeting with
3	altered that prescription. One of them actually had		the DEA at that time?
4	it filled at 30 milligrams, changed a 10 to a 30.		A. That was the first time I had met both
5	The other person was stopped and the prescription	5	
6	wasn't filled.	6	
7	The reason the way we ascertained that	7	
8	that had happened is we take every Schedule II	8	talked about dog mushing, actually. He actually had
9	prescription, fax it to a destination pharmacy. So	9	looked at the house of my dog mushing coach up in
10	they get a fax; then the patient brings the hard	10	Lincoln. So we had something in common. We talked
11	copy with them. I don't see how I could in good		mushing for a while.
12	conscience give a hard written prescription to	12	He told me he had been in Afghanistan for
13	someone who may be about to commit a felony and not	13	
14	do something to prevent it. So I just fax	14	Montana. He asked me after all the icebreaking
15	everything to the pharmacy so they can see a fax and	15	preliminaries were done, he asked me, well, what's
16	then when that hard copy comes and matches up, we're	16	-
17	good. If it doesn't match, we're not.	17	similar to how I'm responding to you. I told him
	Q. So in the case of the person who altered	18	how people come through the door, how they get
19	the prescription, you had faxed an accurate copy of	19	processed, if they're here for a particular
20	the prescription to the pharmacy but they relied	20	complaint we take care of whatever complaint, they
21	upon the hard copy?	21	have to deal with. We refer them when it's
22	A. Correct.	22	appropriate.
23	Q. Evidently didn't look at the faxed copy?	23	And gave them a tour of the whole place.
24	A. Perhaps.	24	Took them down to Natural Medicine Plus so they
25	Q. Who knows. Okay. To your knowledge, is	25	could see we have an open relationship and
	Page 817		Page 819
1		1	-
	that something you're required to do?		multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they
2	that something you're required to do? A. No.	2	multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not.
2 3	that something you're required to do?A. No.Q. And to whom did you report the	2 3	multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together.
2 3 4	that something you're required to do?A. No.Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses?	2 3 4	multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not.We spent about an hour and a half together.Q. Were they critical of your practice in any
2 3 4 5	that something you're required to do?A. No.Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses?A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person	2 3 4 5	multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not.We spent about an hour and a half together.Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect?
2 3 4 5 6	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task 	2 3 4 5 6	multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not.We spent about an hour and a half together.Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect?A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating 	2 3 4 5 6	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you
2 3 4 5 6	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of 	2 3 4 5 6	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was
2 3 6 7 8 9	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to be a set of the set
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't ask to see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you first visited with the DEA. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't ask to see any records, they didn't carry any subpoenas. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you first visited with the DEA. A. It wasn't my reason. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't ask to see any records, they didn't carry any subpoenas. They did carry themselves with their badges. And there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you first visited with the DEA. Q. Okay. What led you to meet with the DEA? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't carry any subpoenas. They did carry themselves with their badges. And there is two things I remember very intently, because it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you first visited with the DEA. Q. Okay. What led you to meet with the DEA? A. They came to my office and I said, "Here I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't ask to see any records, they didn't carry any subpoenas. They did carry themselves with their badges. And there is two things I remember very intently, because it was repeated quite often, they said, "You must be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you first visited with the DEA. Q. Okay. What led you to meet with the DEA? A. They came to my office and I said, "Here I am." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't ask to see any records, they didn't carry any subpoenas. They did carry themselves with their badges. And there is two things I remember very intently, because it was repeated quite often, they said, "You must be careful not to prescribe medications to people who might divert them." And I said to them, "Well, how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that something you're required to do? A. No. Q. And to whom did you report the prescription abuses? A. I called Shane Hiett, who is the person I'm related to at the Missouri River Drug Task Force. He and I have worked together investigating several of my patients who have been accused of fraudulently attempting to obtain narcotics. So I have his predecessor was Tom Clark, I had a great relationship with him as well. And I also called Agent Tuss and Agent Addis from the DEA. Q. And Agent Tuss testified earlier in this proceeding? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about your, the reason why you first visited with the DEA. A. It wasn't my reason. Q. Okay. What led you to meet with the DEA? A. They came to my office and I said, "Here I am." Q. Did they say why they were coming to your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 multidisciplinary hallway. I don't know if they went over to see the physical therapy area or not. We spent about an hour and a half together. Q. Were they critical of your practice in any respect? A. No. Q. Did you ask them questions as to what you could do so that any concerns they might have had and not expressed to you could be alleviated? A. Well, the fact that they were there was anxiety-producing, so I knew that something was up. I was surprised to see them and I was being a little bit cautious about what I was really wanting to discuss with them, because they didn't tell me what they were up, other than they wanted to interview me and review some of my practicing techniques. They didn't review any records, they didn't ask to see any records, they didn't carry any subpoenas. They did carry themselves with their badges. And there is two things I remember very intently, because it was repeated quite often, they said, "You must be careful not to prescribe medications to people who might divert them." And I said to them, "Well, how would I know if they might divert them?" They said,

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 820		Page 822
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the various red flags, traveling a long distance, traveling in a pod or group, having had multiple previous physicians before, asking for particular medications by name, gaming, such as not being able to give a urinalysis if I asked for it, having beady eyes, et cetera, et cetera. So that was all there. And then the other thing they said to me when I asked them, "How should I be managing this, if you have some advice for me?" and they said, "We can't advise you. We're not physicians." Q. Did the way in which you went about prescribing pain medications for these patients of Dr. Christensen's differ from the way you went about prescribing medications for these nine patients? A. Oh, yeah. It differed a lot. Q. How so? A. Well, the patients that had seen Dr. Christensen were on I mean, they were on enough medication to put a city to sleep. So I've never prescribed 30 milligram oxycodone before in my life, and I saw those numbers and I was quite shocked by them. And then when I looked at the Prescription Drug Registry, it was clear to me that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 drug-abusing narcotic patient who is a criminal, did you?" and he said, "No." He said, "I'm just trying to get by in life." Q. And so with regard to each of these folks, did you take a history and conduct a physical examination? A. Yes, sir. Q. Is it reflected in your records? A. Yes, sir. Q. And we'll spend some time on the nine patients in that regard. What kind of conversation did you have with these folks concerning the medications that you would agree to prescribe? A. Well, first of all, I was alarmed that this had happened and I was in a I was disturbed that this had happened, that this could happen, and that patients in a country that stands for human rights would actually do this to people. So I had to deal with all that. I called my office manager and I said, "You've got to come in here because I need a witness." And then we started to film some of these interactions, and I said, "This patient is going to
23 24	this was somewhat habituated to that dose and they	23 24	
25	were clearly tolerating it because they're not dead.	25	to help them with the withdrawal and pain. They've
	Page 821		Page 823
2 3	And this patient in front of me is withdrawing and I've got to I'm ethically obligated to do something about that patient.	2	been on Methadone, we're not going to do that. So we're going to use the amount of oxycodone that they
5 6 7 8	Q. Are you skilled to recognize that?A. Yes.Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for	5 6 7 8	usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some
5 6 7 8 9	Q. Are you skilled to recognize that?A. Yes.Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily	4 5 6 7	usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was 	4 5 7 8 9 10	usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was 	4 5 7 8 10 11 12 13 14	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take care of them. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still. Q. But most of them have moved on?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take care of them. The ones who weren't in withdrawal, they still told a very good story about and they would show me a scar or something like that indicating 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still. Q. Did any of these patients, were they the cause of your subsequent conversations with the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take care of them. The ones who weren't in withdrawal, they still told a very good story about and they would show me a scar or something like that indicating that they had a previous surgery. You know, one of 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?" And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still. Q. But most of them have moved on? A. Yes. Q. Did any of these patients, were they the cause of your subsequent conversations with the pharmacist at Osco?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take care of them. The ones who weren't in withdrawal, they still told a very good story about and they would show me a scar or something like that indicating that they had a previous surgery. You know, one of the guys was a veteran, was grown up in Iraq. I 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still. Q. Did any of these patients, were they the cause of your subsequent conversations with the pharmacist at Osco? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take care of them. The ones who weren't in withdrawal, they still told a very good story about and they would show me a scar or something like that indicating that they had a previous surgery. You know, one of the guys was a veteran, was grown up in Iraq. I thanked him for his service and I said to him, "I 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still. Q. Did any of these patients, were they the cause of your subsequent conversations with the pharmacist at Osco? A. Yes. Q. Tell me about how that led to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Are you skilled to recognize that? A. Yes. Q. How about other patients of these 21 or 22 who came to your office who weren't necessarily exhibiting signs of withdrawal, what did you do for them? A. Several of them did exhibit signs of withdrawal and several of them didn't. There was probably, you know, out of all those 21, I was feeling kind of overwhelmed by the complexity of this whole thing and, yet, I was it was clear to me that these patients had no place else to go. So I considered it my ethical moral obligation to take care of them. The ones who weren't in withdrawal, they still told a very good story about and they would show me a scar or something like that indicating that they had a previous surgery. You know, one of the guys was a veteran, was grown up in Iraq. I 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 usual doses are. And then we're going to once we figure out what their needs are then we're going to taper them. I said that to the patient. I said that to the office manager. I said it to the rest of the team. It's like we're going to take some patients on here that are going to be challenging. I don't see an option. Do you guys?'' And they all agreed but, of course, they're used to agreeing with me. Q. Did your care how many of these 21 or 22 remained patients at your clinic? A. I don't know. I'd have to look. I think I might be seeing one or two or three of them still. Q. Did any of these patients, were they the cause of your subsequent conversations with the pharmacist at Osco? A. Yes.

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 824		Page 826
1	gotten a lot of phone calls from pharmacists and the	1	a bunch of different stakeholders, and the only way
2	same questions would be asked, "Is this the		I know to do that is to put all the stakeholders in
3	medication you intend to prescribe?" And my	3	the room and talk about it.
4	response was somewhat routine, "Yes." So at some	4	So I called the DEA and invited them to
5	point I had fewer and fewer conversations with the	5	this meeting and I called Bob and, well, actually, I
6	pharmacists about a question like that because the	6	didn't, Ellen did at my direction. And so we
7	answer was always the same. So I didn't hear much	7	decided to have a meeting. Bob wasn't able to go
8	from Bob until he said to, he called the office and	8	offsite so we met at Osco.
9	talked to Ellen and said, "I'm not going to	-	Q. And you had your meeting, was that in
10	prescribe these 30 milligrams Oxycodones anymore."	10	about June?
11	I thought it was pretty clear the patients	-	A. Somewhere late June.
12	were tapering and some of them tapered and strictly,		Q. Of this year?
13	steeply, a couple people only saw me once or twice.		A. Yeah.
14	Some of them, when I gave them the 360 oxycodone a		Q. And tell us about the meeting.
15	day without the Methadone they didn't they		A. Well, the meeting was, I thought,
16	weren't able to make it a month. So some of those	16	productive. I wanted to get a clear idea of where
17	patients got an increased dose the next several	17	Bob was coming from in his, you know, strict no more
18	times.	18	30 milligram oxycodone. I wanted to learn what he
19	Q. Of the OxyContin?	19	had to say. I wanted to get the DEA related to a
20	A. Of the oxycodone, but not of Methadone.	20	doctor and a pharmacist working together. Like
21	So most of their pain medicine was in the Methadone.	21	maybe I even wanted to demonstrate that I'm actually
22	So even if they made it through weeks on 360	22	interested in being proactive about this. Here is a
23	oxycodone, I thought that was great compared to what	23	problem, let's deal with it.
24	they were on, and I'd never prescribed medication in	24	So the perceived problem that Bob had was
25	that level before in my life.	25	his corporate office had said that he dispensed more
	Page 825		Page 827
1	Q. So what was the reason why you decided to	1	30 milligram oxycodone than they had in forever, and
	contact Mr. Gardipee?	2	I got that. I wrote more than I'd ever written in
3	A. Well, he was it was clear by his strict	3	forever. I had never written that prescription
4	statement and boundary that he was not going to	4	before April 14th of this year. So it was all new
5	prescribe those anymore, that we had a problem we	5	to me too, and I figured, well, if we're inventing
6	needed to talk about. And I was willing to	6	this together, we should all talk about it and see
7	acknowledge to him at that point that my	7	if there isn't some way to come to some agreements
8	communication hadn't been so great. It had been	8	that would help us get this done.
9	pretty much routine, like, "Do you want to give	9	Q. So going forward, there was an agreement
10	this?" "Yes." And my whole career I've given a lot	10	to prescribe the 10 milligram?
11	of thought to the prescriptions that I write and if	11	A. There was no agreement. Bob said what he
12	I write a prescription, it's because that's the	12	was going to do and he's not going to fill them.
13	prescription I want to write.	13	So, okay, so and he also said that he had called
14	Other communications from pharmacists have	14	all of the other pharmacists in town and talked to
15	been, well, they're allergic to this, you can't give	15	all of them and had agreement from all of them.
16	them that. Of course, we'll give them a	16	Now, I did not survey all of those. So I took him
17	substitution. But these were different kinds of	17	at his word that no one else in town was going to
18	questions.	18	fill these either. So I considered it a limit and a
19	So at that point he's saying, "No. I want	19	boundary, and I think my main thing was to consider
10-	to know why." And I also thought that with this	20	it that it's the patient's got the pain, it's not my
20			
20 21	uncertainty about the DEA, like I wasn't quite sure	21	pain. If there is a limit, then there is a limit.
	uncertainty about the DEA, like I wasn't quite sure why they were here other than the fact that the	21 22	So I immediately started to prescribe
21	uncertainty about the DEA, like I wasn't quite sure why they were here other than the fact that the Board had sent them and I'm in the middle of this	22 23	So I immediately started to prescribe Percocet 10 milligrams to those patients and some of
21 22 23 24	uncertainty about the DEA, like I wasn't quite sure why they were here other than the fact that the Board had sent them and I'm in the middle of this investigation from the Board. I wanted to know	22 23 24	So I immediately started to prescribe Percocet 10 milligrams to those patients and some of them were intolerant of acetaminophen and Tylenol,
21 22 23	uncertainty about the DEA, like I wasn't quite sure why they were here other than the fact that the Board had sent them and I'm in the middle of this	22 23	So I immediately started to prescribe Percocet 10 milligrams to those patients and some of

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 828		Page 830
1	Oxycodones.	1	led to your filing a complaint against Walgreens
	Q. Other than the acetaminophen, is there a	2	over that?
3	fundamental difference between a Percocet and an	_	A. This is my first interaction, you know.
4	oxycodone?	4	T <i>i i i i i i i i i i</i>
	A. Yes.	5	but at the time it was, I was in the dark. So I had
	Q. What is that?	6	a patient who had this was in February. This is
	A. Well, Percocet is harder to abuse than 10	7	not one of the nine patients. She has fibromyalgia
8	milligram oxycodone. I think it's pretty much	8	and had been seeing another physician who released
9	it's pretty well know that the acetaminophen	9	her from care. I think she was three or four days
10	component, if people are intolerant, that's probably	10	early on a couple refills and they decided that they
11	a red flag for people who really just want to get	11	didn't want her anymore.
12	the oxycodone and sell it, snort it, shoot it, all	12	So she came to see me and she was on
13	the other illegal stuff that happens with	13	Hydrocodone for her fibromyalgia. We had extensive
14	prescription narcotics.	14	conversations about how to get off of that. And she
15	Q. Did the DEA weigh in on any aspect of the	15	had weaned a little bit or not at all. So in
16	meeting?	16	February she went and had a dental procedure, a
	A. Yes. The only thing that I was told, I	17	crown or something like that done. And her dentist
18	did hear probably ten more times, that we're not	18	left town and she got an infection. And here is
19	doctors and we can't tell you how to prescribe. And	19	another dental thing. But, anyway, her face was
20	I also heard numerous times that you shouldn't	20	swelled out to here and she was having a lot of
21	prescribe to patients like these and I would say, "A	21	pain. So we intervened with her dental infection,
22	patient like who?" "Patients who might be	22	gave her several series of different antibiotics,
23	diverting." And I said, "Do you have any evidence	23	similar to what you heard about on Patient 5. And
24	that there has been diversion?" And they didn't say	24	she got better.
25	yes and they didn't say no. They said that was	25	In the meantime, she had used up her 130
	Page 829		Page 831
1	their prerogative to share with me or not. So they	1	Hydrocodone on my recommendation and she had also
2	didn't share with me any evidence that everyone had	2	gotten several days of Percocet, because her pain
3	been diverting.	3	
4	Bob did say that one of his staff had seen	4	the fibromyalgia.
5	two trucks next to each other and something change	5	Q. All right.
	hands between them. And he promised to get that		A. At the end of the month, well, March 1st
	video and he got it to the DEA, didn't get it to me.	7	comes along and she came to me saying, "Thank you.
8	But I didn't really need to see it anyway. I didn't	8	My dental infection is better. I appreciate it so
9	think that was I'm no law enforcement person, but	9	much. It's time for my 120 Hydrocodone." So we
10	I didn't think that was anything more than a rumor.	10	talked about the different options and the Pain
11	So the DEA, the last thing they said to me	11	Resource Guide and all that kind of stuff. And she
12	was, "Dr. Ibsen, you are not only risking your DEA	12	said, "Thank you, and I'm not quite ready to wean
13	license by prescribing to these folks, you are	13	yet," and I agreed with her. She had just gotten
14	risking your freedom."	14	over this horrible dental infection. So I wrote her
	Q. So	15	a prescription for her usual 120 of Hydrocodone.
	A. That got my attention and I said, "All	16	And she had gotten that from me for about five
17	right. I want to do this right. How can I do it?"	17	months running and from the previous doctor for a
18	"I can't tell you. We're not doctors."	18	couple years, I think.
19	Q. So going forward, Osco continued to fill	19	So she went up to Walgreens and came back
20	prescriptions for those persons, albeit it at the	20	an hour and a half later in tears and she said they
21	lesser amount?	21	wouldn't fill it. And I said, "Why?" They said,
	A. Correct. Osco and other pharmacies in	22	"Well, it's too much for the pharmacist said it
23	town.	23	was too much." And I thought, "Wow, that's
			3
24	Q. How about the contact that you had with	24	interesting. And the start started to talk. we
	Q. How about the contact that you had with Mr. Otteson at Walgreens? Tell us about that. What	24 25	interesting." And the staff started to talk. We had a busy day, so I had the staff look into it.

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 832		Page 834
1	They were told that the pharmacist wasn't	1	dispensing policy.
	comfortable with that amount. And I'd never		Q. But you hadn't been given a copy of that
2	actually heard that term before, so it was the first		ever?
3 4			A. No. That's hard to find. I didn't find
	My response was the pain medication is for the		that until quite recently on the Internet.
5 6	comfort of the patient, not the pharmacist.		Q. In any event, did the pharmacist at
	Q. Well, had the pharmacist ever contacted		Walgreens ever tell you precisely why he was
	you		refusing to fill that prescription?
	A. No.		A. Well, yeah. He said it was he wasn't
	Q to that point?	10	
	A. No.		patient had been filling that for months.
	Q. Or thereafter?		Q. I understand that. But did he ever inform
	A. Well, there was one interaction that	13	
	terminated the conversation. But up until that		medication?
	point, no.		A. No.
	Q. Did you try to find out why the pharmacist		Q. Okay. And to this day you've not heard
	would not fill the prescription?		otherwise?
	A. I did. He just said he wasn't		A. To this day in testimony he attested that
19	comfortable.	19	it was too many pain pills for a toothache. But I
20	Q. So ultimately did you file a complaint	20	
	against him with the Board of Pharmacy?	21	her monthly fibromyalgia prescription for her
	A. I did. I thought that the I thought it	22	
	was an inauthentic presentation to state that he		Q. Which Hydrocodone she had been receiving
	was an induction of presentation to state that he wasn't comfortable. I had a sense that there was		for a couple years before her toothache?
	something else behind his lack of comfort. I think		A. Right.
	Page 833		Page 835
1	I was taking it somewhat personally, frankly,	1	Q. Doctor, I'd like to talk to you about the
	because I had never had a pharmacy refuse a		nine patients. First of all, do you run a pain
	prescription from me that wasn't written that was		clinic?
	written at my direction that didn't have an allergy	4	A. No.
	or other contraindication.	5	Q. Is taking care of pain patients the
6	So I thought he was saying by refusing the		majority of your practice?
7	prescription that I was somehow bad or wrong or		A. Well, if they're not coughing and they're
8	incompetent or not legitimate in some way. So I was	8	not having diarrhea, they're having pain. So I
9	a little stunned. And I thought it would be best	9	would say taking care of these kind of complex pain
10	that maybe the pharmacies, the pharmacists and I	10	patients, no. But everybody who comes to the door
11	could all get together, we could have an adult sort	11	has something that's bothering them that they want
12	of lead the whole program, because we were at	12	
13	loggerheads.	13	Q. And I'm talking about chronic pain
14	Q. You learned later on that Walgreen's	14	patients. Does that comprise the majority of your
15	corporate had had a difficulty with prescription	15	practice?
16	drugs down in Florida?	16	A. Oh, no, no, no. It's all about the
17	A. Yes. They had a \$70 million fine	17	sprained ankles, sinus infections, pneumonia,
18	apparently for some malfeasance around keeping track	18	abdominal pain, ectopic pregnancies, the usual.
	of all their opioids.	19	Q. How do you approach a patient who presents
19	-	i.	for the first time with a complaint of pain? And
19 20	Q. So from headquarters came the news that	20	for the first time with a complaint of pain? And
	they had to deal with this in a certain way,	20 21	I'm not talking about the sprained ankle, blah,
20 21 22	they had to deal with this in a certain way, prescription medications?	21 22	I'm not talking about the sprained ankle, blah, blah.
20 21 22 23	they had to deal with this in a certain way, prescription medications?A. Well, yeah. It turns out there is a	21 22	I'm not talking about the sprained ankle, blah, blah, blah. A. So the key thing to find is what's the
20 21 22 23 24	they had to deal with this in a certain way, prescription medications?A. Well, yeah. It turns out there is a checklist that the pharmacists have been given that	21 22	I'm not talking about the sprained ankle, blah, blah, blah.A. So the key thing to find is what's the pain generator. So what's this pain about? How did
20 21 22 23 24	they had to deal with this in a certain way, prescription medications?A. Well, yeah. It turns out there is a	21 22 23	I'm not talking about the sprained ankle, blah, blah.A. So the key thing to find is what's the pain generator. So what's this pain about? How did

L F		IK 10501, 141.D.	1	Detember 04, 2014	
		Page 836		Page 838	I
	1	pathway established? If pain persists at a certain	1	to find out what physiologic process is going on	ı
	2	pattern and it wears like a pathway in the nervous	2	such that they're having pain.	ı
	3	system, it's called a neuroplasticity system. But	3	Sometimes something is found, sometimes	I
	4	basically if you have pain that isn't addressed,	4		ı
	5	then that pain itself becomes a problem, like its	5	lab test. Sometimes you're limited to what you	ı
	6	own separate problem on a problem list. If you have	6	might find on physical examination.	I
	7	pain from a neck injury and your pain gets better,		Q. Every time a patient comes in to see you	ı
	8	then you had acute pain. If you have pain from an	8	after that initial visit, do you take a history and	I
	9	injury that doesn't get better, that pathway	9	conduct a physical examination?	ı
	10	develops and gets its own life to itself.	10	A. Yes.	I
	11	So I'm going to find out what's the pain	11		ı
	12	generator, how long have they had it, what have they	12		I
	13	been treated for, what are they doing now already,	13		ı
	14	what works, what doesn't, why are you here, who		A. Well, it depends on what the patient is	ı
	15	fired you and why. Essentially patients would come	15		ı
	16	through my door because they've been on pain	16		ı
	17	medication before. I don't initiate pain	17	said it's my usual neck pain, I'm on the neck. If	ı
	18	medications for a chronic pain patient.	18	something else has changed, I may be reevaluating	ı
	19	Q. And is that true in the case of these nine	19	that. I probably am not listening for the subtle	ı
	20	patients, they had other providers prior to seeing	20	heart murmur every time I'm seeing that patient, and	I
	21	you for the first time?	21	sometimes the schedule doesn't allow it. If the	I
		A. For the most part, yes.	22	patient would come to see me and it's really busy	ı
		Q. And when a patient comes to you and	23	and they're going to withdraw without their	I
		informs you that they've been on pain medications	24		ı
		from some other provider previous, what do you do in	25		ı
	25	nom some oner provider providus, what do you do m	23	i would if i had the time to spend some time with	
1					
		Page 837		Page 839	
-		Page 837		Page 839	
-		order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the	1	them.	
-	2	order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact?	1	them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time	
=	2 3	order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to		them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my	
	2 3 4	order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the	2	them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is	
	2 3 4	order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact?A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit	2 3	them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour	
	2 3 4 5 6	order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the	2 3 4 5 6	them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point.	
	2 3 4 5 6 7	order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider.	2 3 4 5 6	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include 	2 3 4 5 6	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of 	
	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is 	
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented 	
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? A. Well, first of all, the physical 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented initially? 	
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? A. Well, first of all, the physical examination is paired with a history. So 80 percent 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented initially? A. I've known this patient for over two years 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? A. Well, first of all, the physical examination is paired with a history. So 80 percent of diagnosis comes from the history. So there is a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented initially? A. I've known this patient for over two years now. This patient came to me with some pain in the 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 2 0	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? A. Well, first of all, the physical examination is paired with a history. So 80 percent of diagnosis comes from the history. So there is a conversation, a lot of these patients are upset, a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented initially? A. I've known this patient for over two years now. This patient came to me with some pain in the left knee. There had been numerous procedures done, 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 4 15 16 17 18 9 20 2	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? A. Well, first of all, the physical examination is paired with a history. So 80 percent of diagnosis comes from the history. So there is a conversation, a lot of these patients are upset, a certain amount of listening to get their upset 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented initially? A. I've known this patient for over two years now. This patient came to me with some pain in the left knee. There had been numerous procedures done, complicated by Methicillin-resistent staph aureus. 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 5 16 17 18 9 20 21 2	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? A. Well, first of all, the physical examination is paired with a history. So 80 percent of diagnosis comes from the history. So there is a conversation, a lot of these patients are upset, a certain amount of listening to get their upset settled down. And then a physical examination is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented initially? A. I've known this patient for over two years now. This patient came to me with some pain in the left knee. There had been numerous procedures done, complicated by Methicillin-resistent staph aureus. The patient was upset that her surgical procedures 	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 3	 order to satisfy yourself that that is, indeed, the fact? A. Well, I might do a urine drug screen to see if there is any in their urine. Prior to the Prescription Drug Registry it was a little bit difficult, I would get the old records from the previous provider. Q. When you get old records, do you include them in your records? A. Yes. Q. All the time? A. No. Q. In the general case, other than these nine people then, what do you do as far as conducting a physical examination, if you do? A. Oh, yeah, I do a full physical examination. Q. What does that consist of? A. Well, first of all, the physical examination is paired with a history. So 80 percent of diagnosis comes from the history. So there is a conversation, a lot of these patients are upset, a certain amount of listening to get their upset 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 them. So sometimes I spend a great deal of time with patients and I catch a lot of flack from my staff about it. And other times it's clear there is ten patients to be seen and I'm not spending an hour with anybody at that point. Q. I'm going to give you I don't know if you need this. These are initials and numbers. Do you have a pretty clear recollection of each of these nine patients? A. I do. Q. You've studied their records? A. Yes. Q. Not only in preparation for this but you know your patients? A. I do. Q. Tell me about Patient Number 1. What is your recollection as to how that patient presented initially? A. I've known this patient for over two years now. This patient came to me with some pain in the left knee. There had been numerous procedures done, complicated by Methicillin-resistent staph aureus. The patient was upset that her surgical procedures 	

-			
	Page 840		Page 842
1	highly intense in her personal presentation. She	1	one second. Ma'am, as a remainder, the
2	had a great big scar on her left patella. She	2	documents we're talking about here are sealed
3	limped a lot. She also was agitated. She was	3	from public view. So please don't get them
4	tapping her knee, or tapping her right leg quite		into your shot, any of these documents that are
5	vigorously. I remember she would do that regularly.		in front of me or in front of the doctor or any
6	She gave a history with a lot of complexity, bipolar		of the attorneys. Thank you.
7	disorder, lots of medications and, wow.	7	MR. DOUBEK: Thanks again.
	Q. Just in case you need it, that's our		Q. (By Mr. Doubek) So tell me what's on that
9	Exhibit L-6.		first page.
_	MR. DOUBEK: Sorry I didn't coordinate the		A. So there is a main problem, it says in
10	numbers.		parentheses, "List only one," and it checkmarks as
11		11	other, specified, and written in there by Dori is
	Q. (By Mr. Doubek) In any event, take a look	12	· · ·
13	at those records. Is that the patient we're talking	13	hematoma. Then below that is the date of onset, 6-20 of '11 and this is 6-22 of '11. She's been
14	about?	14	
	A. Yeah.	15	taking ibuprofen, last dose two hours ago. Pain at
16	Q. When you first	16	six out of ten. Radiates downwards. She has a
	A. But I've got to make a correction. If	17	history of MRSA not related to a motor vehicle
18	this is the first visit she presented after having	18	injury and not work-related. Chronic active
19	had a fall and she had a large hematoma on the side	19	conditions bipolar. Medications are listed.
20	of her trunk.	20	Previous surgeries are listed. And family history
21		21	is left blank. Quit tobacco in 2011. Alcohol
	of you is the first visit.	22	checked never. And street or unprescribed drugs are
	A. Okay.	23	checked no. That's down the left side of the chart.
	Q. These are the records as we received them.	24	On the right side of the chart, recent abnormal for
25	But let's take a look at the first page of that	25	use symptoms. Boxes are checked nothing
	Page 841		Page 843
1		1	
	exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the	1	constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats,
2			constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic.
2 3	exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right?A. Bottom central is 1832.	2	constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head,
2 3 4	exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right?A. Bottom central is 1832.Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected	2 3 4	constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked
2 3 4 5	exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right?A. Bottom central is 1832.Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page.	2 3 4 5	constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas.
2 3 4 5 6	exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right?A. Bottom central is 1832.Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page.A. Well, this the general history, medication	2 3 4 5	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not
2 3 4 5 6	exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right?A. Bottom central is 1832.Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page.	2 3 4 5 6	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you
2 3 4 5 6 7	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. 	2 3 4 5 6	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. Q. But is there a difference between an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. Q. But is there a difference between an urgent care facility and your facility, Urgent Care 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then written over there is APAP. Pregnant isn't checked.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. Q. But is there a difference between an urgent care facility and your facility, Urgent Care Plus? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then written over there is APAP. Pregnant isn't checked. Last tetanus shot isn't checked because it's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. Q. But is there a difference between an urgent care facility and your facility, Urgent Care Plus? A. Just the fact that I'm there. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then written over there is APAP. Pregnant isn't checked. Last tetanus shot isn't checked because it's not related.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. Q. But is there a difference between an urgent care facility and your facility, Urgent Care Plus? A. Just the fact that I'm there. Q. That's the plus part? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then written over there is APAP. Pregnant isn't checked. Last tetanus shot isn't checked because it's not related. Q. And these records are in the typical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. Q. But is there a difference between an urgent care facility and your facility, Urgent Care Plus? A. Just the fact that I'm there. Q. That's the plus part? A. Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then written over there is APAP. Pregnant isn't checked. Last tetanus shot isn't checked because it's not related. Q. And these records are in the typical format that they were in back in at least 2011,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 exhibit, Doctor. What's the page number at the bottom right? A. Bottom central is 1832. Q. Go through and tell me what is reflected on that first page. A. Well, this the general history, medication list, prior history, family history and review of systems. This would be all in the subjective part. Q. Is that form a form created by a company called Practice Velocity? A. Yeah. That's Practice Velocity is our billing company and they provide charting for us to use. They're I think the most-used urgent care documentation system in the country. Q. In that regard, your company is called Urgent Care Plus. I think you covered this yesterday? A. I did. Q. But is there a difference between an urgent care facility and your facility, Urgent Care Plus? A. Just the fact that I'm there. Q. That's the plus part? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 constitutional, such as fevers, chills, sweats, fatigue, or weight loss. Nothing in neurologic. Nothing in the head, except for what's in her head, of course. Eyes, skin. Musculoskeletal is checked and that she has pain in one or several areas. Q. Excuse me. But if something is not checked, does that mean it's something that you didn't consider or you just didn't check it because there is nothing abnormal about it? A. If it's not checked, it wasn't considered. If it's checked negative, it was considered and rejected as a symptom. Q. Go ahead and continue. A. So allergies to latex. Where did injury occur? That's left blank because there is not an injury. And then the subjective, 33-year-old female here complaining of right lower quadrant pain with a hematoma that started on Monday. Nurse signature. Allergies. No known drug allergies. And then written over there is APAP. Pregnant isn't checked. Last tetanus shot isn't checked because it's not related. Q. And these records are in the typical

Ma	k Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 844		Page 846
1	A. Correct.	1	A. Yes, Seroquel, Klonopin and a Mirena IUD.
	Q. The second page, what is the information		Q. And she was not receiving the Hydrocodone
3	and why is that form different?	3	at that time?
	A. This says 1833. This is the objective	4	A. I don't know.
5	part. So subjective is what the patient attests to,		Q. This is a patient who you did take care of
6	objective is what we find on physical examination.		for longer term pain?
7	The vital signs are written in. Oxygen saturation,		A. Yes.
8	and then boxes to check either normal or abnormal		Q. Do you have a recollection of the
9	depending on psychiatric she's oriented. Her	9	conversation with this patient about what you would
	mood and affect are appropriate. Down the line,	10	be willing to do for her to address her long-term
11	down to skin it says erythema, cyanosis, ecchymosis		pain?
12	or laceration. And over to the right is a diagram		A. Yes.
13	written that says six by ten centimeter superficial		Q. Tell us about that.
14	ecchymosis area, tender, nodular, no fluctuans.		A. Well, she has a lot going on. So the
15	Q. And that's the objective that relates to	15	bipolar is probably the biggest issue for her is she
16	her presentation with a sore knee, I take it?	16	was seeing a psychiatrist for that. And as she
	A. Actually she presented this time with an	17	talked to me more and more about her knee, it became
18	abdominal complaint. So, yeah, sorry about that.	18	clear that that hadn't worked well for her at all
19	Q. All right. And then the third page of	19	and that was a pain generator for her on an ongoing
20	that chart, what is intended to be covered or	20	basis. So the options given to her were continue
21	addressed there?	20	the pain medication, look forward to possibly
	A. That's the assessment and plan. So in the	22	weaning, keeping her functionality up, taking care
22	old SOAP note it would be subjective, objective,	22	of anything that would cause her to fall and have
23	assessment, and plan. So in the scientific model it	23 24	this hematoma happen, that sort of thing.
	would be hypothesis, theory and action plan.	24 25	Q. When you first began prescribing pain
25	would be hypothesis, theory and action plan.	25	Q. When you hist began presenting pain
	Page 845		
	1 age 040		Page 847
1		1	
	Q. So this is the plan part?	1	medications for this patient, did you have her sign
2	Q. So this is the plan part?A. This is the plan part.	2	medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan?
2 3	Q. So this is the plan part?A. This is the plan part.Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal	2	medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan?A. No. I had no intention that this was
2 3 4	Q. So this is the plan part?A. This is the plan part.Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain?	2 3 4	medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan?A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time.
2 3 4 5	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, 	2 3 4 5	medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan?A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time.Q. So when she initially presented to you,
2 3 4 5	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. 	2 3 4 5 6	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound 	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't anything else besides hematoma, and then she was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't anything else besides hematoma, and then she was given a total of 20 Lortab. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her abdomen and so she didn't tolerate pain very well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't anything else besides hematoma, and then she was given a total of 20 Lortab. Q. So that's a Lortab what level? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her abdomen and so she didn't tolerate pain very well and I was kind of wondering why. There is some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't anything else besides hematoma, and then she was given a total of 20 Lortab. Q. So that's a Lortab what level? A. Hydrocone 5. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her abdomen and so she didn't tolerate pain very well and I was kind of wondering why. There is some studies that show if you're on opioids for a long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't anything else besides hematoma, and then she was given a total of 20 Lortab. Q. So that's a Lortab what level? A. Hydrocone 5. Q. So that's a lower level for a short period 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her abdomen and so she didn't tolerate pain very well and I was kind of wondering why. There is some studies that show if you're on opioids for a long period of time they actually decrease your ability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't anything else besides hematoma, and then she was given a total of 20 Lortab. Q. So that's a Lortab what level? A. Hydrocone 5. Q. So that's a lower level for a short period of time? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her abdomen and so she didn't tolerate pain very well and I was kind of wondering why. There is some studies that show if you're on opioids for a long period of time they actually decrease your ability to tolerate pain. So that was kind of a clue that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. So this is the plan part? A. This is the plan part. Q. What's the plan part for that abdominal pain? A. It says hematoma, ABD, abdomen, and US, ultrasound, in a.m. Check labs. Lortab. Q. You did an ultrasound on that occasion? A. Yes. Q. Do you have an ultrasound machine? A. Yes. Q. Do you typically use your ultrasound machine on patients with pain? A. Yes. It turns out that this particular time she was seen by Todd Moore, the midlevel, and he doesn't do ultrasounds, so she was sent over to Sound Health Imaging for an ultrasound of this hematoma, where it was determined that there wasn't anything else besides hematoma, and then she was given a total of 20 Lortab. Q. So that's a Lortab what level? A. Hydrocone 5. Q. So that's a lower level for a short period 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 medications for this patient, did you have her sign a written plan? A. No. I had no intention that this was going to last a long period of time. Q. So when she initially presented to you, you didn't anticipate or you didn't know whether this was going to be a chronic pain situation? A. Correct. Q. At the point in time when you determined that the patient is going to have chronic pain, do you then at that point employ written pain contracts? A. Now, yes. Then, no. Q. Why not? A. Well, like I said, her third visit was still working up this hematoma on her abdomen, which was slowly resolving. It became clear to me that she had an awful lot of pain for a hematoma on her abdomen and so she didn't tolerate pain very well and I was kind of wondering why. There is some studies that show if you're on opioids for a long period of time they actually decrease your ability

	·k Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 848		Page 850
1	were to be off the opiates.	1	conversation with her about such things as where she
	Q. Did you record that you talked at length	2	would get her medications, you know, whether she
	with her?		could get them from other prescribers and those
		3	•
	A. There is a you mean in terms of how	4	types of things?
	many minutes I spent with her?	5	A. Right. She told me that she was going to
6	Q. Well, not necessarily how many minutes but	6	come see us now and I just said, "Well, if you're
7	the fact that you feel you talked to her at length	7	going to do that, you can't see previous people that
8	about that issue.	8	you're not satisfied with." And she was happy with
9	A. That length.	9	that, she wasn't satisfied. So she was doctor
10	Q. Well, specifically on the visits	10	shopping when she came to me. So she was not
11	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Excuse me. Just	11	getting the kind of relief that she felt that she
12	so the court reporter you told about the	12	deserved. And she was upset, angry, and I did not
13	length and	13	feel that that was a good thing to have persist in
14	A. Okay. So 230 pages worth.	14	terms of being able to tolerate her pain. The more
15	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you.	15	raw you are emotionally, the less likely you are to
16	A. And subsequently she had been here I	16	be able to tolerate a painful stimulus. So I could
17	can find out her previous medical doctor. So the	17	see that that was going to be an issue for her and
18	abdominal thing resolved, now she's having ongoing	18	she's had her bipolar issues, that sort of thing, so
19	knee pain. She's coming it's clear that she's		I could tell that I was going to get involved.
20	going to be coming to see us because of the knee		Q. What kinds of things would you tell this
20	pain and now it's time for some conversation. So	21	patient and patients in general who you were going
22	this is on 8-15 of 2011.		to treat for chronic pain in terms of where they
		22	could get their meds, how much meds, what your plan
	Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Page number?	23	
	A. 1855, 56, 57 and onward.		was going to be for them, so forth?
25	Q. So is that when she was is that the	25	A. What conversation would I have with them?
	Page 849		Page 851
1		1	
	time when you considered she might be a patient with		Q. Yes.
2	time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain?	2	Q. Yes.A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar
2 3	time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah.	2 3	Q. Yes.A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is
2 3 4	time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain?A. Yeah.Q. So what kind of recording do you do of	2 3 4	Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/
2 3 4 5	time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain?A. Yeah.Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that?	2 3 4 5	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those
2 3 4 5 6	time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain?A. Yeah.Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that?A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she
2 3 4 5 6 7	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah. Q about pain?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah. Q about pain?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be a month. So it was an agreement to kind of have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah. Q about pain? A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be a month. So it was an agreement to kind of have that happen. I diagnosed her with complex regional 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah. Q about pain? A. Yeah. Q. What was part and parcel of your oral
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be a month. So it was an agreement to kind of have that happen. I diagnosed her with complex regional pain syndrome, which is kind of a chronic pain 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah. Q about pain? A. Yeah. Q. What was part and parcel of your oral agreement with this patient?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be a month. So it was an agreement to kind of have that happen. I diagnosed her with complex regional pain syndrome, which is kind of a chronic pain diagnosis that you would see. You wouldn't see that in an acute pain setting. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah. Q about pain? A. Yeah. Q. What was part and parcel of your oral agreement with this patient? A. Oh, well, you can't go to a bunch of different physicians. You can't just come here for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 time when you considered she might be a patient with chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. So what kind of recording do you do of that? A. Well, as I look at this, I can see that there is multiple diagnoses, one new and one new with a workup plan, prescriptions are written. A lot is written in the assessment page and then a lot of diagnoses are written down, chronic pain left knee, bipolar, insomnia, medical marijuana. Q. Did you have a conversation with her about how you were going to address her long-term pain? A. I did. Q. And is that recorded there? A. Well, I gave her a total of 60 of Ultram, which is a lower pain reliever than Hydrocodone, but I gave her enough to last, for two a day it would be a month. So it was an agreement to kind of have that happen. I diagnosed her with complex regional pain syndrome, which is kind of a chronic pain diagnosis that you would see. You wouldn't see that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yes. A. So here I am on page 872 and I say, "Scar left patella." The rest of the physical exam is checked, and I write down here, "Tearful/joyful/ despondent/hopeful." She went through all those different fields of emotional projection while she was talking with me. That indicates to me that I in order to get that from somebody you have to spend a certain amount of time opening up her emotional capacity to express all that. Q. You said though with regard to this patient you didn't use a written pain contract, right? A. Correct. Q. Do you feel you had an agreement though with her A. Yeah. Q about pain? A. Yeah. Q. What was part and parcel of your oral agreement with this patient? A. Oh, well, you can't go to a bunch of

Ivia	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 852		Page 854
1	at that time was verboten. These days everybody	1	Q. Would you have the same conversation with
2	is there is a shortage of pain medications and		her as you had with Patient Number 1?
	everybody is going to a lot of different pharmacies		A. Yeah.
3	•••••		
4	and the Prescription Drug Registry allows for		Q. In terms of where she gets her
5	accommodating that. But at that time it was, you		prescription, you're the only provider?
6	know, you can't go to multiple pharmacies, you can't		A. Right.
7	do any forgeries.		Q. Those types of things?
8	Q. Are these all conversations that is	8	A. Well, yeah. And, again, each prescription
9	this the kind of conversation you typically had with	9	
10	patients like this, that is, patients who are going	10	copy in the chart. So my records I don't write
11	to have long-term pain problems?	11	what prescription I'm going to write because I've
12	A. Sure. Just in the same way that if	12	written the prescription and copied and made it a
13	someone is hypertensive I'm going to say, "Well,	13	part of the record.
14	here's a problem you might have with Atenolol. It's	14	So here she goes to Safeway with Flexeril
15	going to put a ceiling on your ability for your	15	20 and Lortab 10/325 180, which is enough for her to
16	heart rate to go up; it's a beta blocker. The side	16	take six a day for a month.
17	effects of beta blockers are blah, blah, blah. You	17	Q. Now, as I understand, this patient
18	might want to get off that at some point. If not,	18	ultimately transferred her care to another doctor or
19	if you tolerate it, we'll continue it. If not,	19	two; is that correct?
	we'll adjust it," et cetera.		A. Yeah.
	Q. Do you record that conversation anywhere		Q. And we talked about it a little bit
	in your record?	22	different, a little bit yesterday, and I noted that
	A. No, usually I'm having the conversation.	23	Dr. Sargent gave her 168 Hydrocodone on 4-14-12,
	Q. And just as though you were prescribing		Dr. Ellis gave her 180 on 4-12-12 and 54
		24	-
25	the beta blocker, Atenolol, you wouldn't necessarily	25	Hydromorphone on 4-12 and 180 on 5-3-12. She was
	Page 853		Page 855
	Page 853		Page 855
	write down the pros and cons of that drug or the		not one of your success stories; is that true?
2	write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug?		not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at
2 3	write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug?A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really	2 3	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a
2 3 4	write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug?A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do.	2 3 4	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain
2 3 4	write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug?A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really	2 3 4 5	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around
2 3 4 5 6	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is 	2 3 4 5 6	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not
2 3 4 5 6 7	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. 	2 3 4 5 6	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around
2 3 4 5 6 7	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is 	2 3 4 5 6 7	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back procedure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048. Q. And I see in the Montana PDR that she was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back procedure. Q. So she had a number of she was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048. Q. And I see in the Montana PDR that she was under the care then of Dr. Tom Winer, who is an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back procedure. Q. So she had a number of she was postsurgical many times? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048. Q. And I see in the Montana PDR that she was under the care then of Dr. Tom Winer, who is an oncologist. You don't know what that relates to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back procedure. Q. So she had a number of she was postsurgical many times? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048. Q. And I see in the Montana PDR that she was under the care then of Dr. Tom Winer, who is an oncologist. You don't know what that relates to? A. She told me he had treated her before. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back procedure. Q. So she had a number of she was postsurgical many times? A. Yes. Q. And in a lot of pain? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048. Q. And I see in the Montana PDR that she was under the care then of Dr. Tom Winer, who is an oncologist. You don't know what that relates to? A. She told me he had treated her before. I think that's what the splenectomy was about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 write down the pros and cons of that drug or the limitations of that drug? A. No, no. The pharmacists do that really well and much better than I do. Q. Let's take a look at the next patient, Number 2. Number 2 is A. I'm not seeing her in this file. Q. I've got it. Mark, it's L-7. A. Got it. Q. With regard to that patient, what do you recall her initial presentation was? A. Oh, she was complex. She came in, she had back problems, stomach ulcers, depression, anxiety. She had had abdominal cancer, I think she'd had a splenectomy for. She had had a hysterectomy, a hernia operation, gallbladder out, a gastric bypass, lost 100 pounds, two back surgeries. She was having chronic back pain with a plan to go down and see Dr. Johnson in Los Angeles to have another back procedure. Q. So she had a number of she was postsurgical many times? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 not one of your success stories; is that true? A. Right. I actually did talk to her at length about weaning multiple times. There was a period of time where she actually got off pain medications, and it was my recollection that around the time that this complaint was filed she was not on any medications. Maybe she wasn't seeing me, but my recollection was she had gotten off any pain medication at that time. Subsequent to that, like what you're saying, she's used a lot of pain medications, but she has a lot of pain generators. Q. And you don't know whether she had other acute situations requiring subsequent surgeries, do you? A. Right. It says here on 2047, "Law enforcement investigated." Q. And I see that A. And a urine toxicology screen was done on page 2048. Q. And I see in the Montana PDR that she was under the care then of Dr. Tom Winer, who is an oncologist. You don't know what that relates to? A. She told me he had treated her before. I

In the Matter of the Proposed Discipline of Mark Ibsen, M.D.

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 2014
Page 856	Page 858
1 you have L-5?	1 oxycodone and acetaminophen.
2 A. Got it.	2 Q. But in terms of getting off the narcotic
3 Q. Do you remember that patient's presenting,	3 pain medications, that was a success story?
4 presentation initially?	4 A. Yes.
5 A. This starts off with the assessment page,	5 Q. Take a look at patient
6 so let me get it looks like she had a laceration	6 A. Now, for just a quick second.7 Q. Go ahead.
7 on her right fifth finger.	-
8 Q. Had she had a history of multiple	8 MR. FANNING: Objection, nonresponsive.
9 neurosurgical procedures and orthopedic procedures?	9 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
10 A. Yes.	10 Q. (By Mr. Doubek) Do you have anything else
11 Q. And when she initially presented, then did	11 you'd like to add regarding this patient?
12 you learn about those things by talking to her?	MR. FANNING: Objection to the form of the
13 A. Not the time we did the finger wound.	13 question. It's open-ended and seeks a
14 Q. She just had a finger laceration and you	14 narrative.
15 took care of that?	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.
16 A. Yeah. But on May 28th, on page 1433, the	16 A. So I'm not to answer the question?
17 patient had back surgery on 4-1-2011, which was I	17 Q. (By Mr. Doubek) No. Just wait. I'll ask
18 think was six weeks, or eight weeks prior to	18 a bunch more.
19 presenting, and has back pain in her legs since.	19 This patient, what do you recall about
20 And it talks about the Hydromorphone that she's on,	20 discussions relative to pain management that you
21 the Morphine, Gabapentin, estrogen, Ambien, and it	21 were going to provide for this patient?
22 lists her back surgery and the fact that she's got	22 A. And this is on Patient 3?
23 hardware in her spine. Later on I discovered that	23 Q. Yes.
24 she had had a traumatic brain injury, that she had	24 A. Yeah. Well, the fact that someone has
25 signs and symptoms of fibromyalgia. She had a lot	25 decreased their pain medication down to zero and
	A
Page 857	Page 859
1 going on.	1 substituted other modalities for taking care of
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now.
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again.
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes.
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q and other modalities. Are those things
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q and other modalities. Are those things that you recommended?
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. Q. And then I see within the past month she 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Yes.
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. Q. And then I see within the past month she received a short, a small amount 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q. So what is bringing the multidisciplinary
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. Q. And then I see within the past month she received a short, a small amount A. Like 30 or something. 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q. So what is bringing the multidisciplinary approach to these people all about?
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. Q. And then I see within the past month she received a short, a small amount Like 30 or something. Q Endocet? 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q. So what is bringing the multidisciplinary approach to these people all about? X. Well, I never intended to have a
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. Q. And then I see within the past month she received a short, a small amount A. Like 30 or something. Q Endocet? A. Yeah. 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q. So what is bringing the multidisciplinary approach to these people all about? A. Well, I never intended to have a multidisciplinary pain clinic and I don't. But what
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her J wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. Q. And then I see within the past month she received a short, a small amount A. Like 30 or something. Q Endocet? A. Yeah. Q. What's Endocet? 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q. So what is bringing the multidisciplinary approach to these people all about? A. Well, I never intended to have a multidisciplinary pain clinic and I don't. But what
 going on. Q. Did you enter into any kind of a written pain contract with her? A. No, she was acutely post-op. She was still within the eight weeks of the previous surgery. So it seemed to me like she was a candidate for a bolus of some Prednisone, trying to get her pain under control, see if we can get her I wasn't even anticipating weaning her that soon from her surgery. And she was still not doing well. I didn't know if this was going to turn into some failed back surgery syndrome. Q. At some point in time she was under your care for chronic pain? A. Yeah. Q. And at some point in time she was able to wean off of all narcotic pain medication? A. Yes. Q. And then I see within the past month she received a short, a small amount A. Like 30 or something. Q Endocet? A. Yeah. 	 substituted other modalities for taking care of their pain generators, that doesn't mean they're not going to need an opioid pain medication at some other time. She has tremendous amount of pain generators. So it's a triumph and I'm proud of her for the work she did to get off those pain medications. I'm proud of our partnership that we did together. But what's happening right now is no guarantee of what might happen two weeks from now. She could fall, have a car wreck, she could have another reason and her pain generation would go crazy again. Q. And I understand from her testimony yesterday that she did utilize other modalities, the natural medicine, I think physical therapy A. Yes. Q. So what is bringing the multidisciplinary approach to these people all about? A. Well, I never intended to have a multidisciplinary pain clinic and I don't. But what

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 860		Page 862
1	same building. So we do have a little bit of	1	narcotic pain medication?
2	one-stop shopping.		A. Yeah. My interest is in people's
3	I do since I've had pain myself, I		well-being. This patient is doing well.
4	realize that pain is manifested in a lot of		Q. Was he on pain medication when he first
5	different levels. The reflex of your hand on the		came to see you?
-	•		A. Yes.
6	stove and it hits to spine, the second level would be the level of the brain where your brain says		Q. For what reason?
7	• •		•
8	don't put yourself in that situation again. The		A. Well, he had occasional pains in his neck.
9	next step would be the level of the mind where your		He had numerous injuries to his neck before. His
10	mind is being judgmental of you for being such an	10	
11	idiot that you got injured in the first place. And	11	that pretty eclipsed the other pain issues until we
12	then the fourth level of dealing with pain is what's	12	
13	happened at the soul level. What really happens to	13	
14	a patient who is having pain ongoingly day to day,	14	
15	not sleeping, not able to relate to their friends	15	Q. When was he first put on pain medication
16	and loved ones, not able to work, not able to	16	by you?
17	actually have a life, like Dr. Anderson was saying.	17	A. Oh, boy. This is not necessarily in
18	Q. Is money a limiting factor in terms of	18	
19	these people being able to resort to other	19	
20	modalities?	20	
	A. Mine or theirs?	21	
	Q. Theirs.		A. These charts are out of order. It looks
	A. Theirs. It would be essentially a lot of	22	like they're going earlier as I thumb back though.
	the well, I like to say that Dr. Roush's patients	23 24	
	are the healthiest patients in town because they can		of '11.
20	are the neartinest patients in town because they call	45	VI 11.
	Page 861		Page 863
1	afford to have the expensive urine. So, yeah, they	1	Q. Did you get him in contact with a
2	take a lot of nutritional supplements. It's kind of		psychiatrist?
3	hard to tell, are they healthy because they take all		A. I did. Well, two psychiatrists as a
4	these supplements or are they wealthy because they		matter of fact.
	take all these supplements and can spend all this		Q. And did you and the psychiatrists then
	money? I don't know. They're really healthy		work to provide concurrent care for this fellow?
	patients. So I look towards that as a goal for some		A. Yeah.
8	of my patients who aren't so healthy.		Q. And how does that work, logistically are
9 9	The problem is exactly what you said, that		you in contact with the psychiatrists?
10	the insurance companies don't pay for cranial sacral		A. Yes.
11	therapy. They don't pay for prolotherapy on the		Q. Do you exchange emails or faxes or letters
12	part of Dr. Roush. They do pay for some physical		or do you just get on the phone and talk?
13	therapy. They may or may not pay for chiropractic.		A. Mostly phone and talk.
14	They'll never pay for a massage. They wouldn't pay		Q. Do you record all of those conversations?
15	for a hot tub, and they won't pay for a healthy		A. No.
	diet.		Q. Do you note them in your file?
17	Q. Special bed, pillows, et cetera?	17	A. Yes.
1	A. Yeah.	18	Q. All of them?
18		19	A. No.
	Q. Let's take a look at the next patient,	-	
		20	Q. So how was it that you and this patient
19 20	Number 4. That would be L-2. Do you have that?	20	Q. So how was it that you and this patient determined that he needed to be off the pain
19 20 21	Number 4. That would be L-2. Do you have that? A. Yeah.	20 21	determined that he needed to be off the pain
19 20 21 22	Number 4. That would be L-2. Do you have that?A. Yeah.Q. This patient testified yesterday. Would	20 21 22	determined that he needed to be off the pain medications?
19 20 21 22 23	Number 4. That would be L-2. Do you have that?A. Yeah.Q. This patient testified yesterday. Would you consider him a success	20 21 22 23	determined that he needed to be off the pain medications?A. It was the patient's insistence that he
19 20 21 22 23 24	Number 4. That would be L-2. Do you have that?A. Yeah.Q. This patient testified yesterday. Would	20 21 22 23 24	determined that he needed to be off the pain medications?

In the Matter of the Proposed Discipline of Mark Ibsen, M.D.

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 201
Page 864	Page 866
1 Q. Did that seem appropriate with you?	1 Q. (By Mr. Doubek) When this lady presented
2 A. I was all in line with that.	2 to you, she testified yesterday that she had like
3 Q. And is that sort of your standard	3 six gynecological surgeries and then she had
4 approach, that you don't want these people on pain	4 pulmonary embolus?
5 medications indefinitely?	5 A. Yeah.
6 A. Yeah. Are you kidding me? 350 people die	6 Q. Is pulmonary embolus in your experience
7 a year in Montana from prescription drug overdose.	7 supposed to be a painful event?
8 I'm against that.	8 A. Pretty much.
9 Q. So how did you go about the weaning	9 Q. And, of course, the six GYN surgeries. So
10 process in order to enable this fellow to be off of	10 she was on pain medications for those conditions?
11 the pain meds?	11 A. Yes.
12 A. Well, this was complicated. He had all	12 Q. Were you able to wean her off of the pain
13 this I think neck-generated headache, so I think the	13 medications?
14 pain generator was in his neck. He had a lot of	14 A. Yes.
15 degenerative changes in his neck. He wasn't	15 Q. And for what period of time?
16 interested in a surgical procedure. We didn't	16 A. Well, she was really only she wasn't
17 really work that up any further. But what he was	17 really in chronic pain, she had a stacked-up series
18 interested in was an improvement of his headaches.	18 of acute pains. So each surgical procedure she'd
19 I did prescribe medical marijuana for him.	19 have she'd tend to have some pain post-operative to
20 I also had him go and see Dr. Roush. He actually	20 that, her surgeon would prescribe enough that he
21 did, regretfully, have a chiropractic adjustment, in	21 thought it was appropriate. She had more
22 spite of his anxiety about it, and I was standing by	22 discomfort. I'd work it up and sometimes I'd find
23 holding his hand when he had the adjustment.	23 something, sometimes I wouldn't. Then she would be
24 Q. It didn't do him any good?	24 back to her surgeon for another procedure. So that
25 A. It didn't do him any good.	25 kind of went on and on.
Page 865	Page 867
1 Q. It did him some bad possibly?	1 And then she had the pulmonary embolism,
2 A. It did him some bad. So he was willing to	2 and once that was resolved and she was off the
3 try stuff I suggested. He ultimately went to	3 Warfarin, I never saw her for pain after that.
4 Dr. Roush and he had an injection procedure called	4 Q. Well, according to Exhibit M, which is
5 prolotherapy. The theory of prolotherapy is you	5 your copies of the PDR, you last prescribed
6 inject an irritant, it becomes its own pain	6 Hydrocodone 10 on 3-11-13 and there isn't another
7 generator. The body's five-way anti-inflammatory	7 prescription for Hydrocodone until 10-29-13, so a
8 cascade kicks in and the ligaments actually tighten 9 up It would be the enposite of a storoid	8 period of seven months.
9 up. It would be the opposite of a steroid	9 A. Okay.
10 injection, in other words. And there is a lot of	10 Q. And you don't know why she was on
11 pain generated by that inflammatory injection.	11 Hydrocodone after she was off of it in the spring12 of
And then once that pain creates ananti-inflammatory response in tightening up the	
• • • • •	13 A. Did I prescribe it the seven months later?14 Q. No. A different doctor. So as far as you
14 ligaments, they're better. He performed exactly15 like that. He hated the injections.	
16 Q. But, in any event, you were able to get17 him off the pain medications?	16 her from the pain medication?17 A. Oh, yeah.
18 A. Yeah. It's great. He's pleased.	18 Q. And this is a patient who obtained in many
	19 instances early refills on her Hydrocodone?
19 () Patient Number 5 is L-L	
 19 Q. Patient Number 5 is L-1. 20 A. She has her own hinder. 	20 A. ()h. yeah. wouldn't call an early refill
20 A. She has her own binder.	20 A. Oh, yeah. I wouldn't call an early refill 21 on someone who is having acute nain. Unless if I
20 A. She has her own binder.21 Q. Yeah.	21 on someone who is having acute pain. Unless if I
 20 A. She has her own binder. 21 Q. Yeah. 22 A. That's pretty cool. 	on someone who is having acute pain. Unless if Icome back to your room while you're having a kidney
 20 A. She has her own binder. 21 Q. Yeah. 22 A. That's pretty cool. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Are we going to 	 21 on someone who is having acute pain. Unless if I 22 come back to your room while you're having a kidney 23 stone and I give you more morphine, that's an early
 20 A. She has her own binder. 21 Q. Yeah. 22 A. That's pretty cool. 	on someone who is having acute pain. Unless if Icome back to your room while you're having a kidney

	rk Idsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
1	Page 868		Page 870
1	early refill when you're dealing with acute pain.	1	you with what kind of problem?
	Q. So by that you mean the prescription		A. It looks like he needed help with his
	wasn't enough to do the job?		pain.
	A. Yeah. The pain is not being relieved.		Q. And according to the records of the PDR,
5	She had a period of time where she took I think		it looks like the last prescription of Hydrocodone
6	the month she actually had the pulmonary embolism		was 4-16-13, so
7	it was a month's worth of prescription. I think it		A. And he only saw me a few times, yeah.
8	was January. But, at any rate, during that month		Q. Yes, he had been under the care of
9	she was recovering from your pelvic surgery, she had		Dr. Weinert, who prescribed him as much or more than
10	her pulmonary embolism, and she used up maybe 120		you, and then he was under the care of Dr. Ellis,
11	Hydrocodone and she was not getting pain relief and		who prescribed Suboxone?
12	she got Percocet. So she got Percocet and		A. Uh-huh.
13	Hydrocodone in the same month. But it wasn't some		Q. And also under the care of Dr. Will
14	tragic event, it was attempting to get her pain		Schneider, who prescribed more Hydrocodone.
15	under control. It was all acute pain.		A. (Nods head.)
16	Q. Would you talk to the patient when she		Q. Did he present to you with a chronic pain
17	asked for an early refill?		
	A. Yeah.		A. He said he had pain in his low back and
	Q. Would you make a recordation in the	19	also, what Susan wrote was the main reason he was
	records about that sort of thing?	20	here was for medication refill. He was lifting and
	A. Uh-huh.	21	pushing on heavy objects. So it was multifactorial.
	Q. Did you record why it was she got an early	22	He had low back pain, shoulder pain, neuropathy,
	refill?	23	high blood pressure, hypothyroidism, anxiety, five
	A. This would be different stuff, different	24	
	day.	25	
	Page 869		Page 871
_	O What is seen many?		
	Q. What do you mean?		surgeries and recurrent shoulder pain requiring
	A. Well, it's not the same as SSDD. This is an acute workup here. So she's got pain in her		he was looking forward to a third one a hernia
3	an acme work in here - So she s ooi nain in her		
		3	and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin,
	pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and	3 4	and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera.
5	pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several	3 4 5	and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera.Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next
5 6	pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her	3 4 5 6	and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera.Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8.
5 6 7	pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very	3 4 5 6 7	and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera.Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8.For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain
5 6 7 8	pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain.	3 4 5 6 7 8	and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera.Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication?
5 6 7 8 9	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure
5 6 7 8 9	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until
5 6 7 10 11 12 13 14 15	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. 	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. A. Yes. Q. The next patient is L-3. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. A. Yes. Q. The next patient is L-3. A. Got it. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was in a lot of trouble.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. A. Yes. Q. The next patient is L-3. A. Got it. MR. FANNING: Mr. Doubek, is that number 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was in a lot of trouble. Q. So you prescribed pain medication for that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. A. Yes. Q. The next patient is L-3. A. Got it. MR. FANNING: Mr. Doubek, is that number 6? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was in a lot of trouble. Q. So you prescribed pain medication for that condition?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. A. Yes. Q. The next patient is L-3. A. Got it. MR. FANNING: Mr. Doubek, is that number 6? MR. DOUBEK: It is. Wait a minute. L-3 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was in a lot of trouble. Q. So you prescribed pain medication for that condition? A. Yes, for his back pain.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. A. Yes. Q. The next patient is L-3. A. Got it. MR. FANNING: Mr. Doubek, is that number 6? MR. DOUBEK: It is. Wait a minute. L-3 is Patient Number 6. Right, Mike. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was in a lot of trouble. Q. So you prescribed pain medication for that condition? A. Yes, for his back pain. Q. And then at some point in time this past
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 pelvic, pain in her abdomen, pain in her chest, and crying and upset and worried and having several life-threatening events such as hemorrhages in her abdomen and blood clots in her lungs. So a very anxious lady who's having a lot of pain. Q. So by the volume of her records, you knew what was going on and causing the pain? A. I think so, but I also knew that I'd better listen to her because every time she has something, she has something. She was sick every time she said she was sick. Q. Including PE? A. Right. Q. Which is life-threatening. A. Yes. Q. The next patient is L-3. A. Got it. MR. FANNING: Mr. Doubek, is that number 6? MR. DOUBEK: It is. Wait a minute. L-3 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and sinus surgery. So a lot of meds, Gabapentin, Cymbalta, et cetera, et cetera. Q. And then I'm just going to cover the next three patients pretty quickly. Number 7 is in L-8. For what reason was this patient given narcotic pain medication? A. Oh, this patient had a surgical procedure on his back. Well, the first time he saw us was for pneumonia or something like that. But he had a surgical procedure at the spinal, Laser Spinal Institute in Pittsburgh, had a microdiscectomy, really was pleased with it, did really well, until pretty soon, like maybe six weeks after surgery, he herniated a disc above it. He was in a lot of financial difficulty. It turned out that that surgery, though it had been promised to be paid for and it wasn't. He went bankrupt over it and he was in a lot of trouble. Q. So you prescribed pain medication for that condition? A. Yes, for his back pain.

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 2014
Page 872	Page 874
1 from you; is that true?	1 disease and I think she's stable.
2 A. I think December of 2013 was his last	2 Q. At some point in time you stopped giving
3 prescription from me.	3 her fentanyl. Was she intolerant to that?
4 Q. I see one in the PDR for 7-17-14 for 60	4 A. Correct.
5 Hydrocodone and then nothing from you after that.	5 Q. How do you know that?6 A. She didn't like it. It didn't relieve her
6 A. Yeah. He must have come in because of an	
7 acute flare.	7 pain and she felt that it was not lasting the three
8 Q. And he got seven from somebody else that	8 days, and I was not comfortable giving her higher
9 next week but none after that according to the PDR	9 doses of fentanyl in order to have her last longer.
10 as of this month?	10 Q. The last patient, Number 9, is
11 A. Yeah.	11 A. Got it.
12 Q. Success story in terms of	12 Q. This is a lady who had an implantable pain
13 A. I would say success. You'd have to ask	13 pump a couple of times actually, the last time it
14 him.	14 was removed for bad wiring. Why did she present to
15 Q. Patient Number 8 is L-9. For what reason	15 you for pain management, or attention for her pain?
16 did this patient present to you for pain care?	16 A. It looks like it was 11-12 of 2010. Her
17 A. She initially had a urinary tract	17 provider is no longer available and I no longer
18 infection. But it turns out that she ultimately	18 recall who that was.
19 started coming to see us because her	19 Q. John Stevens, died in a plane wreck.
20 gastroenterologist would refuse to treat her pain.	20 A. So reflex sympathetic dystrophy, spinal
21 She had, I think, ulcerative colitis, and she went	21 cord stimulator, depression, ulcers. She was on
22 from a Helena gastroenterologist sorry, Crohn's	22 Percocet four a day, Cymbalta, the spinal cord
23 disease a Helena gastroenterologist to a series	23 stimulator that had stopped functioning, Clonazepam,
24 of gastroenterologists in Missoula. And then the	24 Ambien, Flexeril. Complicated.
25 note here says, "Dr. Lee refuses to see her." She	25 Q. And this patient remains on pain
Page 873	Page 875
1 was going to Dr. Morris in Missoula, he retired and	1 medication?
2 moved on. She ended up seeing Dr. Cortese in Butte.	2 A. She does. She's been off very briefly,
3 None of these gastroenterologists were comfortable	3 but never more than a month free of pain meds.
4 giving her ongoing opioids for her pain. She was a	4 Q. But she's been on for a number of
5 tough case.	5 maladies?
6 Q. And it looks like after you your last	6 A. Right.
7 prescription was on 5-12 of this year?	7 Q. Doctor, do you occasionally have patients
8 A. Yeah. I told her that essentially that	8 who are going to be on pain medications of some type
9 was when my hearing was scheduled for June. I said,	9 or another indefinitely?
10 "Here comes June 23rd. You better wean slow or wean	10 A. Well, I never said that to them. Only
11 fast. If I lose my prescription privileges, it's	11 time will tell about that. But what I do
12 going to be uncomfortable to you and then she	12 distinguish with patients is that pain is a pain
13 decided to move on.	13 will take you out of the present and put you either
14 Q. And this other practitioner, according to	14 in your past worrying about it or into your future
15 the records, has prescribed the same amount of	15 worrying about what your future is going to have.
16 Hydrocodone that you did?	16 So what I say repeatedly to patients is don't think
17 A. Right. So is she a failure? Is that what	17 that you're going to always have that pain, you're
18 you're asking me?	18 just looking through the filter of pain right now.
19 Q. Sure.	19 And it wouldn't let you consider the option of not
20 A. Okay. No. She's been maintained somewhat	20 having pain. So I never say to somebody, yeah,
21 functional. She's pretty much disabled by her	21 you're not going to be on them indefinitely.
22 Crohn's disease. I was not able to get her off any	22 I inherited a lot of these patients. I
23 opiates. She's also not increased her opiates.	23 don't start people on pain medications. I consider
24 She's had specialists from out of town to manage her	24 my job to be to get people off medications. As an
25 therapy. She's on Humira now for her Crohn's	25 ER doc for 30 years, I couldn't deal with any of

Page 876 Page 878 1 these patients. I had to say, you know, "If you're 1 ever did. So I'm not particularly overwhelmed by in chronic pain, go see your doctor. An emergency the process of giving high doses of pain medications 2 2 on your part is not necessarily an emergency on my to people who might need them. I've seen people 3 3 4 part." And, yet, now I don't know where these 4 have pain generators that are very, very powerful. patients could go. When they come to me and if I I also give other patients other medications in the 5 5 don't take care of them, who will? ER that are life-threatening if I couldn't control 6 6 Q. Do you feel that with respect to any of 7 their airway such as Succinylcholine or Etomidate, 7 these patients, any of these 21 or 22 or these 9 all kinds of heavy-duty medications. So I'm not 8 8 9 patients or anybody else that you have you've ever 9 afraid of the medication profile themselves. overprescribed narcotics? Q. Doctor, are you aware of any standard of 10 10 11 A. No. care applicable to your practice that requires you 11 **12** Q. What about your recordkeeping, do you feel 12 have a written pain contract with patients such as that it satisfies standard of care? these? 13 13 14 A. Yes. 14 A. No. In fact, these patients, a lot of **15** Q. Why is that? these patients were negatively affected by a pain 15 16 A. Every patient comes in, every patient gets contract. 16 Q. And have you reviewed the medical an exam, every patient gets a story to tell, every 17 17 patient has an assessment made and every patient literature to determine whether there is any 18 18 that gets a prescription, their prescription is difference between the management of a pain patient 19 19 recorded. There is a lot of notes that I take that who has a written contract versus one who does not 20 20 I hand to the patients to go home with. I spend a have a written contract? 21 21 great deal of time with certain patients at certain A. Well, I think there is lots of 22 22 23 times. 23 recommendations about having written contracts. My I used to do this in the ER but I could goal is never to be carrying a patient long term. 24 24 only do it at 3:00 in the morning. So I kind of My goal has always been weaning them. So a pain 25 25 Page 877 Page 879 enjoy taking on a challenging patient from time to contract never made any sense to me from the 1 1 time, particularly a patient who can't get care standpoint of the Patients 3, 4, 7, 8, that have 2 2 anywhere else. I served in India, I served in the been successfully weaned, therefore, making a pain 3 3 West Indies. I'm interested in serving the contract made no sense to me. 4 4 underserved, and this is a patient population that's 5 5 As far as the ones that staved, on -- it 6 highly underserved all of a sudden. 6 was always my goal to get Patient Number 8 off of Q. Do you know why that is? those medications for her Crohn's disease. So --7 7 8 A. It's completely mysterious to me. My MR. FANNING: Objection. I believe the 8 9 theory is that -- and this is going to sound really 9 question was is there any standard of care cynical -- pain is the fifth vital sign was about a written pain contract and now we've got 10 10 supported by an organization called the American a series of narratives that are unbridled. 11 11 Pain Society. The American Pain Society was MR. DOUBEK: He's describing the basis for 12 12 supported 85 percent of their finances by Perrigo 13 that. 13 Pharma, and they've produced some of those MR. FANNING: That's a yes or no. 14 14 medications that have been used for the last 14 15 MR. DOUBEK: No, it isn't. 15 vears. So a lot of pressure on doctors. And as an THE WITNESS: So bridled --16 16 emergency physician, I was assessed by a survey HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Hold on. Will 17 17 called Press Ganey on how well did I treat patients' 18 you read the question? 18 pain. And I was very serious about treating acute 19 (Previous question read.) 19 HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think the 20 pain when I was in the ER. In fact, I would have 20 nurses balk from time to time about how much pain question has been answered. Thank you. 21 21 medication I wanted to give. And I think the 22 MR. DOUBEK: Thank you. 22 definition of how much pain medicine you need to 23 Q. (By Mr. Doubek) What do you do in lieu of 23 give is give it until it's enough. And in the ER 24 having a written pain contract with your patients? 24 you can give it until they stop breathing and nobody 25 A. When I'm finished with my patient, at the 25

	Page 880		Page 882
1	end of my examination with them I say, "I will stand	1	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anything else,
2	by you until this problem is resolved."	2	Mr. Doubek?
	Q. Is trust an important thing, do you, in	3	MR. DOUBEK: No. Thanks. I apologize. I
	your belief, as to caring for these kinds of	4	should have asked you that.
	patients?	5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, are
	A. Yes.	6	you going to use your Exhibits 1 through 9 or
		_	are you going to use
7	MR. DOUBEK: I have no other questions.	7	
8	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Why don't we take a break for tan minutes	8	MR. FANNING: If anything, it will be my 1 through 0
9	take a break for ten minutes.		through 9.
10	(Break taken.)	10	CDOSS EVAMINATION OF DD. MADY IDSEN
11	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We're back on	11	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. MARK IBSEN
12	the record after a short afternoon recess. I	12	BY MR. FANNING:
13	believe Mr. Fanning has some questions for		Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Ibsen.
14	Dr. Ibsen.		A. Good afternoon.
15	MR. DOUBEK: One loose end. Did I offer		Q. In your direct examination with Mr.
16	and did you admit Exhibit D?		Doubek, you talked about the discussion that you had
17	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That does not		last June with the pharmacist, Mr. Gardipee, and you
18	sound familiar to me.		referenced boundaries that he set. Do you recall
19	MR. DOUBEK: This was a packet of		that?
20	documents that I had sent to the Board which		A. Yes.
21	included the affidavit of FR. I thought I did.	21	Q. But, in fact, it was not boundaries he
22	MR. FANNING: If you did, it would have	22	set, it was boundaries that you had crowed about in
23	been over my objection. But I don't recall	23	the newspaper, correct?
24	that being offered because we had that	24	A. Crowed about?
25	gentleman here and he testified and there is no	25	Q. You had been interviewed extensively in
	Page 881		Page 883
1		1	
	objection for hearsay.		the newspaper about your success in weaning,
2	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer	2	the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct?
2 3	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D.	2 3	the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct?A. I don't recall everything in that article.
2 3 4	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you	2 3 4	the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct?A. I don't recall everything in that article.Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite
2 3 4 5	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was	2 3 4 5	the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct?A. I don't recall everything in that article.Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you?
2 3 4 5 6	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board?	2 3 4 5 6	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the same purpose. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the same purpose. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the same purpose. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's nothing but hearsay. That witness was here, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the same purpose. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's nothing but hearsay. That witness was here, did testify, and there is no reason to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients; is that right? A. No, that's not what I said.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the same purpose. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's nothing but hearsay. That witness was here, did testify, and there is no reason to substitute hearsay for live testimony. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients; is that right? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Okay, what did you say?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the same purpose. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's nothing but hearsay. That witness was here, did testify, and there is no reason to substitute hearsay for live testimony. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We have FR's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients; is that right? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Okay, what did you say? A. I said the DEA said we're not physicians
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's nothing but hearsay. That witness was here, did testify, and there is no reason to substitute hearsay for live testimony. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We have FR's testimony, so D is not admitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients; is that right? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Okay, what did you say? A. I said the DEA said we're not physicians and we can't give you direction about how to care
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. DOUBEK: So I would offer it for the same purpose. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's nothing but hearsay. That witness was here, did testify, and there is no reason to substitute hearsay for live testimony. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We have FR's testimony, so D is not admitted. MR. DOUBEK: All right. That's fine. I'd 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients; is that right? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Okay, what did you say? A. I said the DEA said we're not physicians and we can't give you direction about how to care for your patients.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objection for hearsay. MR. DOUBEK: My question was did I offer Exhibit D. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe you did and I believe it was admitted. That was the letter, your response to the Board? MR. DOUBEK: Yes. A was. MR. FANNING: Actually, I thought that was Exhibit A and that's the one I objected to and you said that it could be admitted provided that it wasn't offered for the truth of the matter asserted. MR. DOUBEK: For the HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: That's correct. So D was not offered. MR. FANNING: And I object because it's nothing but hearsay. That witness was here, did testify, and there is no reason to substitute hearsay for live testimony. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: We have FR's testimony, so D is not admitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the newspaper about your success in weaning, correct? A. I don't recall everything in that article. Q. Did you contact the newspaper and invite them to interview you? A. Yes. Q. And you don't recall the gist of it? A. Yeah, the gist of it is that pain is a big challenge. Q. Do you recall Mr. Gardipee's testimony that he read the paper, you claimed to be weaning and he was holding you to account? A. Yeah, he may have said that. Q. So it was actually your boundaries that he was holding you to; isn't that right? A. No. Q. You indicated in that meeting that the DEA just refused to tell you how to treat your patients; is that right? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Okay, what did you say? A. I said the DEA said we're not physicians and we can't give you direction about how to care

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 884		Page 886
1	said this individual is diverting, don't prescribe	1	you both to kind of scale back a little bit now
	to him?		before it gets further down the road. Thank
	A. Not the DEA.		you.
	Q. Who? What would be the Missouri River	4	THE WITNESS: What kind of guidance would
	Drug Task Force?	5	you give me?
	A. Yes.	6	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well
	Q. That would be Shane Hiett?	7	MR. DOUBEK: Be cool.
	A. Yes.	8	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Be cool.
	Q. That would be the individual you said you	9	THE WITNESS: All right. Will do.
	worked cooperatively with?	10	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: And Mr. Fanning
	A. Yes.	-	as well.
	Q. And did you follow his advice?	12	THE WITNESS: Great.
	A. Yes.		Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Regarding the
	Q. Are you still prescribing to the patient		Dr. Christensen patients that you said came to you
	that we're calling Exhibit 29-21?	15	on 30 milligram oxycodone, you made a remark that I
	A. I don't know.	16	noted, "It was enough of a drug to put a city to
	Q. And I know that's a bit of an oblique	17	sleep." Do you recall that?
	question. So do you recognize that individual?		A. I did say that.
	A. Oh, I do.		Q. And you suggested that it was probably
	Q. And were you ever counseled by Shane Hiett		because they were habituated, that they could
	to discontinue because he was suspected of being a		tolerate that level of pain, is that correct, or
	drug seeker?		that level of dosage?
	A. Shane and I had some conversations and at		A. Yes.
	one point he did say I should not prescribe for that		Q. But
	patient.		A. I was able to review them in the
	Puttonu		
	Page 885		Page 887
1	Q. So he did give you specifics about real	1	Prescription Drug Registry, which is a great tool.
	threats, didn't he?		Q. But there is also another possibility that
	A. Yes.		would account for those large quantities and that
	Q. But		was that they were diverting them. That's possible,
	A. Do you know about the rest of my		isn't it?
	conversation with Shane Hiett?		A. Ves.
	Q. Did you continue to prescribe to that	-	Q. Regarding the discussion that you had with
8	individual?		Jeremy Otteson that led to the complaint that you
_	A. No, actually what I did is I had a		filed. You know what I'm talking about, right?
	continued conversation with Shane Hiett and I told		A. Yes.
11	Shane about the circumstances of the individual, the		Q. Did you say that you could admit now that
12	additional confounding circumstances that he has,		maybe the communication wasn't ideal?
13	and Shane and I came to an agreement that I would		A. Correct.
	continue to prescribe to him.		Q. And did you also say that part of it was
	Q. In other words, you did? That's a yes?		
16	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's responsive to	16	seemed as though that was an attack on your skill as
	your question.	17	a physician?
	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) My question specifically		A. Yes.
	was, did you continue to prescribe to that		Q. But had you just told Jeremy Otteson that
	individual? And that would be easily answered	20	this person was a regular patient and this was not
	A. Yes.	20 21	for a toothache but for fibromyalgia, much of this
	Q. Very good.	21 22	could have been avoided, couldn't it?
23	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay.	23	A. No. He was told that.
23 24	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. Gentlemen, I know that this a tense situation,	23 24	A. No. He was told that.Q. Is it in your judgment a weaning success
23	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. Gentlemen, I know that this a tense situation,	23 24	A. No. He was told that.

Ivia	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 888		Page 890
1	continues opioid medication through that person?	1	until she was fired?
			A. That's not true.
	A. I don't judge my successes with patients		
	on what they do with another provider.		Q. The women from the Western Montana Mental
	Q. Okay. Is it fair to say, Dr. Ibsen, that		Health Center testified. You recall that, right?
5	you kind of get your back up a little bit when		A. Yes.
6	you're challenged?		Q. And that all had to do with that patient
7	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, irrelevant,	7	who went to Hays-Morris House in crisis and you
8	immaterial.	8	offered her your narcotic, correct?
9	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.	-	A. No.
10	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you know what I mean?	10	Q. What happened?
11	A. No.	11	A. I offered her my Percocet.
12	Q. Do you get defensive when you're	12	Q. Thank you. But they refused to give that
13	challenged?	13	to the patient as you directed?
14	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, vague.	14	A. There was an initial agreement that they
15	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you	15	would and, yes, then they didn't.
16	rephrase, Mr. Fanning?	16	Q. And you were very unhappy about that,
17	MR. FANNING: Okay.	17	weren't you?
18	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you feel as though	18	A. I don't recall how unhappy I was. I was
	when you're confronted with the sort of conflicts		unhappy. I'm not sure about very.
20	that we all have to deal with as grownups and		Q. But did you call one of those women on her
21			private time and tell her to bring your fucking meds
	and appropriately		back?
	A. Yep.		A. No.
	Q. Okay. But isn't it true that everybody		Q. Do you recall the testimony that they
	who has testified here in this proceeding that		alerted you that if you continued to harass the
	····· ··· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ···· ····		
	Page 889		Page 891
	, C		
	challenged you suffered some form of counterattack		staff that they would have you arrested should you
2	challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation?	2	staff that they would have you arrested should you appear?
2 3	challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation?A. No.	2 3	staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No.
2 3 4	challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation?A. No.Q. All right. Let's go through them.	2 3 4	staff that they would have you arrested should you appear?A. No.Q. Did you threaten to
2 3 4 5	challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation?A. No.Q. All right. Let's go through them.A. Okay.	2 3 4 5	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some
2 3 4 5 6	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at 	2 3 4 5 6	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? 	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? A. Did I malign her? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been maligned at this hearing? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint against the Western Montana clinic and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been maligned at this hearing? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint against the Western Montana clinic and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been maligned at this hearing? A. I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint against the Western Montana clinic and the Hays-Morris House for admitting a patient who was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been maligned at this hearing? A. I don't know. Q. Did you hear your counsel give his opening 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint against the Western Montana clinic and the Hays-Morris House for admitting a patient who was suicidal because of their pain and refusing to give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? A. Did I malign her? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been maligned at this hearing? A. I don't know. Q. Did you hear your counsel give his opening saying that she was a poor employee? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint against the Western Montana clinic and the Hays-Morris House for admitting a patient who was suicidal because of their pain and refusing to give them pain medication, admitting a patient to a facility where they had no capability of taking care
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 challenged you suffered some form of counterattack or retaliation? A. No. Q. All right. Let's go through them. A. Okay. Q. Sarah Damm. She was the one person at your office with the courage to challenge you about your prescribing practice. MR. DOUBEK: Objection to the testimony by counsel characterizing her as having the courage. She was fired. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did Sarah Damm challenge you about your prescribing practices? A. Yes. Q. And since that she's been maligned at this hearing, hasn't she? A. Did I malign her? Q. I didn't ask you that. Has she been maligned at this hearing? A. I don't know. Q. Did you hear your counsel give his opening saying that she was a poor employee? A. Well, that was a fact. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 staff that they would have you arrested should you appear? A. No. Q. Did you threaten to A. They were going to arrest me in some future? Q. If you appeared at their clinic, they advised you you would be arrested? A. Fair enough. They can say anything they want. Q. Did you threaten to file a complaint against Western Montana Mental Health and Ms. Dunks for refusing to give those medications as you thought they should be given? A. No. Q. So all of that testimony that they offered was false? A. No. I was going to file a complaint against the Western Montana clinic and the Hays-Morris House for admitting a patient who was suicidal because of their pain and refusing to give them pain medication, admitting a patient to a facility where they had no capability of taking care

	,		
	Page 892		Page 894
1	care for that patient.	1	false?
	Q. Jeremy Otteson refused to give or issue		A. Agent Addis talked to me about not talking
	the prescription that you provided for one of those		to the DEA agents any further without my attorney
	patients, right?		present. He did call me about that.
	A. I'm sorry. I'm confused.		Q. Your attorney or their attorney?
	Q. Okay. Jeremy Otteson is the Walgreens		A. My attorney.
	pharmacist who testified.		Q. All right.
	A. Yes.		A. They said there was an active
	Q. And he declined to give the full		investigation with the Deputy U.S. Attorney of the
	prescription for a certain patient that you wrote?	10	
	A. Correct.	11	longer could they talk to me without my attorney
	Q. And that made you very unhappy, didn't it?		present.
	A. Well, it actually made the patient very		Q. In fact, it's probably fair to say that
	unhappy. She was the one in tears.		you were unhappy with the fact that you were being
	Q. But then you retaliated against		prosecuted by the Board of Medical Examiners?
	Mr. Otteson by filing a complaint with the Board of		A. No.
	Pharmacy.		Q. Do you think that me as an individual is
	A. So do you think that filing a complaint		treating you unfairly?
19	against a board is a retaliation?		A. Yes.
20	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir.		Q. In fact, you've written extensively about
20	Mr. Fanning is asking you questions at this		that in your Facebook posts, haven't you?
22	time. If your counsel wants to ask you		A. I would say that's probably 1 percent of
	questions in response to his, he certainly will		what's on my Facebook.
23 24	be able to do that.		Q. Well, it's the 1 percent though let's talk
	A. Well, I'm not going to characterize it as		about now. Do you feel as though there is some sort
25	A. Wen, I in not going to characterize it as	25	about now. Do you reef as though there is some soft
	Page 893		Page 895
_		_	-
	retaliation.		of conspiracy against you?
2	retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a	2	of conspiracy against you? A. No.
2 3	retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson?	2 3	of conspiracy against you?A. No.Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get
2 3 4	retaliation.Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson?A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy	2 3 4	of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"?
2 3 4 5	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a 	2 3 4 5	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. 	2 3 4 5 6	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree
2 3 4 5 6 7	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook? A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. A. Yes. Q. Then this past summer when you became 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners or me as an individual was bullying you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. A. Yes. Q. Then this past summer when you became frustrated with her, you threatened her as well, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners or me as an individual was bullying you? A. I'm not sure if it's more than one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. A. Yes. Q. Then this past summer when you became frustrated with her, you threatened her as well, didn't you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners or me as an individual was bullying you? A. I'm not sure if it's more than one occuld
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. A. Yes. Q. Then this past summer when you became frustrated with her, you threatened her as well, didn't you? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners or me as an individual was bullying you? A. I'm not sure if it's more than one occasion. I'd have to look and see. If you could show me the actual Facebook entries, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. Me know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. A. Yes. Q. Then this past summer when you became frustrated with her, you threatened her as well, didn't you? A. No. Q. So her testimony that you were no longer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners or me as an individual was bullying you? A. I'm not sure if it's more than one occasion. I'd have to look and see. If you could show me the actual Facebook entries, I would actually affirm that. But not looking at them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 retaliation. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) But you did file a complaint against Mr. Otteson? A. I reported him to the Board of Pharmacy for refusing to fill a legitimate prescription for a patient. Q. Agent Tuss tried to work with you for a number of months, didn't she? A. I don't know what Agent Tuss tried to do. Q. Well, we know that she stopped by your clinic on a number of occasions. A. Yeah. You sent her. Q. We know that you met with her and Mr. Gardipee. A. Yes. Q. And we know that there were a number of telephone calls between her office and yours and her and your office manager. A. Yes. Q. Then this past summer when you became frustrated with her, you threatened her as well, didn't you? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 of conspiracy against you? A. No. Q. Did you write that, "I smell a rat. Get ready for conflict"? A. I don't know. Q. If it was in the Facebook, would you agree that that was so? A. I don't know. If I saw my Facebook page and could confirm it, I probably would. Q. Did you say that you won't stand for bullying? A. I think I might have. Q. More than once? A. Okay. Q. That's not an answer. Please, did you say that more than once in your Facebook? A. Okay. Q. One more time. On more than one occasion did you allege that the Board of Medical Examiners or me as an individual was bullying you? A. I'm not sure if it's more than one occasion. I'd have to look and see. If you could show me the actual Facebook entries, I would

Ivia	Page 896		Page 898
	Fage 090		Fage 030
1	say it's pretty likely I might have done it once.	1	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: At this point
2	If it's more than once, I would have to actually	2	1
3	look at the facts and then attest to whether it was	3	I B
	three or five or more than one.	4	that and can see it, so I think your client
5	Q. It could be though? It could be?	5	opened the door on this.
6	A. It could be.	6	MR. DOUBEK: Well, he was asked the
7	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's been asked	7	question though and he's trying to answer the
8	and answered.	8	question.
9	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.	9	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Dr. Ibsen, I think it
10	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you indicate that		might be to your right. Is that it? You can keep
11	you were going to do something to make this process	11	it, sir. Turn to page or, excuse me, Exhibit 21,
12	ugly?	12	please. Now, turn to page 869 within that.
13	A. No.	13	MR. DOUBEK: May I have a continuing
14	Q. You never said that?	14	objection about Facebook it is certainly
15	A. No. The process is pretty ugly already	15	likely that the doctor is upset that he's being
16	so, no.	16	hauled into a procedure like this. I don't
17	Q. Let me quote something to you and see if	17	think that's abnormal for anyone and, thus,
18	you remember writing this.	18	asking him about his level of upsetness is
19	MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, this has nothing	19	irrelevant and immaterial.
20	to do with any of the issues in this case, and	20	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: You can have a
21	I would object to this line of questioning.	21	continuing objection.
22	MR. FANNING: It has everything to do with	22	MR. DOUBEK: That's what I want. Thank
23	credibility and has everything to do with	23	you.
24	whether or not the facts that are recorded in	24	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Are you on page 689?
25	Exhibits 22 and 23 have resurfaced and we need	25	A. Yeah. It says BOME.
	Page 897		Page 899
1		1	
1	to address them.		Q. Right. Below that.
2	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link	2	Q. Right. Below that.A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME
2 3	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would	2 3	Q. Right. Below that.A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over
2 3 4	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard.	2 3 4	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they
2 3 4 5	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of	2 3 4 5	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the
2 3 4 5 6	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has
2 3 4 5 6 7	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the
2 3 4 5 6	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics." Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what you're reading? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics." Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you want to keep reading, you're welcome to. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what you're reading? Q. You know what, I think that's a capital 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics." Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you want to keep reading, you're welcome to. A. Okay. MR. DOUBEK: Doctor, just this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what you're reading? Q. You know what, I think that's a capital idea. I believe it's Exhibit 21. It is. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics." Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you want to keep reading, you're welcome to. A. Okay. MR. DOUBEK: Doctor, just this is garbage. Just answer the questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what you're reading? Q. You know what, I think that's a capital idea. I believe it's Exhibit 21. It is. MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, we have objected 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics." Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you want to keep reading, you're welcome to. A. Okay. MR. DOUBEK: Doctor, just this is garbage. Just answer the questions. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So you didn't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what you're reading? Q. You know what, I think that's a capital idea. I believe it's Exhibit 21. It is. MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, we have objected to this and I believe you sustained our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics." Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you want to keep reading, you're welcome to. A. Okay. MR. DOUBEK: Doctor, just this is garbage. Just answer the questions. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So you didn't think anything of the discovery, right? You thought that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 to address them. MR. DOUBEK: No. There has been no link by any witness at this point, and I would object to further questions in this regard. Mr. Ramirez did not testify about this sort of thing in any way, shape, or form. MR. FANNING: And the reason for that was to protect your client, but it is in the record. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Can you read the question back? (Previous question read.) HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: The objection is overruled. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) In your Facebook posts, I'm going to quote something A. Do you mind if I actually take a look at my Facebook posts, or can I actually look at what you're reading? Q. You know what, I think that's a capital idea. I believe it's Exhibit 21. It is. MR. DOUBEK: Your Honor, we have objected 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Right. Below that. A. "Met with attorney. Told him to tell BOME to F off. He listened. Told him we have gone over all this crap months ago. 17 months ago. Then they told us get expert testimony and we will close the case. Vacated, vamoose, et cetera. Don't worry has been repeated more than what, me worry now that the Montana Board of Medical Examiners and their attorneys have lied, stalled and otherwise bullied me, they are now changing course to pursue a full hearing. Well, okay. They will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous, repetitive questions designed only to have me trip up. If told to show up, I will. Then they will hear me. I took an oath. I swore by Asciepius, not by the BOME and their tactics." Q. Dr. Ibsen, that's all I want. But if you want to keep reading, you're welcome to. A. Okay. MR. DOUBEK: Doctor, just this is garbage. Just answer the questions. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So you didn't think

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 900		Page 902
1	no reason?	1	Q. So do you think the Board of Medical
2	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, argumentative.		Examiners is acting outside of its bounds or that I
3	MR. FANNING: That's a question.		am individually?
4	MR. DOUBEK: It's argumentative.		A. Yes.
5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Overruled.		Q. All right. So what did you resolve to do
6	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You said that you	6	about that?
7		7	A. What did I resolve to do about that?
8	designed to trip you up, right? You read that?		Q. Right. You said that you weren't going to
	A. Let me refer back to that page. "They		stand by and be bullied. What were you going to do?
10	will not get me to repeat answers to ridiculous		A. It seemed to me that the process was quite
	repetitive questions designed only to have me trip		secretive and that having discussed the situation
	up."	12	
	Q. So you didn't think much of the discovery	13	responded to requests by the Board of Medicine
	process, did you?	14	
	A. What does discovery process mean?	15	bring in the SAMHSA document, take different
		16	courses, jump through several hoops, it seems to me
17	got photographs of the discovery that I sent to you.	17	like there were several agreements that were in
	Does that refresh your recollection?	18	place that if we just get these things done, we can
	A. I can't read those photographs.	19	get this thing resolved. And the more we did, the
	Q. No. But that's what I'm talking about.	20	
	Those were questions that I offered you designed to	21	So it became clear to me that this process
	elicit what this case was about.	22	was going somewhere with no interest in any
23	A. Right.	23	resolution based on the behavior of the attorney for
24	Q. And it was your determination that that	24	the Board of Medicine. I don't have anything
	wasn't something you were willing to participate in?	25	against the Board, I don't think they've heard about
	Page 901		Page 903
1	A. These were the same questions that were	1	any of this. My problem is with you. And you've
2	presented to me in the original complaint from Sarah	2	talked to my attorney numerous times and we've had
3	Damm of 17 months prior to this. And it seemed to	3	settlement conversations numerous times and you've
4	me to repeat the questions without any historical	4	reneged on each one of them and here we are.
5	precedent of how I answered the previous question,	5	So it seemed clear to me that this process
6	that it was designed to get me to answer a question		was going to go on and on, maybe in order to build
7	differently than I answered 17 months prior. That	7	your career. I have no idea what you're up to. All
8	seems like to me it was designed to make me make a		I know is what I'm up to.
9	mistake.	9	Q. Okay. What you were up to is you revealed
	Q. So was that the reason	10	on page 872. So page forward a little bit where the
	A. Why would I have to answer all those	11	post with your name on it begins. Can you read that
12	questions all over again?	12	
13			A. 872. "Edie Cartwright says GDSF."
	pages of medical records that we didn't get		Q. I'm talking about your post, the first
	initially?	15	
16	MR. DOUBEK: Objection	16	MR. DOUBEK: Just read it to yourself.
	A. You got those initially, my friend.	17	
	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) All right. Now on		please.
	page 870, the last two lines of text. Did you	19	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Go ahead.
20	author that where it says, "I am sharing this"?	20	MR. DOUBEK: Go ahead.
	A. "Because bullying only responds to		A. "It's going to get public and ugly.
22	transparency."	22	Ariela Cohen and Marshall'' (phonetic)
	Q. Keep going.	23	
	A. "I won't stand by while someone is		So what do you mean by it's going to get ugly? What
25	bullied, that includes me."	25	are going to do?
i		1	

	Page 904		Page 906
-	A Is this pratty right pow?	-	act good judgment?
	A. Is this pretty right now?		got good judgment?
	Q. Were you threatening me?		A. Well, he is a person who is a friend of mine.
3	MR. DOUBEK: This is just argument.		
4	MR. FANNING: What we're doing is		Q. Do you know if he's ever met me?
	establishing the foundation for the documents	5	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, this is irrelevant
6	that the Hearing Officer excluded before	6	and immaterial.
7		7	MR. FANNING: We're looking at the
	A. Well, I don't know. Is this ugly or not?	8	
	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Let's turn to page 877,	9	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Where are we
	and we're almost done with this material. Now,		going?
	there are a number of posts that are attributed to	11	MR. FANNING: It's pretty clear that
	you, but there is one in the middle that begins	12	
	clearly. Read that out loud, please.	13	who's threatened him, that includes me, and 24
	A. "Bringing ER in helped. Had me thinking		is that attack.
	of rabbit mostly. But like the Shrek story, there	15	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's irrelevant to
	is some of each character in each of us. Aye?"	16	any issue in this case.
	Q. Actually, what I said was the one that	17	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I don't see
	begins with the word clearly.	18	that I don't see the connection.
	A. I don't see one that begins with the word	19	MR. FANNING: Well, the connection is that
	clearly.	20	Dr. Ibsen has tried to undermine anybody who
	Q. Just below that.	21	has ever threatened him and somehow he
	A. "Poo sticks" is the one that's right below	22	perceives me as the object of a threat. So he
	that.	23	contacted the Board of Medical Examiners and
	Q. Keep going.		called me a vicious dog and that this was a
25	A. Okay. "See what floats by."	25	witch hunt and that he was going to assure that
	Page 905		Page 907
	Page 905		Page 907
	Q. Keep going.		I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out
2	Q. Keep going.A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has	2	I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda.
2 3	Q. Keep going.A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line,	2 3	I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made
2 3 4	Q. Keep going.A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real	2 3 4	I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on.
2 3 4 5	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." 	2 3 4 5	I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it 	2 3 4 5 6	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as an evaluator for Medicare patients, doing in-home 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as an evaluator for Medicare patients, doing in-home evaluations of patients in Montana, Kentucky, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct? A. No. Q. So you don't have any idea how this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as an evaluator for Medicare patients, doing in-home evaluations of patients in Montana, Kentucky, and other states. He is available if you want to call 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct? A. No. Q. So you don't have any idea how this stranger where does he live?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as an evaluator for Medicare patients, doing in-home evaluations of patients in Montana, Kentucky, and other states. He is available if you want to call him. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct? A. No. Q. So you don't have any idea how this stranger where does he live? A. Well, right now he is in Kentucky.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as an evaluator for Medicare patients, doing in-home evaluations of patients in Montana, Kentucky, and other states. He is available if you want to call him. Q. He is a friend of yours you say? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct? A. No. Q. So you don't have any idea how this stranger where does he live? A. Well, right now he is in Kentucky. Q. So he has nothing to do with Montana or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as an evaluator for Medicare patients, doing in-home evaluations of patients in Montana, Kentucky, and other states. He is available if you want to call him. Q. He is a friend of yours you say? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct? A. No. Q. So you don't have any idea how this stranger where does he live? A. Well, right now he is in Kentucky. Q. So he has nothing to do with Montana or me. How was it that he became aware of this and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Keep going. A. "Clearly, my lawyerly nemesis at BOME has upped the ante. Now his career is on the line, which means it's going to get real nasty real quick." Q. So what did you intend to do to make it nasty? A. I didn't intend to do anything to make it nasty. It's obviously gotten nasty. It was pretty much an excellent foreshadowing, I think. My crystal ball is working pretty good. Q. Do you prefer it nasty? MR. DOUBEK: Objection, that's argumentative. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Who is Dave Edmiston? A. He is a friend of mine who is a physician, retired ophthalmologist who is currently working as an evaluator for Medicare patients, doing in-home evaluations of patients in Montana, Kentucky, and other states. He is available if you want to call him. Q. He is a friend of yours you say? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 I was silenced. I apparently am a wildly out of control attorney with my own agenda. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think you made your point. Let's move on. MR. FANNING: Okay. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Did you have anything to do with Dr. Edmiston submitting that to the Board of Medical Examiners? A. I'm not sure what you mean by the question. Q. Did you talk to Dr. Edmiston about this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Q. Did you indicate to Dr. Edmiston that you were frustrated with the action? A. Yes. Q. Was it you who indicated to him the nature of the attorney's conduct? A. No. Q. So you don't have any idea how this stranger where does he live? A. Well, right now he is in Kentucky. Q. So he has nothing to do with Montana or

Ma	·k Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 908		Page 910
1	A. I don't know.	1	inaccurate?
	Q. Okay. You had nothing to do with that?		A. Well, you know, addiction is a complex
	A. No, I didn't say I didn't have anything to		issue. I don't treat addiction patients, so I said
	do with it.		no based on the fact that my patients aren't being
5	MR. FANNING: Now, I want to advise	5	seen for their addictions. I apologize for my
6	Counsel and the Hearing Officer that I want to		confusion that might have come from my short answer.
7	explore some specifics in Exhibits 22 and 23		Q. Well, but addiction patients deserve an
8	and it may be that you don't want that done	8	entirely different medical approach than a chronic
9	publicly.	。 9	pain patient; would they not?
10	MR. DOUBEK: I don't think it ought to be	-	A. So you're saying
11	done, period. It's got nothing to do with the	11	Q. That's a question. I'm not saying
12	issues of charting or prescribing or care	12	anything. Do addiction patients require a different
	rendered to these. In fact, you offered it and	13	medical approach than somebody with more of a
13	said this is just backup information if that's		run-of-the-mill chronic pain presentation?
	what we get to at the conclusion of this		A. I think there is a to use a term you
15 16	matter.		used venn diagrams with some overlap.
17	MR. FANNING: Well, I don't want to	17	Q. But some of these by admission had
	quibble, but what I indicated is that that is		addiction issues, didn't they?
18 19	information that would be instructive both to	18	A. Perhaps, yes. They all had pain issues.
20	the Hearing Examiner and to the Board of	19 20	Q. But Patient Number 2
20 21	Medical Examiners adjudication panel in the		A. Excuse me. Let me refer to that.
22	event that the Hearing Examiner finds that		Q. It will be here, Doctor. If you're using
22	there has been unprofessional conduct and some	22	your numbers, that's not going to work. You need to
23 24	discipline is appropriate. That discipline I'm	23 24	
25			will you, please?
25	suggesting is a lot of behavioral and	25	will you, please?
	Page 909		Page 911
1		1	
1	psychological work.		A. Yes. 96.
2	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the		A. Yes. 96.Q. In the second block of text in the middle,
2 3	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez.	2 3	A. Yes. 96.Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits
2 3 4	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this	2 3 4	A. Yes. 96.Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a
2 3 4	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. 96.Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right?
2 3 4	psychological work.MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez.Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that
2 3 4 5 6 7	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks,	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff
2 3 4 5 6 7	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis Q. Is that a yes or a no?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have the testimony. I don't find the issue to be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis Q. Is that a yes or a no? A. It's not a yes-or-no answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have the testimony. I don't find the issue to be relevant. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis Q. Is that a yes or a no? A. It's not a yes-or-no answer. Q. So was it possible for you to treat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have the testimony. I don't find the issue to be relevant. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Were some of your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis Q. Is that a yes or a no? A. It's not a yes-or-no answer. Q. So was it possible for you to treat addiction on an outpatient basis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have the testimony. I don't find the issue to be relevant. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Were some of your patients addicted? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis Q. Is that a yes or a no? A. It's not a yes-or-no answer. Q. So was it possible for you to treat addiction on an outpatient basis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have the testimony. I don't find the issue to be relevant. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Were some of your patients addicted? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis Q. Is that a yes or a no? A. It's not a yes-or-no answer. Q. So was it possible for you to treat addiction on an outpatient basis? A. No. Q. Right. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 psychological work. MR. DOUBEK: Well, that wasn't the testimony presented by Mr. Ramirez. Mr. Ramirez didn't connect any dots to this proceeding versus what happened years and years ago. All he indicated is that the doctor was cooperative and was cleared by folks, professionals that he saw and he released him early from an MPAP agreement. MR. FANNING: I don't believe that's what happened. He was under a doctor's care and he no longer is. MR. DOUBEK: He was ordered to receive some care. He didn't seek it voluntarily and the doctor said he didn't need care. MR. FANNING: I don't believe you'll find that in Exhibit 22 or 23, Mr. Doubek. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I think we have the testimony. I don't find the issue to be relevant. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Were some of your patients addicted? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. 96. Q. In the second block of text in the middle, that patient is charted as saying that she admits she is addicted and she was going to sign on for a Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis, right? A. According to the note from the nurse that typed this, yes. Q. And that nurse is one of your staff members? A. Correct. Q. But Suboxone treatment is restricted, isn't it? A. Correct. Q. Only DATA waived specifically authorized physicians can offer maintenance treatment to addicted patients, right? A. Well, actually, Dr. Ellis Q. Is that a yes or a no? A. It's not a yes-or-no answer. Q. So was it possible for you to treat addiction on an outpatient basis?

Page 912		Page 914
		Ŭ
Mr. Fanning is asking the questions at this	1	turn to the tab there at 6, you'll find the MPDR for
point.		that patient. And you can turn to the second page
-		and see your last prescribing. Your last
	-	prescription was issued on April 16th of '13, right?
		A. Hang on. Correct.
		Q. So, in other words, within a month after
Number 4		you suggested he wean, he left your practice and
		then ultimately ended up with Dr. Ellis?
		A. Right.
•		Q. And
		A. I guess he didn't want to wean.
		Q. Yeah, that's my point. Then he ended up
-		on a long-term Suboxone program with Dr. Ellis?
		A. I don't know what happened to him after he
		saw me.
	-	Q. Well, you look at the front page of
		Exhibit 28-6 and see that he's regularly prescribed
		Suboxone by Dr. Ellis.
		A. Correct.
		Q. In other words, he also was in an
		addiction recovery program.
-		A. You'd have to ask the patient or Dr. Ellis
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	-	Q. So, in other words, of the nine patients,
		three of them ended up in addiction care, didn't
Page 913		Page 915
and XXXX's trouble weaning from Suboyone ""	1	they?
8		A. No. Dr. Ellis is a pain doctor. He's a
-		psychiatrist. He puts himself out as someone who
		treats patients with chronic pain.
-		Q. But not with Suboxone?
		A. He doesn't treat them with Suboxone? I
•		think that's the only thing he uses.
•		Q. You know that the law forbids you from
	_	using narcotics as a maintenance therapy for
-	_	addicted patients, right?
		A. Yes.
		Q. And that's not what you were doing with
-		these patients?
-		A. No. These patients had pain.
		Q. There were a number of firsts that we
		heard about your practice and Urgent Care Plus. Do
		you recall some of the testimony from the other
		witnesses?
-		MR. DOUBEK: I don't understand the
-		question. It's vague.
-		MR. FANNING: I hadn't really got to a
Q. It was shortly after that that you last	21 22	question yet.
saw that individual, wasn't it?		A. Oh, I thought that was a question.
saw mai muiviuual, wasii tit?	43	A. On, I mought mat was a question.
	21	O (By Mr Fanning) No Livet said do you
A. I don't know.Q. And to help you out, Doctor. If you'd		Q. (By Mr. Fanning) No. I just said do you recall the other witnesses who testified. The
	 A. Hang on. I want my attorney to catch up. MR. DOUBEK: It may take a while. No, that's fine. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) I'm going to try to hasten this along a little bit. Patient Number 4 at page 300 reported to you that A. Hang on. I'm not quite caught up to you yet. Okay. Thanks. Q that he was on Suboxone and now he wanted off; is that correct? A. It says here, "Wants to wean Suboxone. When he stops, he get symptoms of withdrawal." Q. But, in fact, if you look at page 295, what actually occurred was Dr. Ellis cut him off for breach of the understanding that they had; isn't that right? A. It says, "Patient upset. Dr. Ellis saw him only once. There was a problem. Now cut off Page 913 and XXXX's trouble weaning from Suboxone."" Q. Now, if I could get Mr. Doubek's indulgence. In your Exhibit L-2 at page 857. A. Okay. Q. What actually happened, according to in Nurse Ryder's note? A. "Lindy from Dr. Ellis's office called UCP with concerns about XXXX" Sorry. Q. Okay. Continue. A "under investigation for fraudulently obtaining controlled substances. He called Dr. Ellis to do a pill count. He refused." Q. That's enough. A. "States he has sold his business and is moving to Florida." Q. Let's return to the other smaller stack of documents, Patient Number 6. At page 537 you discussed weaning with Patient 6. A. Yep. Q. What was the date of that? A. 3-29 of '13. 	THE WITNESS: This is page 96.4MR. DOUBEK: Okay. Excuse me.5Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And then Patient6Number 47A. Hang on. I want my attorney to catch up.8MR. DOUBEK: It may take a while. No,9that's fine.10Q. (By Mr. Fanning) I'm going to try to11hasten this along a little bit. Patient Number 4 at12page 300 reported to you that13A. Hang on. I'm not quite caught up to you14yet. Okay. Thanks.15Q that he was on Suboxone and now he16wanted off; is that correct?17A. It says here, ''Wants to wean Suboxone.18When he stops, he get symptoms of withdrawal.''19Q. But, in fact, if you look at page 295.20What actually occurred was Dr. Ellis cut him off for21breach of the understanding that they had; isn't23A. It says, ''Patient upset. Dr. Ellis saw24him only once. There was a problem. Now cut off25Page 91324Mat actually happened, according to in5Nurse Ryder's note?6A. ''Lindy from Dr. Ellis's office called UCP7with concerns about XXXX' Sorry.8Q. Okay. Continue.9A ''under investigation for fraudulently10obtaining controlled substances. He called11Dr. Ellis to do a pill count. He refused.''12Q. Let's return to the other smaller stack of16documents, Patient Number 6.

1110	Page 916		Page 918
	Tage 510		i age aio
1	witnesses from Western Montana Mental Health Clinic,	1	clinician, it seems to me.
2	there were three of them, remember?	2	Q. She did. Your office manager testified
3	A. No. There were more than three from that	3	that this was her first experience with having the
4	incident.	4	DEA have a role in office practices. Do you recall
5	Q. They indicated that your clinic was the	5	that?
	first time they had seen a physician divert his own	6	A. Yeah. Thanks to you.
	medication to a patient. Do you recall that		Q. Pharmacist Jeremy Otteson said your
8	testimony?		practice was the first time that he ever refused to
9	A. You might be right.		fill a prescription for a physician.
10			A. Okay.
	first time that they ever had to threaten to call		Q. You have to answer yes or no. Do you
12	the police on a physician. Do you recall that?		recall that testimony?
13	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, it's been asked		A. Do I recall that testimony?
14			Q. Yeah.
15	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.		A. Yes.
16	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Your former physician		Q. He also testified that your clinic was the
17	assistant and your personal caregiver, Lisa	17	
18	Weinreich testified, correct?	18	
	A. (Nods head.)	19	
20	Q. She testified that yours was the first		A. No.
	instance where she had to call the Board of Medical		Q. Pharmacist Bob Gardipee testified your
	Examiners for consultation on a patient's conduct.		clinic was the first instance where he had a sitdown
	That was you, wasn't it?	23	
	A. Actually, I trained Lisa so she's only		doctor's prescribing practices.
	been practicing for maybe three years. So whatever		A. Well, there is a lot of firsts here.
	I B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B		,
	Page 917		Page 919
1	firsts she has, she had a lot of them with me.	1	Q. Yeah, there are. DEA Agent Tuss said that
	Q. And she testified that was the first time		yours was the first incident where she had to have a
	that she had to call a lawyer for consultation about		conversation of that same sort.
	what to do with a prescription?		A. What sort? I'm not sure what you're
	A. Did she have to call a lawyer? I'm not		saying.
	sure she did have to call a lawyer. Clearly the		Q. Going back to my previous question. There
7			was a triumvirate between you, the DEA, and the
8	communication with a lawyer because of all the FUBAR		pharmacist?
9	about this ugly incident that continued to get ugly.		A. A triumvirate?
10	So, yeah, my relationship with Lisa is uncertain		Q. Would you like another term?
11	right now because she has retained a lawyer and I'm		A. I just don't know what you mean.
12	chagrined about it.		Q. There was a three-way meeting between you,
13	Q. In fact, she quit her job because of her		the DEA, and the pharmacist to figure out how to
1			
14	supervising physician's conduct, your conduct?		A. And the office manager was there too, so I
14 15	supervising physician's conduct, your conduct? MR. DOUBEK: Objection	14	A. And the office manager was there too, so I guess it was a
15		14 15	
15	MR. DOUBEK: Objection	14 15	guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve
15 16	MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so.	14 15 16 17	guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve
15 16 17	MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so. But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is	14 15 16 17 18	guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve your prescribing practices. That was a first for
15 16 17 18	MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so. But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is where she lives, and didn't quit her job and she was	14 15 16 17 18	 guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve your prescribing practices. That was a first for the DEA as well. A. It was about improving my prescribing
15 16 17 18 19	MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so. But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is where she lives, and didn't quit her job and she was working part time with us anyway.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	guess it was aQ to how to figure out how to improve your prescribing practices. That was a first for the DEA as well.A. It was about improving my prescribing
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so. But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is where she lives, and didn't quit her job and she was working part time with us anyway. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you recall her	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve your prescribing practices. That was a first for the DEA as well. A. It was about improving my prescribing practices?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so. But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is where she lives, and didn't quit her job and she was working part time with us anyway. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you recall her testimony that she had lost faith in you and quit taking shifts? A. No. 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve your prescribing practices. That was a first for the DEA as well. A. It was about improving my prescribing practices? Q. What was it about? A. It was about resolving the conflict between myself and Mr. Gardipee and getting
15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so. But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is where she lives, and didn't quit her job and she was working part time with us anyway. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you recall her testimony that she had lost faith in you and quit taking shifts? A. No. Q. Your office manager said 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve your prescribing practices. That was a first for the DEA as well. A. It was about improving my prescribing practices? Q. What was it about? A. It was about resolving the conflict between myself and Mr. Gardipee and getting prescriptions for my patients who needed them.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. DOUBEK: Objection A. Well, thank you very much for saying so. But she told me she moved to Missoula, which is where she lives, and didn't quit her job and she was working part time with us anyway. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Do you recall her testimony that she had lost faith in you and quit taking shifts? A. No. 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 guess it was a Q to how to figure out how to improve your prescribing practices. That was a first for the DEA as well. A. It was about improving my prescribing practices? Q. What was it about? A. It was about resolving the conflict between myself and Mr. Gardipee and getting

	rk idsen, wi.d.	_	December 04, 2014
	Page 920		Page 922
1	the laws apply to you?	1	A. It requires previous records.
	A. No.		Q. Okay. And we could find those in the
	Q. No, they don't apply, or no, you don't		charts of the nine?
	believe that?		A. Yes.
	A. It's not true. You asked me is it true.		Q. And in the event that there is not
	I said no.		objective evidence, two physicians have to sign;
	Q. But the law requires you to prescribe in	7	
8	the ordinary course of a legitimate medical	8	A. No.
9	practice, doesn't it?	9	Q. Okay. Now, isn't it also the case that
10	A. The law requires me to prescribe in the		Montana law disallows any medical marijuana
	legitimate		recommendation for greater than one year?
	Q. In the course of a legitimate medical		A. There is a question on the medical
	practice.		marijuana form, "For what period of time is the
	Å. Yeah. I think I have a legitimate medical		patient going to need medical marijuana (not to
15	practice, yes.		exceed one year)?"
16	Q. But, yet, all of those people are trying		Q. That's exactly it. And do your
17	to intervene to redirect your practice.	17	prescriptions exceed one year?
18	MR. DOUBEK: Objection,		A. No.
19		19	Q. Isn't it true that every single one of
20	witnesses.	20	them says lifetime?
21	THE WITNESS: I called the	21	A. That's how long they're going to need it.
22	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Sustained.	22	But they're going to, they get a prescription card
23	A. I called the meeting.	23	every year.
24	MR. DOUBEK: Mark, wait. He sustained	24	Q. But it says "not to exceed one year" and
25	that objection.	25	in the face of that you write lifetime every single
	Page 921		Page 923
1	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) So do you recall that	1	time, don't you?
	Montana's medical marijuana law applies to you?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. Are you asking me am I a medical marijuana	3	Q. And so there is no point in me even
4	patient?	4	bothering to go through it because
5	Q. No. I'm asking whether or not you believe	5	A. You don't have to.
6	the restrictions on offering medical marijuana to	6	Q it says one year, you say lifetime?
7	Montana patients applies to you and your practice.	_	
8		7	A. No. It says not to exceed one year. What
	A. I've actually prescribed many medical		A. No. It says not to exceed one year. What period of time does this patient perhaps need
9	A. I've actually prescribed many medical marijuana prescriptions and they've all been		period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's
9 10	marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State.	8	period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's
9 10 11	marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State.Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you	8 9 10 11	period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down.
9 10 11 12	marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State.Q. So is that a yes or no? Do youA. You have a complex question and I'm trying	8 9 10 11	period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime
9 10 11 12 13	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. 	8 9 10 11 12 13	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one.
9 10 11 12 13 14	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning,
9 10 11 12 13 14	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires objective evidence of some source of chronic pain 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No. A. No. They only have it for a year and then
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires objective evidence of some source of chronic pain before you can offer that medical marijuana, right? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No. A. No. They only have it for a year and then they can re-up if they want to. There is no need to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires objective evidence of some source of chronic pain before you can offer that medical marijuana, right? A. No. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No. A. No. They only have it for a year and then they can re-up if they want to. There is no need to worry about that.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires objective evidence of some source of chronic pain before you can offer that medical marijuana, right? A. No. Q. You don't think that that's true? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No. A. No. They only have it for a year and then they can re-up if they want to. There is no need to worry about that. Q. Do you recall writing a letter to the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires objective evidence of some source of chronic pain before you can offer that medical marijuana, right? A. No. Q. You don't think that that's true? A. No. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No. A. No. They only have it for a year and then they can re-up if they want to. There is no need to worry about that. Q. Do you recall writing a letter to the editor to some publication called Emergency Medicine
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires objective evidence of some source of chronic pain before you can offer that medical marijuana, right? A. No. Q. You don't think that that's true? A. No. Q. So Montana law does not require MRI, CT, 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No. A. No. They only have it for a year and then they can re-up if they want to. There is no need to worry about that. Q. Do you recall writing a letter to the editor to some publication called Emergency Medicine News?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 marijuana prescriptions and they've all been approved by the State. Q. So is that a yes or no? Do you A. You have a complex question and I'm trying to answer it the best I can. Q. Do you feel as though your medical marijuana recommendations are consistent with Montana law? A. Yes. Q. All right. And Montana law requires objective evidence of some source of chronic pain before you can offer that medical marijuana, right? A. No. Q. You don't think that that's true? A. No. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 period of time does this patient perhaps need medical marijuana (not to exceed one year). That's a difficult question to answer, so I put lifetime down. Q. Always? A. Every one. Q. Regardless of their hope for weaning, regardless of their hope for A. I'm not trying to wean them from medical marijuana. Q. No. A. No. They only have it for a year and then they can re-up if they want to. There is no need to worry about that. Q. Do you recall writing a letter to the editor to some publication called Emergency Medicine

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 924		Page 926
1	Q. Did you in September of 2014?	1	for more, being discharged, abandoned if he uses too
	A. I don't recall that.		much. These patients are empowered and independent,
	Q. I'll hand you this. I'm going to mark it	3	which is exactly what we say we want for our
	as department's exhibit, I think we're at 30.	4	patients. They do not come in begging for more or
5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thirty.	5	they don't come in at all."
6	MR. FANNING: Thank you.	6	Q. So does that accurately reflect your
7	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) This is just one page of	7	philosophy about pill counts? Is that a form of
	it.	8	groveling?
9	A. Okay.	9	A. No. What that refers to is the fact that
	Q. Do you subscribe to Emergency Medicine	10	patients on medical marijuana only have to come in
	News?	11	once a year. They don't have to have a pill count
12	A. It says Life In Emergistan.	12	because they're not on any pills. It's more
	Q. Yes, it does. Read the letters to the	13	convenient for the patient to come in once a year
14	editor, please. Does your name appear anywhere in	14	for their medical marijuana card than it is to have
15	there?	15	to go through the various things that happen when
16	A. Yes.	16	you do the pharmacy crawl, you have to pee in a cup,
	Q. Did you write that letter?	17	you have to beg for pills, you have to possibly get
18	A. Let me see. I think I did.	18	abandoned, or your doctor has the risk of losing his
	Q. So now you remember it?	19	prescribing privileges and move on to someone else.
	A. I do.	20	All of those things are at risk for someone who is
	Q. Can I have that back, please?	21	on an opioid and they're not at risk for someone who
	A. I would like to read it the rest of the	22	is on a medical marijuana card.
	way.	23	Q. But isn't it true that you have an
	Q. Certainly.	24	obligation as a physician to continue to monitor
25	A. In fact it might just make it easier so I	25	those patients for whom you offer medical marijuana?
	Page 925		Page 927
1	Page 925 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of	1	Page 927 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on
2	can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of	2	You can't just cut them loose and let them go on
2 3	can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it?	2 3	You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you?
2 3	can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it?Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy	2 3 4 5	You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you?A. Well, they come back next year for another card.Q. So for one year they are on their own to
2 3 4 5 6	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. 	2 3 4 5 6	You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you?A. Well, they come back next year for another card.Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter 	2 3 4 5 6 7	You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you?A. Well, they come back next year for another card.Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the laws' demand that you monitor the patient?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you read the part that's in the second column that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the laws' demand that you monitor the patient? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you read the part that's in the second column that begins, "The patient must titrate"? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the laws' demand that you monitor the patient? A. I do. Q. Were any of your patients suspected of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you read the part that's in the second column that begins, "The patient must titrate"? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the laws' demand that you monitor the patient? A. I do. Q. Were any of your patients suspected of fraudulently obtaining dangerous drugs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you read the part that's in the second column that begins, "The patient must titrate"? A. Yes. Q. Go ahead and read it out loud, please. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the laws' demand that you monitor the patient? A. I do. Q. Were any of your patients suspected of fraudulently obtaining dangerous drugs? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you read the part that's in the second column that begins, "The patient must titrate"? A. Yes. Q. Go ahead and read it out loud, please. A. "The patient must titrate his intake, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the laws' demand that you monitor the patient? A. I do. Q. Were any of your patients suspected of fraudulently obtaining dangerous drugs? A. Yes. Q. A number of them, weren't they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 can refer to it. Do you mind if I take a picture of it? Q. No. Go ahead. I wish I had another copy of it but I don't. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. A. Somehow I feel I'm going to need this. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Okay. Now, this letter to the editor in the September 14 issue of Emergency Medicine News was from you. That is your A. I think so. MR. FANNING: I'm going to move the admission of Exhibit 30, and I can show Counsel if you want. MR. DOUBEK: That's fine. If he said he wrote it, that's fine. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Admitted. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) And can you I don't know about phones like that, Doctor, but can you read the part that's in the second column that begins, "The patient must titrate"? A. Yes. Q. Go ahead and read it out loud, please. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 You can't just cut them loose and let them go on their own, can you? A. Well, they come back next year for another card. Q. So for one year they are on their own to use as much marijuana in whatever setting, whatever frequency that they choose? A. That's right. Q. But under the law you're required to monitor the person's response to the use of marijuana and evaluate the efficacy, but here you suggest that they can use as much as they want independently, correct? A. Well, every patient I write a medical marijuana card for I invite them to come back if they have a problem and they don't seem to do that. Q. And you believe that that satisfies the laws' demand that you monitor the patient? A. I do. Q. Were any of your patients suspected of fraudulently obtaining dangerous drugs? A. Yes.

	Page 928		Page 930
			Ŭ
1	looked at the MPDR records and seen multiple		A. I don't know.
2	providers, true?		Q. Less than 1 percent?
	A. False.	3	A. There is only nine patients we're talking
4	Q. Okay. You don't believe the fact that	4	about. Less than 1 percent would be like somebody's
5	1 1	5	
6	shopping?	6	Q. But we're talking about hundreds of
7	A. Do you want to talk about the specifics of	7	
8	all these patients?		A. Yes.
	Q. Not especially. I'm just talking about a		Q. Now, did you ever refuse to continue to
	generality. The MPDR		care for a patient or refuse to prescribe for a
	A. I use the MPDR.		patient
	Q. And if there are multiple prescribers	12	A. I would never refuse to care for a
	simultaneously with you, would that make you	13	patient.
14	hesitant and say hey, I cannot prescribe any more		Q. Even if they're obviously doctor shopping?
15	because you are violating our oral contract?		A. Yes.
16	A. It depends on if they've told me about	16	Q. Now, I want to make sure I understand
17	that person or if I referred them to that person.		that. Even if they're obviously doctor shopping,
18	It depends on a lot of different scenarios. If the		you are still going to prescribe for them?
19	scenario you're providing is I'm seeing another		A. No. You asked me if I would care for
20	doctor hoping to, you not notice I'm seeing this	20	them.
21	other doctor and obtaining these other medications	21	Q. Are you still going to prescribe for them?
22	then yes, the answer would be that would be a red	22	A. No.
23	flag for me.	23	Q. Now, it's a fact that one of your patients
24	Q. And sometimes did you redirect the course	24	ended up being prosecuted recently for fraudulently
25	of your care based on that analysis of the MPDR?	25	obtaining, right?
	Page 929		Page 931
1	Page 929 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I	1	Page 931 A. I don't know that.
2	A. I don't know what you're referring to so I		A. I don't know that.Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm
2 3	A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically.	2	A. I don't know that.Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31.
2 3 4	A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically.Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any	2 3	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that?
2 3 4 5	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five 	2 3 4	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that?
2 3 4 5 6	A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically.Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any	2 3 4 5	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of reasons to have an early refill. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. DOUBEK: She testified yesterday it was all dismissed. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of reasons to have an early refill. Q. But did you ever on any instance see that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Idon't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these people, and that's the only thing I want to do. MR. DOUBEK: He hasn't seen that or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of reasons to have an early refill. Q. But did you ever on any instance see that there was an early refill and say I'm not going to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Idon't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these people, and that's the only thing I want to do. MR. DOUBEK: He hasn't seen that or anything of the kind, so I don't know how you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of reasons to have an early refill. Q. But did you ever on any instance see that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Idon't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these people, and that's the only thing I want to do. MR. DOUBEK: He hasn't seen that or anything of the kind, so I don't know how you can ask him about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of reasons to have an early refill. Q. But did you ever on any instance see that there was an early refill and say I'm not going to prescribe for you now? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these people, and that's the only thing I want to do. MR. DOUBEK: He hasn't seen that or anything of the kind, so I don't know how you can ask him about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refills. A. Dr. Kneeland also said there is plenty of reasons to have an early refill. Q. But did you ever on any instance see that there was an early refill and say I'm not going to prescribe for you now? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these people, and that's the only thing I want to do. MR. DOUBEK: He hasn't seen that or anything of the kind, so I don't know how you can ask him about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I don't know what you're referring to so I can't answer your vague question specifically. Q. Well, if someone came in early for pills, would you say it's too early, you cannot have any more pills. I see by the MPDR it's only been five days and you had a 20-day supply. Would you then say you cannot have those? A. Only after having a conversation for why did you use all those pills up in five days? Did you fall down the stairs? Did you have an increase in pain? Did acute pain come in on top of chronic pain? This a complex issue so I can't really answer it hypothetically for you. I apologize for that. Q. All right. But it's fair to say that there were many, many early refills with almost all of these patients? I think Dr. Kneeland said universally there were early refill. Q. But did you ever on any instance see that there was an early refill and say I'm not going to prescribe for you now? A. Yes. Q. And what percentage do you suppose that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Idon't know that. Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Department's Exhibit 31. MR. DOUBEK: What patient number is that? MR. FANNING: Can I have a sidebar? (Sidebar discussion.) MR. FANNING: I would have brought this up yesterday but I didn't want to because it would give away the name. So I'm not going to say that it's a patient that was here yesterday because we've disclosed their name. MR. FANNING: It was deferred. So what I'm saying is I could have brought this up then but I didn't want to because it would give it away. And I'm going to say it's a generic patient and not necessarily one of these people, and that's the only thing I want to do. MR. DOUBEK: He hasn't seen that or anything of the kind, so I don't know how you can ask him about it.

	ne Matter of the Proposed Discipline of k Ibsen, M.D.		Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014
	Page 932		Page 934
1	(Sidebar discussion ended.)	1	because the Hearing Examiner can take judicial
	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) Dr. Ibsen, I'm going to		notice of this pleading.
	give you this document that we've marked as	3	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, no link to any
	Exhibit 31. And you don't have to announce the	-	issue in this proceeding.
	individual's name. But in the top of the first page	5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm going to let
	there there is a patient, there is a name, State of	6	it in. I don't know what weight it has, but
	Montana versus, right?	7	we'll let it in.
8	A. Okay. Yeah.	8	MR. DOUBEK: That's fine.
9 (Q. Is that individual who is the defendant in	9	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You testified, or rather
10	that criminal case a patient, or former patient of	10	I should say Alicia Tuss testified that in her
11	yours?	11	discussion with you you announced that there were a
	A. Yes.	12	number of red flags that would alert you to a
	Q. And what was that person charged with?	13	patient that deserved attention to make sure that
	A. Let's see what it says here. Fraudulently		they didn't divert or overuse, right?
	obtaining dangerous drugs (common scheme) a felony.		A. Yes.
	Q. Now flip to the next page and there is		Q. Would we ever find any of those red flags
	typically a list of witnesses on an information.		noted in your charts?
	Are you listed as a witness in that?		A. Maybe.
	A. Iam.	19	Q. That's not something you thought was worth
	Q. In fact, there is a whole bunch of doctors	20	documenting?
	listed as witnesses, aren't there?		A. It was pretty clear where people who were
	A. There is, and there is some midlevels	22	from Great Falls or Florence came to see me because
	there too that are called doctor.	23	of their inability to obtain their pain medication
	Q. Yeah, and probably mislabeled. But, nevertheless, a number of prescribers and you're one	24 25	from Dr. Christensen's office. I made it very clear about each one of those in the documents. So I'm
23	nevertheless, a number of presenteers and you're one		about cuch one of chose in the documents, 50 mil
	Page 933		Page 935
1	of them, correct?	1	not quite sure what you're talking about. In fact,
2	A. Yes.	2	I called the DEA as soon as I noticed there were a
3 (Q. Thank you.	3	couple family members that were coming to me and I
4	A. That's it for this?	4	said, "Here is a couple that are actually following
5 (Q. Well, no. In fairness, flip one more	5	the red flag warnings that you've given me." And I
6	page.		told them about them in April, that there is a
	A. Thank you.		family coming to see me, they all were seeing
	Q. One more page. I just want to indicate	8	Dr. Christensen and now they're seeing me. This
	the outcome of that case, and I don't want to	9	sort of concerns me for the possibility that these
	misrepresent it. There was a deferred prosecution	10	people could be diverting, you might want to look
	agreement that at least for the time being has		into it and that's what I said to them.
	resolved that, right?		Q. Was there more than one family?
	A. I don't know. This the first I've seen		A. There was some intertwining going on, so I
	this.	14	don't know if it's one family or two.
15	MR. DOUBEK: It's a legal document and so	15	Q. But that's certainly unusual in your
	forth. O (By Mr. Ferning) That's fine I don't	16	experience to have a whole family with that kind of
	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) That's fine. I don't	17	intractable pain?
	want to put you on the spot, but I didn't want to		A. We've already documented there is a lot of firsts
	suggest that this had a different outcome than it		firsts.
	actually did.	20	Q. So did you think it was a red flag or didn't you?
	A. So was it a good outcome? I don't know what that actually means.	21	A. Yeah. I talked to the DEA about it
22 23	MR. DOUBEK: Mark, it doesn't matter.		immediately.
23 24	MR. FANNING: And I'm going to move the		Q. And what about the original nine? Were
25	admission of Exhibit 21 and site Dula 202		there any red flags among the ning that you would

Ivia	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 936		Page 938
1	have charted?	1	A. I went to the Menninger Clinic at the
	A. Well, the fact that they come through my	2	behest of my partners at the emergency department at
2	door is a red flag. The fact that they're doctor	3	St. Peter's Hospital. They got the idea that I was
1	shopping when they see me is a red flag, so they're	4	abusing a substance. They got an idea that I was
-			
5	all red flags. They've been cut loose by some other	5	impaired. In order to save my job, I had to go to
6	physician, they're on high doses of opiates for	6	the Menninger Clinic. They evaluated me there after
7	chronic pain issues, and they're coming to an urgent	7	five days and I think it was a \$10,000 fee.
8	care. That's a red flag.	8	They came up with a diagnosis of
9	Q. Are you willing to work with Michael	9	narcissistic personality disorder. I said, "Okay,
10	Ramirez and the Montana Professional Assistance	10	great. Send me back to work with all the other
11	Program, or do you just have such a dislike or	11	narcissists." I asked them to document any harm to
12	distaste or distrust for them that that could never	12	any patient and there wasn't any. And they said,
13	be effective?	13	"Okay. Wait just one second. We have a ten-week
14	MR. DOUBEK: Objection, irrelevant and	14	inpatient treatment program for you at a thousand
15	beyond the scope of any question he ought to be	15	dollars a day." At that point I balked.
16	posing to this witness at this time. It's a	16	Q. A thousand dollars a day?
17	have you stopped beating your wife kind of	17	A. (Nods head.)
18	question.	18	Q. Okay.
19	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Mr. Fanning, why	19	A. So it was \$70,000 for me to do an
20	don't you take that one step at a time.	20	
21	Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You worked with	21	theirs. It seemed like I could hear cha-ching,
22	Mr. Ramirez for a year or maybe a little bit over a	22	cha-ching going on in the background.
23	year if everything was added up?	23	Q. So you thought that their professional
	A. I worked with Mr. Ramirez would be		opinion was just driven by money?
	probably there probably would be other ways to		A. No. I thought it was driven by malice.
	Page 937		Page 939
1		1	
	characterize it more effectively than that.		Q. All right.
2	characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a	2	Q. All right.A. They were being used by the people that
2 3	characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year?	2	Q. All right.A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency
2 3 4	characterize it more effectively than that.Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year?MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again,	2 3 4	Q. All right.A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department.
2 3 4 5	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about 	2 3 4 5	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So
2 3 4 5 6	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought
2 3 4 5 6 7	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right. Q. That was about May of 2007?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? A. No. Q. But you did, in fact, get a second 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right. Q. That was about May of 2007? A. Yep. It was actually before the ten weeks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? A. No. Q. But you did, in fact, get a second evaluation at a different clinic? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right. Q. That was about May of 2007? A. Yep. It was actually before the ten weeks would have gone by.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? A. No. Q. But you did, in fact, get a second evaluation at a different clinic? A. Well, you asked several things in that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right. Q. That was about May of 2007? A. Yep. It was actually before the ten weeks would have gone by. Q. So was that second evaluation adopted in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? A. No. Q. But you did, in fact, get a second evaluation at a different clinic? A. Well, you asked several things in that sentence. You said I didn't approve of it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right. Q. That was about May of 2007? A. Yep. It was actually before the ten weeks would have gone by. Q. So was that second evaluation adopted in and applied as part of your MPAP contract with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? A. No. Q. But you did, in fact, get a second evaluation at a different clinic? A. Well, you asked several things in that sentence. You said I didn't approve of it. Q. Okay. Why didn't you follow the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right. Q. That was about May of 2007? A. Yep. It was actually before the ten weeks would have gone by. Q. So was that second evaluation adopted in and applied as part of your MPAP contract with Mr. Ramirez?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 characterize it more effectively than that. Q. But you did have an MPAP contract for a year? MR. DOUBEK: Objection. Objection, again, a continuing objection to all questions about this. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, you have a continuing objection. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. Q. (By Mr. Fanning) You did have an MPAP contract for a year, is that correct, or roughly? I'm not sure how long. A. Sure. Q. And when you went to a particular clinic for an evaluation, that you didn't approve of it and Mr. Ramirez testified that with some negotiation he agreed to allow you to have a second evaluation at a different clinic, right? A. No. Q. But you did, in fact, get a second evaluation at a different clinic? A. Well, you asked several things in that sentence. You said I didn't approve of it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. All right. A. They were being used by the people that were trying to get rid of me from the emergency department. Q. All right. So A. So I thought it was malicious. I thought it was a legal escapade masquerading as a medical one and I later settled with that group. Q. But then for one reason or another you got a second evaluation within a couple of months? A. For one reason or another? Q. I don't know why. You're trying to tell me why. A. (Nods head.) Q. Let me rephrase the question. You did get a second evaluation within a couple months, didn't you? A. Right. Q. That was about May of 2007? A. Yep. It was actually before the ten weeks would have gone by. Q. So was that second evaluation adopted in and applied as part of your MPAP contract with

	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 940		Page 942
1	Q. Did you follow the expectations of the	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR. JEAN-PIERRE PUJOL
	MPAP contract?	2	
	A. Yes. It called for me to do things that I		Q. Would you state your name and spell it for
4	didn't think were applicable to me. My counsel		the assistance of the court reporter, please?
5	counseled me to sign the agreement anyway. I		A. Jean-Pierre Pujol. J-e-a-n-P-i-e-r-r-e
6	thought it was a parallel to sending me to the gulag		P-u-j-o-l. J.P. works.
7	and I didn't like it, and I wanted to keep my job.		Q. You are a physician?
8	It turns out that the job was gone anyway.		A. I am.
	Q. But at the end of one year, Mr. Ramirez agreed to release you from that contract and you're		Q. Licensed in good standing in Montana?A. Yes.
10 11	free to practice without any restrictions either		Q. Formerly affiliated with Urgent Care Plus?
12	from the Board or from MPAP, right?		A. Yes.
	A. Correct.		Q. When was that?
	Q. So did you have the ability now to work		A. I'm not certain when I first started
	cooperatively and protectively with MPAP or is that	15	
16	something that's a bridge that you burned, and you	16	
17	just can't find it within yourself to do it again?	17	· · · ·
18	A. Well, it's fortunately I live in the	18	Q. July of '14?
19	now and now I'm not being offered an MPAP agreement	19	A. Yes. Somewhere in that ballpark.
20	or contract, and I really can't predict how I might	20	Q. What was the nature of your work at Urgent
21	feel in the future. I can't care for Mr. Ramirez	21	5 8
22	one bit, but I don't know what I'll do if that's		to offer?
23	offered to me.		A. I did just what it says, urgent care. I
24	MR. FANNING: I have no further questions,		, , ,
25	Mr. Scrimm.	25	what I did. If you had a cold, pneumonia, or chest
	Page 941		Page 943
1	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Redirect?	1	pain, whatever, that's what I did.
2	MR. DOUBEK: No. No questions.		Q. Was it common for you at that facility to
3	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I have none.	3	have a long-term physician-patient relationship or
4	Thank you, Doctor.	4	primary care relationship?
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.		
	•		A. When no. When I especially when I
6	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other	5 6	A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not.
7	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses?	5 6 7	A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what
7 8	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at	5 6 7 8	A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who
7 8 9	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time.	5 6 7 8 9	A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it.
7 8 9 10	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the	5 6 7 8 9 10	A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent
7 8 9 10 11	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do.
7 8 9 10 11 12	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks.	5 6 7 9 10 11	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't see that expression on your face so I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't see that expression on your face so I thought we were done.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I didn't really want to do primary care. That was a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't see that expression on your face so I thought we were done. MR. FANNING: I didn't know where Mr.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I didn't really want to do primary care. That was a personal choice.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't see that expression on your face so I thought we were done. MR. FANNING: I didn't know where Mr. Doubek was. Probably ten minutes at the most. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. (Off the record briefly.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I didn't really want to do primary care. That was a personal choice. Q. Did you have any chronic pain patients at Urgent Care Plus?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't see that expression on your face so I thought we were done. MR. FANNING: I didn't know where Mr. Doubek was. Probably ten minutes at the most. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I didn't really want to do primary care. That was a personal choice. Q. Did you have any chronic pain patients at Urgent Care Plus? A. Me personally?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't see that expression on your face so I thought we were done. MR. FANNING: I didn't know where Mr. Doubek was. Probably ten minutes at the most. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. (Off the record briefly.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I didn't really want to do primary care. That was a personal choice. Q. Did you have any chronic pain patients at Urgent Care Plus? A. Me personally? Q. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Any other witnesses? MR. DOUBEK: I have no other witnesses at this time, or I guess any other time. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: This is the time. MR. DOUBEK: Thanks. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: With that, we'll close the record. MR. FANNING: Rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I'm sorry, sir. I didn't see that expression on your face so I thought we were done. MR. FANNING: I didn't know where Mr. Doubek was. Probably ten minutes at the most. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Okay. (Off the record briefly.)	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. When no. When I especially when I started working part time with Mark, definitely not. When I was urgent care, when I actually owned what was called Helena Urgent Care, there were people who tried to use me as a primary care but I avoided it. That's not what I liked to do, I want to do urgent care. That's what I do. Q. Is it in any way improper or illegal to have a primary care relationship? A. No. Q. It's just not something that you preferred to do? A. It's personally I did not prefer to do that, right. That's why I went into urgent care. I didn't really want to do primary care. That was a personal choice. Q. Did you have any chronic pain patients at Urgent Care Plus? A. Me personally?

Ma	rk Ibsen, M.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 944		Page 946
1	Q. Were you like a contract employee or	1	precautions to assure that it was legitimate?
	contractor or an employee?		A. To the best of my knowledge, I tried to,
	A. I don't really know the true business. I	3	yes. I would check the Prescription Drug Registry,
	worked there, you know, I was paid. And I don't		look at the old chart, try to get a feel for the
	know that I was officially a contract employee. I	5	person. And after doing this for 30-some years, you
6	was I think for a while because the way I was paid	6	kind of get a little bit of a radar sensation or
7	is more of a check without the taxes taken out.	7	Gestalt.
8	Then I became an employee where I actually was paid	8	Q. Would you calculate when a prescription
9	that way.	9	should be due?
10	Q. Was Dr. Ibsen then your supervisor or some	10	A. If you mean did I go to the Prescription
11	sort of superior in the hierarchy?	11	Drug Registry and see how many they had taken or
12	A. I don't know if he looked at it that way	12	been given before.
13	but I guess technically, yes.	13	Q. Exactly.
14	Q. Did you have patients in common with	14	A. I did that with any patient, almost any
15	Dr. Ibsen?	15	patient that I was giving narcotics to. Even if it
16	A. Well, yes.	16	was somebody that came in for their broken bone or a
17	Q. How about pain patients?	17	laceration, that was just kind of my routine.
18	A. Well, there were pain patients who would	18	Q. If it appeared as though they were coming
19	come to sometimes when Dr. Ibsen was not there	19	in early and they should have medications remaining,
20	that I was asked to manage. Mark and I had an	20	what would you do?
21	understanding that that was not my job and I would	21	A. I'd usually calculate how many I thought
22	generally not take care of those patients. But I	22	they should have, how many they should have left and
23	can't say that they didn't come in. They did come	23	then say, "Hey," point to what I see, "this is how
	in on occasions.	24	many of this drug you should have left and if you
25	Q. On occasions did someone come in in	25	don't, you know, I'm sorry, but I'm not going to
	Page 945		Page 947
1		1	
	distress and say I absolutely need to be seen?		give them to you."
2	distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that.	2	
2 3	distress and say I absolutely need to be seen?A. That I'm not sure how to answer that.One person's perception of reasons being seen versus	2 3	give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills?
2 3 4	distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense.	2 3 4	give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early
2 3 4 5	distress and say I absolutely need to be seen?A. That I'm not sure how to answer that.One person's perception of reasons being seen versus	2 3 4 5	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent
2 3 4 5 6	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. 	2 3 4 5 6	give them to you."Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills?A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and 	2 3 4 5 6	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what I chose to do. But I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak about when I was there. Because it was pretty clear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication? A. You know, I was actually reading that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak about when I was there. Because it was pretty clear to the staff and most of the patients that if I was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication? A. You know, I was actually reading that in I don't know if it was in the subpoena to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak about when I was there. Because it was pretty clear to the staff and most of the patients that if I was working, then I wasn't going to be managing the pain 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication? A. You know, I was actually reading that in I don't know if it was in the subpoena to me or in one of the notes I was reading about that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak about when I was there. Because it was pretty clear to the staff and most of the patients that if I was working, then I wasn't going to be managing the pain patients. It was not something I felt comfortable 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication? A. You know, I was actually reading that in I don't know if it was in the subpoena to me or in one of the notes I was reading about that and I honestly I didn't attend all of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak about when I was there. Because it was pretty clear to the staff and most of the patients that if I was working, then I wasn't going to be managing the pain patients. It was not something I felt comfortable doing or wanted to do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication? A. You know, I was actually reading that in I don't know if it was in the subpoena to me or in one of the notes I was reading about that and I honestly I didn't attend all of those meetings. I've attended, you know, maybe half a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 distress and say I absolutely need to be seen? A. That I'm not sure how to answer that. One person's perception of reasons being seen versus maybe what my perception of them may not make sense. Q. Let's talk about the patients stated. A. I think they'd come in sometimes and state are we talking about the pain patients? Q. Yes. A. There were times when pain patients would come in expecting their medication because they were out and for whatever reason they didn't remember that they were supposed to come and see Mark or Mark was unavailable because, you know, he had his time off and they came on my day. Q. Did the pain patients typically come in on a particular day? A. Usually if Mark was there because and I don't know about the other days, I can only speak about when I was there. Because it was pretty clear to the staff and most of the patients that if I was working, then I wasn't going to be managing the pain patients. It was not something I felt comfortable 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 give them to you." Q. In other words, you'd refuse early refills? A. 90 you know, nothing is 100 percent absolutely. But the vast majority, 90-plus percent of the time I would do that. Q. Was there a uniform approach in the clinic among the providers with respect to treatment of pain patients? A. That's a little harder for me to answer, because I don't know what everyone did. Because when I was there, I was there. And I can't tell you 100 percent if everybody did. I know it was what I expected me, you know, that's what my self expectations were, that's what I chose to do. But I don't know. I can't speak to everyone else. Q. At periodic office meetings did you discuss the clinic's philosophy on pain medication? A. You know, I was actually reading that in I don't know if it was in the subpoena to me or in one of the notes I was reading about that and I honestly I didn't attend all of those

IVIA	rk Ibsen, M.D.	December 04, 2014
	Page 948	Page 950
1	know that I would say it was all the time. I	1 I both worked in Kalispell. I worked in the urgent
	wouldn't say it was every meeting. But it	2 care there; he worked in the emergency room. So
	definitely did come up.	
3	• •	
	Q. Did you have occasion from time to time to	4 patients over the years. I don't know when that
	visit with Dr. Ibsen about this disciplinary action?	5 was, mid '90s, something like that. And then he
	A. Yes.	6 moved over I guess you moved before I did and
	Q. Once or twice or many times?	7 then I did, and so that carried on.
	A. I don't know. More than a few, let's put	8 So do we go and hang together and do
9	it that way. I'd say, yeah, a thousand plus, you	9 things like that? No. I'm kind of a, not
10	know.	10 antisocial, I'm just a little asocial, I tend to
11	Q. Did Dr. Ibsen indicate to you that he was	11 keep to myself.
12	being mistreated by the Board of Medical Examiners	12 Q. Do you have some insights about his
13	or me as an individual?	13 personality over these many years?
	A. Boy, I don't know that he viewed you as an	14 A. I don't know. I guess
15	individual. I do think he thought the Board of	15 Q. Let me give you an example.
16	Medical Examiners was being unfair, yes.	16 A. That's a hard
17	Q. Did he express why that was?	17 Q. Is Dr. Ibsen one to be willful or
	A. I think it was because he well, I hate	18 headstrong when he believes in something?
		19 A. In this particular case, yes. Other
19	to say he when he's sitting right here. It's really	
20	hard for me not to address Mark. Mark believes, you	20 cases, I don't know that we've had that kind of
21	know, he's providing a service that very few in the	21 I'm trying to be fair here.
22	medical community are willing to provide, and so he	22 Q. And I appreciate that. So when you
23	thinks that what the Board was coming after him for	23 counseled him about how to get through this, was he
24	was unfair, yes.	24 willing to accept any counsel?
25	I don't know if that answers your	25 A. He listened, you know, he did. I do
	Page 040	Dogo 051
	Page 949	Page 951
1		Page 951 1 believe we had this discussion more than once and
1 2	-	
	questions. But I think that was the crux of the	1 believe we had this discussion more than once and
2	questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's
2 3	questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right.
2 3 4 5	questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last
2 3 4 5 6	questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7	questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included,	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. 7 Q. Did this board action have anything to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients,	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons.	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't
2 3 6 7 8 9	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't want yeah. I was nervous about it. Also, I
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. 7 Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? 9 A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Were you aware that the DEA was paying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q visits?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you? A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, sometimes you just have to pull back and, you know, 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you? A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like being scrutinized. I like my license, I like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you? A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, sometimes you just have to pull back and, you know, 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you? A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like being scrutinized. I like my license, I like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, sometimes you just have to pull back and, you know, go through the hoops. That was my take on it. 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you? A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like being scrutinized. I like my license, I like practicing, or I did like practicing. And having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, sometimes you just have to pull back and, you know, go through the hoops. That was my take on it. Q. As his colleague and friend, did you come 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't want yeah. I was nervous about it. Also, I wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you? A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like being scrutinized. I like my license, I like practicing, or I did like practicing. And having the DEA in the door, yeah, it put the fear in me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 questions. But I think that was the crux of the situation is that he felt he was practicing appropriate medicine doing a service for people who, yeah, hard to find doctors. Because there is many doctors, if I can add, I know I'm not supposed to talk more than yes-or-no questions. But I'm going to say that there is many doctors, myself included, I don't want to deal with that group of patients, and for a variety of reasons. Q. Did you counsel Dr. Ibsen as a colleague and I suppose a friend about reaction to this disciplinary action? A. Yes. Yeah. Q. What did you indicate? A. Well, I suggested that Mark and he knows this that in order to continue to take care of his patients, my personal philosophy, because I know Mark cares, Mark more than cares for his patients, that if he wanted to continue to do this, sometimes you just have to pull back and, you know, go through the hoops. That was my take on it. Q. As his colleague and friend, did you come to know him and his personality? 	 believe we had this discussion more than once and he heard but he, you know, just like all of us, he's going to do what he thinks is right. Q. You departed Helena Urgent Care Plus last summer? A. I did. Q. Did this board action have anything to do with that? A. It made me nervous, yes, it did. I didn't want yeah. I was nervous about it. Also, I wasn't sure what kind of things would overflow into my personal life. At the same time I was also working full time elsewhere and, so Q. Were you aware that the DEA was paying A. Yes. Q. And how did that affect you? A. Again, it made me nervous. I don't like being scrutinized. I like my license, I like practicing, or I did like practicing. And having the DEA in the door, yeah, it put the fear in me. Fear of God. Fear of the DEA, not for God.

	[*] k 10sen, WI.D.		December 04, 2014
	Page 952		Page 954
1	A. I was yeah, I was a little afraid of	1	there. I was lucky to get a page. My page compared
	what, you know, what yeah, you know, what the		to Mark's, yeah, I think I have more documentation
	rest of the community might think.		than Mark did.
	Q. Were you afraid that an association with	4	So when you ask me standard of care, I
	that clinic may result in some sort of spillover to	5	don't know. Dr. Book's I think would be the, I
	your license?	6	don't want to say the gold standard but what people
	A. That's a good word. I was looking for a	7	should aspire to and mine may be more adequate.
	word to find and spillover is a good word. Yes, I	8	Q. Should people aspire to the level of
	was a little concerned about that.		documentation that Dr. Ibsen used?
10	Q. So did that drive your decision to leave?	10	A. Aspire to it, no. I would have to say no.
	A. It definitely influenced it greatly. It	11	
	wasn't the only factor but it was a factor.	12	you?
	Q. Now, just the last series of questions.		A. Probably not.
	You didn't have a lot of common patients but did you	14	MR. FANNING: No further questions.
	have occasion to review Dr. Ibsen's charting?	15	•
	A. I did.	16	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. JEAN-PIERRE PUJOL, M.D.
17	Q. And are you familiar with his attention to	17	BY MR. DOUBEK:
	detail and his completeness?	18	Q. Let me ask you, do you consider Mark a
	A. Yes.		good doctor?
20	Q. Describe your observations about		A. I think he's a good doctor, yeah.
	Dr. Ibsen's charting.		Q. Do you think he is a caring doctor?
22	A. Before or after the electronic medical	22	A. I think he is a caring doctor.
23	records?	23	Q. Hard-working?
24	Q. Let's say before.	24	A. Hard-working.
25	A. Before, I don't think they were in some	25	Q. And honest?
	D		
	Page 953		Page 955
1	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1	
	cases there wasn't always as much as I would have		A. Yes, honest, yeah.
2	cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a	2	A. Yes, honest, yeah.Q. And you and he have a good relationship?
2 3	cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision.	2 3	A. Yes, honest, yeah.Q. And you and he have a good relationship?A. I think so.
2 3 4	cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision.Q. Well, is that another way of saying that	2 3 4	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot.
2 3 4 5	cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision.Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care?	2 3 4 5	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like.
2 3 4 5	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than Dr. Ibsen's? A. I would like to think so most time. But 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing schedule sometime next week. MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than Dr. Ibsen's? A. I would like to think so most time. But on the I know you probably don't want to hear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing schedule sometime next week. MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should be available. I just wondered if we needed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than Dr. Ibsen's? A. I would like to think so most time. But on the I know you probably don't want to hear this, but I am going to put the but in there because 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing schedule sometime next week. MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should be available. I just wondered if we needed to consider recalling Dr. Kneeland before we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than Dr. Ibsen's? A. I would like to think so most time. But on the I know you probably don't want to hear this, but I am going to put the but in there because I think this is important. I used to work with a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing schedule sometime next week. MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should be available. I just wondered if we needed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than Dr. Ibsen's? A. I would like to think so most time. But on the I know you probably don't want to hear this, but I am going to put the but in there because I think this is important. I used to work with a gentleman, Dr. Book, and his notes were incredible. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing schedule sometime next week. MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should be available. I just wondered if we needed to consider recalling Dr. Kneeland before we commit to a briefing schedule. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than Dr. Ibsen's? A. I would like to think so most time. But on the I know you probably don't want to hear this, but I am going to put the but in there because I think this is important. I used to work with a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing schedule sometime next week. MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should be available. I just wondered if we needed to consider recalling Dr. Kneeland before we commit to a briefing schedule. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: No. MR. DOUBEK: Okay. Let's try to shoot for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 cases there wasn't always as much as I would have liked to have seen for me to review to make a decision. Q. Well, is that another way of saying that you did not believe it met the standard of care? A. Oh, that I don't know how to phrase this. I want to be very careful on how I phrase that, because standard of care, 20 years ago, 30 years ago, notes that I saw were very common. As we become more and more litigious, we're trying to be less, you know, more detail is probably a little better. And so is there truly I don't know the standard of care. I think it's not what most people like to see, you know. I think they like to see a little more. Q. Was your charting more complete than Dr. Ibsen's? A. I would like to think so most time. But on the I know you probably don't want to hear this, but I am going to put the but in there because I think this is important. I used to work with a gentleman, Dr. Book, and his notes were incredible. You knew exactly what he was thinking and where he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, honest, yeah. Q. And you and he have a good relationship? A. I think so. Q. He likes you a lot. A. Well, I think we have a mutual like. MR. DOUBEK: No other questions. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Anyone else? MR. FANNING: No, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you, Doctor. Anything else, gentlemen? MR. DOUBEK: No. MR. FANNING: I don't believe so, Mr. Scrimm. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I would like to suggest that I would like to talk about some scheduling, or not scheduling but a briefing schedule sometime next week. MR. FANNING: That's wonderful. I should be available. I just wondered if we needed to consider recalling Dr. Kneeland before we commit to a briefing schedule. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: No. MR. DOUBEK: Okay. Let's try to shoot for

	Page 956		Page 958
-	tamihla naut waale. Dut I'll have Sandy Dungan	1	CERTIFICATE
1	terrible next week. But I'll have Sandy Duncan	2	
2	get ahold of you and we'll work something out	3	I, LISA R. LESOFSKI, Registered
3	to figure out briefing schedule and details of that briefing.	4	Professional Reporter do hereby certify:
4	Are you each satisfied that you have moved	5	That the proceedings were taken before me
5 6	the exhibits that you wanted to move? And I'm	6	at the time and place herein named, that the
7	sorry, I have a list from our last in October	7	proceedings were reported by me and that the
8	and unfortunately I have misplaced it it's	8	foregoing pages contain a true record of the
9	probably buried on my desk upstairs of the	9	proceedings to the best of my ability.
10	exhibits that appear to have been discussed but	10	Dated this 22nd day of December, 2014.
11		11	
12	MR. FANNING: And I'm grateful for the	12	
13		13	
	to renew that. I moved Exhibit 24 and I'd like	14	Lisa R. Lesofski
15		15	
16		16	
17	MR. DOUBEK: We would object for the same	17	
18	reasons.	18	
19	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I thought we	19	
20	dealt with 26. 21 and 24 are admitted. 24 is	20	
21		21	
22	looking at 25 when I said that. What was the	22	
23	other one, Mr. Fanning?	23	
24	MR. FANNING: I withdrew 25 and renewed	24	
	26.	25	
		2.5	
	Page 957		
1	MR. DOUBEK: Which one was 26?		
2	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: I believe 26 was		
3	admitted.		
4	MR. DOUBEK: Which one was that, Mike?		
5	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: It's the notice		
6	posted in the doctor's office about MR. DOUBEK: I think it was admitted.		
7	MR. FANNING: Thank you, gentleman.		
8 9	MR. DOUBEK: And I wouldn't object to it		
	anyhow.		
10 11	HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Well, I will		
12			
13	have Sandy Duncan get ahold of you about a		
	have Sandy Duncan get ahold of you about a briefing schedule conference		
14	briefing schedule conference.		
14 15	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much.		
15	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all.		
15 16	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels.		
15 16 17	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels. (The hearing was concluded at		
15 16	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels.		
15 16 17 18	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels. (The hearing was concluded at 4:55 p.m.)		
15 16 17 18 19	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels. (The hearing was concluded at 4:55 p.m.)		
15 16 17 18 19 20	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels. (The hearing was concluded at 4:55 p.m.)		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels. (The hearing was concluded at 4:55 p.m.)		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels. (The hearing was concluded at 4:55 p.m.)		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	briefing schedule conference. MR. DOUBEK: Thank you very much. HEARING EXAMINER SCRIMM: Thank you all. We're done. And safe travels. (The hearing was concluded at 4:55 p.m.)		

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	
	(7(.))
·	676:22
\$	abuses (2)
	815:5;817:4
\$10,000 (1)	abusing (1)
938:7	938:4
\$70 (1)	academic (1)
833:17	660:22
\$70,000 (1)	Academy (1)
938:19	737:3
	accept (3)
Α	666:17;673:6;760:11
	access (24)
abandoned (2)	725:13,17;730:7,24;
926:1,18	731:8,11,20,22;739:7,
abbreviated (1)	7;771:2;773:12,15,17,
	21;774:9;775:23;
838:12	785:18;786:16,19;
ABD (1)	787:15;792:21;801:15;
845:5	807:21
abdomen (5)	accessible (2)
845:5;847:16,19;	668:4,5
869:4,7	accommodating (1)
abdominal (6)	852:5
812:12;835:18;	
844:18;845:3;848:18;	accomplished (2)
853:14	664:3;705:1
aberrant (2)	according (6)
698:17,21	867:4;870:4;872:9;
abide (1)	873:14;911:6;913:5
673:4	account (3)
ability (7)	810:24;883:12;887:3
665:16;674:8;692:9;	accountability (1)
728:3;847:22;852:15;	656:16
940:14	accountable (2)
able (29)	682:3,8
666:21;695:6;	accreditation (1)
725:20;730:21;737:22,	661:14
23;753:4;759:25;	accurate (1)
760:22;764:7,15;	816:19
809:10;820:4;824:16;	accurately (1)
826:7;850:14,16;	926:6
857:16;860:15,16,16,	accused (1)
19;865:16;866:12;	817:8
867:15;873:22;886:25;	acetaminophen (6)
892:24;945:25	691:17,19;827:24;
abnormal (4)	828:2,9;858:1
842:24;843:9;844:8;	achieve (1)
898:17	763:19
above (2)	achieved (1)
705:9;871:16	705:25
abscess (2)	acknowledge (2)
690:10,14	674:3;825:7
	acknowledged (1)
absence (4) $600.24601.01$	684:16
690:24;691:9;	acronym (2)
695:11;701:24	664:7;679:11
Absolutely (10)	act (3)
657:15,25;681:8;	737:16;747:2;784:2
682:1;693:21;769:2;	acting (9)
771:12;784:7;945:1;	676:25;677:6,8,9,11,
947:5	12,12;727:18;902:2
abuse (6)	action (9)
649:7;664:8,11;	678:21;759:4;762:1;
717:18;807:2;828:7	844:25;907:12,15;
abused (1)	0++.23,707.12,13,

948:5;949:12;951:7	
active (3)	
814:20;842:18;894:8 actively (3)	a
703:2;706:13;727:5	
activities (2) 695:5;696:7	a
activity (1)	a
662:13	
actual (2) 658:4;895:23	a
actually (46)	
660:19;685:15; 692:11;696:21;702:1;	a
705:5;713:9;774:17;	A
816:3;818:8,8;822:18;	
826:5,21;832:3; 844:17;847:22;855:2,	a
4;860:17;864:20;	a
865:8;868:6;874:13;	
881:8;883:14;885:9;	
891:25;892:13;895:24; 896:2;897:17,18;	a
904:17;911:17;912:21;	a
913:5;916:24;921:8;	
933:20,22;935:4; 939:20;943:7;944:8;	a
947:19	a
acupuncture (1)	
729:4 acute (27)	A
650:25;653:13;	a
661:20;671:12,14,22,	
25;672:3,14,17;721:4; 754:2;767:7;809:17;	a
836:8;849:23;855:13;	
866:18;867:21;868:1,	a
15;869:3;870:17; 872:7;877:19;929:11;	a
942:24	C
acutely (2)	a
805:10;857:4 add (2)	
858:11;949:5	
added (1)	a
936:23	
addicted (6) 663:11;909:22,25;	a
911:4,16;915:10	a
addiction (11) 754:17;791:17,22;	
910:2,3,7,12,18;	a
911:21;914:21,25	a
addictions (1)	
910:5 Addis (4)	a
817:12;818:7,7;	a
894:2 additional (2)	-
additional (2) 741:21;885:12	a
address (12)	a
668:11;674:8;718:6;	
733:13;741:9;748:19;	a

761:14;765:4;846:10; 849:13;897:1;948:20 addressed (4) 720:18:761:15: 836:4;844:21 addressing (1) 714:5 adduce (1) 756:12 adequate (5) 761:4;795:5,14,16; 954:7 adequately (2) 715:5;813:20 ADHD (2) 690:3,5 adjudication (1) 908:21 adjunct (3) 648:22;680:22; 747:10 adjust (1) 852:20 adjusted (1) 835:12 adjustment (2) 864:21,23 adjustments (1) 758:21 Administration (3) 664:9;666:2;807:3 administrative (1) 732:5 admission (3) 910:17;925:12; 933:25 admit (2) 880:16;887:11 admits (1) 911:3 admitted (11) 747:17;778:1;810:3; 881:5,10,23;925:16; 956:20,21;957:3,7 admitting (2) 891:20,22 admonition (1) 647:2 adopt (1) 709:15 adopted (1) 939:22 adopting (1) 731:25 adult (1) 833:11 adverse (1) 707:10 advice (2) 820:9;884:12 advisable (2) 711:14,25 advise (3)

650:9;820:10;908:5 advised (2) 718:3:891:8 advocating (1) 661:14 affairs (1) 719:11 affect (7) 665:17;686:22,24; 694:5;708:9;844:10; 951:18 affected (1) 878:15 affidavit (1) 880:21 affiliated (2) 735:21;942:11 affirm (1) 895:24 afford (1) 861:1 Afghanistan (1) 818:12 afraid (3) 878:9;952:1,4 afternoon (3) 880:12;882:13,14 again (41) 657:8,12;669:1; 673:14:685:20:692:2: 696:11:703:19.25; 748:1:755:15:761:18. 18,22;763:12;765:22; 771:2;774:19;776:1; 780:7;783:12;784:13; 786:6;788:3;789:12; 792:14,20;793:9,13,16; 799:19;800:7;804:18; 842:7;854:8;859:12; 860:8:901:12:937:4; 940:17;951:19 against (11) 749:14;830:1; 832:21;864:8;891:12, 19;892:15,19;893:3; 895:1;902:25 agencies (2) 656:18;661:14 agency (1) 664:9 agenda (1) 907:2 agent (12) 680:11;691:12; 817:12,12,14;818:7,7, 7;893:7,9;894:2;919:1 agents (2) 818:5;894:3 aggressively (2) 715:9;729:22 agitated (1) 840:3 ago (13)

661:2;719:15;745:9;	825:15	analgesia (1)	853:13;864:22;870:23	771:16;805:23;807:22;
799:21;800:1;809:25;	allergies (4)	678:19	anxiety-producing (1)	818:22;844:10;864:1;
810:18;842:15;899:4,	691:11;843:14,19,19	analysis (3)	819:11	866:21;908:24;949:3
4;909:6;953:8,9	allergy (3)	658:2;787:16;928:25	anxious (1)	appropriately (3)
agonist (1)	691:17,24;833:4	analyzing (1)	869:8	690:22;787:24;
790:24	alleviated (1)	786:4	anymore (3)	888:22
agree (13)	819:9	Anderson (16)	824:10;825:5;830:11	approve (2)
673:5,6;708:25;	allow (3)	733:6,10,14;745:7,9;	APAP (1)	937:15,23
709:4;711:17;722:11;	761:5;838:21;937:17	749:20,23;751:9;	843:20	approved (1)
793:21;811:14,15,17;	allows (1)	753:17;760:20;773:1,	Apart (2)	921:10
812:23;822:13;895:6	852:4	3;796:21;800:13;	663:5;784:22	Approximately (2)
Agreed (6)	almost (6)	803:10;860:17	apologize (3)	811:7;862:20
796:5;801:14;	735:12;789:13;	Anderson's (3)	882:3;910:5;929:13	April (5)
823:11;831:13;937:17;	808:19;904:10;929:15;	705:22;761:11;762:6	Apparently (6)	737:4;812:1;827:4;
940:10	946:14	anesthesiology (1)		914:4;935:6
	along (7)	759:14	766:6;798:24; 813:16,17;833:18;	area (10)
agreeing (1) 823:11	672:10;673:14;	anesthetic (1)	907:1	728:23;734:16;
agreement (29)	679:3;691:15;788:6;	679:24	appear (5)	737:12;745:21;749:10,
673:3,14;704:9,10,	831:7;912:12	anew (1)	699:13;716:1;891:2;	11;806:12;819:2;
17,18,22;709:9;	altered (2)	804:10	924:14;956:10	844:14;851:25
718:13;719:13;720:8;	816:3,18	Angeles (1)	appearance (1)	areas (5)
722:9,15;723:5;	alternative (3)	853:19	707:25	745:21;749:1;
769:23;780:11;812:25;	728:19;729:3;764:10	angry (1)	appeared (6)	760:15,18;843:5
827:9,11,15;849:19;	alternatives (4)	850:12	687:5;706:13,16;	arena (1)
851:15,21;885:13;	667:7;672:9;768:15;	ankles (1)	741:11;891:7;946:18	674:14
890:14;909:9;933:11;	780:23	835:17	appears (2)	argument (1)
940:5,19	Although (2)	announce (1)	716:16;796:8	904:3
agreements (8)	680:17;791:8	932:4	applauding (1)	argumentative (3)
673:18;674:1;	Altogether (1)	announced (3)	792:7	900:2,4;905:14
718:16;719:5,24;	741:24	654:13;756:8;934:11	applicable (4)	Ariela (1)
722:23;827:7;902:17	always (12)	answered (5)	656:10;756:21;	903:22
ahead (11)	675:13;707:21;	879:21;885:20;	878:11;940:4	Arkansas (2)
655:24;698:22;	722:8;750:8;754:16;	896:8;901:5,7	applications (1)	735:5,6
745:5;779:5;785:13;	776:13;824:7;875:17;	antagonist- (1)	661:3	around (14)
843:13;858:7;903:19,	878:25;879:6;923:12;	790:23	applied (4)	652:4;656:12,15,15;
20;925:3,22	953:1	ante (1)	654:16;680:5;	658:18;689:13;710:2;
ahold (2)	Ambien (3)	905:3	681:10;939:23	724:4;727:13;746:21;
956:2;957:12	694:9;856:21;874:24	antibiotic (5)	applies (3)	806:11;833:18;855:5;
air (2)	ambulatory (2)	690:21;691:4;711:7,	722:8;921:2,7	942:16
690:25;691:9	652:19;653:14	18,25	apply (4)	array (1)
airway (1)	American (5)	antibiotics (1)	647:3;652:14;920:1,	680:4
878.7	648:10;666:1;737:3;	830:22	3	arrest (1)
alarmed (1)	877:11,12	anticipate (2)	applying (1)	891:5
822:14	American's (1)	798:24;847:6	663:15	arrested (2)
albeit (1)	662:23	anticipating (2)	appointed (2)	891:1,8
829:20	among (6)	798:11;857:9	648:19;650:7	arrive (1)
alcohol (2)	671:8;674:23;	anticoagulant (1)	appointment (1)	758:2
664:25;842:21	731:10;790:6;935:25;	769:17	742:21	arrived (1)
alert (1)	947:8	anti-coagulants (1)	appointments (2)	797:3
934:12	amongst (1)	750:7	738:3;769:18	arriving (2)
alerted (2)	715:4	anticoagulation (2)	appreciate (3)	739:6;750:9
776:21;890:25	amount (14)	651:14;720:25	785:7;831:8;950:22	article (2)
alerting (1)	741:23;742:25;	anticonvulsant (1)	appreciated (1)	714:24;883:3
699:23	750:23;755:4;764:25;	751:21	776:17	ascertained (1)
Aleve (1)	768:6;823:2;829:21;	anti-imflammatories (1)	approach (9)	816:7
679:13	832:2;837:23;851:9;	767:6	738:11;741:9;	Asciepius (1)
Alicia (1)	857:20;859:4;873:15	anti-inflammatory (4)	810:12;835:19;859:21;	899:15
934:10	amounted (1)	678:23;679:12;	864:4;910:8,13;947:7	aside (1)
	774:17	865:7,13		685:12
allegations (1) 757:12		antisocial (1)	approaches (1) 766:12	
	amphetamines (3)	950:10		as-needed (1)
allege (1)	689:22;690:4;712:4		appropriate (15)	676:23
895:19	anaerobes (2)	anxiety (5)	661:16;683:22;	asocial (1)
allergic (1)	690:25;691:7	694:7;797:10;	688:4;768:18,19,20;	950:10

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	T	T	T	December 04, 2014
aspect (3)	attention (9)	awareness (1)	755:23;756:15;762:1,	begs (1)
745:3;751:13;828:15	670:12;690:8;	661:12	25;799:19,25;803:13,	662:15
aspire (3)	789:11,18,20;829:16;	away (5)	17;902:23;910:4;	behave (1)
954:7,8,10	874:15;934:13;952:17	711:16;752:5;766:4;	928:25	787:20
asserted (1)	attentive (1)	931:9,17	basement (1)	behaving (1)
	787:23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	735:17	907:25
881:12		awful (1) 847:18		
assessed (1)	attest (1)		basic (2)	behavior (1)
877:17	896:3	Aye (1)	709:22;734:2	902:23
assessment (10)	attested (2)	904:16	basically (8)	behavioral (3)
672:20;723:9,12;	834:18,20	ъ	671:25;724:20;	681:2,4;908:25
783:4,5;844:22,24;	attests (1)	B	735:4;737:12;758:1;	behest (1)
849:9;856:5;876:18	844:5		791:5;836:4;857:25	938:2
assessments (7)	Attorney (16)	bachelor (1)	basis (8)	behind (1)
696:24,24;697:8,11;	649:24;650:8;	647:23	676:22,23;737:16;	832:25
723:11;781:23;797:12	745:12;894:3,5,5,6,9,	back (66)	754:4;765:21;846:20;	belief (1)
Assistance (2)	11;899:2;902:12,14,	653:24,25;666:7,17,	879:12;911:21	880:4
936:10;942:4	23;903:2;907:2;912:8	20,21;667:4;684:8,15;	basket (3)	believes (3)
assistant (2)	attorneys (2)	697:14;725:9,10;	670:17,19,23	718:4;948:20;950:18
648:25;747:4	842:6;899:9	729:23;733:4;734:4;	batch (1)	below (4)
assistants (3)	attorney's (1)	735:9;745:4;754:9,13,	725:9	842:13;899:1;
690:18;697:8;725:16	907:18	23;755:19;761:17;	batting (1)	904:21,22
assisted (1)	attractive (1)	773:19;786:1,19,25;	766:16	benefits (3)
764:6	677:8	787:13;789:7;796:7,	BCPS (1)	672:25;673:15;
assisting (1)	attributed (1)	14;797:2,19;798:13;	652:21	769:22
805:14	904:11	800:8;806:17;813:12;	beady (1)	benign (1)
associated (1)	audit (1)	821:25;831:19;843:24;	820:5	776:25
802:13	726:4	853:13,17,18,19;	bear (2)	benzodiazepine (5)
Association (6)	August (1)	856:17,19,22;857:12;	706:4;793:7	687:12,14;688:3,6;
648:10,12;649:18,	693:3	862:23;866:24;867:22;	beating (1)	699:18
25;663:8;952:4	aureus (1)	870:18,22;871:10,23;	936:17	benzodiazepines (6)
assume (3)	839:23	880:11;886:1;888:5;	became (11)	665:1;670:24;
655:10;712:18;	author (1)	890:22;897:11;900:9;	661:10,13;725:4;	687:13;704:12;758:14;
753:22	901:20	919:6;924:21;927:3,	738:15;808:17;846:17;	763:17
assumed (1)	authority (1)	15;938:10;949:20	847:17;893:20;902:21;	besides (2)
688:21	725:17	background (3)	907:24;944:8	662:8;845:18
assumes (1)	authorization (2)	647:21;714:17;	become (3)	best (13)
778:15	693:4;710:9	938:22	663:12;736:13;	671:1;681:9;755:13;
assuming (1)	authorized (3)	backup (1)	953:10	758:24;780:21;781:2,
766:5	683:6;693:7;911:14	908:14	becomes (2)	4;803:18,20;825:25;
assumption (1)	auto-populated (2)	backwards (1)	836:5;865:6	833:9;921:13;946:2
769:14	707:18,22	646:12	becoming (1)	beta (3)
assure (3)	availability (1)	bacteria (3)	711:19	852:16,17,25
701:15;906:25;946:1	814:2	690:23;691:6,7	bed (1)	better (20)
asthma (1)	available (18)	bad (7)	861:17	671:18;680:18;
651:18	679:25;738:15;	684:10,14;743:12;	bedside (1)	688:14;711:10;756:24;
Atenolol (2)	741:2,12;743:7;	833:7;865:1,2;874:14	805:11	763:23;764:15;765:4;
852:14,25	754:24;766:24;773:22;	badges (1)	bedtime (1)	766:16,17;794:3;
Ativan (2)	774:9;782:14;801:5,6;	819:19	687:20	830:24;831:8;836:7,9;
665:2;670:25	809:14;813:18;814:14;	balk (1)	beg (1)	853:4;865:14;869:12;
attack (4)	874:17;905:21;955:19	877:21	926:17	873:10;953:12,
721:3;887:16;	avoid (4)	balked (1)	began (5)	beyond (7)
906:12,14	699:1;759:20;	938:15	698:8;718:9;746:17;	660:5;674:7;692:8;
attempt (2) 640:21:705:8	764:15,22	ball (1) 905:11	774:15;846:25	744:19;745:21;762:7;
649:21;705:8	avoided (2)		begging (1) 926:4	936:15
attempting (2)	887:22;943:9	ballpark (1)		big (9)
817:9;868:14	avoiding (1) 754:18	942:19	begin (2)	661:18;662:21;
attend (2)		bankrupt (1)	735:17;796:25	664:12;670:17;725:9; 746:15:772:7:840:2;
737:5;947:22	aware (12)	871:19	beginning (4)	746:15;773:7;840:2;
attendant (1)	715:21;717:23;	barrier (1)	753:1;755:16;	883:8
734:15	719:21;721:4;723:6;	815:22	786:11;796:9	biggest (1)
attended (3)	766:7;777:7;785:22;	based (17)	begins (6)	846:15
650:18;733:24;	792:15;878:10;907:24;	655:11;700:20,25;	805:6;903:11;	bill (1)
947:23	951:14	728:8;750:19,20;	904:12,18,19;925:20	650:1

Mark Ibsen, M.D.				December 04, 2014
hilling (1)	24.922.21.990.20.	956.24.960.77	940:16	asmora (1)
billing (1)	24;832:21;880:20;	856:24;860:7,7		camera (1)
841:12	881:6;892:16,19;	brand (3)	burst (1)	697:14
bin (1)	893:4;894:15;895:19;	679:18;727:14,17	686:17	cameras (2)
715:1	899:8;902:1,13,24,25;	breach (1)	bursts (2)	726:23;727:4
binder (3)	906:23;907:7;908:20;	912:22	686:15;767:6	Can (141)
784:12;785:4;865:20	940:12;948:12,15,23;	Break (5)	business (4)	651:8,21;652:17,18,
binders (1)	951:7	732:20;803:24,25;	806:10;812:20;	18;653:23;655:10;
723:20	board's (1)	880:9,10	913:14;944:3	658:23,25;664:24;
binding (1)	762:2	breathing (1)	Buspar (1)	666:20;668:17,19;
704:15	Bob (8)	877:25	694:7	669:16,18;670:15;
bioethicists (1)	824:8;826:5,7,17,24;	bridge (1)	busy (2)	671:8,11;678:21,23;
770:8	827:11;829:4;918:21	940:16	831:25;838:22	679:7,19;680:1;681:2;
biopsy (1)	body (1)	bridled (1)	Butte (1)	683:1;684:3;685:10,
798:21	837:25	879:16	873:2	18;686:24;688:10,10;
bipolar (6)	body's (1)	brief (2)	buying (1)	689:15;693:20,25;
687:18;840:6;	865:7	697:25;708:4	726:21	695:13;697:5,12;
842:19;846:15;849:11;	bolus (1)	briefing (6)	bypass (1)	698:3;699:10;707:13;
850:18	857:7	760:7;955:16,21;	853:16	709:1;710:23;719:7;
birth (1)	BOME (4)	956:3,4;957:13	055.10	720:20;721:23;722:17;
668:10	898:25;899:2,15;	briefly (4)	С	723:24;725:17,18;
bit (24)	905:2	721:14;767:19;	<u> </u>	
				728:14;730:8,24;
646:12;647:20;	bone (3)	875:2;941:22	calcium (4)	731:8,11,20,22;736:3;
654:11;693:17;702:3;	686:22,24;946:16	bring (7)	686:2,3,9,22	742:24;744:17;752:8;
730:8;740:15;742:2;	bones (3)	663:25;666:7;723:7;	calculate (2)	753:8,9;756:18;
747:15;793:20;819:13;	685:5,22,24	754:23;767:13;890:21;	946:8,21	757:25;761:3,19,21;
830:15;837:5;854:21,	Book (1)	902:15	calculated (1)	764:1;767:7,12,15,16;
22;860:1;884:17;	953:22	bringing (2)	700:20	769:8,23;771:22;
886:1;888:5;903:10;	booklet (1)	859:20;904:14	call (20)	772:11;776:13;778:5,
912:12;936:22;940:22;	807:10	brings (1)	646:13;653:22;	21;779:7,9,23;782:16;
946:6	Book's (1)	816:10	671:23;675:11;690:25;	785:2,11,14;787:1,3;
blah (5)	954:5	broad (1)	732:17;733:5;744:1;	789:15;791:6,7,23;
835:22,22;852:17,	both (16)	691:4	758:13;775:17;806:16;	795:20,22;796:17,23;
17,17	656:17;657:3;673:4;	broader-based (1)	867:20;890:20;893:25;	798:5;799:16;803:3,
Blank (21)	674:2;677:10;679:15;	712:1	894:4;905:21;916:11;	20;806:1;813:3,4,25;
646:15,22;647:11,	683:13;694:14;695:8;	broken (1)	917:3,5,6	816:15;829:17;830:4;
	718:19;719:1;818:4;	946:16	called (35)	838:4;848:17;849:6;
15,16;653:18;654:1,21,				
23;655:7;687:23;	886:1;902:14;908:19;	brought (5)	654:14;659:15;	857:8;860:25;861:5;
708:20;721:17;725:1;	950:1	741:8;807:12;	679:22;687:17,25;	877:25;885:25;888:15;
730:12,15;732:16;	bothering (2)	813:14;931:7,15	694:7,8,9;723:6;734:9;	891:9;897:10,18;
748:15;754:5;842:21;	835:11;923:4	build (1)	812:25;817:5,12;	898:4,10,20;902:18;
843:15	bottle (1)	903:6	822:20;824:8;826:4,5;	903:11;911:15;914:2;
Blank's (1)	726:24	Building (2)	827:13;833:25;836:3;	921:13,20;923:20;
697:16	bottom (4)	735:18;860:1	841:10,15;865:4;	924:21;925:1,12,17,18;
block (1)	778:6;784:18;841:2,	built (1)	877:11,18;906:24;	927:2,12;931:5,22;
911:2	3	735:18	913:7,11;920:21,23;	934:1;945:18;949:5
blocker (3)	bought (1)	built-in (1)	923:23;932:23;935:2;	cancer (13)
791:2;852:16,25	664:15	730:23	940:3;943:8	661:7,8,21;675:21,
blockers (1)	boundaries (5)	bullied (3)	calling (4)	24,25;676:7,8,10,11;
852:17	771:16;882:18,21,	899:9;901:25;902:9	706:7,9;784:15;	714:5,14;853:14
blood (4)	22;883:14	Bullock (3)	884:15	candidate (1)
651:14;783:8;869:7;	boundary (3)	648:20;649:24;650:8	calls (5)	857:7
870:23	815:23;825:4;827:19	Bullock's (1)	699:5;714:1;812:9;	capability (1)
Blow (1)	bounds (1)	666:1	824:1;893:17	891:23
	902:2			
775:19		bullying (3)	came (29)	capable (2)
blurred (1)	boxes (3)	895:11,20;901:21	684:8,15;701:1;	683:21;756:14
764:23	706:18;842:25;844:8	bumps (1)	737:11;746:20;809:18;	capacity (2)
Board (44)	boy (3)	812:15	811:2,6,21;812:2;	735:1;851:10
648:15,17,20;649:9;	741:24;862:17;	bunch (4)	813:10;817:21;821:7,	capital (1)
650:9;652:12;655:18;	948:14	826:1;851:22;	25;830:12;831:7,19;	897:20
736:10,13,23;745:13,	Bradley (1)	858:18;932:20	833:20;850:10;853:12;	car (1)
14,15;757:12;759:11,	733:14	buried (1)	862:5;885:13;886:14;	859:10
12,13;767:2;778:18;	brain (5)	956:9	929:3;934:22;938:8;	card (5)
812:18;817:25;825:23,	734:20;765:2;	burned (1)	945:14;946:16;947:24	922:22;926:14,22;

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

viark ibsen, wi.d.	1		T	De
927:4,15	738:24;819:18,19	centers (1)	661:5;666:19;711:8,	chief (2)
care (161)	carrying (1)	754:15	15;714:6;816:4;838:18	732:22
651:1;652:19;	878:24	centimeter (1)	changes (4)	children
653:13,14,15;654:24;	Cartwright (1)	844:13	694:1,18;797:13;	658:5
655:22;658:15;662:20;	903:13	central (4)	864:15	chills (1)
668:4,6;674:6,19,23;	cascade (1)	722:3,7,24;841:3	changing (3)	843:1
683:18;686:11;688:21;	865:8	cephalosporin (1)	651:7;667:3;899:10	chiropra
692:7,10,15;709:4,6,8,	Case (38)	691:3	character (1)	728:22
10,14,20,23;712:17,20;	646:9,20;660:6,18;	certain (22)	904:16	767:11
714:5,17;717:8;718:9,	661:21;713:9;717:12,	654:14;656:10;	characterize (2)	chiropra
16;720:1;721:20,25;	23;732:21;739:2,4,6;	680:1;683:9,22;	892:25;937:1	741:2,1
723:2,14;725:23;	742:20;756:21;766:13;	689:14;691:11;700:18;	characterized (1)	chloral (2
726:7;731:24,24;	769:9;775:21;776:14;	701:12;709:22;731:7;	821:25	687:13
737:14;739:8;740:21;	801:1,15;805:15;	743:11;758:7;783:8;	characterizing (1)	choice (2
741:20;748:2,3,17,19;	806:23,24;808:15,20;	833:21;836:1;837:23;	889:10	782:16
750:5,15;751:1;	816:18;836:19;837:13;	851:9;876:22,22;	charge (2)	cholester
752:25;753:2,3;	840:8;873:5;896:20;	892:10;942:14	649:19;809:21	684:6,9
755:13;756:2,13,25;	899:6;900:22;906:16;	certainly (23)	charged (1)	choose (1
757:2,3,9,22;759:22;	922:9;932:10;933:9;	646:19;665:13;	932:13	927:7
760:25;766:5;770:2;	950:19	666:9;676:6;691:7;	CHARLES (9)	chose (2)
771:25;780:8,16,17,21;	cases (10)	693:11;709:5;716:12;	733:10,14;745:7;	792:23
781:2,5,6,14;782:3,4,8,	651:4;662:16;680:8;	726:11;753:9;761:3;	749:20;751:9;753:17;	chosen (1
21;783:16;796:14;	704:13;705:7;729:2;	764:20;767:18;768:10,	773:1;800:13;803:10	759:5
799:25;804:22;805:18;	756:22;792:18;950:20;	11;769:20;779:24;	chart (26)	Christen
807:16,17;810:20;	953:1	802:15,23;892:23;	664:13;682:9;697:4;	655:25 708:1;7
811:3;813:21;814:13,	$\cosh(4)$	898:14;924:24;935:15	698:17,21;723:21,25; 724:10:780:22:781:7	/
17;818:20;821:17; 823:13;830:9;835:5,9;	668:15;669:6,7,14 catch (2)	certainty (4) 655:12;774:2,16;	724:19;780:23;781:7, 8;782:5;787:22;	811:5;8 886:14
841:13,16,20,20;846:5,	839:3;912:8	803:17	789:10;794:23;795:3;	Christen
22;854:18;855:21;	categorize (2)	certification (3)	796:23;797:5;798:5;	656:4;
856:15;857:14;859:1,	772:11,15	650:21;652:13;	810:5;842:23,24;	812:19
24,25;863:6;870:8,10,	categorized (1)	745:25	844:20;854:10;909:24;	chronic (
13;872:16;876:6,13;	772:5	certified (10)	946:4	650:15
877:2;878:11;879:9;	caught (2)	652:12,17,18,19,22;	charted (8)	653:20
883:23;891:23;892:1;	699:14;912:14	736:10,14;759:11,13,	684:20;690:6;	6,9,20;
908:12;909:11,14,15;	causation (1)	14	692:21;780:19;782:1;	667:5;6
914:25;915:16;918:18;	758:3	cetera (7)	799:8;911:3;936:1	672:15
928:25;930:10,12,19;	cause (5)	820:6,6;852:20;	charting (18)	22;675
936:8;940:21;942:11,	688:10;769:23;	861:17;871:4,4;899:6	686:25;692:2,14;	678:10
21,21,23,24;943:4,7,8,	800:25;823:20;846:23	cha-ching (2)	696:15,19;698:14,25;	689:7,1
9,11,13,18,19,22;	caused (4)	938:21,22	742:4;744:12;761:2,4,	12;694
944:22;949:16;950:2;	710:15,22;711:3;	chagrined (1)	23;795:14;841:12;	710:10
951:4;953:5,8,13;	714:14	917:12	908:12;952:15,21;	715:5,9
954:4	causes (1)	chair (1)	953:16	738:5;
cared (2)	665:15	650:12	charts (14)	16;748
748:14;760:1	causing (1)	chairman (1)	654:12;671:4;680:3;	749:8;7
career (7)	869:10	742:8	683:13;694:17;704:21;	751:16
653:13;737:10;	caution (3)	challenge (4)	742:5,12,14;749:2;	24;755
746:12;774:24;825:10;	665:15;694:18;778:7	728:25;883:9;889:7,	762:4;862:22;922:3;	760:1,3
903:7;905:3	cautionary (1)	12	934:17	764:6;7
careful (3)	778:5	challenged (3)	check (8)	768:25
793:16;819:22;953:7	cautioning (1)	888:6,13;889:1	685:4,21;706:18;	786:22
caregivers (1)	673:1	challenging (2)	843:8;844:8;845:6;	806:7;8
770:25	cautious (2)	823:9;877:1	944:7;946:3	25;835
cares (2)	786:6;819:13	champion (3)	checked (10)	842:18
949:18,18	ceiling (1)	649:10,25;663:8	842:22,23,25;843:4,	849:2,1
caring (8)	852:15	chance (1)	7,10,11,20,21;851:4	853:18
720:24;741:10;	cell (1)	705:21	checklist (1)	870:16
750:16;751:16;758:22;	647:6	change (8)	833:24	14;915
880:4;954:21,22	Center (1)	666:25;709:10;	checkmarks (1)	929:11
carried (1)	890:4	711:14;744:25;790:10;	842:11	circle (1)
950:7	centered (1)	800:4;807:12;829:5	chest (2)	809:12
carry (3)	702:19	changed (7)	869:4;942:25	circumst
	i		1	

32:22;742:9 dren (1) 58:5 ls (1) 13:1 opractic (5) 28:22;740:23; 57:11;861:13;864:21 opractors (2) 11:2,16 ral (2) 87:13;688:7 ice (2) 32:16;943:20 esterol (6) 84:6,9,9,11,12,14 ose (1) 27:7 se (2) 92:23;947:15 sen (1) 59:5 istensen (12) 55:25;678:7;706:9;)8:1;713:1,3;810:19; 1:5;812:6;820:18; 36:14;935:8 istensen's (5) 56:4:712:19; 2:19;820:13;934:24 onic (104) 50:15;651:1,4; 53:20;654:4;661:1,3, 9,20;662:4,6,12,16; 57:5;671:11,23,25; 2:15;674:5,13,17,20, 2;675:1;676:15,17; 78:10,13;680:23; 39:7.10:693:2.5.11. 2;694:21;695:17,24; 0:10;714:8,12,21; 5:5,9;728:15;735:2; 38:5;746:4,17;747:1, 5;748:14,17,22; 49:8;750:6,17; 51:16;752:1;754:2, 4;755:2;757:7,15,22; 50:1,3,21;763:19; 64:6;766:5,20; 58:25;772:6;781:13; 86:22;797:10;804:22;)6:7;809:18;810:21, 5;835:13;836:18; 42:18;847:7,10; 49:2,10,21;850:22; 3:18;857:14;866:17; 70:16;876:2;910:8, 4;915:4;921:19; 29:11;936:7;943:21 le (1) 09:12 umstance (1)

Min-U-Script®

795:3 circumstances (6) 777:13:779:7.22; 780:1:885:11.12 citalopram (1) 694:8 cite (2) 731:4;933:25 City (4) 646:17:677:18; 820:19;886:16 claim (1) 748:1 claimed (1) 883:11 clarification (1) 740:16 clarify (3) 654:18;730:8;801:19 Clark (1) 817:11 class (2) 665:1;691:3 classes (1) 716:7 classroom (1) 648:24 clear (22) 654:7;655:24;668:3; 672:13;808:17;813:24; 820:23;821:14;824:11; 825:3:826:16:839:4.9: 846:18:847:17:848:19: 902:21:903:5:906:11: 934:21,24:945:19 cleared (1) 909:7 clearly (10) 758:7;791:20;814:7; 820:25;830:4;904:13, 18,20;905:2;917:6 client (2) 897:8;898:4 Clindamycin (2) 690:20;711:21 clinic (30) 651:12;709:7,8; 719:24;720:12;735:6; 740:13;763:2;774:10; 807:25;823:14;835:3; 859:23;891:7,19; 893:11:902:14:916:1. 5;918:16,22;937:14,18, 21,25;938:1,6;942:21; 947:7;952:5 clinical (1) 734:3 clinician (2) 744:16;918:1 clinic's (1) 947:18 clinic-wide (1) 720:9

Clonazepam (1) 874:23 close (7) 715:16:717:19: 739:14;741:25;797:25; 899:5:941:14 closed (6) 659:15,19,22; 666:18;811:2;812:8 clots (1) 869:7 clue (1) 847:23 coach (3) 775:2,3:818:9 coaching (1) 775:1 coat (1) 653:3 co-caregiver (1) 750:4 code (1) 756:18 Cohen (1) 903:22 cold (3) 680:15;767:5;942:25 Colitis (2) 797:9;872:21 collaborative (1) 703:16 colleague (2) 949:10.22 colleagues (2) 775:8,10 collected (1) 668:7 collection (2) 774:17;784:14 College (2) 648:25:733:23 colonoscopy (1) 798:21 Coloscopy (2) 798:7,14 column (3) 764:19;787:2;925:19 columns (1) 787:4 combination (4) 678:17:683:1; 691:19:711:1 combine (2) 664:24;743:6 comfort (2) 832:6,25 comfortable (13) 676:4,5,9,10;723:15; 832:2,4,19,24;834:10; 873:3:874:8:945:22 coming (13) 653:9;770:9;808:16; 817:23;826:17;848:19,

20:872:19:935:3.7: 936:7:946:18:948:23 comment (1) 799:23 commit (2) 816:13:955:21 committed (1) 714:21 committee (6) 650:13:704:2,3,11; 720:1;742:8 common (8) 661:3:663:20: 818:10:932:15:943:2; 944:14;952:14;953:9 commonality (2) 759:17,18 commonly (6) 659:11;663:19,25; 681:10;690:21;727:14 communicate (2) 682:7:717:9 communicated (1) 704:1 communication (3) 825:8;887:12;917:8 communications (1) 825:14 community (11) 653:11:667:1; 674:18,21;714:11; 729:11:766:9:948:22: 951:25,25:952:3 comp(1)668:16 companies (6) 699:9,25;728:6,11, 20:861:10 companion (1) 731:5 company (4) 728:12;841:9,12,15 compare (1) 766:13 compared (3) 824:23;953:25;954:1 competent (1) 750:14 complain (1) 721:1 complaining (2) 711:24;843:17 complaint (18) 654:13;687:9,10; 724:12;818:20,20; 830:1;832:20;835:20; 844:18;855:6;887:8; 891:11,18;892:16,18; 893:3;901:2 complete (4) 773:8;795:5;807:17; 953:16 completed (3)

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

656:10;738:8;822:5; 693:4;734:23;773:19 completely (2) 838:9:907:18:908:23: 699:21;877:8 917:14.14 completeness (1) conducting (1) 952:18 837:14 complex (11) conference (1) 738:13,18;772:6,12. 957:13 confidence (1) 17:835:9:849:20: 853:12;910:2;921:12; 747:15 929:12 confidentiality (2) complexity (2) 730:19;732:4 821:13;840:6 confirm (1) compliant (2) 895:9 668:21:701:16 confiscated (1) complicated (4) 813:17 772:17;839:23; conflict (2) 864:12;874:24 895:4;919:22 complications (4) conflicting (1) 754:19;764:22; 728:17 conflicts (1) 765:8;839:25 component (4) 888:19 657:19;736:17; conformed (1) 737:8;828:10 753:5 components (2) confounding (1) 657:3,9 885:12 compound (1) confronted (1) 781:1 888:19 comprise (1) confused (2) 835:14 727:8;892:5 computer (1) confusion (1) 739:9 910:6 concept (1) congenial (1) 702:19 950:3 concern (2) congestive (1) 697:16:776:12 651:18 **Congratulations (1)** concerned (5) 684:6;730:18;806:7; 733:18 867:15;952:9 connect (1) concerning (3) 909:4 718:13:740:3:822:12 connection (2) concerns (8) 906:18,19 cons (1) 659:9:683:25; 730:21;744:12;771:10; 853:1 819:8;913:8;935:9 conscience (1) concluded (2) 816:12 705:24;957:17 consciousness (1) conclusion (3) 694:6 673:21;768:1;908:15 consent (6) 781:10;782:9,12; concurred (1) 815:13 802:6,9,9 concurrent (2) consider (10) 752:25;863:6 657:14:658:2: concurrently (3) 690:13:827:19:843:8; 751:2,11;770:15 861:23;875:19,23; 954:18;955:20 condition (10) 653:4;686:19; considerable (3) 752:3;768:2,21 690:16;720:19,20,21; considerably (1) 721:5;747:25;813:9; 871:22 766:17 conditions (5) consideration (1) 683:25;687:25; 781:19 748:15:842:19:866:10 considered (11) conduct (8) 652:15;661:7;709:3;

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(6) circumstances - considered

739:5;773:18;776:11; 937:5.8 683:3 859:12 counts (7) 821:16:827:18:843:10. contract (20) coordination (1) 653:4:702:16:709:2: created (3) 666:3:674:22:841:9 11:849:1 673:3:851:12:857:3; 683:7 722:22;723:3;925:25; COPD (1) considering (2) 878:12.16.20.21:879:1. 926:7 creates (1) 657:5;731:25 4,10,24;928:15;937:2, 865:12 651:18 county (1) consist (1) 11;939:23;940:2,10, copied (1) 737:12 credentialing (2) 837:18 20;944:1,5 854:12 couple (19) 656:21;742:8 copies (1) 671:18:680:13: credibility (1) consistent (4) contractor (1) 685:3;690:20;698:16: 658:14;693:9; 944:2 867:5 896:23 crime (1) 724:15:921:15 contracts (7) copy (10) 706:12;730:18;735:19; conspiracy (1) 769:12,13;770:7,12, 756:18;810:6; 751:7;786:25;824:13; 812:8 895:1 16;847:12;878:23 816:11,16,19,21,23; 830:10;831:18;834:24; crimes (1) 834:2;854:10;925:3 constipation (1) contraindicated (1) 874:13;935:3,4; 666:5 764:22 688:1 cord (5) 939:10,16 criminal (3) contraindication (1) 732:8;822:1;932:10 constitutional (1) 734:21;736:8;765:2; courage (2) 874:21,22 889:7,11 843:1 833:5 crisis (1) contraindications (1) corner (1) constructed (1) course (27) 890:7 critical (4) 802:22 665:7;698:13; 739:11 778:6 contrasting (1) 716:25;717:15;721:2; 690:15;713:8,20; consult (1) corporate (2) 745:20 767:5 826:25;833:15 737:7;738:21;742:6; 819:4 contribute (4) corrected (1) 747:23:758:21:759:8, criticism (1) consultant (1) 680:23;693:20; 23;765:6;766:19; 737:12 691:22 742:3 consultation (1) 694:4;710:23 correction (1) 767:3;774:24;775:13; Crohn's (4) 917:3 control (10) 840:17 776:3;796:13;823:11; 872:22;873:22,25; 676:24;774:6,8; correctly (2) consultative (2) 825:16;843:4;866:9; 879:7 737:16;750:25 783:21;857:8;862:12, 673:1;696:5 899:10;920:8,12; **CROSS-EXAMINATION (4)** 13;868:15;878:6;907:2 consume (1) correlate (1) 928:24 708:20;773:1; controlled (18) 660:2 783:8 courses (5) 882:11:954:16 contact (9) 658:19,21;659:14; correlation (1) 690:20;716:7;737:4, crowed (2) 740:14,17;743:8; 660:21;665:2;666:11, 695:23 6:902:16 882:22,24 814:10;825:2;829:24; 17,21:668:9,25; corresponding (1) court (2) crown (1) 863:1.9:883:4 669:22:687:16:699:12: 658:20 848:12:942:4 830:17 contacted (3) 704:10,11:780:10; Cortese (2) cover (9) **CRS** (2) 811:19:832:7:906:23 790:4:913:11 798:8:873:2 691:7;728:4,7,8,9,10, 744:6.8 Contacting (1) controls (1) costs (1) 11:806:8:871:5 crux (1) 662:14 659:7 662:20 coverage (1) 949:1 convenience (2) contain (1) coughing (1) 712:1 crving (1) 707:2 710:16;784:24 835:7 covered (5) 869:5 Coumadin (1) 669:10;804:13; contained (4) convenient (1) crystal (1) 691:12;706:22; 926:13 651:15 806:6;841:16;844:20 905:11 784:9:785:12 conversation (19) council (1) covering (1) CT (1) contains (1) 659:8:694:15; 650:8 728:13 921:24 822:11;823:24;832:14; 668:8 counsel (7) covers (1) cuff (1) contends (1) 837:22;846:9;848:21; 889:10,21;892:22; 690:23 782:18 908:6;925:12;940:4; 749:1 849:12;850:1,25; cowboy (1) cup (1) 852:9,21,23;854:1; 949:10 729:24 926:16 content (1) 885:6,10;919:3;929:8 counseled (3) Coyle (1) current (6) 656:3 884:20;940:5;950:23 contentions (2) conversations (8) 787:12 651:2;653:12; 748:25;749:14 809:19;823:20; counseling (1) **CRA** (1) 668:22;733:16;793:4, continue (12) 824:5;830:14;852:8; 682:10 744:10 21 815:19;843:13; 863:14;884:23;903:3 counselors (1) cracks (1) currently (4) cool (4) 846:20;852:19;885:7, 767:2 769:7 657:18;719:6; 14,19;913:9;926:24; 777:13;865:22; count (7) craft (1) 895:25:905:18 930:9;949:16,19 886:7,8 673:9;723:8;769:16; curriculum (3) 650:1 Continued (11) cooperation (1) 782:18,20;913:12; cramping (1) 652:1,3;734:2 655:8;688:18;738:1; 781:21 926:11 812:12 curve (1) counter (2) 749:21;753:18;781:21; cooperative (1) cranial (1) 729:6 804:7;829:19;885:10; 909:7 679:14,16 861:10 cut (5) 890:25;917:9 cooperatively (2) counterattack (1) crap (1) 671:15;912:21,25; continues (3) 884:10:940:15 889:1 899:4 927:1:936:5 671:22;790:19;888:1 coordinate (1) country (4) crawl (1) CV (1) continuing (5) 840:10 754:15;821:24; 926:16 652:11 708:6;898:13,21; coordinated (1) 822:17;841:14 crazy (1) cyanosis (1)

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(7) considering - cyanosis

Wark Ibsen, Wi.D.				December 04, 2014
844:11	660.10.775.1 2.	931:14;933:10	663:11	dataminad (5)
	669:19;775:1,2;			determined (5)
Cymbalta (3)	791:12;810:22;817:13,	define (1)	depending (2)	697:18;711:14;
751:23;871:4;874:22	18,20;818:3;825:21;	760:18	709:11;844:9	845:17;847:9;863:21
cynical (1)	826:4,19;828:15;	defined (2)	depends (6)	determining (1)
877:10	829:7,11,12;883:17,22,	676:24;758:7	707:19;794:18,19;	758:3
D	25;884:3;894:3;918:4,	definitely (9)	838:14;928:16,18	develop (1)
D	23;919:1,7,13,18;	665:24;691:5;705:2;	deposed (2)	715:18
	935:2,22;951:14,22,23	706:24,25;728:23;	716:6;719:10	development (2)
daily (1)	dead (1)	736:5;943:6;952:11	deposition (1)	692:6;785:24
716:22	820:25	definition (3)	719:19	develops (2)
Dakota (2)	deal (17)	671:23;795:4;877:23	depression (5)	768:25;836:10
735:1;747:5	698:12;702:18;	definitions (1)	662:13;694:8;696:7;	device (1)
Damm (3)	729:11;745:3;751:13;	671:14	853:13;874:21	765:6
889:6,12;901:3	764:2;795:2;806:25;	degenerative (1)	depth (1)	devices (1)
dangerous (4)	818:21;822:19;826:23;	864:15	707:2	647:7
650:2;693:25;	833:21;839:2;875:25;	degree (2)	Deputy (1)	devoted (1)
927:21;932:15	876:22;888:20;949:8	647:25;655:12	894:9	810:20
dangers (2)	dealers (1)	degrees (1)	derivatives (1)	DEXA (5)
665:22;667:6	664:16	647:22	753:23	685:3,4,18,20,21
dark (1)	dealing (3)	delay (1)	derived (1)	diagnose (1)
830:5	717:16;860:12;868:1	797:13	670:20	654:8
darn (1)	dealings (1)	delaying (1)	describe (8)	diagnosed (3)
800:7	751:24	795:8	651:8,21;670:15;	690:3;746:24;849:20
Dartmouth (3)	dealt (1)	deliver (1)	671:11;736:3;750:2;	diagnoses (3)
733:23,24;734:1	956:20	764:25	757:24;952:20	693:10;849:7,10
data (10)	Dean (1)	deliveries (1)	described (3)	diagnosis (12)
664:5;668:7,17,19,	735:18	743:11	660:15;668:18;703:5	687:18;692:7,7;
19;706:23;707:18;	death (2)	demand (3)	describing (2)	734:19;747:25;758:1,
708:10;807:22;911:14	664:23;665:3	743:4;769:17;927:18	672:19;879:12	2,6;766:20;837:21;
date (10)	debate (1)	demands (1)	deserve (3)	849:22;938:8
668:10;701:12;	779:23	771:9	789:11,18;910:7	diagnostic (1)
724:9;725:7,7;788:11;	December (6)	demographics (1)	deserved (2)	838:4
796:10,10;842:13;	733:19;734:6;	726:14	850:12;934:13	diagram (2)
913:20	774:14;786:18;797:20; 872:2	demonstrate (1) 826:21	deserves (2)	722:8;844:12
dated (1) 657:12	decided (6)	dental (10)	757:4,4 design (2)	diagrams (1) 910:16
dates (1)	704:1;735:10;825:1;	690:10,21;691:6;	703:16;704:8	diarrhea (1)
796:17	826:7;830:10;873:13	711:6,10;830:16,19,21;	designed (8)	835:8
Dave (1)	deciding (1)	831:8,14	731:14;899:13,25;	didactic (1)
905:16	759:6	dentist (1)	900:8,11,21;901:6,8	652:4
day (26)	decision (3)	830:17	desirable (2)	die (1)
646:8;669:11;	765:12;952:10;953:3	deny (1)	663:23;671:9	864:6
698:23;702:10;710:1;	decline (1)	728:18	desk (1)	died (1)
719:10;724:2,12,20;	810:13	denying (1)	956:9	874:19
805:9;815:20;824:15;	declined (1)	730:18	desperate (1)	diet (3)
831:25;832:4;834:16,	892:9	departed (1)	779:11	684:22;686:3;861:16
18;849:18;854:16;	decrease (2)	951:4	despondent/hopeful (1)	Dietz (2)
860:14,14;868:25;	696:7;847:22	department (4)	851:5	735:23,24
874:22;938:15,16;	decreased (2)	686:8;859:25;938:2;	destination (1)	differ (1)
945:14,16	792:18;858:25	939:4	816:9	820:13
days (21)	decreases (1)	departments (1)	detail (5)	differed (1)
666:7,12;669:11,12;	696:8	806:10	708:13;757:25;	820:15
671:18;691:3;716:20,	decriminalizing (1)	Department's (5)	808:23;952:18;953:11	difference (8)
21,24;742:22;775:18;	771:5	748:25;762:1;778:2;	detailed (1)	670:15;706:6,11;
791:11;806:18;830:9;	dedicated (1)	924:4;931:3	738:19	707:24;795:24;828:3;
831:2;852:1;874:8;	666:4	depend (1)	details (4)	841:19;878:19
929:6,9;938:7;945:18	defend (1)	709:6	646:6;710:18;	differences (1)
day's (2)	749:13	dependence (4)	718:25;956:3	672:14
668:12;700:19	defendant (1)	695:15;754:18,18;	determination (1)	different (53)
day-to-day (2)	932:9	925:24	900:24	652:7,16;671:13;
720:12:807:1	defensive (2)	dependency (1)	determine (4)	672:13;677:14;678:19,
DEA (34)	887:15;888:12	754:17	658:13;668:20;	20,20,25;680:10;
658·18·666·19·	deferred (2)	dependent (1)	708.2.878.18	685.15.688.25.680.3

658:18;666:19;

deferred (2)

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

708:2;878:18

dependent (1)

(8) Cymbalta - different

685:15;688:25;689:3;

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.				December 04, 2014
690:20;698:23;709:15,	disclose (1)	dispensing (4)	915:2;918:23;925:18;	898:5;936:3;951:22
16,18;710:1;711:25;	646:20	657:7;660:20;	926:18;928:5,20,21;	Dori (1)
721:24;724:2,20;	disclosed (3)	717:11;834:1	930:14,17;932:23;	842:12
741:4;757:2;759:3;	668:24;745:1;931:11	Disregard (1)	936:3;941:4;954:19,	dosage (11)
761:24;776:16;789:5;	disclosure (11)	784:20	20,21,22;955:10	657:10;658:1,2,4;
790:3;804:16;805:4;	660:7;678:15;692:9;	distance (1)	Doctor-patient (1)	665:8,9;675:5,20;
813:8;825:17;826:1;	705:22;744:19;745:1;	820:1	715:11	677:6;703:8;886:22
830:22;831:10;844:3;	762:6,7,12;792:7,25	distaste (1)	doctors (28)	dose (10)
851:6,23;852:3;	disclosures (4)	936:12	674:13,24;712:17,	658:6,6;675:18;
854:22;860:5;867:14;	660:6,9;679:9;	distinguish (1)	20;713:5;719:5;742:5,	679:2;700:18,20;
868:24,24;902:15;	762:17	875:12	24;751:2,3,12,14;	783:8;820:24;824:17;
910:8,12;928:18;	discomfort (1)	distress (1)	759:20;766:4;770:14;	842:15
933:19;937:18,21	866:22	945:1	771:8,11;777:20;	doses (12)
differently (2)	discontinue (1)	distribution (1)	787:9;789:25;792:3;	677:24,25;695:14;
665:17;901:7	884:21	659:19	828:19;829:18;877:16;	739:12;763:15;792:17,
difficult (8)	discourse (1)	distrust (1)	932:20;949:4,5,7	17;799:14;823:4;
697:6;701:2;772:5;	775:13	936:12	doctors' (3)	874:9;878:2;936:6
783:7;789:18;806:22;	discovered (1)	disturbed (1)	714:16;742:14;744:5	dots (1)
837:6;923:10	856:23	822:15	doctor's (4)	909:4
difficulty (2)	discovery (4)	diversion (12)	651:22;909:11;	DOUBEK (159)
833:15;871:17	899:23;900:13,15,17	649:7;663:16,17,18;	918:24;957:6	653:22;654:17,22;
Digoxin (1)	discrete (1)	664:3;666:9;677:7,13;	document (9)	655:2;660:5,12;
769:16	707:20	680:20;699:1;726:19;	698:1;762:4;784:16;	673:20;674:7;683:17;
Dilaudid (1)	discuss (11)	828:24	897:25;898:2;902:15;	692:8;708:16,17,21;
694:9	654:23;739:3;	divert (4)	932:3;933:15;938:11	721:10,19;724:23;
dilemma (3)	780:18;802:12;806:14,	819:23,24;916:6;	documentation (13)	730:2;732:14,17;
769:24;812:4,5	22,23,24;819:14;	934:14	683:6;690:1;699:8;	733:3,7,11;744:21;
dimension (1)	893:25;947:18	diverted (5)	705:14,16;743:9;	748:10;749:11,16,22;
776:16	discussed (12)	663:19,20;671:9;	767:25;768:6,14;	751:5;752:9,16,23;
DIRE (3)	678:14;703:17,19;	712:13;767:22	780:22;841:14;954:2,9	753:19;756:6,24;
654:21;744:24;745:7	705:3;740:7,7;766:22;	diverting (5)	documentations (1)	757:16,20;760:9,14,20;
DIRECT (8)	771:1;780:23;902:11;	828:23;829:3;884:1;	699:4	762:13,16,19,22,25;
647:11;655:7;	913:18;956:10	887:4;935:10	documented (6)	767:18,20;768:5;
733:10;749:20;753:17;	discussing (2)	divisions (1)	684:18;689:17;	772:9,10,20;774:14;
804:7;882:15;942:1	768:13;782:12	674:23	692:22;694:22;697:1;	778:15;779:5,14;
directed (1)	discussion (11)	doc (2)	935:18	780:25;796:18,19;
890:13	646:18;675:24;	783:17;875:25	documenting (2)	800:11,14;801:10,13;
direction (3)	689:12;727:9;775:22;	doctor (95)	749:2;934:20	803:5,22;804:3,8,14,
826:6;833:4;883:23	882:16;887:7;931:6;	647:25;658:23;	documents (12)	19,20;810:1,4;811:14,
directive (1)	932:1;934:11;951:1	668:17;670:9;675:5;	697:15,20;698:2;	17,19;840:10,12;842:7,
762:2	discussions (5)	681:24;701:3;710:5;	706:19;739:5;793:4;	8;848:23;858:10,17;
directly (5)	767:13;794:10,13;	711:13,24;715:16,18;	842:2,4;880:20;904:5;	865:25;866:1;869:21,
664:14;747:19;	858:20;950:3	717:1,10;718:19,22;	913:17;934:25	23,25;879:12,15,22,23;
749:4;751:15;757:8	disease (8)	733:12,20;736:2;	dog (4)	880:7,15,19;881:2,7,
director (3)	651:16;683:8,22;	739:2;741:18;748:13,	818:8,9;906:24;	13,16,24;882:2,3,16;
648:14;649:9;746:9	736:7;872:23;873:22;	18;749:6,14;752:23;	907:25	885:16;886:7;888:7,
disabled (1)	874:1;879:7	753:9,20;757:6;	dollars (2)	14;889:9;896:7,19;
873:21	diseases (5)	759:22;762:25;763:18;	938:15,16	897:2;898:6,13,22;
disallows (1)	653:20;654:5;661:4;	764:5;766:3;767:20;	done (32)	899:20;900:2,4;
922:10	683:9;736:8	769:1;770:20;771:14;	659:11;662:10;	901:16;903:16,20;
disc (1)	dislike (1)	772:10;777:24;780:18;	664:11;681:19;684:20;	904:3;905:13;906:5,
871:16	936:11	783:14;784:1,13;	689:8;696:14;697:9;	15;908:10;909:2,13,
discerned (1)	dismissed (2)	787:13,20;788:4,23;	701:21,22;702:14;	17;912:3,5,9;915:19;
927:25	778:17;931:13	792:5;798:1;800:18,	723:4,13;742:19;	917:15;920:18,24;
discharge (1)	disorder (5)	22;801:1;803:12;	755:15;759:23;783:7;	925:5,14;931:4,12,20,
809:7	687:18;693:6;	804:20;810:18,18;	790:3;798:24;802:1;	25;933:15,23;934:3,8;
discharged (1)	710:11;840:7;938:9	812:17;826:20;831:17;	818:15;827:8;830:17;	936:14;937:4,9;941:2,
926:1	disorders (4)	835:1;841:1;842:5;	839:22;855:18;896:1;	8,12,20;954:17;955:6,
disciplinary (5)	734:20,20;772:12,13	848:17;850:9;854:18;	902:18;904:10;908:8,	11,23;956:17;957:1,4,
646:10;889:25;	dispensed (4)	867:14;875:7;876:2;	11;941:18;957:16	7,9,14
907:12;948:5;949:12	713:18,18,21;826:25	878:10;898:3,15;	door (8)	Doubek's (1)
discipline (2)	dispenses (1)	899:20;909:6,15;	805:7;809:19;	913:2
908:24,24	717:1	910:22;911:25;913:25;	818:18;835:10;836:16;	doubt (1)

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.				December 04, 2014
721.9	22.015.2.020.16 18.	764:23	667.25.677.14	alaa (27)
721:8	22;915:2;929:16,18;		667:25;677:14	else (27)
down (27)	932:2;934:24;935:8;	due (1)	effective (10)	688:6;696:13,17;
646:23;674:2;	942:1;944:10,15,19;	946:9	662:7;680:1;688:12;	708:6;728:17;732:13;
678:24;686:18;710:5;	948:5,11;949:10;	Duncan (2)	691:5;693:16;695:18;	734:3;759:21;775:19;
718:17;727:6;740:13;	950:17;952:15,21;	956:1;957:12	711:19;719:15;725:4;	777:10;779:4;797:8;
774:25;775:2;805:11;	953:17,22;954:5,9,16;	Dunks (1)	936:13	821:15;827:17;832:25;
815:21;818:24;833:16;	955:20	891:12	effectively (1)	838:18;845:18;858:10;
837:24;838:15;842:23;	drama (1)	duplication (1)	937:1	872:8;876:9;877:3;
844:10,11;849:10;	814:9	688:8	effectiveness (1)	882:1;926:19;947:16;
851:4;853:1,18;	draw (2)	duration (1)	667:4	955:7,10;956:16
858:25;886:2;923:11;	690:8;754:15	695:24	effects (2)	elsewhere (4)
929:10	drawn (1)	during (4)	782:11;852:17	673:8;776:15;
downwards (1)	700:3	742:10;758:21;	efficacy (6)	814:18;951:13
842:16	drive (3)	807:9;868:8	662:9,15;759:3;	emails (1)
dozen (1)	665:16;696:9;952:10	duty (3)	781:24;802:12;927:11	863:11
947:24	driven (2)	658:20;672:23;770:2	effort (2)	Emanuel (1)
Dr (191)	938:24,25	dystrophy (1)	682:9;699:1	734:10
646:9,11;655:19,23,	driver (1)	874:20	eight (9)	embarked (1)
25;656:4;671:4;678:7;	743:10		716:20,21,23;	756:16
680:3;681:11,18;	driving (2)	E	739:17,19;741:13;	embarks (1)
682:10;683:13;684:7,	665:4,7		756:2;856:18;857:5	796:13
16;686:20;688:15,20;	drop-off (1)	earlier (7)	either (11)	embolism (4)
690:15,17;691:1;	666:13	676:13;741:22;	661:20;672:9;	720:22;867:1;868:6,
693:4;697:2,11;	drove (1)	786:21;801:12;810:22;	704:21;709:19;763:14;	10
698:12;699:7,9,14;	662:9	817:14;862:23	793:9;815:25;827:18;	embolus (3)
	drowsiness (1)			
701:7;705:22,24;		early (28)	844:8;875:13;940:11	720:19;866:4,6
706:9,13;708:1,3,6,23;	665:15	692:23;700:13,16,	elderly (1)	emergency (11)
712:6,8,10;713:1,3;	Drug (75)	17;701:8;708:11,24;	726:16	686:7;759:12;876:2,
715:23;716:1,15;	649:10,19;650:3,10;	729:18;735:20;737:10;	elected (1)	3;877:17;923:23;
717:23;718:5,9,13;	651:24;652:5;653:15,	746:12;754:9;830:10;	650:12	924:10;925:8;938:2;
720:18;724:16;733:6,	18,19;654:2,4;655:20;	867:19,20,23;868:1,17,	Electric (1)	939:3;950:2
10;735:23,24;736:1;	656:16;657:10,14,20;	22;909:9;929:3,4,15,	707:15	Emergistan (1)
740:8,15,21;741:3,19;	658:3,4,6;660:4;	17,19,21;946:19;947:2	electronic (4)	924:12
742:4;743:18,24;	663:15;664:16;665:15;	easier (4)	706:17;726:3;740:5;	emotional (2)
744:12;745:7,9;	666:3,5,6,12,13;	676:23;729:1;	952:22	851:6,9
748:21;749:20,23;	667:15;668:1,11;	744:16;924:25	electronically (4)	emotionally (1)
750:16;751:9;753:5,	673:11;687:17;691:6;	easily (1)	706:20;725:18;	850:15
17;755:21;756:2;	701:20,24,25;702:2;	885:20	773:23:774:10	emphasis (2)
759:11,13,15,16;	707:10,11;717:17;	easy (1)	electronics (1)	714:7;758:6
760:20,25;761:11,14;	722:18;723:7;725:3,	722:17	706:22	-
				emphasized (1)
762:6;763:1;766:10,	14;727:13;728:13;	ecchymosis (2)	element (1)	759:1
16,18;768:1,7;771:15;	758:25;759:2,5;	844:11,14	723:16	employ (1)
773:1,3,22;774:7;	777:10;778:8;784:4,5,	eclipsed (1)	elemental (3)	847:11
778:13,21;779:10;	6;793:5;808:13;	862:11	782:8;789:7,8	employed (2)
784:15;787:22;789:10;	813:25;814:3;817:6;	economic (1)	elements (1)	712:20;780:15
790:6,11,13;792:8,22;	820:23;837:3,5;	743:4	722:10	employee (5)
793:15;794:9;796:14,	843:19;852:4;853:1,2;	ectopic (1)	elevation (2)	889:22;944:1,2,5,8
21;798:6,8;799:17;	864:7;884:5,22;	835:18	672:11;679:5	employees (1)
800:1,13,20,23;801:5;	886:16;887:1;946:3,	Edie (1)	elicit (1)	806:21
802:17;803:10;804:3,	11,24	903:13	900:22	employer (2)
7;805:25;810:19;	drug-abusing (1)	editor (3)	eligibility (1)	647:18;650:23
811:5;812:6,19;	822:1	923:23;924:14;925:8	736:24	empowered (3)
820:13,18;829:12;	drugs (25)	Edmiston (4)	Eliminate (1)	806:10;809:21;926:2
851:24;853:19;854:23,	657:23;663:5,18,20;	905:16;907:7,11,14	781:3	EMR (2)
24;855:21;860:17,24;	664:3,11,13,18,22;	educating (3)	Ellen (3)	707:14,20
861:12;864:20;865:4;	665:17;679:4,12,12;	667:5,6;809:3	818:6;824:9;826:6	EMRs (2)
870:9,10,13;872:25;	691:11;749:15;751:21;	education (7)	Ellis (15)	707:3,12
873:1,2;880:14;	754:1;758:15;759:2;	650:17;653:15;	787:14;790:11,13;	enable (1)
882:11,13;886:14;	764:25;786:23;833:16;	667:1,9;673:15;	854:24;870:10;911:5,	864:10
888:4;898:9;899:17;	842:22;927:21;932:15	733:21;734:1	17;912:21,24;913:12;	encountered (1)
906:12,20;907:7,11,14;	drugstores (1)	educational (1)	914:8,13,18,22;915:2	812:8
911:5,17;912:21,24;	653:8	667:2	Ellis's (1)	encouraged (1)
913:7,12;914:8,13,18,	dry (1)	effect (2)	913:7	694:14

end (14) 659:25:666:17: 668:2;676:4,12; 692:23;734:13;735:3; 754:3;760:7;831:6; 880:1,15;940:9 ended (6) 873:2;914:8,12,25; 930:24;932:1 ending (1) 792:15 Endocet (2) 857:22,24 ends (1) 660:1 enforced (1) 719:7 enforcement (8) 665:19;666:3,14,15, 16;725:18;829:9; 855:16 **English-speaking** (1) 803:2 enhancing (1) 666:2 enjoy (1) 877:1 enough (15) 708:2;758:6;795:10; 797:19;815:18;820:19; 849:18:854:15:866:20: 867:24:868:3:877:24: 886:16:891:9:913:13 ensuing (1) 761:18 enter (2) 673:2:857:2 entered (2) 724:11;788:12 entire (1) 678:9 entirely (2) 793:16;910:8 entirety (1) 724:12 entitled (1) 791:9 entity (1) 728:1 entries (3) 786:17;798:4;895:23 epilepsy (1) 751:25 ER (10) 686:9,19;788:24,25; 875:25;876:24;877:20, 24;878:6;904:14 errors (1) 707:11 ervthema (1) 844:11 escalating (1) 695:14

escapade (1) 939:7 especially (10) 651:11;658:5; 664:24;676:7;729:2; 737:10;751:25;776:14; 928:9;943:5 essential (1) 723:1 Essentially (5) 836:15;860:23; 867:24:873:8:891:24 essentials (2) 781:12;786:21 established (4) 683:16;746:16; 811:13;836:1 establishing (1) 904:5 estimate (1) 741:22 estrogen (1) 856:21 et (7) 820:6,6;852:20; 861:17;871:4,4;899:6 ethical (4) 769:23;770:2; 812:25;821:16 ethically (1) 821:2 Etomidate (1) 878:7 euphoric (1) 677:14 evaluate (1) 927:11 evaluated (1) 938:6 evaluation (8) 672:8;805:13; 937:15,17,21;939:10, 16,22 evaluations (1) 905:20 evaluator (1) 905:19 Even (23) 670:2;671:21; 676:21;686:2;689:11; 694:10:708:5;723:13; 746:8;750:12;756:9, 13,16;757:9;770:18; 801:22;824:22;826:21; 857:9;923:3;930:14, 17;946:15 event (13) 711:23;721:4;722:7; 744:11;794:16,19; 834:6;840:12;865:16; 866:7;868:14;908:22; 922:5 events (1)

707:11 everybody (9) 676:8:805:5:806:17: 807:13;835:10;852:1. 3;888:24;947:13 everyone (5) 646:5;665:17;829:2; 947:11,16 evidence (18) 662:5;692:20;696:5; 698:14;702:16;704:20; 705:12;706:3;712:12; 756:12;762:14;778:16; 792:11;793:20;828:23; 829:2;921:19;922:6 evidently (2) 712:17;816:23 Exa evolving (2) 804:23;815:11 Ewing (1) 733:14 Exactly (11) 666:24;804:9;814:3; 825:25;837:25;861:9; Exa 865:14;922:16;926:3; 946:13;953:23 exan exam (7) 652:15;657:2;697:1, 5;814:7;851:3;876:17 EXAMINATION (30) 647:11:654:21; exan 655:7;700:5;721:17; 724:1;725:1;730:12; 733:10;738:20;745:7; exar 749:20;751:9;753:17; 800:13;803:10;804:7; exce 822:6:837:15,17,20,24, 25:838:6.9.11:844:6: 880:1;882:15;942:1 exce examinations (1) 698:10 exce examine (1) 814:6 exce examined (1) 700:10 exce EXAMINER (127) 646:4;647:5;653:21, exce 23;654:6,19;655:3; 660:8;673:24;674:9; exch 692:17;697:12,23; 698:6;707:13;708:17; excl 721:12;723:24;724:24; 725:2;730:3,9;731:5; excl 732:10,13,15,18,23; 733:4;745:2;747:12; excl 748:24;749:18;751:6; 752:9,19;753:14; excl 756:4,20;757:5,18; 760:6,17;761:16; Excu 762:11,23;767:16; 768:4:772:8.22: 778:20;779:17;797:22, 25;801:11;803:7,11,

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

	December 04, 2014
23;804:1,5,12,17;	execute (1)
810:3;811:18;841:25;	796:3
848:11,15;858:9,15;	executing (1)
865:23;879:17,20;	795:25
880:8,11,17;881:4,14,	executive (2)
22;882:1,5;885:23;	648:14;649:8
886:6,8,10;888:9,15;	exercise (6)
892:20;896:9;897:10,	658:25;681:7,8;
13;898:1,20;900:5;	684:22;695:4;796:5
903:19;905:15;906:9,	exercises (1)
17;907:3;908:20,22;	790:1
909:18;911:25;920:22;	Exhibit (38)
924:5;925:16;931:23; 934:1,5;936:19;937:7;	706:10,15;723:19; 752:18;762:11;784:17;
941:1,3,6,10,13,16,21;	786:8,12;788:5;
955:7,9,14,22,25;	793:10,12;794:1;
956:19;957:2,5,11,15	796:6;799:4;808:25;
xaminers (14)	810:1,7;821:10;840:9;
655:18;745:15;	841:1;867:4;880:16;
757:12;778:18;812:18;	881:3,9;884:15;
894:15;895:19;899:8;	897:21;898:11;906:8;
902:2;906:23;907:8;	909:17;913:3;914:17;
908:21;948:12,16	924:4;925:12;931:3;
xaminer's (2)	932:4;933:25;956:13,
647:2;761:23	14
xample (10)	exhibiting (1)
652:16;665:6;673:5; 684:3;687:6;700:22;	821:8 Exhibits (8)
713:13;776:9;806:12;	784:15,23;785:10;
950:15	882:6;896:25;908:7;
xamples (5)	956:6,10
680:7,13;681:17;	existed (1)
684:24;708:7	785:22
xams (1)	exodus (1)
652:15	674:13
xceed (5) 922:15,17,24;923:7,	expanded (1) 700:7
9	expect (5)
sceeded (1)	671:22;672:1;
717:24	680:23;694:25;776:17
xcellent (2)	expectancy (1)
697:8;905:10	813:5
xcept (2)	expectations (5)
647:3;843:3	678:13;721:25;
xcessive (2) 658:9;749:5	782:7;940:1;947:15 expected (4)
xcessively (1)	684:1;780:4;783:16;
749:15	947:14
xchange (2)	expecting (1)
698:12;863:11	945:10
xclaiming (1)	expensive (2)
797:14	765:7;861:1
xclamation (2)	experience (29)
795:17;797:7 xcluded (1)	650:14;652:7;664:2; 673:18;674:12;677:20;
904:6	678:2;683:8;720:23,
sclusively (1)	24;726:15;742:5;
790:16	745:25;749:23;750:2,
xcuse (11)	21;753:20;754:14;
664:7;685:6;699:24;	755:4;766:3;767:8,10;
744:17;792:24;841:25;	771:11;775:24;777:9;
843:6;848:11;898:11;	803:13;866:6;918:3;
910:21;912:5	935:16
	1

Min-U-Script®

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(11) end - experience

Wark Ibsen, M.D.				December 04, 2014
experiences (1)	20;731:1,7;744:25;	767:14;841:7;842:20;	816:19,23;854:9	fifth (2)
753:10	746:11;756:23;765:13;	935:3,7,12,14,16	faxes (1)	856:7;877:10
expert (35)	778:15;810:6;814:13;	Fanning (153)	863:11	figure (5)
652:9;653:18;654:1;	819:10;825:22;837:2;	646:13,25;647:12;	fear (4)	811:13;823:5;
655:4;678:15;679:9;	841:22;848:7;856:22;	653:17;654:10;655:5,	754:17;951:22,23,23	919:13,16;956:3
705:22;728:23;744:22;	858:24;863:4;877:20;	9;660:8,10,13;673:22;	fearful (1)	figured (1)
745:1,3;747:14,21;	878:14;882:21;889:23;	674:4,10,11;692:11,19;	951:24	827:5
748:9;749:10,13;	894:13,14,20;908:13;	698:8;707:16,17;	February (11)	file (6)
752:10,12,13,24;756:5;	910:4;912:20;917:7,	708:14;721:12,14,18;	755:20;773:19;	832:20;853:7;
757:6,15;760:8,12,13,	13,25;924:25;926:9;	724:22;725:25;730:5,	791:25;796:10,12,18;	863:16;891:11,18;
15,21,22,24;761:2;	928:4;930:23;932:20;	13;731:4,7;732:12,21,	797:2;798:15;808:9;	893:2
762:6,12;792:6;899:5	935:1;936:2,3;937:20	25;744:17,23;745:5,8,	830:6,16	filed (2)
expertise (1)	factor (5)	12;747:12;752:11;	federal (5)	855:6;887:9
752:21	722:22;728:4;	754:4;756:3,7,23;	656:17;657:3;664:9;	filing (3)
expiration (1)	860:18;952:12,12	760:10,19;761:21;	682:17;778:7	830:1;892:16,18
781:18	factors (1)	762:14,18,20;768:3;	fee (1)	fill (20)
explain (3)	694:12	772:7,22,23;773:2;	938:7	659:1;669:12;675:9;
769:21,21;795:8	facts (3)	774:15;778:19,21;	feel (17)	699:17,17,18;707:22;
explaining (1)	776:22;896:3,24	779:9,16,18;781:3;	674:11;723:14;	717:6;722:16;728:16;
672:25	faculty (2)	785:2;787:25;796:16,	750:14;769:25;771:25;	779:7;815:12;827:12,
explore (2)	648:22;747:2	20;797:23;798:1;	815:18;848:7;850:13;	18;829:19;831:21;
753:15;908:7	failed (2)	800:9;801:9;804:11;	813:18;848:7;830:13; 851:15;876:7,12;	832:17;834:8;893:5;
express (2)	813:11;857:12	810:2;811:12,15;	888:18;894:25;921:14;	918:9
851:10;948:17	failing (2)	858:8,12;869:21;	925:6;940:21;946:4	filled (11)
expressed (1)	657:21;762:4	879:8,14;880:13,22;	feeling (2)	668:13;699:16;
819:9	failure (3)	879.8,14,880.15,22, 881:8,18;882:5,8,12;	771:2;821:13	712:24;713:9,13,18,21;
expression (1)	651:19;794:25;	885:18;886:10,13;	feelings (2)	728:17;802:20;816:4,6
941:17	873:17	888:10,16,17,18;	770:6,9	filling (7)
	failures (2)	889:12;892:21;893:2;	feels (1)	657:19;664:20;
extended (1) 727:22		896:10,22;897:7,15;	748:20	
	730:19;757:13		fell (3)	713:24;714:2;717:10; 725:24;834:11
extensive (1) 830:13	fair (9)	898:9,24;899:22;		
	742:15;755:4;789:1;	900:3,6;903:17,23;	710:14,19;838:15	fills (1)
extensively (2)	797:19;888:4;891:9; 894:13;929:14;950:21	904:4,9;905:16;906:7,	fellow (2)	716:25
882:25;894:20	fairly (6)	11,19;907:5,6;908:5, 17;909:10,16,21;912:1,	863:6;864:10	film (1) 822:22
extra (2)			felony (2) 816:13;932:15	
656:2;700:24	698:13;738:11,13; 759:6:795:22:805:24	6,11;915:21,24;	felt (6)	filter (1) 875:18
extraordinary (1) 705:25	758:6;785:23;805:24	917:20;921:1;924:6,7;		675:18 finally (1)
	fairness (2) 701:1:022:5	925:7,11,17;931:5,7, 14;932:2;933:17,24;	711:24;850:11; 863:25;874:7;945:22;	791:22
extremely (1) 809:21	701:1;933:5 faith (1)	934:9;936:19,21;	949:2	
eye (3)	917:21	937:10;940:24;941:15,	949:2 female (2)	finances (1) 877:13
669:10,18;794:10	fall (9) 694:5;710:15,22;	19;942:2;954:14;	724:13;843:16	financial (1)
eyes (3) 810:16;820:6;843:4		955:8,12,18;956:12,23, 24;957:8	Fentanyl (4)	871:17 find (51)
810:10;820:0;843:4	711:3;769:7;840:19;	,	663:22;798:19; 874:2.0	
F	846:23;859:10;929:10 falls (12)	Fanning's (1) 725:20	874:3,9 fovors (1)	680:4;682:9;683:3; 685:1 18:687:1:600:6:
Ľ			fevers (1)	685:1,18;687:1;690:6; 602:20:604:25:606:16:
face (1)	686:14,15;693:18, 20 22:604:2 3 12 16:	far (8) 710:14;744:15;	843:1 few (10)	692:20;694:25;696:16; 698:14,25;699:10;
face (4) 708-10-830-10-	20,22;694:2,3,12,16; 710:18,24;934:22	753:7;777:4;800:6;	681:15;724:24;	700:8,13;702:16;
708:10;830:19; 922:25;941:17	false (3)	837:14;867:14;879:5	739:25;749:16;800:11;	700:8,13;702:16; 704:20,24;705:5;
Facebook (10) 894:21,23;895:6,8,	891:17;894:1;928:3 familiar (13)	Fargo (3) 735:1;746:9;747:4	815:14;851:24;870:7; 948:8,21	706:21;742:24;744:3; 762:5;767:21;771:7;
		· · · ·	<i>,</i>	
16,23;897:15,18;898:3,	656:21;662:22;	fashion (1)	fewer (2)	775:6;776:5,20;784:9,
14 face to face (1)	690:10;707:3;753:8; 755:111:750:7:777:1:	778:22	824:5,5 fibromyalaia (13)	24;788:4;789:4;823:3; 832:16:834:44:
face-to-face (1)	755:1,11;759:7;777:1;	fast (1) 873-11	fibromyalgia (13)	832:16;834:4,4;
743:7 focility (0)	807:2;815:18;880:18;	873:11 fostor (1)	692:3,14,20;693:5,5, 14:710:8:830:7-13:	835:23;836:11;838:1,
facility (9)	952:17 familias (1)	faster (1)	14;710:8;830:7,13;	6;844:6;848:17;
709:11,17,18,19;	families (1) 677:18	764:1	831:4;834:21;856:25; 887:21	866:22;909:16,19;
841:20,20;891:23;		fatigue (1)		914:1;922:2;934:16;
938:20;943:2	family (17)	843:2 for (4)	field (2)	940:17;949:4;952:8
fact (46)	664:14,19;677:22, 23:678:0:687:14:	fax (4) 816:0 10 14 15	707:18;729:21	finding (1) 771:3
659:2;667:11;677:5; 608:16:600:13:714:11	23;678:9;687:14; 748:16;759:11;765:24;	816:9,10,14,15	fields (2) 707:20;851:6	finds (1)
698:16;699:13;714:11,	/40.10,/39.11;/03:24;	faxed (3)	101.20,031.0	111US (1)

908:22 fine (9) 772:9;833:17; 881:24:912:10:925:5. 14,15;933:17;934:8 finger (3) 856:7,13,14 finished (1) 879:25 fired (4) 769:6;836:15; 889:11:890:1 first (56) 700:25;701:14; 730:18;733:25;735:23; 736:21;738:8,22; 745:3,10;754:11,14; 758:2;786:2;788:11; 792:25;793:3;808:8; 812:2;813:10;817:18; 818:4;822:14;830:3; 832:3:835:2.20: 836:21;837:19;840:16, 18.22.25:841:5:842:9: 846:25;860:11;862:4, 15,21;871:10;903:12, 14;916:6,11;917:2; 918:3.8.17.22:919:2. 17;932:5;933:13; 937:25:942:14 first-line (2) 691:5:753:25 firsts (4) 915:15:917:1: 918:25:935:19 five (11) 737:12;747:24; 748:5:809:13:810:10: 831:16;870:23;896:4; 929:5.9:938:7 five-way (1) 865:7 flack (1) 839:3 flag (8) 669:7;828:11; 928:23;935:5,20; 936:3,4,8 flags (6) 819:25;820:1; 934:12,16;935:25; 936:5 flare (1) 872:7 flare-ups (1) 767:7 flesh (1) 812:13 fleshed (1) 719:1 Flexeril (2) 854:14:874:24 **flip** (2)

932:16:933:5 floats (1) 904:25 flood (1) 918:18 Florence (1) 934:22 Florida (2) 833:16;913:15 flow (4) 741:7;764:15; 784:11:805:18 fluctuans (1) 844:14 fluid (1) 765:1 focus (5) 697:20;714:4;746:1, 4;747:8 focused (2) 728:8;747:18 focusing (2) 714:11;746:17 folks (13) 714:5;738:5;748:14; 755:2;766:5;810:21; 811:2,20;813:14; 822:4,12;829:13;909:7 follow (10) 686:11:722:17: 731:2;771:5;788:6; 805:15.22:884:12: 937:24:940:1 followed (5) 681:18:685:25; 686:21;703:19;795:17 following (5) 646:1;693:8;758:20: 798:20:935:4 follow-through (3) 681:21;684:1;705:4 follow-up (8) 684:16;730:3;738:1, 2;761:22;781:15,17; 782:10 follow-ups (1) 681:16 football (1) 714:25 forbids (1) 915:8 force (3) 666:4;817:7;884:5 forces (5) 742:23;743:1,3,4,4 foreshadowing (1) 905:10 forever (2) 827:1,3 forgeries (1) 852:7 forget (3) 655:9;660:10;667:22

form (12) 761:5:780:25:802:9. 10:841:9,9:844:3; 858:12:889:1:897:6: 922:13:926:7 formal (1) 704:25 format (1) 843:24 former (1) 932:10 Formerly (1) 942:11 formulary (1) 728:9 forth (5) 715:3;733:22;808:7; 850:24;933:16 fortunately (1) 940:18 forward (7) 760:25:788:9.22: 827:9;829:19;846:21; 903:10 found (8) 687:4;699:15;715:1; 735:11;776:8,14; 794:13:838:3 foundation (4) 748:7.8:756:8:904:5 four (8) 646:8:652:3:702:10: 742:11;796:24;830:9; 874:22:942:16 fourth (1) 860:12 FR (1) 880:21 fractures (2) 686:13,14 frame (1) 711:20 frankly (3) 770:19;787:25;833:1 fraudulently (8) 650:2;663:9;817:9; 891:25;913:10;927:21; 930:24;932:14 free (4) 741:7;774:9;875:3; 940:11 freedom (1) 829:14 frequency (2) 658:7;927:7 frequent (6) 686:13,13,14,14,15; 707:8 frequently (6) 681:1:686:18:691:8: 706:15;713:25;751:23 friction (2) 674:24;776:20 Ganey (1)

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

friend (8) 664:16;777:14; 901:17:905:17.23; 906:2:949:11.22 friends (4) 664:14,18;860:15; 949:24 frivolous (1) 899:24 front (11) 708:10;723:19; 784:13;786:5;788:5; 812:22:821:1:840:21: 842:5,5;914:16 FR's (1) 881:22 frustrated (2) 893:21;907:15 FUBAR (1) 917:8 fucking (1) 890:21 full (7) 697:5;773:11; 798:23;837:16;892:9; 899:10;951:13 function (1) 765:23 functional (1) 873:21 functionality (2) 650:11:846:22 functioning (1) 874:23 fundamental (1) 828:3 FURTHER (10) 730:12:795:4: 803:10;864:17;871:25; 886:2:894:3:897:4: 940:24;954:14 furthermore (1) 778:17 future (4) 875:14,15;891:6; 940:21 G gabapentin (6) 679:17.18:680:7: 751:22:856:21:871:3 gained (1) 756:9 gallbladder (1) 853:16 Gallis (1) 787:14 gaming (1) 820:4 gamut (1) 736:9

877:18 gang (1) 743:5 garbage (1) 899:21 Gardipee (9) 815:9,12,22;823:24; 825:2;882:17:893:14; 918:21;919:23 Gardipee's (1) 883:10 gastric (1) 853:16 gastroenterologist (3) 872:20,22,23 gastroenterologists (2) 872:24;873:3 gather (1) 767:14 gave (14) 680:13:681:19; 708:7;745:25;814:3; 815:17;818:23;824:14; 830:22;840:6;849:16, 18;854:23,24 GDSF(1) 903:13 General (15) 650:8;652:3,20; 670:13;680:6;694:20; 697:10;705:7;728:11; 736:5:745:20:752:15: 837:13:841:6:850:21 generality (1) 928:10 generally (11) 666:14:672:23; 675:19;705:24;707:3; 738:12,18;739:6; 767:8;777:20;944:22 General's (2) 649:24;666:1 generated (2) 706:20;865:11 generation (1) 859:11 generator (5) 835:24;836:12; 846:19;864:14;865:7 generators (4) 855:11;859:2.5; 878:4 generic (2) 727:12;931:17 gentleman (4) 697:13;880:25; 953:22;957:8 Gentlemen (2) 885:24;955:10 Gestalt (1) 946:7 gets (10) 703:17;765:3;

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

802:19:836:7.10; 806:9 769:15 909:5,11:913:5; gulag (1) 854:4:876:16.17.19: graduated (2) 914:14:929:25 729:14:733:23 886:2 940:6 happening (1) grateful (1) gist (2) guy (4) 859:8 883:7.8 813:6,10,23;814:11 happens (3) 956:12 Given (19) great (17) 777:18;828:13; guys (2) 665:18,22;691:2,11; 669:15;698:12; 821:22;823:10 860:13 709:14;715:25;758:5, 702:18:764:1.2; **GYN**(1) happy (1) 808:18;817:11;824:23; 18;774:9;810:6; 866:9 850:8 825:10;833:24;834:2; 825:8;839:2;840:2; gynecological (1) harass (1) 845:19;846:20;871:7; 865:18;876:22;886:12; 866:3 890:25 891:14:935:5:946:12 887:1;934:22;938:10 hard (13) Η Giving (8) greater (1) 665:13;715:24; 689:11;699:5; 922:11 771:7;788:23;816:10, 12,16,21;834:4;861:3; 764:18;873:4;874:2,8; greatly (1) habituated (2) 878:2;946:15 952:11 948:20;949:4;950:16 820:24;886:20 glad (1) grew (2) half (7) harder (2) 734:5;761:10 739:22 735:22;742:22; 828:7;947:10 glanced (1) grief-inducing (1) 744:14;819:3;823:25; hardware (1) 697:23 806:23 831:20:947:23 856:23 glean (2) Grill (1) hallmark (1) Hard-working (2) 795:20,22 646:17 792:6 954:23.24 goal (4) ground (1) hallway (1) harm (4) 861:7;878:24,25; 756:10 819:1 657:21,22,24;938:11 879:6 grounds (1) Hamilton (1) Harper (1) 747:14 787:15 goals (1) 810:19 group (19) hasten (2) 695:6 hand (8) God (2) 646:16:649:19; 777:22;778:13; 691:15:912:12 951:23,23 651:3,4,5;674:16; 809:16;860:5;864:23; hate (1) goes (8) 703:23,24;704:14; 876:21:924:3:931:2 948:18 657:7:659:23; 712:18:719:4:720:5.7: handed (1) hated (1) 744:15:762:7:806:1: 722:25.25:726:16: 778:1 865:15 810:5:854:14:887:25 820:2:939:8:949:8 handing (1) hauled (1) gold (1) groups (1) 809:23 898:16 954:6 721:24 Hays-Morris (2) handle (1) Good (45) group's (1) 890:7;891:20 805:5 646:4;647:13;676:2; 704:14 handout (2) hazard (2) 693:22;695:11 684:11.12:697:10: groveling (2) 809:8,8 hazardous (1) 925:25;926:8 hands (1) 703:25;706:2;715:22; 716:2;729:9,9,13,25; grow (2) 829:6 688:9 733:3;737:1;741:22; 690:24;691:8 handwriting (2) **HDL** (1) 748:20:761:8:769:20, grown (2) 724:14.15 684:12 20;776:11;789:2; 742:2;821:22 handwritten (2) HDLs (1) 792:12;795:10;800:8; grownups (1) 684:10 706:19;798:4 888:20 head (9) 808:21;816:11,17; handy (1) 793:1 821:19;850:13;864:24, guarantee (1) 718:21;719:22; 25;882:13,14;885:22; 859:9 752:1;843:3,3;862:14; Hang (4) 905:11;906:1;933:21; 912:8,14;914:5; 870:15;938:17;939:14 guess (20) 942:9;952:7,8;954:19, 653:1;675:9;680:18; 950:8 headache (2) 20;955:2 709:6,14;729:21; haphazard (1) 718:6;864:13 good-faith (1) 752:7;754:4;758:20; 670:15 headaches (2) 833:25 765:10;766:23;772:18; happen (15) 715:2:864:18 goose (2) 789:2:791:25:914:11; 667:14;703:4; headquarters (1) 718:17,20,23,23; 919:15;941:9;944:13; 812:13,15 833:20 950:6,14 756:19;775:9;814:23; headstrong (1) government (1) guidance (3) 822:16;846:24;847:25; 950:18 665:19 747:20;886:4;925:24 849:20;859:9;926:15 heads-up (1) governmental (1) 743:3 Guide (4) happened (19) 708:8 Governor (1) 809:1;810:9,17; 712:19;718:18; healing (1) 648:20 831:11 778:16;779:7;792:16; 805:6 guidelines (2) grab (2) 797:18:806:18:811:5: health (16) 777:24;785:11 672:6;771:16 816:8;822:15,16; 661:15:662:20; 830:4;860:13;890:10; 664:8;668:4,6;681:2,4; grabs (1) guilt (1)

685:5,22;718:16; 807:3.16:845:16: 890:4;891:12;916:1 healthiest (1) 860:25 Health-System (1) 648:11 healthy (4) 861:3,6,8,15 hear (9) 676:13;739:20; 759:21;824:7;828:18; 889:21;899:14;938:21; 953:19 heard (17) 660:17;673:17; 715:7;751:12;756:14; 757:7;761:21,22; 763:24;828:20;830:23; 832:3,4;834:16; 902:25;915:16;951:2 HEARING (141) 646:4,8;647:2,5; 653:21,23;654:6,19; 655:3;660:8;673:24; 674:9;692:17;697:12, 23,24;698:6;707:13; 708:17;721:12;723:24; 724:24;725:2;730:3,6, 9:731:5:732:10.13.15. 18,23;733:4;745:2; 747:12:748:24:749:18: 751:6,10;752:9,19; 753:14:756:4.20: 757:5,18;760:6,17; 761:16,23;762:11,23; 767:16;768:4;772:8, 22;778:20;779:17; 797:22,25;801:11; 803:7,11,23;804:1,5, 12,17;810:3,23; 811:18:841:25:848:11, 15;858:9,15;865:23; 873:9;879:17,20; 880:8,11,17;881:4,14, 22;882:1,5;885:23; 886:6,8,10;888:9,15; 889:16,19;892:20; 896:9;897:10,13; 898:1,20;899:11; 900:5;903:19;904:6; 905:15;906:9,17; 907:3;908:6,20,22; 909:18;911:25;920:22; 924:5;925:16;931:23; 934:1,5;936:19;937:7; 941:1,3,6,10,13,16,21; 955:7,9,14,22,25; 956:19;957:2,5,11,15, 17 hearsay (3) 881:1,19,21

heart (4)

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.		1	
651:18;721:3;	878:2;936:6	host (2)	646:9,11;655:23;
838:20;852:16	higher (6)	649:4;758:15	682:10;684:7,16;
heat (3)	662:12;677:5;	hot (2)	688:15,21;690:17;
672:10;679:5;680:15	800:23,24;831:3;874:8	767:5;861:15	691:1;693:4;697:2,11;
heavy (1)	highly (3)	hour (5)	698:12;699:7,9,14;
870:21	683:23;840:1;877:6	742:22;743:11;	701:7;705:24;706:13;
heavy-duty (1)	highs (1)	819:3;831:20;839:5	708:6;712:8;715:23;
878:8	676:20	hours (6)	716:1,15;718:5,9,13;
heck (1)	himself (2)	739:14,14;741:25;	720:18;740:8,15,21;
744:2	715:1;915:3	801:25;802:2;842:15	741:19;743:24;748:21
heightened (1)	HIPAA (1)	house (4)	750:16;755:21;759:11
661:12	726:4	794:21;818:9;890:7;	16;760:25;763:1;
held (2)	hired (1)	891:20	766:16;768:1,7;
648:16;670:4	666:5	huge (1)	771:15;773:22;774:7;
Helena (15)	historical (1)	813:13	778:11,13,21;779:10;
667:12;674:20;	901:4	human (2)	790:6;792:8,22;
677:18;735:11;737:11;	histories (1)	663:5;822:17	796:14;798:6;799:17;
738:13;747:8;750:9;	807:21	humane (1)	800:1,20,23;801:5;
766:8;771:7;809:14;	history (19)	779:21	802:17;804:3,7;806:1;
872:22,23;943:8;951:4	660:25;698:11;	Humira (1)	829:12;880:14;882:11
help (10)	738:12,19;756:15;	873:25	13;888:4;898:9;
668:20;681:9;682:7;	789:18;814:5;822:5;	hundreds (2)	899:17;906:12,20;
688:4;737:8;752:21;	837:20,21;838:8,11;	810:11;930:6	932:2;944:10,15,19;
785:13;827:8;870:2; 913:25	840:6;841:6,7,7;	hunt (1) 906:25	948:5,11;949:10; 950:17;954:9
helped (5)	842:17,20;856:8 hits (2)	hurt (1)	Ibsen's (25)
649:9;650:1,24;	759:19;860:6	759:21	655:19;671:4;680:3;
663:8;904:14	hold (5)	hydrate (2)	681:11,18;683:13;
helpful (4)	648:2,3;650:13;	687:13;688:7	686:20;690:15;708:3;
669:1;729:4;740:11;	682:8;879:17	hydrocodone (20)	717:23;724:16;741:3;
776:6	holding (4)	728:10;830:13;	742:4;743:18;744:12;
helps (2)	682:2;864:23;	831:1,9,15;834:22,23;	753:5;756:2;784:15;
678:25;679:1	883:12,15	846:2;849:17;854:23;	787:22;789:10;793:15
hematoma (9)	home (4)	867:6,7,11,19;868:11,	794:9;952:15,21;
840:19;842:13;	666:8,10;734:4;	13;870:5,14;872:5;	953:17
843:18;845:5,17,18;	876:21	873:16	ibuprofen (4)
846:24;847:16,18	honest (2)	Hydrocone (10)	678:24;679:13;
here's (1)	954:25;955:1	663:2,21;670:22;	680:8;842:15
852:14	honestly (1)	691:19;727:10,23,25;	ice (6)
hernia (1)	947:22	753:24;791:7;845:21	672:11;679:5;
853:16	honesty (1)	Hydromorphone (3)	710:16,20,25;711:3
herniated (1)	763:7	670:21;854:25;	icebreaking (1)
871:16	Honor (3)	856:20	818:14
heroin (1)	751:5;752:16;896:19	hygiene (1)	Idaho (1)
791:6	hoops (2)	689:12	647:25
herself (1)	902:16;949:21	hypertension (1) 651:17	idea (12) 652:24;741:18;
672:24 hesitant (1)	hope (5) 653:6;760:14;	hypertensive (1)	742:19;769:20;806:17
928:14	796:25;923:14,15	852:13	812:19;826:16;897:21
hesitate (1)	Hopefully (2)	hypnotic (1)	903:7;907:20;938:3,4
682:16	653:8;805:24	687:15	ideal (2)
hey (2)	hoping (1)	hypothesis (1)	783:12;887:12
928:14;946:23	928:20	844:25	Ideally (2)
hierarchy (1)	horrible (1)	hypothetically (1)	782:2,3
944:11	831:14	929:13	ideals (1)
Hiett (5)	Hospital (14)	hypothyroidism (1)	783:13
817:5;884:7,20;	647:19;650:25;	870:23	ideas (1)
885:6,10	651:12;707:9;712:16,	hysterectomy (1)	741:7
high (16)	21;720:12;734:11;	853:15	identified (1)
664:1;665:8,9;	735:15;736:3;750:10,		683:25
667:15;677:16,24,25;	24;755:6;938:3	I	identify (2)
684:9,10,14;686:17;	hospitals (1)		805:8;808:25
800:23;815:10;870:23;	666:20	Ibsen (83)	identifying (1)
	1		

694:11 idiot (1) 860:11 :4;697:2,11; II (1) 816:8 :24;706:13; ill (1) 805:10 illegal (3) 682:17;828:13; 3:24:748:21; 943:12 5:21;759:11, illegally (1) 726:22 illnesses (1) 3:22;774:7; 942:24 ,21;779:10; image (1) 653:2 8:6;799:17; imagine (1) 803:1 4:3,7;806:1; Imaging (1) 30:14;882:11, 845:16 immaterial (3) 888:8;898:19;906:6 immediate (3) 677:3;727:15,20 immediately (5) 721:7;794:17; 815:24;827:22;935:23 immemorial (1) 0:15;708:3; 750:11 4:16;741:3; impact (1) 3:18:744:12; 813:4 impair (1) 39:10;793:15; 665:15 impaired (1) 938:5 impairment (1) 665:4 implantable (3) 764:12;765:12; 874:12 implement (1) 650:24 implementation (3) 650:6,11;667:23 implemented (3) 666:6,12;720:9 implications (2) 9:20;806:17; 717:18;771:9 6:16;897:21; implicit (1) :20;938:3,4 753:12 importance (1) 696:12 important (9) 657:19;679:6;682:6; 769:2;773:11;783:9; 793:20;880:3;953:21 importantly (1) 783:1 impose (1) 899:25 imposition (1) 899:25 impression (1)

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

763:12 imprisoned (1) 660:20 improper (3) 674:5;699:1;943:12 improperly (1) 663:15 improve (3) 688:17;689:15; 919:16 improvement (1) 864:18 improving (1) 919:19 inability (1) 934:23 inaccurate (1) 910:1 inappropriate (2) 660:20;695:14 inauthentic (1) 832:23 incident (4) 916:4,10;917:9; 919:2 incidental (1) 849:24 include (6) 670:20,23;781:17; 782:10:793:22:837:8 included (6) 692:24:693:1:694:6: 784:23:880:21;949:7 includes (4) 657:2;695:3;901:25; 906:13 including (6) 653:19:654:3: 690:24;694:12;758:14; 869:15 incompetent (1) 833:8 incomplete (1) 749:3 inconsistent (1) 687:5 inconvenience (1) 693:24 incorporated (1) 793:24 increase (3) 662:19;686:3;929:10 increased (3) 661:25;824:17; 873:23 increases (1) 696:8 incredible (1) 953:22 incumbent (1) 672:2 Indeed (5) 647:5,5;660:10;

684:8;837:1 indefinite (1) 766:2 indefinitely (3) 864:5;875:9,21 independent (1) 926:2 independently (1) 927:13 independent-minded (1) 742:18 in-depth (1) 707:2 India (1) 877:3 indicate (7) 768:9,15;896:10; 907:14;933:8;948:11; 949:14 indicated (11) 710:7;721:23;722:2; 746:7:766:7:883:17: 907:17;908:18;909:6, 24:916:5 indicates (1) 851:7 indicating (1) 821:20 indication (3) 782:6;794:3;797:12 indicative (1) 928:5 Indies (1) 877:4 individual (19) 665:22;690:9; 723:12;743:5;747:20; 778:14:783:11:884:1. 9,18;885:8,11,20; 894:17;895:20;913:23; 932:9:948:13.15 individualized (1) 723:11 individually (1) 902:3 individuals (4) 700:11;731:8,10; 741:5 individual's (2) 795:3;932:5 indulgence (1) 913:3 ineffective (1) 688:2 infection (10) 711:6,11,15,24; 765:9;830:18,21; 831:8,14;872:18 infections (1) 835:17 inflammation (1) 678:24 inflammatory (1)

865:11 inflection (1) 733:2 influenced (1) 952:11 inform (2) 761:5:834:12 information (28) 657:17;664:10; 668:8,9;669:16,21,23; 670:1,7;699:5;700:2; 706:22;713:25;725:6, 8.10.18.19:726:13: 727:19;799:25;800:3; 802:21;809:5;844:2; 908:14,19;932:17 informed (7) 708:3;781:9;782:9, 11;802:6,8,9 informing (1) 699:9 informs (1) 836:24 inherited (1) 875:22 in-home (1) 905:19 initial (10) 736:20;738:9; 739:18;755:20;761:11; 801:19:838:8.13: 853:11:890:14 initially (11) 712:5;739:24; 808:14;815:15;839:19; 847:5;856:4,11; 872:17:901:15,17 initials (2) 684:17;839:8 initiate (1) 836:17 inject (1) 865:6 injection (3) 865:4,10,11 injections (1) 865:15 injure (1) 810:15 injured (2) 838:16;860:11 injuries (3) 736:8;862:9;942:24 injury (7) 752:1;836:7,9; 842:18;843:14,16; 856:24 inpatient (2) 938:14,20 input (3) 703:17;720:8;806:13 insights (2) 745:25;950:12

insist (1) 801:15 insistence (1) 863:23 insofar (1) 806:6 insomnia (6) 687:9,11:688:13: 689:7,10;849:11 inspect (1) 670:10 instance (9) 700:13;701:6,9; 702:9;709:13;767:21; 883:25;918:22;929:20 instances (11) 661:20;681:13; 683:24;686:25;687:4; 691:10;696:15;699:22; 701:10,18;867:19 Institute (1) 871:13 instituted (1) 808:18 instruct (1) 696:1 instructed (1) 730:6 instruction (1) 778:6 instructions (1) 657:11 instructive (1) 908:19 instructor (1) 748:5 insurance (14) 668:15;669:5,8,9; 694:11;699:8,25; 728:4,5,6,11,12,20; 861:10 insurances (1) 729:5 intake (1) 925:23 integrity (1) 669:19 intend (4) 752:22;824:3;905:6, 8 intended (2) 844:20;859:22 intense (1) 840:1 intention (1) 847:3 intently (1) 819:20 interact (1) 765:24 interacting (2) 657:22,23 interaction (4)

764:3:771:5:830:3: 832:13 interactions (6) 657:14,20;659:10; 771:23;776:25;822:23 interest (2) 862:2:902:22 interested (6) 769:9,9;826:22; 864:16,18;877:4 interesting (2) 769:19:831:24 interests (2) 697:18;732:11 interfere (1) 776:10 interim (1) 734:10 intermediaries (1) 743:14 Internal (1) 735:6 Internet (2) 664:16:834:5 internists (1) 735:7 internship (3) 733:21;734:9,10 interpreted (1) 803:2 interrupt (2) 697:12:698:7 interrupted (1) 847:24 intersection (1) 722:8 intertwining (1) 935:13 intervals (1) 738:3 intervene (1) 920:17 intervened (1) 830:21 intervening (1) 797:17 interview (2) 819:15;883:5 interviewed (1) 882:25 into (23) 654:9;657:7;667:25; 673:2;682:22;720:8; 735:19;760:15;767:13; 779:25;791:22;798:10; 808:22;810:5;831:25; 842:4;857:2,11; 875:14;898:16;935:11; 943:18;951:11 intolerant (3) 827:24;828:10;874:3 intractable (2) 678:10;935:17

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(16) imprisoned - intractable

intrathecally (2)	issues (26)	797:21;826:10,11;	18;955:20	language (1)
758:19;765:1	663:6;682:11;	873:9,10;882:17	Kneeland's (1)	761:17
introduce (1)	688:16;742:1,2;753:7;	jurisprudence (2)	766:18	lapse (1)
805:25	754:16;759:7,16;	656:22;657:2	knew (7)	711:21
		030.22,037.2	737:24;740:17;	
introductory (1)	760:24;761:6,10,14;	V	, , ,	large (7)
647:1	762:3;772:17;805:3;	K	744:1;819:11;869:9,	675:18;723:18;
invent (1)	850:18;862:11,12,13;		11;953:23	754:15;784:12;810:19;
806:10	893:25;896:20;908:12;	Kalispell (2)	knife (1)	840:19;887:3
inventing (1)	910:18,19;936:7	949:25;950:1	671:16	larger (2)
827:5	IUD (1)	keep (17)	knowing (1)	683:14;723:20
inventories (1)	846:1	669:10,18;736:23;	699:20	largest (1)
656:16		737:3,23;741:4;	knowledge (6)	726:12
investigated (1)	J	788:23;793:18;796:17;	674:19;750:20;	Laser (1)
855:16		805:18;898:10;899:18;	803:18;814:18;816:25;	871:12
investigating (1)	January (11)	901:23;904:24;905:1;	946:2	last (35)
817:7	667:24;774:12,13,	940:7;950:11	knowledgeable (1)	646:7;652:6;697:25;
investigation (3)	16;786:1;788:12;	keeping (3)	751:14	700:21;701:12;747:7;
825:24;894:9;913:10	792:15;793:19;794:4;	749:3;833:18;846:22	Knowles (1)	788:6,7,9;790:2;
· · ·				
investigators (1)	808:9;868:8	Kentucky (2)	787:12	796:10;799:2,7;
666:6	JEAN-PIERRE (3)	905:20;907:22	known (4)	804:14;823:25;829:11;
investment (2)	942:1,5;954:16	kept (1)	650:19;839:20;	842:15;843:21;847:4;
705:13,18	J-e-a-n-P-i-e-r-r-e (1)	656:14	843:19;949:25	849:18;867:5;870:5;
invite (2)	942:5	key (2)	knows (5)	872:2;873:6;874:9,10,
883:4;927:15	Jeremy (5)	722:10;835:23	753:2,3;756:24;	13;877:15;882:17;
invited (1)	887:8,19;892:2,6;	kicks (1)	816:25;949:16	901:19;913:22;914:3,
826:4	918:7	865:8		3;951:4;956:7
involved (20)	job (12)	kidding (1)	L	lasting (1)
651:13,16;653:15;	697:10;702:25;	864:6		874:7
703:2;720:11;734:14,	707:8;792:12;868:3;	kidney (1)	L-1 (4)	lasts (1)
15,19;743:1;749:7;	875:24;917:13,18;	867:22	773:6;785:10;	672:1
750:25;751:17;757:21;	938:5;940:7,8;944:21	killed (1)	793:10;865:19	late (9)
759:8,17;760:2;	job-related (1)	715:1	L-2 (2)	650:18;661:6;725:5,
	J00-1 Clatcu (1)	/1.5.1		050.10,001.0,725.5,
772.18.806.19.809.5	705.0	kind (52)	861.20.013.3	7.729.17.737.4.754.9
772:18;806:19;809:5;	795:9 Joe (1)	kind (52)	861:20;913:3	7;729:17;737:4;754:9,
850:19	Joe (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3;	L-3 (2)	13;826:11
850:19 involvement (1)	Joe (1) 775:19	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11,	L-3 (2) 869:19,23	13;826:11 later (10)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20,	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20,	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8;	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1;	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18,
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13;	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7)	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1)	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22,	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12)	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14;	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22, 24;768:13,13;769:11;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10) 648:15,20;667:25;	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12) 724:3;838:16,16;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14; 946:17	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10) 656:10,11,12,14,15,
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22, 24;768:13,13;769:11; 795:9;825:25;846:15;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10) 648:15,20;667:25; 725:8,10;734:13,13;	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12) 724:3;838:16,16; 839:22;840:4;844:16;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14; 946:17 lack (3)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10) 656:10,11,12,14,15, 15;660:14;666:3;
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22, 24;768:13,13;769:11; 795:9;825:25;846:15; 848:8;850:17;892:2;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10) 648:15,20;667:25; 725:8,10;734:13,13; 762:2;942:17,18	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12) 724:3;838:16,16; 839:22;840:4;844:16; 846:17;848:19,20;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14; 946:17 lack (3) 667:4;805:1;832:25	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10) 656:10,11,12,14,15, 15;660:14;666:3; 670:4;920:1
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22, 24;768:13,13;769:11; 795:9;825:25;846:15; 848:8;850:17;892:2; 906:16;909:19;910:3;	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10) 648:15,20;667:25; 725:8,10;734:13,13; 762:2;942:17,18 jump (1)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12) 724:3;838:16,16; 839:22;840:4;844:16; 846:17;848:19,20; 849:11;870:24,25	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14; 946:17 lack (3) 667:4;805:1;832:25 lady (3)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10) 656:10,11,12,14,15, 15;660:14;666:3; 670:4;920:1 laws' (1)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22, 24;768:13,13;769:11; 795:9;825:25;846:15; 848:8;850:17;892:2; 906:16;909:19;910:3; 925:8;929:12;934:4	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10) 648:15,20;667:25; 725:8,10;734:13,13; 762:2;942:17,18 jump (1) 902:16	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12) 724:3;838:16,16; 839:22;840:4;844:16; 846:17;848:19,20; 849:11;870:24,25 Kneeland (8)	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14; 946:17 lack (3) 667:4;805:1;832:25 lady (3) 866:1;869:8;874:12	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10) 656:10,11,12,14,15, 15;660:14;666:3; 670:4;920:1 laws' (1) 927:18
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22, 24;768:13,13;769:11; 795:9;825:25;846:15; 848:8;850:17;892:2; 906:16;909:19;910:3; 925:8;929:12;934:4 issued (1)	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10) 648:15,20;667:25; 725:8,10;734:13,13; 762:2;942:17,18 jump (1) 902:16 June (8)	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 735:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12) 724:3;838:16,16; 839:22;840:4;844:16; 846:17;848:19,20; 849:11;870:24,25 Kneeland (8) 708:23;759:13,15;	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14; 946:17 lack (3) 667:4;805:1;832:25 lady (3) 866:1;869:8;874:12 lag (1)	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10) 656:10,11,12,14,15, 15;660:14;666:3; 670:4;920:1 laws' (1) 927:18 lawyer (5)
850:19 involvement (1) 743:13 involving (1) 646:8 ipsa (1) 813:23 IR (1) 667:14 Iraq (2) 821:22,24 irregularities (1) 696:20 irrelevant (6) 888:7;897:25; 898:19;906:5,15; 936:14 irritant (1) 865:6 issue (24) 659:10;660:22; 675:12;742:3;744:25; 748:9;757:1;761:22, 24;768:13,13;769:11; 795:9;825:25;846:15; 848:8;850:17;892:2; 906:16;909:19;910:3; 925:8;929:12;934:4	Joe (1) 775:19 John (1) 874:19 Johnson (1) 853:19 Jonesboro (1) 735:5 Jorstad (1) 787:13 JP (1) 942:6 judge (1) 888:2 judgment (7) 654:14;659:1; 758:24;780:9;795:13; 887:24;906:1 judgmental (1) 860:10 judicial (1) 934:1 July (10) 648:15,20;667:25; 725:8,10;734:13,13; 762:2;942:17,18 jump (1) 902:16	646:19;653:6;667:3; 669:6;670:17;694:11, 22;695:5;699:19; 701:14;707:22;729:17; 735:7;737:11;741:6,7; 753:2,3;769:11,14; 781:9;794:24;806:19; 809:11;821:13;822:11; 831:11;835:9;847:20, 23;849:4,19,21; 850:11;852:9;857:2; 861:2;866:25;870:1; 876:25;886:1,4;888:5; 889:25;931:21;935:16; 936:17;946:6,17; 950:9,20;951:11 kinds (7) 714:17;738:9; 766:21;825:17;850:20; 878:8;880:4 Klonopin (1) 846:1 knee (12) 724:3;838:16,16; 839:22;840:4;844:16; 846:17;848:19,20; 849:11;870:24,25 Kneeland (8)	L-3 (2) 869:19,23 L-5 (1) 856:1 L-6 (1) 840:9 L-7 (1) 853:8 L-8 (1) 871:6 L-9 (3) 773:7;785:11;872:15 lab (4) 684:19;686:8; 805:22;838:5 label (2) 663:14;778:3 labeled (1) 785:10 labs (1) 845:6 laceration (4) 844:12;856:6,14; 946:17 lack (3) 667:4;805:1;832:25 lady (3) 866:1;869:8;874:12	13;826:11 later (10) 688:23;689:21; 705:8;802:2;805:2; 831:20;833:14;856:23; 867:13;939:8 latex (1) 843:14 law (28) 657:3,3;659:13; 663:7,10,12;665:19; 666:14,15,15;669:25; 682:19;716:22;725:18; 730:14;756:21;778:7; 829:9;855:15;915:8; 920:7,10;921:2,16,18, 24;922:10;927:9 lawful (7) 656:9;660:3;682:20; 777:18;779:16,18,19 lawfully (2) 657:12;666:22 laws (10) 656:10,11,12,14,15, 15;660:14;666:3; 670:4;920:1 laws' (1) 927:18

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.			1	December 04, 2014
lawyerly (1)	legitimate (11)	820:21;822:3;824:25;	listen (2)	17,17;893:24;894:10,
905:2	658:15,22;708:24;	820.21,822.3,824.23, 836:10;860:17;924:12;	715:17;869:12	11;909:12
		· · · · · ·		
Lay (1)	717:5;833:8;893:5;	951:12	listened (2)	longer-acting (2)
787:12	920:8,11,12,14;946:1	lifestyle (1)	899:3;950:25	676:14,18
layman's (1)	legs (2)	684:22	listening (2)	longest (2)
670:16	812:13;856:19	life-threatening (2)	837:23;838:19	680:9;742:22
LDLs (1)	length (6)	869:17;878:6	lists (2)	long-term (6)
684:13	847:25;848:2,7,9,13;	lifetime (4)	739:10;856:22	673:15;846:10;
lead (3)	855:3	922:20,25;923:6,10	literally (1)	849:13;852:11;914:13;
695:13;767:25;	lengthy (3)	lifting (1)	953:24	943:3
833:12	646:6;698:13;738:12	870:20	literature (3)	look (36)
leaders (4)	less (14)	ligaments (2)	662:5;695:17;878:18	669:16;672:8;698:1;
650:20;662:4;	662:13,13;676:20,	865:8,14	litigious (1)	724:25;725:20,25;
665:19,20	20,21;743:6,6;759:15;	liked (2)	953:10	742:12;766:8;784:22;
leadership (1)	763:23;764:20;850:15;	943:10;953:2	little (34)	786:5,25;788:11;
649:6	930:2,4;953:11	likelihood (1)	646:12;647:20;	799:3;801:14;807:11;
leading (3)	lesser (1)	695:25	654:11;656:7;693:17;	808:22;816:23;823:15;
768:3;772:7;801:9	829:21	likely (9)	696:17;697:1;702:3;	831:25;840:12,25;
learn (10)	lest (1)	669:12;671:17;	730:8;753:15;756:9;	846:21;849:6;853:5;
668:17;716:8;	655:9	678:9;696:2;705:18;	761:22;763:9;778:5;	858:5;861:7,19;
740:20,25;776:13;	letter (6)	729:1;850:15;896:1;	808:22;819:12;830:15;	889:24;895:22;896:3;
808:6;813:19,20;	694:10,13;881:6;	898:15	833:9;837:5;854:21,	897:17,18;912:20;
826:18;856:12	923:22;924:17;925:7	likes (1)	22;860:1;886:1;888:5;	914:16;935:10;946:4
learned (3)	925:22;924:17;925:7 letters (3)	955:4	903:10;912:12;936:22;	looked (15)
			905:10;912:12;936:22; 946:6;947:10;950:10;	
729:19;744:3;833:14	744:6;863:11;924:13	limit (1)		708:4;726:6;741:14;
least (11)	letting (1)	827:18	952:1,9;953:11,15	766:15;779:2;784:8,
670:1;719:21;738:4;	699:7	limitations (3)	live (10)	10;794:12;797:17;
749:8;750:21;758:23;	level (16)	802:13,22;853:2	668:1,3;690:23;	800:16,25;818:9;
764:21;795:18;800:22;	686:10;694:5;	limited (7)	700:3;716:4;725:6,10;	820:22;928:1;944:12
843:24;933:11	765:23;783:8;824:25;	724:19;739:20;	881:21;907:21;940:18	looking (16)
leave (1)	845:20,22;860:6,7,9,	752:11,15;770:22,25;	lives (1)	658:6;660:9;685:8;
952:10	12,13;886:21,22;	838:5	917:18	726:8;748:24;759:2;
leaves (1)	898:18;954:8	limiting (1)	living (2)	763:13;765:22,25;
727:7	levels (2)	860:18	647:16;720:14	813:23;862:18;875:18;
led (6)	800:22;860:5	limits (4)	local (3)	895:24;906:7;952:7;
649:19;817:20;	liberally (2)	717:25;728:13;	667:16;674:12;	956:22
823:23;830:1;839:25;	714:2,3	731:20;752:20	688:24	looks (10)
887:8	license (7)	limped (1)	locally (2)	688:18,19;799:13;
Lee (1)	646:11;648:4;	840:3	667:18,19	856:6;862:22,24;
872:25	771:17,24;829:13;	Lincoln (1)	located (1)	870:2,5;873:6;874:16
left (19)	951:20;952:6	818:10	666:14	loose (3)
696:20;724:3;	licensed (10)	Lindy (1)	locations (1)	880:15;927:1;936:5
735:21;737:14;778:6;	648:5;652:25;	913:7	666:13	loquitur (1)
804:9;830:18;839:22;	656:25;670:3,6;	line (9)	loggerheads (1)	813:23
840:2;842:21,23;	747:22;771:20,21;	692:16;763:24;	833:13	Lortab (6)
843:15;849:10;851:3;	942:9;951:25	787:9;844:10;864:2;	logistically (1)	691:18;798:19;
870:25;914:7;946:22,	licenses (1)	896:21;903:12,15;	863:8	845:6,19,20;854:15
24;956:16	648:2	905:3	long (25)	Los (1)
leg (1)	licensing (1)	lines (1)	648:5;656:14;	853:19
840:4	756:22	901:19	667:10;672:21;688:14;	lose (2)
legal (7)	licensure (1)	link (2)	709:21;727:13,18;	769:24;873:11
663:6;682:13;771:9;	732:6	897:2;934:3	729:15;738:19;768:12;	losing (1)
777:1;813:22;933:15;	Lidocaine (2)	lipid (2)	774:24;781:20;795:1;	926:18
939:7	679:23,24	651:17;684:7	805:19,20;806:6;	loss (4)
legibility (1)	lie (1)	Lisa (2)	820:1;836:12;847:4,	681:7,8;695:5;843:2
744:15	805:11	916:24;917:10	21;878:24;922:21;	lost (3)
legible (1)	lied (1)	list (7)	937:12;953:25	662:19;853:17;
744:13	899:9	692:24;693:1;836:6;	long-acting (2)	917:21
legislation (5)	lieu (2)	841:7;842:11;932:17;	727:16;813:13	lot (75)
649:10,20,21;650:9;	672:9;879:23	956:7	longer (16)	661:8;666:2;667:8,9,
667:24	life (16)	listed (7)	671:22;672:1;	9;669:8;670:18;
legislature (1)	676:4,12;765:24,25;	694:13;710:8;742:3;	676:25;677:6,8,12;	673:14;675:23;681:21;
730:17	766:1,1;805:2;813:5,5;	842:19,20;932:18,21	696:1,6;846:6;874:9,	687:8;688:25;689:2;
	1	i de la companya de l	1	i de la companya de l

698:11;706:25;707:2,	
5;720:23;721:7;	
726:16,23,25;727:2,6, 9;730:14;742:20;	
744:5;748:16;766:4;	
801:20;802:21,23;	
806:24;809:3,5; 813:11;820:15;824:1;	
825:10;830:20;837:22;	
839:3,25;840:3,6;	
846:14;847:18;849:9,	
9;852:3;853:24; 855:10,11;856:25;	
860:4,23;861:2;	
864:14;865:10;869:8;	
871:3,16,20;875:22; 876:20;877:16;878:14;	
908:25;917:1;918:25;	
928:18;935:18;952:14;	
955:4	
Lots (7) 667:2;672:6;693:12;	
701:10;777:9;840:7;	
878:22	
loud (3) 903:17;904:13;	
925:22	
loved (1)	
860:16	
low (5) 684:11,13;686:8;	
870:18,22	
lower (4)	
744:14;843:17; 845:22;849:17	
lows (1)	
676:20	
luckily (1) 736:21	
lucky (1)	
954:1 lump (1)	
788:24	
lunch (2)	
803:24,25 lungs (1)	
869:7	
Lyrica (1)	
751:22	
\mathbf{M}	
Malam (1)	1
Ma'am (1) 842:1	
machine (2)	
845:9,12	
mail (1) 670:2	
mailbox (1)	
695:7	
main (4) 783:3;827:19;	
842:10;870:19	
	1

maintain (1) 756:17 maintained (1) 873:20 maintenance (4) 791:4,10;911:15; 915:9 majority (5) 772:16;792:16; 835:6,14:947:5 making (5) 682:6;758:21,23; 759:4;879:3 maladies (1) 875:5 malfeasance (1) 833:18 malice (1) 938:25 malicious (1) 939:6 malign (1) 889:17 maligned (2) 889:15,19 malpractice (3) 748:1,2,12 manage (6) 737:9;751:12;764:6; 873:24;888:21;944:20 managed (2) 679:7;764:6 management (62) 650:15,17,20;651:6, 9,11,13,16,17,20; 652:6;653:16,19,19; 654:2,3;661:1,1,5,16; 662:5,12;676:21; 680:23;683:9;694:21; 695:2;704:9;709:14; 720:1,7,25;722:9; 735:3:737:13,14; 738:16;746:5,9,18; 747:2,16;749:24; 751:21;752:24;754:13, 24;757:7,21;759:16; 760:2,21;761:8,25; 769:12;770:7;776:7; 781:13;809:22;858:20; 874:15;878:19 manager (8) 740:10;818:6; 822:20;823:7;893:18; 917:24;918:2;919:14 managing (4) 667:7;757:9;820:8; 945:21 mandated (2) 650:9;669:25 mandatory (5) 669:23;670:8,9; 719:6;747:19 manifested (1)

1
860:4
manufactured (1)
663:2
manufacturer (1)
659:20
manufacturer's (1) 717:24
many (39)
652:23;656:15;
662:16;673:2;696:22;
737:5;742:24;752:7;
753:13;754:2,23;
766:23;767:14;769:5;
784:8;787:1,14;
788:22;791:21;811:7; 823:13;834:19;848:5,
6;853:22;867:18;
918:17;921:8;929:15,
15;946:11,21,22,24;
948:7;949:4,7;950:3,
13
March (2)
737:4;831:6
Maria (1) 735:18
marijuana (28)
682:13,23;692:13;
693:3,7;694:6;710:9;
744:3;849:11;864:19;
921:2,3,6,9,15,20;
922:10,13,14;923:9,17; 926:10,14,22,25;927:6,
11,15
Mark (24)
646:11;735:24;
761:19;778:11;804:7;
853:8;882:11;920:24;
924:3;931:3;933:23;
942:15;943:6;944:20; 945:12,12,17;948:20,
945:12,12,17;948:20, 20;949:15,18,18;954:3,
18
marked (3)
777:22;808:24;932:3
Mark's (1)
954:2
Marshall (1) 903:22
903:22 masquerading (1)
939:7
massage (2)
729:2;861:14
massages (1)
728:21
match (1) 816:17
matched (1)
662:14
matches (1)
816:16
material (4)
655:14,16;707:24; 904:10
904.10

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

	December 04, 2014
	005 4 000 00
materials (2)	905:4;933:22
706:16;807:7	meant (5)
matter (9)	671:11;702:20;
647:8;672:20;742:2;	770:24;797:23;804:16
763:22;778:17;863:4;	meantime (1)
881:12;908:16;933:23	830:25
Maximum (2)	measures (3)
716:21;759:5	689:18;695:8;805:19
May (44)	mechanism (3)
648:16;654:17;	678:25;758:17;759:4
658:3;663:12;665:15;	mechanisms (1)
666:10;670:14;691:12;	678:21
692:23;709:7,17;	Medicaid (1)
721:24;722:18;723:6;	668:15
724:24;728:8,9;	Medical (110)
752:12,13;761:16;	651:4,22;654:24;
764:3;766:24;767:1,2;	655:18;658:15,22;
769:24;775:20;791:8;	661:10;667:1;673:25;
800:17;806:12,12;	674:16;675:16;678:8;
816:13;838:18;856:16;	682:13,23;683:9,25;
861:13,13;883:13;	692:10,13;693:3,7,22;
898:13;908:8;912:9;	694:1,6;697:16;
931:23;939:19;945:4;	706:17,22;707:15;
952:5;954:7	710:9;712:18;714:10;
maybe (29)	719:4,11;720:7;726:3,
658:9;667:25;668:3;	8,15;729:11,21;733:16,
685:23;709:25;714:1;	21,24,25;734:9,17,18;
729:7;735:9;749:9;	735:18;739:8;740:5;
794:21;801:25;813:4;	741:21;744:3,7;
815:19,21;826:21;	745:15;747:5,21;
833:10;847:24;855:7;	748:12,22;750:20;
862:24;868:10;871:15;	754:18;755:16,20;
887:12;903:6;916:25;	756:11;757:12;762:2;
934:18;936:22;942:16;	776:6;778:18;780:10;
945:4;947:23	795:13;803:17;805:14;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MD (3)	812:18;848:17;849:11;
	812:18;848:17;849:11;
646:11;734:5;954:16	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57)	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8,
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2,
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8,
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12,
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14,
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7,	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2)
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1)
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2)
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79)
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9; 919:11;946:10	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16; 679:19,20;684:19,25;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9; 919:11;946:10 meaning (5)	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16; 679:19,20;684:19,25; 687:1,5,21;688:4;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9; 919:11;946:10 meaning (5) 679:3;701:23,25;	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16; 679:19,20;684:19,25; 687:1,5,21;688:4; 690:23;693:9;694:7,7,
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9; 919:11;946:10 meaning (5)	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16; 679:19,20;684:19,25; 687:1,5,21;688:4;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9; 919:11;946:10 meaning (5) 679:3;701:23,25; 790:25;791:1	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16; 679:19,20;684:19,25; 687:1,5,21;688:4; 690:23;693:9;694:7,7, 8,18;696:1;697:1;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9; 919:11;946:10 meaning (5) 679:3;701:23,25; 790:25;791:1 means (7)	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16; 679:19,20;684:19,25; 687:1,5,21;688:4; 690:23;693:9;694:7,7, 8,18;696:1;697:1; 699:15,17;700:18;
646:11;734:5;954:16 mean (57) 658:17;659:18; 663:7;664:23,23; 672:5;675:19;677:21; 680:6;681:4;688:3; 691:23;695:6,7,19; 697:9;700:15,17; 702:23;709:19;715:7, 24,24;723:4;727:4; 729:16;732:21;743:2; 744:2;747:2;754:11; 763:8;767:12;770:23; 776:6;777:13;778:25; 784:24;787:14;793:11; 794:19,25;797:22; 803:18,19;820:18; 843:7;848:4;859:2; 868:2;869:1;888:10; 900:15;903:24;907:9; 919:11;946:10 meaning (5) 679:3;701:23,25; 790:25;791:1	812:18;848:17;849:11; 864:19;878:17;891:24; 894:15;895:19;899:8; 901:14;902:1;906:23; 907:8;908:21;910:8, 13;920:8,12,14;921:2, 3,6,8,14,20;922:10,12, 14;923:9,16;926:10,14, 22,25;927:14;939:7; 948:12,16,22;951:25; 952:22 medically (2) 676:16;794:16 Medicare (1) 905:19 medicated (2) 693:13;715:5 medication (79) 651:9,11;658:8,12; 665:5;671:20,21; 673:8;675:7;678:16; 679:19,20;684:19,25; 687:1,5,21;688:4; 690:23;693:9;694:7,7, 8,18;696:1;697:1;

			1	December 04, 2014
11 10 01 766 10	712 02 724 14	602.24		(10.2.0.10.22.(10.2
11,19,21;766:12;	713:22;724:14;	692:24	minutes (5)	648:3,9,18,23;649:3,
775:14;776:9,15;	798:17;850:23,23;	midlevel (4)	742:21;848:5,6;	18;652:21,25;655:20;
779:12;782:25;783:18;	864:11;871:3;875:3;	690:17;697:7;741:5;	880:9;941:20	663:20;665:25;670:3,
785:23;802:10,19;	890:21	845:14	miracles (1)	6;682:13,19;716:3;
805:3;815:4;820:19;	meet (4)	Midlevels (2)	815:1	725:3;771:21;783:17;
824:3,24;834:14;	748:6,7;782:8;	743:16;932:22	Mirena (1)	793:5;818:14;855:20;
836:17;841:6;846:21;	817:20	mid-November (1)	846:1	864:7;890:3;891:12,
855:9;857:17;858:25;	meeting (12)	794:2	mischaracterization (1)	19;894:10;899:8;
859:3;862:1,4,15;	818:2;826:5,7,9,14,	midway (1)	920:19	905:20;907:23;916:1;
867:16,25;870:20;	15;828:16;883:17;	798:4	misery (1)	921:7,16,18,24;922:10;
871:8,21,25;875:1;	918:23;919:12;920:23;	might (42)	663:5	932:7;936:10;942:9
877:22;878:9;888:1;	948:2	670:23;671:24;	mislabeled (1)	Montana's (1)
891:22;916:7;934:23;	meetings (5)	672:10;680:9;699:23;	932:24	921:2
945:10;947:18	704:4;806:4,9;	713:21;716:20;728:18;	misled (1)	month (10)
medications (118)	947:17,23	729:3;748:10;749:17;	699:6	824:16;831:6;
	member (3)			
651:7,7;652:5;		751:25;757:17;758:16;	misplaced (1) 956:8	849:19;854:16;868:6,
654:14;657:18;658:10;	648:7,9,10	761:19;764:24;777:23;		8,13;872:10;875:3;
660:2;663:9;666:8,9,	members (2)	781:20;794:4;800:3;	misrepresent (1)	914:6
11;667:8;668:25;	911:9;935:3	819:8,23,24;823:16;	933:10	monthly (2)
669:2;670:25;672:11;	memo (1)	828:22;837:3;838:6,	Missoula (3)	806:4;834:21
673:12;676:15;678:20;	719:17	11;849:1;852:14,18;	872:24;873:1;917:17	months (19)
680:5,10,19,21;684:21;	Menninger (2)	859:9;878:3;895:12;	Missouri (2)	671:24,25;688:18;
687:24;689:1,3,9,19;	938:1,6	896:1;898:10;910:6;	817:6;884:4	705:8;791:21;797:11;
693:12;694:4,12;	Mental (5)	916:9;924:25;935:10;	mistake (1)	798:10;800:1;831:17;
695:4;697:2;710:23;	664:8;807:3;890:3;	940:20;952:3	901:9	834:11;867:8,13;
712:14,24;713:6,24;	891:12;916:1	migraines (3)	mistreated (1)	893:8;899:4,4;901:3,7;
715:12,13,15;717:17;	mentality (1)	687:6,9;689:2	948:12	939:10,16
718:14;722:14,16;	729:24	Mike (5)	misuse (1)	month's (1)
726:9,17;728:7,12,15,	mention (2)	745:12;762:17;	672:3	868:7
20,25;739:12;749:25;	696:16;703:21	796:19;869:24;957:4	misusing (1)	mood (1)
750:5,17;751:23;	mentioned (9)	milligram (19)	669:21	844:10
753:11,21;754:19;	658:1;662:1;679:9,	658:4;665:8;675:16;	Mitchell (5)	Moore (1)
755:10;758:12,13;	22;680:12;689:6;	676:25;677:2,4,4,5,19;	787:5,5,5,7,11	845:14
763:16,20;764:8;	699:22;707:24;782:13	727:9,10,21;815:25;	mitigate (1)	moral (1)
767:23;771:4,22;	mentioning (1)	820:20;826:18;827:1,	694:16	821:16
779:11;783:2,20,22,25;	786:7	10;828:8;886:15	mix (1)	more (90)
789:24;800:16;802:7,	mentions (1)	milligrams (11)	813:12	650:25;652:20;
14;812:11;814:4;	768:12	677:1;687:20;	mixed (1)	661:12;667:10;669:12;
	(1)	777.20.015.20 21 22.	770:6	
819:22;820:4,12,14;	mess (1)	727:20;815:20,21,23;	770.0	670:16;676:5,9,10,20;
819:22;820:4,12,14; 822:13;833:22;836:18,	mess (1) 771:12	816:2,4;824:10;	MMJ (1)	677:8,12,16;681:16;
822:13;833:22;836:18,	771:12	816:2,4;824:10;	MMJ (1)	677:8,12,16;681:16;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7;	771:12 met (12)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25	MMJ (1) 744:2	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21;	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7,	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24;	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9;	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13;	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1,	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10;	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7;	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1;	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19;	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3;	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17,
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1)	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17,
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23)	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5; 757:11;759:12,12;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1) 936:9	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17 Minneapolis (1)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3) 843:18;955:24,25	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3; 895:13,16,18,18,21;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5; 757:11;759:12,12; 771:15,21;795:16;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1) 936:9 microdiscectomy (1)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17 Minneapolis (1) 734:5	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3) 843:18;955:24,25 money (3) 860:18;861:6;938:24 monitor (3)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3; 895:13,16,18,18,21; 896:2,4;899:7;902:19,
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5; 757:11;759:12,12; 771:15,21;795:16; 818:1,24;824:21;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1) 936:9 microdiscectomy (1) 871:13	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17 Minneapolis (1) 734:5 Minnesota (4)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3) 843:18;955:24,25 money (3) 860:18;861:6;938:24	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3; 895:13,16,18,18,21; 896:2,4;899:7;902:19, 20;910:13;916:3;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5; 757:11;759:12,12; 771:15,21;795:16; 818:1,24;824:21; 859:15;877:23;902:13,	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1) 936:9 microdiscectomy (1) 871:13 mid (2)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17 Minneapolis (1) 734:5 Minnesota (4) 734:5,7,8,12	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3) 843:18;955:24,25 money (3) 860:18;861:6;938:24 monitor (3)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3; 895:13,16,18,18,21; 896:2,4;899:7;902:19, 20;910:13;916:3; 926:1,4,12;928:14;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5; 757:11;759:12,12; 771:15,21;795:16; 818:1,24;824:21; 859:15;877:23;902:13, 24;923:23;924:10;	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1) 936:9 microdiscectomy (1) 871:13 mid (2) 754:10;950:5	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17 Minneapolis (1) 734:5 Minnesota (4) 734:5,7,8,12 minus (1)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3) 843:18;955:24,25 money (3) 860:18;861:6;938:24 monitor (3) 926:24;927:10,18	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3; 895:13,16,18,18,21; 896:2,4;899:7;902:19, 20;910:13;916:3; 926:1,4,12;928:14; 929:5;933:5,8;935:12;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5; 757:11;759:12,12; 771:15,21;795:16; 818:1,24;824:21; 859:15;877:23;902:13, 24;923:23;924:10; 925:9;949:3	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1) 936:9 microdiscectomy (1) 871:13 mid (2) 754:10;950:5 middle (5)	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17 Minneapolis (1) 734:5 Minnesota (4) 734:5,7,8,12 minus (1) 793:11	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3) 843:18;955:24,25 money (3) 860:18;861:6;938:24 monitor (3) 926:24;927:10,18 monitoring (2)	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3; 895:13,16,18,18,21; 896:2,4;899:7;902:19, 20;910:13;916:3; 926:1,4,12;928:14; 929:5;933:5,8;935:12; 937:1;944:7;948:8;
822:13;833:22;836:18, 24;838:24;840:7; 842:19;845:25;847:1; 850:2;852:2;855:5,7, 11;858:3;859:7; 863:22,24;864:5; 865:17;866:10,13; 875:8,23,24;877:15; 878:2,5,8;879:7; 891:13;928:21;946:19 medication's (1) 802:21 medicine (23) 673:19,21;735:6; 740:23;747:17;752:5; 757:11;759:12,12; 771:15,21;795:16; 818:1,24;824:21; 859:15;877:23;902:13, 24;923:23;924:10; 925:9;949:3 medicines (3)	771:12 met (12) 745:9;748:20,21; 750:16;771:25;799:24; 818:4;826:8;893:13; 899:2;906:4;953:5 Methadone (10) 663:22;791:5,7; 815:15,17,18;823:1; 824:15,20,21 Methicillin-resistent (1) 839:23 methods (2) 679:8;701:15 Michael (1) 936:9 microdiscectomy (1) 871:13 mid (2) 754:10;950:5 middle (5) 667:16;790:8;	816:2,4;824:10; 827:23,25 million (1) 833:17 mind (6) 795:19;860:9,10; 865:24;897:17;925:1 Mine (6) 652:19;803:19; 860:21;905:17;906:3; 954:7 minimize (1) 679:1 minimums (1) 672:17 Minneapolis (1) 734:5 Minnesota (4) 734:5,7,8,12 minus (1) 793:11 minute (4)	MMJ (1) 744:2 modalities (9) 740:22;741:1;758:9; 767:4;782:13;859:1, 14,17;860:20 model (1) 844:24 moderately (1) 754:1 modification (1) 684:22 moment (1) 745:9 Monday (3) 843:18;955:24,25 money (3) 860:18;861:6;938:24 monitor (3) 926:24;927:10,18 monitoring (2) 749:2;782:11	677:8,12,16;681:16; 696:2;706:22,22; 713:25;715:9;716:16; 724:20;729:1;735:9; 738:18;743:8,13,13; 746:17;749:16;752:15; 753:15;757:16;772:12; 783:1;790:1;793:20; 796:7;801:22;808:22; 809:11;815:12;826:17, 25;827:2;828:18; 829:10;838:12;846:17, 17;850:14;851:24; 858:18;866:21;867:23; 870:9,14;875:3; 895:13,16,18,18,21; 896:2,4;899:7;902:19, 20;910:13;916:3; 926:1,4,12;928:14; 929:5;933:5,8;935:12; 937:1;944:7;948:8; 949:6,18;951:1;

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	1	1	1	December 04, 2014
morning (3)	681:15;701:25;708:7;	name (18)	711:17;741:4;747:19;	Neurontin (1)
646:4;647:13;876:25	728:13;737:13;738:17;	647:13;668:10;	769:10;777:15;792:15;	679:18
Morphine (3)	739:13;741:19;757:24;	679:18;685:9;727:12,	821:7;848:6;852:25;	neuropathic (1)
670:21;856:21;	763:9;764:20,20;	14,17,17;733:12;	862:17;876:3;931:18	679:21
867:23	802:9;803:21;824:7;	777:11;820:4;903:11;	necessary (4)	neuropathies (1)
Morris (1)	825:9;828:8;831:9,22,	924:14;931:9,11;	687:1;754:21;	736:9
873:1	23;834:13;850:23;	932:5,6;942:3	771:23;780:11	neuropathy (1)
most (24)	853:4;866:8;870:9;	names (1)	necessitate (1)	870:22
653:12;663:20,24,	873:21;877:21,23;	786:7	715:16	neuroplasticity (1)
24;674:19;678:18;	887:21;900:13;905:10;	naproxen (1)	neck (9)	836:3
680:8;696:22;738:2;	917:16;926:2;927:6,	679:13	813:11;814:6;836:7;	neurosurgery (1)
742:17;744:13;763:13;	12;953:1;957:14	narcissistic (1)	838:17,17;862:8,9;	746:15
771:11,11;776:10;	Mulgrew (5)	938:9	864:14,15	neurosurgical (1)
800:24;815:20;823:17;	735:25;736:1;	narcissists (1)	neck-generated (1)	856:9
824:21;836:22;941:20;	787:11,11,11	938:11	864:13	nevertheless (1) 932:25
945:20;953:13,18 mostly (3)	multidisciplinary (4) 651:3;819:1;859:20,	narcotic (25) 670:14,16,19,23;	need (33) 667:9;674:1;676:3;	932:25 new (8)
783:6;863:13;904:15	23	675:6;695:14;749:5,	685:18;705:3;707:1;	706:21;728:10;
most-used (1)	multidiscipline (3)	15;753:7;755:10;	715:16;757:19;761:17;	744:3;785:23,24;
841:13	741:9;746:14;754:12	764:8,10,16;766:11;	764:20;769:7;796:16;	827:4;849:7,7
motor (2)	multifactorial (1)	767:23;783:24;790:23;	798:9;804:12;805:10;	newer (2)
665:12;842:17	870:21	791:5;800:16;822:1;	809:22;822:21;829:8;	740:4;751:20
motto (1)	multimodal (1)	857:17;858:2;862:1;	839:8;840:8;859:3;	NEWS (8)
805:6	678:18	871:7;890:8	877:23;878:3;896:25;	697:21,25;698:5;
Mountain (1)	multimodality (1)	narcotics (26)	903:23;909:15;910:23;	814:10;833:20;923:24;
648:25	759:2	649:7;752:6,7;	922:14,21;923:8,20;	924:11;925:9
mouth (3)	multiple (17)	758:10,17,18,18;	925:6;945:1	newspaper (5)
690:24;691:8;764:23	668:24;687:12;	763:16;766:2;768:15;	needed (11)	714:25;810:24;
move (17)	694:3,4;699:10,11;	770:21;775:1,4;777:2,	687:20;689:1;	882:23;883:1,4
653:17,25;660:11;	736:7;782:15;791:21;	15;786:22;792:18;	739:10;740:16;773:24;	next (19)
685:12;735:10;757:19;	820:2;849:7;852:6;	793:16;794:3,6;	813:19;825:6;863:21;	684:17;732:17;
762:24;791:6,7;	855:3;856:8;928:1,5,	799:11;817:9;828:14;	870:2;919:24;955:19	780:3;788:22;815:14;
794:21;814:25;873:13;	12	876:10;915:9;946:15	needs (11)	824:17;829:5;853:5;
907:4;925:11;926:19;	multitude (1)	narrative (1) 858:14	657:9;682:7;688:6,	855:25;859:24;860:9;
933:24;956:6 moved (15)	707:10 murmur (1)	narratives (1)	22;696:13;753:2; 765:3;781:21;823:5;	861:19;869:19;871:5; 872:9;927:3;932:16;
706:17;735:4,18;	838:20	879:11	847:24;891:24	955:17;956:1
812:4;814:9,17;	muscles (1)	nasty (5)	negative (1)	nice (2)
823:17;873:2;917:17;	734:21	905:4,7,9,9,12	843:11	741:7;789:22
950:6,6;956:5,11,13,14		national (2)	negatively (1)	night (1)
moving (3)	736:8	652:15;664:5	878:15	697:25
662:3;814:6;913:15	Musculoskeletal (1)	Nationally (1)	negotiation (1)	nine (36)
MPAP (8)	843:4	665:25	937:16	655:18,20;656:2;
909:9;937:2,10;	mushing (3)	nationwide (1)	nemesis (1)	680:4;681:11;694:20;
939:23;940:2,12,15,19	818:8,9,11	667:18	905:2	700:6;704:21;706:7;
MPDR (29)	must (15)	natural (4)	nerve (1)	712:13;713:17;715:21;
650:5;667:20;700:3,	656:14;670:1,3;	740:23;818:24;	679:21	739:19;741:14;748:21;
8,10;701:1;706:4;	673:7,9,9;701:11;	859:15,24	nerves (1)	756:2;766:14;767:22;
707:25;708:3;730:8;	707:21;746:8;747:22;	nature (4)	734:21	772:1,4,11;773:5;
731:8;740:6;763:11;	769:4;784:11;819:21;	736:3;753:12;	nervous (4)	797:11;804:24;820:14;
784:7,9,11,24;785:12,	925:20,23	907:17;942:20	836:2;951:9,10,19	822:10;830:7;835:2;
18;793:21;799:3;	mutual (2)	naturopathic (1)	neurologic (5)	836:19;837:13;839:10;
807:17,23;914:1;	699:6;955:5	767:11	734:20;737:13;	914:24;922:3;930:3;
928:1,10,11,25;929:5	myself (9)	naturopaths (2)	738:16,20;843:2	935:24,25
MPDRs (1) 671:5	738:25;739:11;	741:6,16	neurologist (10)	nobody (1) 877:25
MRI (1)	758:23;805:25;818:5; 860:3;919:23;949:7;	near (1) 796:7	689:4,4;735:2,22; 736:14;737:11;738:14;	877:25 Nods (3)
921:24	950:11	nearly (1)	747:16;755:17;774:25	870:15;938:17;
921.24 MRSA (1)	mysterious (1)	742:13	neurology (16)	939:14
842:17	877:8	neat (1)	734:12,16,17,23,25;	nodular (1)
much (43)	077.0	785:24	735:7,13;736:2,6,11;	844:14
651:23,25;653:14;	Ν	necessarily (14)	737:3;745:13,17;	noncancer (1)
656:5;661:11;676:5;		686:4;709:19;	746:17;747:5;759:13	714:8
,,,,		,		

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(21) morning - noncancer

·				,
None (6)	nowadays (1)	objection (45)	occurring (2)	776:10;819:21
694:3;724:23;	653:5	653:21;660:5;	660:17;667:11	oftentimes (2)
812:20;872:9;873:3;	NSAIDs (2)	673:20;674:7;692:8,	occurs (1)	755:9;775:21
941:3	679:10;767:6	18;756:3,17;768:3;	671:15	Ohio (1)
nonopioid (1)	Number (72)	772:7;778:15;779:5,	October (10)	714:25
671:21	646:9;661:23;	14;780:25;801:9;	667:25;716:4;	old (5)
nonpharmacologic (2)	669:19;677:1;684:5;	804:11;810:2;858:8,	719:20;723:21;725:5,	729:24;837:6,8;
679:4;695:4	685:7,15,17;686:7;	12;879:8;880:23;	7,7;799:8;808:5;956:7	844:23;946:4
nonpharmocological (1)	690:9;691:14,16;	881:1;885:16;888:7,	off (41)	older (1)
680:12	692:3;697:14,17;	14;889:9;896:7;	647:6;666:23;	726:10
nonprescribed (1)	698:9;708:22,24;	897:13,24;898:14,21;	680:10;691:22;696:10;	once (22)
783:2	709:25;710:1,7;711:5;	900:2;901:16;905:13;	702:23;767:19;782:17;	688:14;700:3;725:6;
nonresponsive (1)	712:3;718:3;720:16,	906:5,15;917:15;	793:16;794:3,5;798:7;	731:19;737:10;758:6;
858:8	17;721:19;739:20;	920:18,25;934:3;	804:9;805:4;830:14;	805:9,11;823:4;
nonsteroidal (1)	743:11;749:1;786:8;	936:14;937:4,4,5,8	848:1;852:18;855:4,8;	824:13;862:12;865:12;
679:11	787:9;788:15;790:3;	objective (11)	856:5;857:17;858:2;	867:2;895:13,16;
Nope (1)	793:17;798:3;799:3;	676:7;695:8;763:18,	859:6;861:25;863:21,	896:1,2;912:25;
923:25	810:9;811:1;815:10;	21;844:4,6,15,23;	24;864:10;865:17;	926:11,13;948:7;951:1
nor (2)	834:10;839:17;841:1;	921:19,25;922:6	866:12;867:2,11;	oncologist (1)
719:6;801:1	848:23;853:6,6,21;	objects (1)	873:22;875:2,24;	855:22
Norco (1)	854:2;855:25;861:20;	870:21	879:6;899:3;912:17,	oncology (1)
691:18	865:19;869:21,24;	obligated (3)	21,25;941:22;945:14	652:18
normal (1)	871:6;872:15;874:10;	659:2;717:6;821:2	offer (15)	one (134)
844:8	875:4;879:6;893:8,11,	obligation (3)	655:11;752:10,14,	646:13;654:17;
North (4)	16;904:11;910:20;	658:13;821:16;	16;756:18;803:19;	655:22;659:23;667:20;
733:14;735:1,9;	912:3,7,12;913:17;	926:24	810:1;880:15;881:2,	668:24;672:14;677:5,
747:5	915:15;927:23;931:4;	obligations (1)	16,25;911:15;921:20;	11;680:18,20;684:5;
Northeast (1)	932:25;934:12	714:16	926:25;942:22	685:2,13,16;687:9;
735:5	numb-er (1)	oblique (1)	offered (20)	688:5;689:11;690:11;
notation (1)	679:25	884:17	665:6;682:23;701:7;	692:22,23,23;698:1;
710:10	numbers (6)	observations (1)	731:16;768:15;792:21,	699:13;703:21;704:18,
notations (1)	763:10;769:10;	952:20	22;803:12,16,19;	19;707:24;709:17;
685:3	820:21;839:8;840:11;	observing (1)	880:24;881:11,15;	710:19,19;722:16;
note (21)	910:23	763:2	890:8,11;891:16;	724:14;727:10,11,11;
646:14;692:2;	numerous (7)	obtain (5)	900:21;908:13;940:19,	730:17;735:23;736:16;
694:17;698:23;705:10;	828:20;839:22;	663:13;664:10;	23	742:2;744:4;746:23;
706:10;715:2;723:21,	862:9;902:12,14;	669:21;817:9;934:23	offering (4)	751:20;756:11;757:2,
25;788:15;795:3;	903:2,3	obtained (3)	708:11;744:21;	16;758:10,25;761:21;
796:8,23;797:5;798:4,	nurse (6)	650:20;740:6;867:18	790:4;921:6	762:3;783:10;785:12;
5;844:23;863:16;	725:15;743:14;	obtaining (7)	Office (32)	786:14,20,21;788:3,22;
872:25;911:6;913:6	843:18;911:6,8;913:6	650:2;663:9;913:11;	649:24;666:1;	790:1;794:21;796:4;
noted (10)	nurses (2)	927:21;928:21;930:25;	681:18;706:17;735:16;	799:8;804:13,25;
684:21;686:5;	703:15;877:21	932:15	740:9,10;743:18;	805:14,18;806:21;
691:24;706:15,16;	nursing (2)	Obviously (5)	784:15;801:5;804:21;	812:10;816:3;818:5;
710:12;789:10;854:22;	681:3;806:12	681:24;796:2;905:9;	812:7,8;817:21,24;	821:21;823:16;827:17;
886:16;934:17	nutrition (2)	930:14,17	818:6;821:7;822:20;	829:4;830:7;832:13;
notes (23)	652:17,18	occasion (8)	823:7;824:8;826:25;	842:1,11;843:5;849:7,
668:14;682:10;	nutritional (1)	675:4;740:12;	889:7;893:17,18;	7;851:25;855:1;872:4;
683:12;684:4;685:17;	861:2	808:13;845:7;895:18,	913:7;917:24;918:2,4;	878:20;880:15;881:9;
686:19;692:21;697:2,		22;948:4;952:15	919:14;934:24;947:17;	884:24;889:6;890:20;
16;698:17,21;704:24;	Ο	occasional (1)	957:6	892:3,14;895:18,18,21,
705:2;738:21;746:11;		862:8	Officer (4)	25;896:4;903:4;
789:21;797:17;876:20;	oath (1)	occasionally (3)	730:6;751:10;904:6;	904:12,17,19,22;911:8;
947:21;953:9,22,25,25	899:15	813:4;875:7;947:25	908:6	922:11,15,17,19,24;
Notice (4)	object (10)	occasions (7)	offices (3)	923:6,7,9,13;924:7;
762:1;928:20;934:2;	744:17,20;747:13;	743:23;768:21;	648:13;666:15;	927:5;930:23;931:18;
957:5	811:12;881:18;896:21;	775:12;893:11;902:12;	735:14	932:25;933:5,8;
noticed (2)	897:4;906:22;956:17;	944:24,25	officially (1)	934:25;935:12,14;
706:12;935:2	957:9	occur (1)	944:5	936:20;938:13;939:8,
notify (1)	objected (1)	843:15	offsite (1)	9,11;940:9,22;945:3;
775:17	881:9	occurred (5)	826:8	947:21;950:17;956:23;
November (2)	objecting (1)	692:12;694:2;	often (5)	957:1,4
797:3,5	761:12	698:10;705:6;912:21	658:8;754:21;758:5;	one-on-one (1)
		5, 6, 10, , 50, 6, , 12, 21		

722:12 ones (12) 671:8;722:24;723:1; 754:14:782:17:792:21: 793:19,22,24;821:18; 860:16:879:5 one-stop (1) 860:2 one-year (1) 734:8 ongoing (3) 846:19;848:18;873:4 ongoingly (1) 860:14 online (7) 701:2;725:13;737:7; 773:21;807:18,21; 808:4 only (52) 666:16,16;673:7; 690:17;718:5;722:13, 15:725:14:730:5: 731:7,10,23;737:11; 746:10,25;759:3; 763:10;765:10;777:11; 779:14;783:10;785:15; 786:16,21;796:7; 813:3;824:13;826:1; 828:17;829:12;839:14; 842:11:854:5:866:16: 870:7;875:10;876:25; 899:13:900:11:901:21: 911:14;912:25;915:7; 916:24;923:19;926:10; 929:5,8;930:3;931:19; 945:18;952:12 onset (1) 842:13 on-the-job (1) 649:4 onus (1) 770:4 onward (1) 848:24 Oops (1) 648:6 open (2) 818:25;902:14 opened (2) 784:17;898:5 open-ended (1) 858:13 opening (2) 851:9;889:21 operate (1) 665:12 operation (1) 853:16 operations (1) 720:12 ophthalmologist (1) 905:18 opiate (5)

753:22;791:1,2; 814:7:847:24 opiates (5) 813:13;848:1; 873:23,23;936:6 opine (2) 752:22:753:8 opinion (21) 687:10;689:1; 750:15;753:4;761:6; 763:3,6;768:5;771:14, 19:773:19:778:12; 780:10;785:6;793:7; 799:17,24;800:4; 905:25;938:24;947:25 opinions (5) 655:11;739:6;756:1; 803:16,19 opioid (23) 665:5;670:13,14,21; 671:1,21;672:7; 678:21.22:694:9: 695:1,17;699:19; 709:13:723:17:727:25: 758:19;780:4,8;859:3; 888:1;926:21;945:25 opioids (37) 661:24;662:6,16,23; 663:4,11,25;664:24; 667:5,6;670:18,19; 671:7:672:9,9,10,21, 25:673:16:676:18: 680:10:689:3:693:15. 20:696:6.23:699:2.18: 717:16;723:13;729:12; 763:4;765:20;783:11; 833:19;847:21;873:4 opium (1) 670:20 opportunity (3) 742:11;814:5;956:13 opposed (1) 734:18 opposite (2) 699:3;865:9 optimal (1) 715:20 optimum (1) 783:15 option (3) 748:4;823:10:875:19 options (10) 659:6;769:21; 770:22,25;780:18; 782:12,12;809:4; 831:10;846:20 oral (7) 673:17;718:13,16, 16;736:17;851:20; 928:15 order (16) 656:25;657:6; 736:23;737:2;765:4;

785:5;813:20;837:1; 851:8:862:18.22: 864:10;874:9;903:6; 938:5:949:16 ordered (6) 684:7;685:4,19; 717:1,11;909:13 orders (1) 687:5 ordinary (4) 779:2,6;783:16; 920:8 Oregon (1) 734:10 organization (1) 877:11 oriented (1) 844:9 original (5) 655:16;656:2;700:6; 901:2;935:24 orthopedic (1) 856:9 orthopedics (1) 746:15 orthopedists (1) 767:1 **Osco** (4) 823:21;826:8; 829:19,22 osteoporosis (3) 651:18:685:23: 686:12 others (2) 656:6;807:25 otherwise (4) 769:7,15:834:17; 899:9 Otteson (8) 829:25;887:8,19; 892:2.6.16:893:3: 918:7 ought (2) 908:10;936:15 **Out (68)** 652:23;653:9;666:8; 670:2;673:3;677:13; 686:17;699:15;703:7; 706:4,20;710:19; 719:1;735:10;736:2; 737:22;738:24;739:9, 10:743:24:744:3: 752:21;775:16;776:8, 14;777:14;779:12; 787:3;805:21;809:10, 16,23;810:10;811:9; 812:10;814:2;819:25; 821:12;823:3,5; 830:20;832:16;833:23; 836:11;838:1;842:16; 845:13;848:17;853:16; 862:22;871:17;872:18; 873:24;875:13;903:17;

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

overriding (1) 904:13:907:1:913:25: 915:3:918:23:919:13. 776:12 overrule (3) 16:925:22:940:8; 944:7:945:11:956:2.3 658:23:659:5:692:18 **Overruled** (6) outcome (6) 695:25;696:3; 673:24;762:23; 705:19;933:9,19,21 779:17;888:9;897:14; 900:5 outcomes (1) overruling (1) 667:20 outlined (2) 701:14 704:13;752:17 oversedation (1) out-of-state (1) 688:11 670:5 overuse (2) out-of-town (1) 753:7;934:14 918:18 overwhelmed (2) outpatient (2) 821:13;878:1 791:22;911:21 overwhelmingly (2) outside (3) 664:12,13 699:23;727:4;902:2 own (14) outweigh (1) 658:25;669:16; 742:18;756:15;763:24; 697:18 over (35) 806:11:836:6.10: 679:14,16;691:2; 865:6,20;907:2;916:6; 698:13;714:6;726:25; 927:2.5 732:22;738:23;739:14; owned (1) 742:5;747:7;778:13; 943:7 791:21;804:18;806:15, oxycodone (37) 663:22;665:8; 16;809:9;811:24; 812:7;819:2;823:25; 670:22;675:16,19; 830:2;831:14:839:20; 676:25;677:3,19,25; 843:20;844:12;845:15; 678:1;702:4,5;727:9, 871:19:880:23:899:3: 12.15.16.19:728:1: 901:12;936:22;950:4, 815:10,16,17,20,21,25; 6.13 816:2;820:20;823:2; overall (2) 824:14,20,23;826:18; 750:20;765:23 827:1;828:4,8,12; overcome (1) 858:1;886:15 Oxycodones (2) 730:21 overdose (1) 824:10:828:1 864:7 **Oxycontin** (4) overdosed (1) 727:17.21:728:9: 767:22 824:19 overdoses (1) Oxygen (1) 844:7 662:19 overflow (1) Р 951:11 overlap (4) 751:24;752:3; pack (1) 758:16;910:16 794:20 overlapping (1) packet (1) 758:11 880:19 packs (1) overlaps (1) 756:13 767:5 overlook (1) pads (1) 669:20 652:11 overmedicated (1) page (60) 763:4 723:20;724:6; overprescribed (1) 786:17;787:13;788:6, 876:10 7,9,20,21,22,22;789:3; overprescribing (1) 790:2,8,9;796:7,14; 761:7 797:2.19:798:13: overprescription (2) 799:13:840:21,25; 760:23;771:10 841:1,5;842:9;844:2,

Mark Ibsen, M.D.		1		December 04, 2014
19;848:23;849:9;	812:11,12;813:12;	842:11	notch (1)	16.974.10 25.976.16
851:2;855:19;856:5,			patch (1) 679:25	16;874:10,25;876:16,
	820:12;824:21;827:20;	parking (3)		16,17,18,18;877:1,2,5;
16;895:8;898:3,11,12,	830:21;831:2,10;	726:23,25;727:6	patella (2)	878:19,24;879:6,25;
24;900:9,16;901:19;	834:19;835:2,5,8,9,13,	Parkinson's (1)	840:2;851:3	884:14,25;887:20,25;
903:10,10;904:9;	18,20,24,24,25;836:1,	736:7	pathophysiology (1)	890:6,13;891:20,22,24;
912:3,4,13,20;913:3,	4,5,7,7,8,8,11,16,17,18,	part (60)	652:5	892:1,10,13;893:6;
17;914:2,16;924:7;	24;838:2,17;842:15;	651:5,10;656:20;	pathway (3)	910:9,20;911:3;912:6,
932:5,16;933:6,8;	843:5,17;845:4,12;	666:18;673:14;681:1,	836:1,2,9	12,24;913:17,18;914:2,
954:1,1	846:6,11,19,21,25;	2;682:4,20;683:1;	patient (273)	22;916:7;921:4;
pages (8)	847:7,10,11,18,19,23;	686:18;695:1;696:12;	653:15,15;656:2;	922:14;923:8;925:20,
739:21,24;784:25;	848:19,21;849:2,10,13,	702:25;703:22;707:8;	657:18,24;659:21;	23;926:13;927:14,18;
787:1;809:13;848:14;	17,21,21,23;850:14,22;	709:9,22;723:10;	660:1,3;661:17;	930:10,11,13;931:4,10,
901:14;953:25	851:12,18;852:2,11;	726:10,12;727:1;	668:21;669:18;672:23,	18;932:6,10,10;
paid (8)	853:18,24;855:4,8,10,	729:6;735:2;738:4;	24;673:1,4,7;674:3;	934:13;938:12;945:24;
668:14;669:2;729:1,	11;856:19;857:3,8,14,	739:22;746:10,15,18;	675:21;681:15,25;	946:14,15
1;871:18;944:4,6,8	17;858:3,20,25;859:2,	750:8;752:24;756:16;	682:3,4,7;684:5;685:2,	patients (236)
pain (423)	3,4,6,11,23;860:3,4,12,	757:20;773:15;779:14,	6,15,16,16;686:6,7,10,	650:16;651:5;653:9,
650:15,15,17,20,20,	14;862:1,4,11,13,15;	23;781:4;782:11,21;	12;687:6,8;688:12,20,	10;655:18,21,21;
25;651:1,4,6,20;	863:21,24;864:4,11,14;	784:3;785:4;800:24;	25;689:6,21;690:9;	656:5;661:15;667:6,7;
653:19;654:3;658:10;	865:6,11,12,17;866:10,	827:25;836:22;841:8,	691:14,16;692:2,20,22;	670:3;674:17,20;
661:1,1,3,5,6,7,8,9,11,	12,17,19;867:3,16,21,	23;844:5;845:1,2,3;	693:12,17,18;694:2,3,	680:4,9;681:11;
12,12,13,16,16,17,19,	24,25;868:1,4,11,14,	851:20;854:13;861:12;	14;695:8;696:11;	682:23;691:10;694:23;
19,21;662:4,7,12,16;	15;869:3,4,4,8,10;	876:3,4;887:14;	699:6,9,14,14,19,20;	696:23;698:9,18;
667:5,7;670:21;671:2,	870:3,16,17,18,22,22;	917:19;925:19;939:23;	700:24;703:18,19,22;	699:6;701:22;703:3;
6,12,12,14,16,20,21,22,	871:1,7,21,23,25;	943:6	705:9,16;707:9;708:5;	705:15;706:8,15;
24,25;672:1,3,18;	872:16,20;873:4;	participant (1)	709:25,25;710:4,7,10,	708:2;712:13,16,19;
673:2,3,6,6,8,14,17;	874:7,12,15,15,25;	704:5	14;711:5,10,23;712:3,	713:17;714:7,8,12,18,
674:5,14,17,20,22;	875:3,8,12,12,17,18,20,	participate (4)	9;715:17;718:3,4,12,	22;715:4,17,22;716:1;
675:1,7,22,24,25;	23;876:2;877:10,12,12,	702:24;703:24;	19,22;720:16;721:6;	721:25;722:11;726:17,
676:8,15,17,21;678:10,	19,20,21,23;878:2,4,	755:12;900:25	722:14,15,17;723:5,21;	18;729:4;731:23,24;
14,16,19,22,25;679:4,	12,15,19,25;879:3,10,	particular (13)	724:6;725:19;728:3;	737:9;738:1,5,10,12,
7,21,21;680:2,23;	24;883:8;886:21;	650:14;654:12;	729:20;732:1;738:23;	18;739:18;740:3,16;
681:9;686:19;693:2,5,	891:21,22;910:9,14,19;	675:5;690:16;761:17;	742:19;751:15,24;	741:3,9,14,15;748:18,
11,13;694:21;695:2,9,	915:2,4,14;921:19;	789:18;805:9;818:19;	754:25;757:4,4;	22;749:2,24;750:5,16,
17,24;696:1,23,24;	929:11,11,12;934:23;	820:3;845:13;937:14;	758:22;762:4;763:24;	21;751:1;752:7,25;
703:1;704:9,17;709:9,	935:17;936:7;943:1,	945:16;950:19	765:13;766:20;768:11;	754:2;755:12;756:2;
13;710:11;712:24;	21;944:17,18;945:7,9,	particularly (5)	769:1,10;770:1,3;	757:22;760:1;761:1;
713:6,22;714:8,12,21;	15,21;947:9,18	799:17;800:6;	775:22;777:10;778:9,	763:3,14,19;764:1,2,5;
715:5,9,13;719:4,5,13,	painful (5)	807:11;877:2;878:1	10;779:11,13,24;	765:16;766:11,14;
24;720:1,7;721:1,7;	720:20,20;721:5;	partner (1)	780:24;781:22;782:6,	767:22;768:2,7,16,22,
722:9,14,16;726:9,14,	850:16;866:7	735:20	25;786:10;787:19;	25;769:4,24;770:21;
17;728:2,7,11;729:12,	pains (2)	partners (1)	788:1,16;789:24;	771:23;772:2,4,5,11,
19,20,22;735:2;737:9;	862:8;866:18	938:2	790:15;791:20;796:13;	12;773:5;784:10;
738:6;746:4,9,18;	paired (1)	partnership (1)	799:3;800:15;802:8,	792:8,13,17,23;793:15;
747:1,16;748:15,17,22;	837:20	859:7	19;805:1,4,19;806:13,	799:18,21;804:21,24;
749:8,25;750:6,17,17;	pale (1)	parts (2)	14,15,16;807:21;809:7,	806:7;809:4,16,21,24;
751:12,16,18,21;752:1,	812:2	707:19;709:16	18;810:7;812:2,6,21;	810:21,24,25;811:6,7;
24;753:10,21;754:2,2,	panel (3)	party (1)	813:8;814:6;816:10;	812:16,24;813:1,14,20;
12,24;755:2;757:7,9,	684:7;778:18;908:21	778:22	821:1,3;822:1,23,24;	814:16,17,20,24;816:1,
15,22;758:9,19;759:16,	paper (5)	PAs (1)	823:6;828:22;830:6,	2;817:8;820:12,14,17;
18,18;760:2,3,21;	660:18;718:7;	743:14	23;832:6;834:11;	821:6,15;822:11,17;
761:8,25;763:19;	719:10;723:18;883:11	pass (6)	835:19;836:18,23;	823:9,14,19;824:11,17;
764:6,8,11,11,12,14,19,	paragraph (1)	649:14,22,23;650:4;	838:7,14,20,22;839:17,	827:23;828:21,22;
20;765:4,12,16,17,20;	792:25	736:16,20	18,20,24,25;840:13;	830:7;835:2,5,10,14;
766:5,11,21;767:7;	parallel (1)	passed (4)	844:5;846:5,9;847:1,	836:15,20;837:22;
768:25;769:12,12;	940:6	650:5;667:24;	10;849:1;850:21;	839:3,5,10,15;845:12;
770:1,7,12,15;772:6;	parameters (1)	797:11;810:10	851:12,21;853:5,10;	859:5,5,10,15,845:12, 850:21;852:10,10;
776:14;779:11;781:13;	760:12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	851:12,21;853:5,10; 854:2,17;855:25;	850:21;852:10,10; 860:24,25;861:7,8;
783:21,21,25;786:22;		passing (1) 649:21	856:17;858:5,11,19,21,	871:6;875:7,12,16,22;
	paraphrase (1)			
797:10;802:6,10,13,19;	721:23	past (6)	22;860:14;861:19,22;	876:1,5,8,9,21,22;
804:22,22;805:3;	parcel (3)	653:10;758:4;	862:3;863:20;865:19;	878:5,12,14,15;879:2,
806:7;809:1,15,17,18,	750:8;752:25;851:20	809:20;871:24;875:14;	867:18;868:16;869:19,	24;880:5;883:18,24;
22;810:8,17,20,21,25;	parentheses (1)	893:20	24,25;871:7,9;872:15,	886:14;888:2;892:4;
			•	

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	1		1	December 04, 2014
005 10 20 000 22	721.11.17.754.10		((0,10,((0,00,(01,1	954.0
905:19,20;909:22;	731:11,17;754:12;	permission (1)	660:19;668:20;681:1;	854:9
910:3,4,7,12;911:16;	765:19;766:1,23;	744:24	703:14;713:23;716:25;	photocopy (1)
914:24;915:4,10,13,14;	769:16;785:18;786:7;	Perrigo (1)	717:4,9,20;729:15;	778:3
918:18;919:24;921:7;	809:14;813:6;815:14;	877:13	748:15;767:4;775:13,	photographs (3)
926:2,4,10,25;927:20;	818:18;819:22;822:18;	persist (1)	23;776:21;823:21;	647:3;900:17,19
928:8;929:16;930:3,	824:13;828:10,11;	850:13	826:20;831:22;832:1,	phrase (2)
23;943:21;944:14,17,	837:14;850:7;859:21;	persists (1)	6,7,16;834:6;882:17;	953:6,7
18,22;945:5,7,9,15,20,	860:19;864:4,6;	836:1	892:7;918:7,21;919:8,	physical (24)
22;947:9;949:8,17,19;	875:23,24;878:3,3;	person (34)	13	657:24;681:1,14,17;
950:4;952:14	920:16;931:19;934:21;	653:3;660:4;665:11;	Pharmacists (30)	728:21;733:13;751:19;
patients' (1)	935:10;939:2;943:8;	676:10;687:17;688:24;	648:11;651:10,13,	773:20;774:18;814:7;
877:18	949:3;953:13;954:6,8	700:24;703:21;711:6;	15,19,25;652:22,23;	819:2;822:5;837:15,
patient's (16)	people's (1)	718:5;722:13;728:14;	653:3,8,14;658:19;	16,19,24;838:6,9,11;
668:10;688:21;	862:2	756:11;764:24;778:8;	660:13,23;674:25;	844:6;851:3;859:15,
695:6;698:4;705:12,	per (2)	783:10;789:10,17;	676:9;713:10,14,18,19,	25;861:12
18;711:7,15;712:5;	727:14;761:8	794:15,18;799:11;	21;725:17;775:17;	physically (1)
718:9;723:25;724:11;	perceived (1)	803:3;816:5,18;817:5;	824:1,6;825:14;	704:4
810:5;827:20;856:3;	826:24	829:9;887:20;888:1;	827:14;833:10,24;	physician (33)
863:23	perceives (1)	889:6;906:2;928:17,	853:3	648:25;651:24;
	906:22			
pattern (2)		17;932:13;946:5	pharmacist's (2)	659:7;664:15;668:20,
835:25;836:2	percent (15)	personal (5)	673:22;678:13	22;672:2;683:19;
pause (1)	663:1,2,4;766:12;	678:2;840:1;943:20;	pharmacologic (3)	686:9;690:18;697:7;
767:16	811:10,11;837:20;	949:17;951:12	679:3,8;695:3	717:20;725:16;737:15,
pavement (1)	877:13;894:22,24;	personality (3)	pharmacological (1)	24;738:22;739:1;
710:16	930:2,4;947:4,5,13	938:9;949:23;950:13	651:21	770:5;771:3,20;
pay (11)	percentage (1)	personally (7)	pharmacology (2)	781:22;784:4;795:19;
669:9,13;728:21,24;	664:17	680:14;700:10;	651:23;683:22	830:8;877:17;887:17;
861:10,11,12,13,14,14,	perception (2)	744:15;782:22;833:1;	pharmacotherapy (2)	905:17;916:6;918:9;
15	945:3,4	943:17,23	652:13,20	925:25;926:24;936:6;
paying (3)	Percocet (11)	persons (2)	pharmacy (46)	942:7
669:6,7;951:14	815:25;827:23,25;	815:3;829:20	646:19;647:24,25;	physician-patient (1)
payment (1)	828:3,7;831:2;857:25;	person's (3)	648:10,15,18,20,21,23;	943:3
728:18	868:12,12;874:22;	685:22;927:10;945:3	649:3,4,9,18,25;	physicians (23)
PDR (14)	890:11	perspective (1)	650:10;651:24;652:7,	664:14,19;674:16,
667:23;668:8;	perfectly (1)	674:15	16;653:4;656:12;	18;703:15;721:20;
706:14,14;713:13,16;	682:20	pertaining (1)	659:21;663:8;668:13;	725:15;738:13;742:17,
716:3,19;802:25;	perform (2)	760:24	670:8;674:1;675:9,10;	23;755:8;769:6,13;
855:20;867:5;870:4;	697:5;738:20	Peter's (28)	712:25;714:17;716:19;	770:18;788:16,24;
872:4,9	performed (1)	647:19;651:2,3;	719:22;722:16;727:4;	789:5;820:3,10;
PE (1)	865:14	674:16;677:24;686:8;	729:15;746:15;802:20;	851:23;883:22;911:15;
869:15			807:22;816:9,15,20;	922:6
	perhaps (9)	709:9;712:16,18,21,25;		
peculiar (1)	702:11;709:2;710:6;	713:5;719:3,4,8,12;	832:21;833:2;851:25;	physician's (1)
675:15	726:21;760:6;783:1;	720:6;726:15;735:15,	892:17;893:4;926:16	917:14
pee (1)	816:24;910:19;923:8	17,21;736:3;742:9,10;	PHARMD (7)	physiologic (1)
926:16	period (14)	750:10,24;755:6;938:3	647:11;654:21;	838:1
peer (1)	714:6;757:9;795:1;	Pete's (1)	655:7;708:20;721:17;	picking (1)
719:25	811:24;845:22;847:4,	727:3	725:1;730:12	805:23
pelvic (2)	22;855:4;866:15;	Pharma (1)	phenomenal (1)	picture (1)
868:9;869:4	867:8;868:5;908:11;	877:14	917:25	925:1
pending (1)	922:13;923:8	pharmaceutical (3)	phenothiazines (1)	pie (2)
798:8	periodic (1)	655:12;656:21;694:1	758:14	664:12,17
	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
pendulum (8)	947:17	pharmaceutically (1)	philosophical (1)	pieces (1)
661:18;662:1,3;	periods (1)	687:24	729:10	664:17
667:3;715:8;729:7,18;	680:9	pharmacies (16)	philosophy (3)	pill (13)
754:8	peripheral (2)	664:20;666:20;	926:7;947:18;949:17	653:4;680:17;
people (62)	734:21;736:8	669:24,25;670:2,5;	phone (7)	702:16;709:2;722:22;
646:16;653:7;654:8;	peripherally (1)	699:24;714:2;716:21;	647:6;699:4;714:1;	723:3,8;782:18,20;
662:11;663:9,11;	746:25	725:7;726:22;727:3;	812:9;824:1;863:12,13	913:12;925:25;926:7,
664:10,24;666:10,16;	permanent (6)	829:22;833:10;852:3,6	phones (2)	11
671:23,24;676:1;	666:13;764:10;	pharmacist (39)	812:10;925:18	pill-counting (1)
680:7,8;689:15;696:6,	765:14,17,19,21	647:17;648:3;651:8;	phonetic (2)	653:7
10;697:17;720:24;	permissible (1)	652:1;653:11;656:8;	787:12;903:22	pillows (1)
	-			-
725:16;726:16,20,24;	777:9	657:1,6;658:23,25;	photocopied (1)	861:17
	1		1	1

Transcript of Contested	Case Hearing - Vol. V
-	December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.	-	1		December 04, 2014
pills (15)	674:4;688:17;703:25;	post-secondary (1)	817:10	9;699:11;725:14;
673:10;723:7;	714:10;729:8;733:2;	733:21	predict (1)	783:24;790:4;791:21;
726:20,24;769:16;	749:7;754:3;765:10;	postsurgical (1)	940:20	850:3;928:12;932:25
815:10;834:10,19;	775:23;776:5;785:8;	853:22	Prednisone (3)	prescribing (35)
851:24,24;926:12,17;	789:7,8;790:9,15;	potential (2)	686:16,22;857:7	664:19;670:13;
929:3,5,9	801:21;806:3;807:9;	657:22;765:9	prefer (4)	672:6,7;689:9;690:16;
Pittsburgh (1)	809:12;816:1;824:5;	potentially (1)	680:19;752:14;	695:2;708:9;709:13;
871:13	825:7,19;832:10,15;	758:13	905:12;943:17	717:9;722:14;723:17;
place (4)	839:6;847:9,11;	pounds (1)	preferable (1)	771:4;775:3;777:2;
818:23;821:15;	852:18;857:13,16;	853:17	679:1	780:5,8;783:18,24;
860:11;902:18	871:24;874:2;884:24;	powerful (2)	preferably (1)	785:23;820:12,14;
placed (1)	897:3;898:1;907:4;	668:19;878:4	689:3	829:13;846:25;849:25;
773:4	912:2;914:12;923:3;	practical (2)	preferred (5)	852:24;884:14;889:8,
places (1)	938:15;946:23	652:6;764:18	676:16,18;678:18;	13;908:12;914:3;
709:15	pointed (1)	practice (61)	679:6;943:15	918:24;919:17,19;
plan (26)	819:25	652:7;653:5;654:24;	pregnancies (1)	926:19
681:19,20;694:22;	points (4)	656:12;661:11;673:21,	835:18	Prescription (122)
695:2,9,12;696:12,25;	722:3,7;795:17;	25;674:1;709:17,18;	Pregnant (1)	649:10,19;650:10;
700:25;705:4;720:8;	797:7	723:2;734:25;735:13,	843:20	655:20;656:9,13;
738:24,24,25;744:4;	policy (3)	14;736:2,4,5,15;737:8;	preliminaries (1)	657:5,8,10,11,20;
791:23;844:22,24,25;	719:12;808:19;834:1	740:22;742:6;746:10,	818:15	658:14,20;659:1,9,14;
845:1,2,3;847:2;849:8;	Poo (1)	16,18;750:9,24;752:5;	preparation (2)	664:11;665:14;666:3,
850:23;853:18	904:22	753:2,5,12;755:16;	655:15;839:14	5;667:15;668:1,9,13,
plane (1)	poor (1)	757:11,21;759:9,24;	prepared (4)	14;669:20;671:2;
874:19	889:22	766:19;771:21;772:1;	739:16;755:19;	672:4,14,15;675:6,6;
Plans (2)	populated (1)	802:8;807:8;810:20;	801:21,24	679:15;687:19;696:17;
719:4;728:6	707:21	811:1;814:24;818:16;	preparing (2)	699:19;700:22,25;
play (2)	population (3)	819:4;835:6,15;	739:4;740:1	701:11,13,14;702:10;
743:2;775:16	663:1;726:10;877:5	841:10,11;878:11;	pre-PDR (1)	711:14;712:4,14,24;
player (1)	populations (1)	889:8;914:7;915:16;	775:18	713:9;715:12;717:1,5,
714:25	742:20	918:8,19;920:9,13,15,	prepharmacy (1)	11,14,17;725:3,14,24;
pleading (1)	portion (1)	17;921:7;940:11	652:2	728:6;749:5;753:10;
934:2 ploadings (1)	810:23 Bortland (1)	practiced (2)	prerogative (1) 829:1	778:3,25;779:3;
pleadings (1) 762:21	Portland (1) 734:10	771:15;777:8 practices (12)	prescribe (33)	781:19;784:5,6; 788:12;793:5;802:6,
please (21)	posing (1)	699:24;748:20;	671:5;708:7;752:6;	10;807:22;808:13;
647:6,14;733:12;	936:16	763:2;780:22;781:2,4;	755:9;771:22;775:1;	813:25;814:2;815:4;
757:25;787:13;788:23;	posit (1)	804:21;889:13;918:4,	780:15;791:9;819:22;	816:1,3,5,9,12,19,20;
790:2;793:17;796:15;	795:16	24;919:17,20	822:13;824:3,10;	817:4;820:23;825:12,
804:19;808:25;842:3;	position (3)	practicing (8)	825:5;827:10,22;	13;827:3;828:14;
895:15;898:12;903:18;	648:16;650:13;	657:1,4;729:16;	828:19,21;864:19;	831:15;832:17;833:3,
904:13;910:25;924:14,	735:11	819:16;916:25;949:2;	866:20;867:13;884:1,	7,15,22;834:8,21;
21;925:22;942:4	possibility (3)	951:21,21	24;885:7,14,19;920:7,	837:5;852:4;854:5,8,
pleased (2)	665:3;887:2;935:9	practitioner (5)	10;928:14;929:22;	11,12;864:7;867:7;
865:18;871:14	possible (6)	743:5;805:9;808:2,	930:10,18,21;945:25	868:2,7;870:5;872:3;
plenty (3)	676:4;755:13;758:7;	17;873:14	prescribed (32)	873:7,11;876:19,19;
742:11;754:14;	809:4;887:4;911:20	practitioners (7)	658:5,8;668:12,23;	887:1;892:3,10;893:5;
929:18	possibly (5)	725:15;741:5;	671:7;684:21;690:19;	914:4;917:4;918:9;
plus (16)	767:15;846:21;	743:14;745:20;748:17;	691:1;693:7;700:18;	922:22;946:3,8,10
737:6;740:21;	865:1;887:15;926:17	766:25;808:6	702:4;712:5;713:6;	prescriptions (25)
741:20;807:17;818:24;	post (2)	pre (1)	714:3;723:13;726:10;	654:8;661:24;
841:16,21,23;859:24,	903:11,14	744:16	749:15;776:9;777:11;	664:20;668:23;669:17;
25;915:16;942:11,21;	posted (1)	precautions (2)	800:17,17,22;820:20;	677:25;699:11;701:7;
943:22;948:9;951:4	957:6	672:3;946:1	824:24;867:5;870:9,	711:8;713:2,12,17,22;
pm (1) 957:18	post-graduate (1) 652:14	precede (1) 796:4	11,14;871:21;873:15; 914:17;921:8	716:19;717:24;788:17; 790:16;792:2;825:11;
pneumonia (3)	post-op (1)	precedent (1)	prescriber (11)	829:20;849:8;919:24;
835:17;871:11;	857:4	901:5	657:13;658:24;	921:9;922:17;930:7
942:25	post-operative (1)	precisely (1)	659:7;668:11;672:22,	prescriptive (2)
pod (1)	866:19	834:7	23;675:11;678:4;	725:16;749:24
820:2	posts (4)	precluded (2)	680:25;781:22;789:9	presence (2)
point (45)	894:21;897:15,18;	748:8;762:10	prescribers (12)	701:24;702:5
659:23;673:23;	904:11	predecessor (1)	669:15;673:2;676:5,	present (10)
-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

660:25;704:4; 934:4 752:6;758:16:810:20 pros (1) 804:22:813:8:870:16: primary (9) process (17) 853:1 674:19:686:11; 763:15:804:23; 872:16:874:14:875:13: prosecuted (2) 894:4.12 688:21;737:14;759:22; 806:20,25;807:9; 894:15;930:24 presentation (8) prosecution (1) 943:4,9,13,19 815:11,19;838:1; 804:25;805:5; principally (1) 864:10;878:2;896:11, 933:10 832:23;840:1;844:16; 15;900:14,15;902:10, 790:20 prosecutor (1) 853:11:856:4:910:14 principle (1) 21:903:5 666:4 presented (10) 769:19 processed (1) protect (3) 805:1:839:18; print (1) 818:19 672:24:698:4:897:8 840:18;844:17;847:5; 739:9 produced (1) protections (1) 856:11:866:1:869:25; printed (2) 877:14 730:23 739:10;799:4 product (2) 901:2;909:3 protectively (1) presenting (2) printouts (1) 679:22;728:10 940:15 856:3,19 740:6 productive (2) protocol (1) presently (1) prior (7) 662:13;826:16 781:13 814:21 711:19;836:20; productivity (1) protocols (6) 650:25;651:1;740:9; presents (2) 837:4;841:7;856:18; 662:19 721:3;835:19 901:3.7 professional (10) 805:15,22;806:11 president (1) privacy (2) 647:21,22;648:7; proud (2) 649:17 697:17;726:6 650:21:652:1.3: 859:5.7 private (4) proven (1) press (2) 653:13;654:13;936:10; 647:4;877:18 734:25;735:13,14; 938:23 769:15 pressure (2) 890:21 professionally (2) provide (5) 870:23;877:16 privileges (2) 734:24;888:21 770:2;841:12; 873:11;926:19 professionals (3) 858:21;863:6;948:22 pressures (2) 754:10,11 privy (1) 680:22;888:21;909:8 provided (8) professor (2) Presumably (1) 780:2 655:17,17;706:5; 672:17 proactive (1) 747:4,9 763:11;798:6;799:25; presume (2) 826:22 profile (1) 881:10:892:3 746:3:763:11 probably (26) 878:9 provider (32) presumed (1) 675:20:681:9: profiles (1) 655:22:656:9: 753:11 711:18;741:25;769:20; 759:3 668:25:670:10:673:4. program (18) pretty (29) 773:14:777:18:789:2: 5,7,11;674:2;682:5,5; 810:10;821:12;828:10, 651:25;691:4; 649:1:680:24; 686:11;694:14;695:9; 737:13,22;738:16; 18;838:19;846:15; 682:20;694:10;704:5; 699:7,7;701:9;716:2,8; 739:13;746:12;776:25; 886:19:894:13.22; 735:8;746:9;754:13, 720:6;722:12,13; 895:9:932:24:936:25. 731:22;737:19;783:10; 791:20:800:7:824:11: 22:799:14:833:12: 906:12;911:5;914:13, 786:22;836:25;837:7; 825:9;828:8,9;839:9; 25;941:20;953:11,19; 862:11:865:22:866:8; 954:13:956:9 21;936:11;938:14,20 854:5;874:17;887:25; problem (28) programs (1) 888:3 871:6,15;873:21; 675:11;692:24; 896:1,15;904:1;905:9, 667:2 providers (21) progress (2) 11;906:11;934:21; 693:1;697:21;718:6; 651:20;668:4,6,24; 945:19 765:14;771:2;805:16; 798:25;799:1 674:12,19,23;690:18; prevail (1) 812:17,20,21;825:5,25; prohibits (1) 697:7;699:5,25;703:2; 726:5 826:23,24;836:5,6,6; 778:7 708:8;716:12;720:10; 842:10;852:14;861:9; projecting (1) 787:1;807:17;836:20; prevent (2) 891:25 928:2,5;947:8 726:1;816:14 862:10;865:25;870:1; previous (22) 880:2;903:1;912:25; projection (1) provides (1) 747:24;748:5;759:1; 927:16 851:6 747:20 808:17:818:13:820:3; problems (8) prolotherapy (3) providing (3) 821:21:831:17:836:25: 689:16:690:22: 861:11;865:5,5 737:17;928:19; 837:7;842:20;848:17; 738:16:764:18:765:17, promised (2) 948:21 850:7;857:5;870:24, 20:852:11:853:13 829:6;871:18 psychiatric (4) 24,25;879:19;897:12; procedure (8) proper (3) 688:16;844:9; 901:5;919:6;922:1 672:20;673:19; 862:10,12 830:16;864:16; previously (7) 865:4;866:18,24; 778:12 psychiatrist (7) 652:8;666:15; 871:9,12:898:16 properly (2) 688:15,20,24;712:6; 677:17;716:6;717:22; procedures (4) 735:10;762:4 846:16;863:2;915:3 740:7:771:1 839:22,24;856:9,9 properties (1) psychiatrists (5) proceeding (6) price (2) 791:1 758:16;767:1;863:3, proposed (2) 662:21;765:5 748:12:801:2; 5.9 646:10;762:1 817:15;888:25;909:5; psychiatry (1) primarily (3)

746:14 psychoactive (2) 758:13:763:16 psychological (1) 909:1 psychologist (2) 681:5;703:14 psychologists (1) 766:25 psychology (1) 746:14 public (3) 666:7;842:3;903:21 publication (1) 923:23 publicly (1) 908:9 public's (1) 697:19 PUJOL (3) 942:1,5;954:16 **P-u-j-o-l** (1) 942:6 pull (1) 949:20 pulmonary (7) 720:19,22;866:4,6; 867:1:868:6.10 pump (4) 758:19,19;765:12; 874:13 pumps (3)764:11,12,14 pure (1) 671:1 purpose (5) 658:22:692:15; 752:15.15:881:17 purposes (2) 677:7;752:17 pursue (1) 899:10 pushing (1) 870:21 put (25) 665:14;688:25; 689:2,21;714:7; 719:14;762:16;765:5; 773:25;802:2;814:12; 815:22;820:19;822:24; 826:2;852:15;860:8; 862:15;875:13;886:16; 923:10:933:18:948:8; 951:22;953:20 puts (2) 663:9;915:3 puzzled (1) 779:2 0 quadrant (1)

Min-U-Script®

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(27) presentation - quadrant

843:17

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

sufficiention () Rabidel (1) 786:7992380624; 79112 receptor (1) 688:17902380624; 69924470065811; 70011 6994470065811; 70011 6994470065811; 70011 6994470065811; 7002473243913; 698223547521748:19; 736233 Ferentify (1) 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 7912170547,81,41 791217273,23,730,81 791217273,23,730,81 791217273,23,730,81 7911174447422,7372,3730,81 79021773,33,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,13,70,11,70,13,70,11,70,					
qualifications (1) radar (1) szal: 1/s29-s28/82.2; recertify (1) recertify (1) rote (1) <throt (1)<="" th=""> rote (1) rote (</throt>	qualification (1)	Rabold (1)	786:6;799:23;806:24;	receptor (1)	688:17;697:17;698:25;
760:11 946.6 853:33:80:13:80:16; 736:23 1736:23 1721;71579:708:1; 652:80:54:23:655:2; 842:16 851:17,862:12:940:20; 732:19:88:01:2 772:173:711;73:21;73:23;73:88:01:2 736:51 776:16 915:19:20:12:940:20; 782:19:88:01:2 732:12:73:23;748:57; 731:22:73:72:745:7; 700:14 936:10:22:24:971:16; reared (1) 765:13 1774:72:1773:25;744:57; 700:14 936:10:22:24:971:16; reason (38) 697:22 757:13;753:771:16;7; 939:24:940:92.1 735:11 710:27:7775; 660:12:771:78:16;7; 600:23:17:67:1774:5; 600:23:17:67:1774:5; 730:27:70:23; 785:1 776:11:784:174:15; 772:15 772:12:772:14; 771:1772:14; 730:27:70:23; 785:1 776:11:784:174:15; 772:15 772:12:772:14; 772:12:772:14; 730:27:70:24; 785:17 601:16:63:21 727:15 772:12:772:14; 772:12:772:14; 730:27:70:78; rat (4) 877:17:09:03; 879:13:84:16; 789:14; 789:14; 789:14; 771:17:72:14; 772:17:77:75; 779:12:772:14; <td></td> <td>787:14</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>699:4,4;700:6,8,11;</td>		787:14			699:4,4;700:6,8,11;
qualified (10) Radiates (1) 864:17.866:16.17; recess (2) 712:7.15.716-17; 652:8:654:23.655.3; 821:16 915:21:929:12.99:12.929:12.99:12.929:12.929:12.99:12.929:12.99	qualifications (1)	radar (1)	828:11;829:8;838:22;	recertify (1)	704:21;706:4,7,8,14,
652:8654:23:655:3; 842:16 871:14.14:877:9; 732:129380:12 732:12726:273024; 638:23:771:173:18; 736:16 933:19291:2921:2940:20; 736:13 731:12273:23:738; 706:14 936:10:22:4937:16; reconition (1) 735:737:373:733:738; 736:13 743:17:23:55:14; 706:14 936:10:22:4937:16; reason (38) reconition (1) 757:13;758:376:13; 633:17:65:41:757:6, 736:16 757:537:10:775; 602:3711:7321:73:23;738:370:13; 749:9 735:11 716:87:15:10:717:5; 602:3711:7321:73:23;738:38; 607:73:64:1752:477:32;738:38; 749:9 771:16 754:32,0770:7; recognized (1) 799:12:4792:14,20; 701:23:702:87:834 895:3 802:16:801:13:8167; 666:13:66:82:1 8131:7194:10:96; 700:10 rate (4) 667:15:76:11; 899:11:80:77:01; 833:19:24:01:14:20; 700:10 rate (1) 877:9001:901:10; 855:58,9001:18 839:11:80:71 701:125:702:9,13; rate (1) 707:10;700:24:71:10; 706:11:80:11; 839:11:80:71 701:125:702:9,13; rate (1) 707	760:11	946:6	853:3;860:13;861:6;	736:23	18,21;707:9;708:1;
683.23;747;21;748:19; raised (1) 915:21:929:12:990:12;990:12;990:12;990:12;991:12;991:12;991:12;990:12;900	qualified (10)	Radiates (1)	864:17;866:16,17;	recess (2)	712:7,15;716:17;
7565:57:71:0759:15 776:16 943:19944:3948:19 796:24 76:12 76:13					
761.13 Ramirez (10) recognition (1) 743:17.32.357.44.6.7. 760:14 996:10.22.44.937.16; recognition (1) 743:17.32.357.44.6.7. 760:15 997.22.24.937.16; recognizable (1) 777.13.758.37.61.8; 633.17.654.17.576; randomly (1) 766:17.806.14.18.20; 607.22 763:17.767.21.773.25.74.46.7. qualifying (1) randomly (1) 764:18.688.1606.25; recognize (4) 607.22 763:17.767.21.773.25.74.48.9.11.1.46.6; qualitative (3) rat (1) 774:17.752.52.72.68; 884:18 777.12.777.17 779.21.779.21.42.0; 799.21.794:10.796.9; qualitative (4) rat (1) 776:11.784.47.94.15; 777.15 799.31.794:10.796.9; 799.21.794:10.796.9; 738.7 607:15.706.11; 8917.1582.718.812.0; 839.12.840.63.21 839.12.840.63.24; 839.12.840.63.24; 839.12.840.63.24; 839.12.840.63.24; 839.12.840.63.24; 839.12.840.63.24; 839.12.840.61.24; 666.2 889.92.877.64.97.11.48; 83.17.877.21.473.21; 831.17.877.11.8; 839.12.840.61.24; 839.12.840.61.24; 839.12.840.61.24; 839.12.840.61.24; 839.12.840.61.24; 839.12.84					
qualifies (1) 897:5909:3,4; 936:10.22,24937:16; 936:10.22,24937:16; 936:10.22,24937:16; 936:10.22,24937:16; 147:60:8 807:5909:3,4; 936:10.22,24937:16; 736:10,2177:56; 936:10,22177:16; 936:10,22177:16; 936:10,22177:16; 936:10,22177:16; 937:16; 94					
7.60:14 (puality (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5)					
opaility (5) 939:249409.21 676:7680:1418.20; 675:17654:175756, 14700:8 676:12: 785:17 765:17:175:17:17:15; 785:11 765:17:16:17:17:15; 785:11 765:17:16:17:17:15; 771:15 766:17:17:16:17:17:17:15; 771:15 766:17:17:16:17:17:17:15; 771:15 766:17:17:16:17:17:17:15; 771:15 766:17:17:16:17:17:17:17:15; 771:15 771:12:17:15:17:17:17:12:17:15; 771:15 701:12:17:17:16:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:15; 771:15 701:12:17:17:16:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:17:					
653:17:654:17:57:6 randomly (1) 684:18:688:10:90:25: recognize (4) 69.10:21:774:6: 14:760:8 rapid (1) 718:71:17:71:78:21:4: 660:23:71:78:21:4: 772:73:78:48:39.11:4; 749:9 677:16 774:6: 772:15: 660:23:71:78:21:4: 792:27:23:78:48:39.11:4; 701:237:02:87:83:4 rat (1) 776:11:78:44:49:41:5; recognize (1) 793:21:794:10:79:62: 701:237:02:87:83:4 rate (4) 657:15:76:61:1; 859:11:862:78:70:19; recognize (1) 793:21:794:10:79:62: 701:237:02:9,13; rate (1) 837:79:00:190:10; 839:91:846:5; 839:12:840:13:24; 700:10 rates (1) 897:79:00:190:10; 855:58:900:18 842:28:837:68:20; 701:237:02:9,13; rather (2) resonable (2) recommendut (1) 866:2 665:11:803:17 resonable (2) resonable (2) recommendut (2) 868:9:92:21:19:23:21:14:22:19:72:14:23:19:22:21:14:22:19:72:14:23:19:23:22:21:14:22:19:72:14:23:19:72:10:14:22:19:72:14:23:19:72:10:14:22:19:72:14:23:19:72:10:14:14:23:19:72:11:42:14:14:11:14:14:14:11:14:14:14:14:14:14:					
14.700.8 785.11 710-87.15:10:717.5: 660-237.117.821.4; 777.23.784.8.9.11.14, 749.9 677.16 754.320.770.7; recognized (1) 791.24.702.14.20; 701.23.702.8.783.4 805.3 805.117.847.294.15; recognized (1) 791.24.702.14.20; 783.7 667.15.766.11; 859:11.862.7.870.19; recognized (1) 799.21.794.10.796.9; 790.10 rate (1) 897.17.397.19.881.20; 839.9.18.846.3; 839.12.840.13.24; 730.10 rate (1) 897.17.390.190.11 855.8300.18 832.383.70.48.9; quantitative (4) 662.12 recommod (1) 859.24.901.14.922.1; recownend (1) 869.9370-4.873.15; 733.5 706.18.934.9 733.1 733.37.992.23.01.1; 686.20 889.24.901.14.922.1; 733.5 706.18.934.9 733.1 733.37.992.23.01.1; 686.20 737.25.75.88.11; 888.9 790.10.901.92.23 recode (1) 707.11.603.6; 737.25.75.88.11; 737.25.75.88.11; 737.25.75.88.11; 737.25.75.88.11; 737.25.75.88.11; 737.25.75.88.11; 737.25.75.88.11; 737.25.75.88.11; <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
qualitying (1) rapid (1) 7218:725:23:726:8; 884:18 22.25:785:3.9.18; qualitative (3) rat (1) 776:11:784:4794:15; recommend (1) 793:21:794:10:796:32; qualitative (4) 667:15:766:11; 859:13:86:7:870:19; recollection (6) 822:8337:6.8.9; qualitative (4) 667:15:766:11; 859:11:862:7:870:19; recollection (6) 822:8337:6.8.9; qualitative (4) 667:12:766:11; 897:7:900:19:01:10; 855:5:8:900:18 833:23:834:10:866:20; 709:10 rates (1) 897:7:900:19:01:10; 855:5:8:900:18 833:23:84:10:866:20; 701:25:702:9.13; rather (2) reaconable (2) 686:9:692:13:711:97; 899:9:870:4:873:15; 701:12:57:02:9.13; rather (2) reached (1) 707:10:708:24:717:10; recommendation (5) 666:22:09:14:20; 690:21:18:03:11 733:1 783:799:22:801:14; 831:14:79:11:42:11; recommendation (12) 666:22:09:14:21; 657:11:668:12; reached (1) 707:10:708:24:779:72; 704:14:709:12; 788:17:99:42:82:11:92:27:12; 704:14:709:12; 788:11:92:82:11:92:82:11:92:82:11:92:82:11:92:11;					
749.9 677:16 754:320.770.7; recognized (1) 791:24.702:14.20; qualitative (3) rat (1) 785:1786:4794:15; recognizing (2) 793:1794:10796:9; qualitative (1) rat (2) reconstring (2) 793:1794:10796:9; 793:1794:10796:9; quantitative (4) rate (4) 887:171.1982:15:881:20; 661:16:683:21 839:18:864:8; 839:12:840:13.24; quantitative (4) rates (1) 897:7900:190:10; reconstruct (3) 893:24:801:14:20:21; 783:15:89:70:18; 839:18:846:8; 839:12:840:13:24; quantities (2) rather (2) reconstruct (3) 666:20:69:21:57:19; 899:24:901:14:92:21; 783:15; 665:11:803:17 reconstruct (3) 680:20:62:13:19:19; 733:15; 735:17:19:22:19; 733:15; 733:19:23:52:39:9; 733:19:23:52:39:9; 733:19:23:52:39:9; 733:19:23:52:39:21:19:23:52:39:21:19:23:52:39:21:19:23:52:39:21:19:23:52:39:21:19:23:52:39:21:19:23:52:29:11:19:23:5					
qualitative (a) rat (f) 776:11;784:47:94:15; 727:15 793:21:794:10:796:30; qualitativel (1) rate (4) rate (4) 817:17,198:25:1; recomizing (2) 799:4.20:801:14.20.22; qualitativel (1) 852:16:868:8 871:7;721:15:881:20; 859:9.18:846:8; 839:12:840:11.20,22; quality (1) 852:16:868:8 871:7;7021:15:881:20; 859:9.18:846:8; 839:12:840:11.20,22; quanitiative (4) 662:12 13:399:9.11:98:17; recollection (6) 822:8337:68.9; quantitive (4) rather (2) reasonable (2) 680:9.602:13:719:7; 889:24:901:14:922:11 recovering (1) reached (1) 707:10:708:24:717:10; 686:9:602:13:719:7; 866:9 recovering (1) reached (1) 707:10:708:24:771:10; 681:10:721:16; 681:10:721:16; (675:61:706:12); reacion (2) reacion (2) 704:14:709:12.11; 681:19:22:11 (715:75:67:70:19:78:55; 701:14:794:778:778:5; 941:15 681:16:7073:18; 662:20:914:21 (735:75:67:70:19:78:55; 737:11:639:65; 737:11:639:65; 737:11:639:65; 687:11:803:16; <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
* 701:23702:8783:4 895:3 802:16810:138167: recognizing (2) 799:420:801:14.02.2; qualitative (1) 657:15;766:11; 857:17.872:15;81:20; 839:18;846.63; 839:18;846.64; 839:18;846.64; 839:18;846.64; 839:12;840:13.24; 839:18;846.64; 839:12;840:13.24; 839:18;846.64; 839:12;840:13.24; 839:18;846.64; 839:12;840:13.24; 839:14;93:10:14; 839:14;93:10:14; 839:14;93:10:14; 839:14;93:10:14; 839:14;93:10:14;93:11; 859:14;86:64; 839:14;93:10:14;93:14; 830:14;93:10:14; 830:14;93:10:14; 830:14;93:10:14; 830:14;93:10:14;93:12; 865:16;69:24;701:9; 721:12;153:17; 831:192:11:12;35:17:153:11; 859:18;93:11;153:153:11; 859:18;83:11;153:12; </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
qualitatively (1) rate (4) 817:17.19:825:1: 661:16:683:21 813:17.819:17.81; 783:7 667:15:766:11: 859:11:862:780:19: recollection (6) 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.1886:22: 839:9.24:901:14:92:21: 829:8.27:00:18 849:23:22:23: 869:9.870:4873:15: 869:9.870:4873:15: 869:9.870:4873:15: 869:9.870:4873:15: 869:9.870:4171:01: 765:17:16:03:6: 735:17:99:22:9.19:45:379:99:22:9.994:53:09:16: 737:25:7388:11:922:11 766:17:97:61:17: 766:17:97:61:17: 766:17:97:61:17: 766:17:97:61:17: 766:17:97:61:17: 777:11:693:6: 737:11:79:77:11:79:77:19: 766:17:97:76:75: 777:11:693:6: 737:11:79:77:11:79:77:19: 766:17:97:76:75: 766:17:97:76:75: 777:17:77:77:77:77:75: 766:17:97:76:75: 778:17:79:77:77:77:77:77:77:77:77:77:77:77:77					
783.7 667:15:766:11; 859:11:862:7;870:19; recollection (6) 822:8337:68.8; quantity (1) rates (1) 652:12 739:10 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:846:8; 839:12:882:16; 839:12:882:16; 839:12:882:16; 839:12:882:16; 839:12:882:16; 839:12:882:16; 839:12:882:16; 889:2:490:14; 829:2:490:14; 92:11:492:12; 16:65:16;0703:18; 66:22:09:91:492:12; 66:22:09:91:492:12; 76:67:00:16; 733:1 733:17:99:91:14; 737:25;788:11; 86:19:97:12; 76:14:17:09:12; 76:14:17:09:12; 76:14:17:09:12; 76:14:17:09:12; 76:14:17:09:12; 76:14:17:09:12; 77:15:16:97:15:16:97:11:69:15; 72:12:17:14:15:77:62:1; 78:13:192:21:11; 86:19:89:12; 78:13:192:21:11; 86:19:89:12; 77:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:12:					
quality (1) 852:16;868:8 871:7372:15;881:20; 990:10 8399:18;846:8; 839:12;840:13,24; recommend (1) 839:12;840:13,24; 8499:9;870:4;873:15; 8499:9;870:4;873:15; quantitative (4) 662:12 13;939:9,11;945:11 recommend (1) 849:23:354:10;868:20; 8499:9;870:4;873:15; quantities (2) raw (1) reasonable (2) 686:19:20:13;719:7; recommendation (5) 928:1952:23 quantity (6) reached (1) 707:11;693:6; 831:1;922:11 868:9 quantity (6) reached (1) 733:17:949:11 928:19:937:25 recovery (2) 657:11:668:12; read (29) 733:17:949:11 928:19:937:25 recurrent (1) 868:9 quantity (1) 738:37:949:25:324;25;707:5; 941:15 recummending (1) recurrent (1) 877:32:738:25:88:11; quibble (1) 737:32:758:05:88:85:11; 771:12:174:11:5776:23; 859:18 731:23:42:11:5776:23; 859:18 731:23:42:11:29:23:29:42:11:5778:23; 189:19:25:320:25:936:3; quickly (2) 993:18,97:190;1; 788:18:88:37,10; 888:18:88:37,10; recoind (2) recoind (2) quickly (2) 992:19:22:29:22:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29:29	1			,	
790:10 quantitative (4) 701:25;702:9,13; 783:5 rates (1) 662:12 897:7900:1901:10, 13939:911:945:11 855:58,900:18 666:2 843:23:854:10268:20; 889:24;901:14;922:1; 928:1952:23 783:5 706:18,934:9 655:11:803:17 recommend (1) 855:58,997:04;873:15; 889:24;901:14;922:1; 928:1952:23 660:2:887:3 850:15 677:11:693:6; 675:16;6700:19,20,23 reached (1) 707:10:708:247171:0; 783:7799:22;801:1; 675:6700:19,20,23 reached (1) 707:10:708:247177:10; 783:3799:22;801:1; 653:24,25;707:5; reached (1) 737:15;738:8,11; 828:11:928:22;815:1;838:6; 787:3,8799:22;801:1; 855:18 662:20;914:21 recurrent (1) 699:24 read (29) 929:19;945:3949:9; 905:15 704:14;709:12,15,16; 772:10;738:25;802:15;937:5; 905:5 809:108,792:13;937:25 recuit (1) 878:23;921:15;937:5; 859:18 recurrent (1) 828:11:928:22;934:12; 855:2,02,25936:3; 721:21;741:15;776:23; 788:24;871:16 recuit (1) r66:5;12,02:29;34:12; 855:2,02,259;36:3; 721:21;741:15;776:23; 788:14;883:11:2897:10; 9001;83:14:28871:10;12; recuit (1) r21:13,17:800:13; 788:14;780:24;871:16 recuit (1) r21:13,17:800:13; 788:13,7700;742:3; recuit (1) r21:13,17:800:13; 788:14;780;24;871:16; r21:13,17:800:13; 798:16;729:14; r21:13,17:800:13; 788:14;780;24;871:16; r21:13,17:800:13; 798:16;729:14; r21:13,17:800:13; 798:16;729:14;					
quantitative (4) 662:12 13:3939:9.11:945:11 recommend (1) 869:9.870:4.873:15; 701:25;702:9.13; 706:18:934:9 655:11:803:17 recommend (1) 869:2.490:114:922:1; quantities (2) raw (1) reasonable (2) 666:2.889:2.490:114:922:1; 889:2.490:114:922:1; 669:2.887:3. 850:15 677:11:693:6; 831:1:922:11 868:9 quantity (6) reached (1) 738:1.7949:11 798:3.7942:2.801:1; 651:6703:18; 662:2.0914:21 recovery (2) 657:1668:12; 738:1.7949:11 956:18 737:2.5758.88.11; 6697:819:25.820:1; recovery (2) quible (1) 653:24,25:707:5; 941:15 recommedia(7) 649:7.319:25:820:1; 16:93:55,20.25:936:3, quick (4) 724:12:745:5:778:5; 685:16:692:4;701:9; 722:10:738:25:807:10; 16:935:5,20.25:936:3, 707:16:666:10; 908:18:871:10; 788:23:81:88:835:11; recommediag (1) 724:12:74:5:57:76:5; 905:15 809:10:877:16:666:10; 908:18:87:10; 782:14:88:835:11; recommediag (1) 721:13:17:10:97:10:24:14:10; 908:18 737:16:666:10; </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
701:25:702:9,13; quantitis (2) 669:2;887:3 rather (2) 706:18;934:9 reasonable (2) 655:11:803:17 686:2 889:24:901:14;922:1; 928:1952:23 quantitis (2) 669:2;887:3 850:15 677:11:693:6; 773:11:693:6; 686:9:692:13;719:7; 883:11:922:11 880:24:901:14;922:1; 866:9:692:13;719:7; 908:24;77:10; 880:24:901:14;922:1; 866:9:692:13;719:7; 909:24 866:9:402:13;719:7; 909:24;710:10; 909:24;710:10; 909:24 reached (1) 758:17949:11 773:13;799:2;801:1; 905:18 774:12;758:8,11; 871:1 871:1 866:9:402:13;719:7; 906:24;971:12,151;1597:25 recommendations (12) 662:20;914:21 662:20;914:21 questionable (1) 677:16:090:12,02.3 reaction (2) 724:12;74:55:778:5; 809:128 774:12;75978:5; 721:12;741:15:776:23; 787:38,8796:23;798:5; 905:5 809:10;879:18,19; 838:19,8774:18;880:23:11; 838:19,8774:18;880:23:11; 838:19,8774:18;880:73:11; 838:24 721:12;741:15:776:23; 758:24 721:13,17:800:13; 722:10:738:25;807:10; 735:24 721:13,17:800:13; 722:175:1997:25; 722:127:101:97:12; 772:24:24:741:14; 8261:7380:41;828:11; 8261:7390:41; 738:15739:25;740:5; 738:15739:25;740:5; 738:15739:25;740:5; 738:15739:25;740:5; 740:480:20; 740:480:20; 740:1789:12;82:125;72:25;72:2; 740:480:20; 740:178:101:97:12; 740:480:20; 740:1789:1783:112;895:4; 740:480:20; 740:1789:1783:112;895:4; 740:1390:13;810:28 890:7;80:14;88:2; 740:14;10:1775:11;2; 777:24;81:41;1818:21; 775:178:178:17; 840:14;90:21;92:21:767:1975:12; 740:14;90:24;87:10: 740:1390:14;841:2;909:13 800:7;80:14;848:2; 800:7;80:14;848:2; 800:16;810:5; 8669:14;900;99:910:21:21:5; 741:12;81:12;81:12;80:14; 741:12;81:12					
783:5 706:18:934:9 655:11:803:17 recommendation (5) 928:1952:23 quantities (2) raw (1) feasons (12) 686:9:692:13:719:7; recovering (1) 669:2;887:3 850:15 677:11:693:6; 707:10:708:24:717:10; 706:16:703:18: 666:2:20:914:21 657:11:668:12; 733:1 783:3799:22;801:1; 651:6:703:18: 662:20:914:21 699:24 read (29) Rebuttal (1) 878:23:921:15:937:25 red (14) 908:18 718:77:20:47:23:24; 685:16:692:47019; 722:10:738:25;807:10; 16:935:52.02.25:936:3; 708:22;815:1;858:6; 787:38:796:23:798:5; 721:21:741:15;776:23; 788:24 721:13,780:013; quick (4) 724:12:745:5778:5; 685:16:692:47019; 788:24 721:13,780:013; quick (4) 724:12:745:5785; 787:38:796:23;798:5; 721:12;741:15;776:23; 788:24 721:13,780:013; quick (4) 798:18:897:10; 901:11:60:71;75:18; 646:51:42;1647:14; record (3) 838:18 quick (4) 798:18:897:19; 917:20:918:4;12;134:12; 735:57:732:57:726:4; 900:91:90:21:925:1	_				
quantities (2) 669:2;887:3 raw (1) 850:15 reasons (12) 677:11;693:6; 777:11;693:6; 777:11;693:6; 777:11;693:6; 777:11;693:6; 777:11;693:6; 777:11;692:11 688:9;692:13:719:7; 888:9 recovering (1) 888:9 quantity (6) 677:6;700:19,20,23 reaction (2) 758:17;949:11 733:379-22;801:1; 738:3799-22;801:1; 992:19-945:3949:9; 992:19-945:4949:1; 708:22:815:1858:6; 905:524,871:6; 909:18,977:19; 909:18,192:22 recal (31) 788:2315:8853:31; 788:237:19:783:19:25:820:1; 722:10;738:25(807:10; 722:10;738:11;2894:1; 838:18 redirect (6) 788:13,7180:13; 830:14;811:2894:14; 838:18 905:52 905:54 809:10;877:18; 840:4;98:17; 777:24;814:18;12;99:13; 805:24,227:10:19;712:24; 707:15;740:15;749:35; 707:15;740:15;749:35; 708:12;742:24;749:14; 709:17;831:12;895:4; 709:17;831:12;895:4; 709:17;831:12;895:4; 709:17;831:12;895:4; 709:17;831:12;895:4; 709:17;755:12; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 789:20;849:15; 78					
669:2;887:3 850:15 677:11;693:6; 831:1922:11 868:9 868:9 quantiy (6) reached (1) 707:10;708:24;717:10; recommendations (12) 651:6;703:18; recovery (2) 652:191:21:11;709:11; 652:291:42:11;709:22; 651:6;703:18; recovery (2) 652:191:21:11;711; 662:2:091:42:11;711; 662:2:091:42:11;711; recovery (2) 652:16;703:18; recovery (2) 652:16;703:18; recovery (2) 652:10;703:18; recovery (2) 652:10;703:18; recovery (2) 652:20:91:42:11;709:22; 651:16;703:12;573:11; 733:17:720:47:23:72; recovery (2) 662:2:091:42:11;703:12;591:67; 653:16;692:4;701:9; 733:17:720:47:12:571:67; 733:17:720:47:12:571:67; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:57; 733:17:720:47:19:77:724:47:14:15;776:22; 740:2 recommendig (1) 721:13;17:80:13; 721:13;17:80:13; 740:2 recovid (2) recovid (2) recovid (2) recovid (2) recovid (2) recovid (2) 733:57:740:57;40:57;40:57;40:57;40:57;40:57;			·		
quantity (6) reached (1) 707:10:708:24:717:10; recommendations (12) recovery (2) 657:11:668:12; 733:1 783:3799:22;801:1; 704:14:709:12,15,16; recurrent (1) questionable (1) read (29) Rebuttal (1) 873:25;758:8,11; 871:1 guibble (1) 653:24,25;707:5; 941:15 recommende(7) 669:7;819:25;820:1; guick (4) 724:12;745:5778:5; 721:12;741:15;776:23; recommending (1) 16:935:5;02;02;936:3; 905:5 809:10;879:18,19; 787:25;815:48:835:31:1; 758:24 721:13;7;800:13; 677:16;696:10; 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 888:18:883:33,710; 885:118 764:24;700:15; 838:24;871:6 reading (5) 917:20;918:41;2,13,19; 691:17,21,4700:15; 691:17,21,4700:15; 842:21:917:13,18,21 899:18:947:19; 925:19,22; 95:20; 715:5;723:25;724:2; 777:48:18:12:897:4 800:67:39:14; 709:17;831:12;895:4 received (5) 735:5;740:5; 740:48:12:900:13; 842:21:917:13,18,21 995:44 received (5) 735:5;749:3; 772:48:14:18:18:21; 99					0 . ,
657:1:668:12; 733:1 783:3:799:22:801:1; 651:6:703:18; 662:20;914:21 guestionable (1) 758:17;99:11 929:19;945:3;949:9; 737:25;758:8,11; 871:1 guestionable (1) 653:24,25;707:5; read(29) read(29) read(29) read(29) guibble (1) 653:24,25;707:5; read(31) read(31) read(7) 669:7;819:25;820:1; guikk (4) 724:12;745:5;778:5; read(31) read(31) read(7) 669:7;819:25;820:1; guikk (4) 724:12;745:5;778:5; 787:3;796:53:798:5; 721:12;741:15;776:6; 722:10;738:25;807:10; 16;935:5,20,25;936:3; guikk (4) 790:8;18:997:18;49; 787:25;815:8;853:11; recommending (1) 721:13,17;800:13; guikk (4) 790:8;19:903:11;16;17; 882:18:883:3;71:0; recomide(7) 664:5;14,21;647:14; guik (4) 904:13:924:13,22; 915:17,25:916:7; 915:834:21;917:13;17;801:12; 771:5;70:17;722:14; guik (4) 923:19:92:19;92:19;92:12;92:12; 921:1;923:22;924:2 recomide(1) 772:4:84:18:18:21; guit (4) 923:17:92:12; readiig (1) <t< td=""><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	,				
questionable (1) 758:17:949:11 956:18 737:25:758:8.11; 871:1 699:24 read (29) Rebuttal (1) 737:25:758:8.11; 871:1 871:1 908:18 718:7:720:4:723:24; recall (31) 684:23:709:37:195; red (14) quick (4) 724:12;745:5;778:5; 685:16;692:4;701:9; 722:10;738:25;807:10; 16935:52,02,5936:3, 905:5 809:10;879:18,19; 787:25;815:8853:11; 787:25;815:8853:11; 786:24 quicker (3) 883:11;897:10,12; 858:19;874:18;880:23; 766:27;7928:24;941:1 788:24;941:1 838:24 904:13;924:13,22; 915:17,25;916:7; recommeding (1) 721:13,17;800:13; quickly (2) 925:19,22 915:17,25;916:7; record (32) 838:18 90:18;729:14; 798:18;897:19; 921:1923:22;924:2 95:520 715:25;710:57:10:97:12; 777:24:84:1:1818:21; quick (15) readig (3) receive (5) 732:5;740:5;749:3; referce (4) 738:15;739:25;740:1;731:12;895:4 receive (5) 732:5;740:5;749:3; referce (4) 738:15;740:5;749:3; refere (8)					
699:24 read (29) Rebuttal (1) 878:23;921:15:937:25 red (14) quibble (1) 653:24,25;707:5; 941:15 recommended (7) 659:23;23;203:37:09:37;195; 768:23;921:15:937:25 red (14) quick (4) 724:12;745:5;778:5; 787:38;796:23;798:5; 721:21;741:15;776:23; 858:13 899:18 45.8 905:5 809:10;879:18,19; 772:52;815:8853:37:10; 899:18 recommending (1) 721:13,17;800:13; quicker (3) 883:11;897:10,12; 885:19;874:18;880:23; reconsider (1) 728:24 721:13,17;800:13; goick (4) 904:13;924:13,22; 915:17,25:916:7; reconsider (1) 728:24 721:13,17;800:13; goit (15) 798:18;897:19; 921:19,22:29;24:2 915:17,22;916:7; record (32) 838:18 842:21;917:13,18,21 899:18;947:19,21 read (5) 740:4802:20; 735:5740:5749; 691:17; 738:15;739:25;740:15; 795:17;831:12;895:4 740:4802:20; 735:5740:5749; refer (8) 935:1 738:17;897:16 741:47;878:47; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 663:7;713:13;810:8; <		reaction (2)		704:14;709:12,15,16;	recurrent (1)
quibble (1) 653:24.25;707:5; 908:18 941:15 recommended (7) 669:7;819:25:280:1; 828:11:928:22;934:12, 16935:5; 908:18 718:7;720:4;723:24; 708:22;815:1;858:6; 787:3,8;796:23;798:5; 905:5 941:15 recommended (7) 649:23;709:3;719:5; 828:11:928:22;934:12, 16:935:5,20,25:936:3, 859:18 828:11:928:22;934:12, 16:935:5,20,25:936:3, 859:18 828:11:928:22;934:12, 859:18 828:11:928:22;934:12, 721:21:741:15;776:23; 859:18 859:18 4,5,8 905:5 809:10:879:18,19; 701:21:924:13,22; 951:17,259:16:7; 821:9374:18;802:3; 677:16;696:10; recommending (1) redirect (6) 9uicky (2) 925:19,22 915:17,259:016:7; 921:19,23:22;924:2 record (32) 838:18 805:24:871:6 reading (5) 917:20918:4,12,13,19; 921:1923:22;924:2 646:5,14,21:647:14; 921:1923:22;924:2 646:5,14,21:647:14; 921:1923:22;724:2 646:5,14,21:647:14; 921:1923:22;724:2 646:5,14,21:647:14; 921:1923:22;724:2 refer (8) 989:16;729:14; 705:17,831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;	questionable (1)	758:17;949:11	956:18	737:25;758:8,11;	871:1
908:18 718:7;720:4;723:24; reall (31) 684:23;709:3;719:5; 828:11;928:22:934:12, quick (4) 724:12;745:5;778:5; 685:16;692:4;701:9; 722:10;738:25;807:10; 828:11;928:22:934:12, 905:5 809:10;879:18,19; 838:11:897:10,12; 858:19;874:18;880:23; 875:25;855:807:10; 721:13;17:800:13; quicker (3) 833:11:897:10,12; 900:81:99:903:11,16,17; 885:11;8874:18;802:33; recomsider (1) 920:17:928:24;941:1 gaiss 24 904:13;924:13,22; 915:17.25;916:7; record (32) refer (8) Quit (4) 798:18;897:19; 921:1;923:22;924:2 691:17,21,24;700:15; 684:4;698:17; quie (15) readig (5) 917:20;918:4;12,13,19; 646:5;14,21;647:14; refer (8) G98:16;729:14; 709:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5; 905:4 reference (4) s25:21;812:83:45; 905:4 reality (1) 713:17;788:17; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 800:16;810:5 gais:1;896:17;897:16 reality (1) reality (2) receive (7) 722:12;2554:13; 806:16;810:5 gais:1;897:12; reality (
quick (4) 724:12;745:5;778:5; 685:16;692:4;701:9; 722:10;738:25;807:10; 16;935:5,20,25;936:3, y05:5 809:10;879:18,19; 721:12;741:15;776:23; 859:18 4,5,8 y05:5 809:10;879:18,19; 787:3,8;796:23;798:5; 721:21;741:15;776:23; 859:18 45,58 y05:5 809:10;879:18,19; 787:25;815:8853:11; 758:24 721:13,17;800:13; g07:16;696:10; 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 882:18;883:3,7,10; reconsider (1) 920:17;928:24;941:1 g08:224;871:6 reading (5) 915:17,25:916:7; record (32) 838:18 805:24;871:6 reading (5) 917:20:918:4,12,13,19; 646:5;14,21;647:14; refer (8) g0uit (4) 798:18;987:19; 921:1;923:22;924:2 691:17,21,24;700:15; 684:4;698:17; ready (3) ready (3) 705:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;749:3; reference (4) r98:19;819:21;820:21; 715:18;783:6;885:1; reality (1) 713:17;788:17; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 603:7;713:13;810:8; s25:21;831:12;841;5 905:4,4 recerived (5) 852:21,22;854:13;				recommended (7)	669:7;819:25;820:1;
708:22;815:1;858:6; 905:5 787:3,8;796:23;798:5; 809:10;879:18,19; 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 838:24 721:21;741:15;776:23; 858:19;87:18;88:33:11; 787:25;815:8;833:11; 787:25;815:8;833:11; 787:25;815:8;833:11; 788:24;878:16; 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 838:24 859:18 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 838:11;897:10; 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 838:24 4,5,8 recommending (1) quickly (2) 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 838:24;871:16 904:13;924:13,22; 900:8,19:903:11,16,17; 838:11;897:19; 915:17,25:916:7; 901:17,21;917:13,18,21 925:19,22 915:17,25:916:7; 917:20:918:4,12,13,19; 921:1;923:22;924:2; 915:17,22;924:2; 915:17,25:20; 715:25;723:25;726:14; 925:20 record (32) 715:25;723:25;726:4; 900:99):910:21:925:1 715:25;723:25;726:4; 900:99):910:21:925:1 762:21;767:19;785:12; 825:21;831:12;834:5; 905:4,4 receive (5) 740:4;802:20; 744:802:20; 725:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;749:3; 762:21;767:19;785:12; 8007:801:4;848:2			× /		· · · · ·
905:5 809:10;879:18,19; 787:25;815:8;853:11; recommending (1) redirect (6) quicker (3) 883:11;897:10,12; 858:19;874:18;880:23; reconsider (1) 758:24 721:13,17;800:13; 677:16;696:10; 900;8,19;903:11,16,17; 882:18;883:3,7,10; reconsider (1) 721:13,17;800:13; 838:24 904:13;924:13,22; 915:17,25;916.7; reconsider (1) 704:2; reading (5) 917:20;918:4,12,13,19; 646:5;14,21;647:14; reating (1) reating (1) Quit (4) 798:18;897:19; 921:1;923:22;924:2 691:17,21,24;700:15; reater (6) 684:22;1917:13,18,21 899:18;947:19,21 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;749:3; reference (4) 738:15;739:25;740:15; read (5) 740:4;802:20; 762:21;767:19;785:12; 663:7;713:13;810:8; 788:15;739:25;740:15; read (5) 715:17;788:17; 853:14;868:22;880:12; 663:7;713:13;810:8; 840:4;902:10;912:14; 905:4,4 receives (2) 765:17;758:17; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 663:7;713:13;810:8; 935:1 754:17 713:17;788:17; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 663:7;13;810:8; <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
quicker (3) 883:11;897:10,12; 858:19;874:18;880:23; 758:24 721:13,17;800:13; 677:16;696:10; 900:8,19;903:11,16,17; 882:18;883:3,7,10; reconsider (1) 704:2 revaluating (1) 838:24 904:13;924:13,22; 886:17;890:4,18,24; reconsider (1) 704:2 revaluating (1) quickly (2) 925:19,22 915:17,25;916:7; record (32) 83:818 refer (8) Quit (4) 798:18;897:19; 921:1;923:22;924:2 691:17,21,24;700:15; 684:4;698:17; 775:24;814:1;818:21; quite (15) reading (3) 955:20 735:5;740:5;749:3; refer (8) 690:16;729:14; 705:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;749:3; reference (4) 738:15;739:25;740:15; real (5) 740:4;802:20; 762:21;767:19;785:12; 692:3;709:24; 800:16;810:5 825:21;831:12;834:5; 905:4.4 received (5) 852:21;2854:13; 800:16;810:5 852:12;854:13; 663:7;713:13;810:8; 935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 8979:9941:14,22 882:18 referenced (4) quote (3) realize					
677:16;696:10; 900:8,19;903:11,16,17; 882:18;883:3,7,10; reconsider (1) 920:17;928:24;941:1 838:24 904:13;924:13,22; 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,25;916;7; 904:2 915:17,21;917:13,18;21; 838:18 838:18 Quit (4) 798:18;897:19; 921:1;923:22;924:2 691:17,21,24;700:15; 684:4;698:17; 777:24;814:1;818:21; 955:20 715:25;723:25;726:4; 900:9;910:21;925:1 692:3;709:24; 900:9;910:21;925:1 755:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;749:3; reference (4) 692:3;709:24; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5 852:21;22:854:13; 692:3;709:24; 800:16;810:5 663:7;713:13;810:8; 935:1 reference (4) 663:7;17:13:13;810:8; 663:7;13:13;810:8; 663:7;13:13;810:8; 935:1 reference (1) 778:17;75:18;860:4 record d(4) reference (1) 788:1 900:					
838:24 904:13;924:13,22; 886:17;890:4,18,24; 704:2 reevaluating (1) guickly (2) 925:19,22 915:17,25;916:7; record (32) 838:18 Quit (4) 798:18;897:19; 917:20;918:4,12,13,19; 646:5,14,21;647:14; refer (8) Quit (1) 799:18;897:19, 917:19,212;2924:2 646:5,14,21;647:14; 644:4698:17; quite (15) ready (3) 955:20 715:25;723:25;726:4; 900:9;910:21;925:1 698:16;729:14; ready (3) 955:20 762:21;767:19;7851:2; 692:3;709:24; 798:19;819:21;820:21; 715:18;783:6;885:1; 810:14;811:2;909:13 8007;801:4;848:2; 8001:6;810:5 825:21;831:12;834:5; 905:4,4 receive (5) 852:21,22;854:13; reference (4) 840:4;902:10;912:14; 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9991:14,22 882:18 quote (3) reality (1) 715:18;860:4 receiving (7) recordation (1) referral (2) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;842:3; 876:20;849:15; 689:4,10 717 662:6,15;676:2;679:6;					
quickly (2)925:19,22915:17,25;916:7;record (32)838:18805:24;871:6reading (5)917:20;918:4,12,13,19;646:5,14,21;647:14;refer (8)Quit (4)798:18;897:19;921:1,923:22;924:2691:17,21,24;700:15;684:4;698:17;842:21;917:13,18,21899:18;947:19,21recalling (1)707:15;710:19;712:2;777:24;814:1;818:21;quite (15)ready (3)955:20715:25;723:25;726:4;900:9;910:21;925:1698:16;729:14;705:17;831:12;895:4receive (5)733:5;740:5;749:3;reference (4)738:15;739:25;740:15;real (5)740:4;802:20;762:21;767:19;785:12;692:3;709:24;809:18;811:2;895:4;receive (5)733:5;740:5;749:3;reference (4)825:21;831:12;834:5;905:4,4receive (5)852:21,22;854:13;800:16;810:5840:4;902:10;912:14;754:17814:17;88:17;863:14;868:22;880:12;863:7;713:13;810:8;935:1754:17814:17;840:24;857:208979;941:14,22882:18quote (3)realize (4)receiveg (2)recordation (1)references (1)709:24;710:2;724:4,realige (2)750:17;755:12;789:20;849:15;689:4,10709:24;710:2;724:4,661:5,10,17,18,21;763:9;790:15;834:23;876:20;896:24referral (2)747:22693:16;695:18;696:22;record (2)647:3,7;849:4referred (7)747:22693:16;695:18;696:22;716:17;834:24records(99)681:14,16;682:6747:22693:16;617;07:02;716:17;842:24records(99)					
805:24;871:6 reading (5) 917:20;918:4,12,13,19; 646:5,14,21;647:14; refer (8) Quit (4) 798:18;897:19; 921:1;923:22;924:2 691:17,21,24;700:15; 684:4;698:17; quite (15) ready (3) 921:1;923:22;924:2 691:17,21,24;700:15; 684:4;698:17; quite (15) ready (3) 955:20 707:15;710:19;712:2; 777:24;814:1;818:21; 698:16;729:14; 705:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;740:5;740:5; 692:3;709:24; 738:15;739:25;740:15; 715:18;783:6;885:1; 810:14;811:2;909:13 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5 840:4;902:10;912:14; reality (1) 713:17;788:17; 852:21,22;854:13; referenced (4) 935:1 realize (4) receives (2) recordation (1) references (1) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 795:18;860:4 receiving (7) recordation (1) references (1) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referral (2) quotes (4) 793:16;695					
Quit (4)798:18;897:19; 842:21;917:13,18,219918;947:19,21 899:18;947:19,21 ready (3)9211;923:22;924:2 recalling (1)691:17,21,24;700:15; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 700:9;910:21;925:1684:4;698:17; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 707:15;770:19;712:2; 707:15;710:19;712:2; 709:19;719:12;820:21; 715:18;783:6;885:1; 825:21;831:12;834:5; 905:4,49019;910:21;925:1 receive (5) 740:4;802:20; 7162:21;767:19;785:12; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5684:4;698:17; 777:24;814:1;818:21; 900:9;910:21;925:1 reference (4) 692:3;709:24; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5quote (3) 710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 709:24;710:2;724:4, 17realize (4) 674:17;766:17; 755:18;860:4receive (2) receive (2) receive (2)recordation (1) recorded (4)reference (1) 788:11quoting (1) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 17661:5,10,17,18,21; 661:5,10,17,18,21; 661:5,10,17,18,21; 701:3;704:10;707:22; 715:16;716:2;722:10; 701:3;704:10;707:22; 715:15;715:12; 701:3;704:10;707:22; 715:16;716:2;722:10; 727:13;729:16;19,24; 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14;681:14,16;682:6 681:14,16;682:6 647:3;7849:4 records (99) 928:17R715:16;716:2;722:10; 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14;693:16;695:18;69:2; 731:24;751:1,22; 731:24;751					
842:21;917:13,18,21 899:18;947:19,21 recalling (1) 707:15;710:19;712:2; 777:24;814:1;818:21; quite (15) ready (3) 955:20 715:25;723:25;726:4; 900:9;910:21;925:1 698:16;729:14; 705:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;749:3; reference (4) 738:15;739:25;740:15; 7eal (5) 740:4;802:20; 762:21;767:19;785:12; 692:3;709:24; 798:19;819:21;820:21; 715:18;783:6;885:1; 810:14;811:2;909:13 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5 840:4;902:10;912:14; 905:4,4 received (5) 852:21,22;854:13; referenced (4) 935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9;941:14,22 882:18 quote (3) reality (1) 715:17;755:12; 788:10 788:1 709:24;710:2;724:4, 674:17;766:17; 656:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referral (2) 747:22 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referral (7) 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recently (7) 736:12 731:24;751:1,22; 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22;					
quite (15) 698:16;729:14;ready (3) 705:17;831:12;895:4955:20715:25;723:25;726:4; 733:5;740:5;749:3; 762:21;767:19;785:12; 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5 referenced (4) 663:7;713:13;810:8; 832:18 832:18 receives (2) recordation (1) references (1) references (1) references (1) r88:1 references (1) r88:1 r88:1 references (1) r88:1 r88:1 r88:1 r88:1 r88:10 r88:1 r88:10 					
698:16;729:14; 705:17;831:12;895:4 receive (5) 733:5;740:5;749:3; reference (4) 738:15;739:25;740:15; 7eal (5) 740:4;802:20; 762:21;767:19;785:12; 692:3;709:24; 798:19;819:21;820:21; 715:18;783:6;885:1; 810:14;811:2;909:13 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5 825:21;831:12;834:5; 905:4,4 receive (5) 852:21,22;854:13; reference (4) 935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9;941:14,22 882:18 quote (3) realize (4) 715:18;760:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 709:24;710:2;724:4, 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 788:1 17 661:5,10,17,18,21; 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referral (2) 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;7722; 716:17;842:24 recording (3) 688:15,23;689:2; 747:22 715:16;716:2;722:10; recently (7) 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					
738:15;739:25;740:15; real (5) 740:4;802:20; 762:21;767:19;785:12; 692:3;709:24; 798:19;819:21;820:21; 715:18;783:6;885:1; 810:14;811:2;909:13 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5 840:4;902:10;912:14; 905:4,4 received (5) 852:21,22;854:13; referenced (4) 935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9;941:14,22 882:18 quote (3) realize (4) receives (2) recordation (1) references (1) 710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 775:18;860:4 receiving (7) recorded (4) referral (2) 709:24;710:2;724:4, really (52) 750:17;755:12; 789:20;849:15; 689:4,10 quoting (1) 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recording (3) 68:15,23;689:2; 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7) R 738:14;747					
798:19;819:21;820:21; 715:18;783:6;885:1; 810:14;811:2;909:13 800:7;801:4;848:2; 800:16;810:5 825:21;831:12;834:5; 905:4,4 received (5) 852:21,22;854:13; referenced (4) 840:4;902:10;912:14; reality (1) 713:17;788:17; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 663:7;713:13;810:8; 935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9;941:14,22 882:18 quote (3) realize (4) receives (2) recordation (1) references (1) 710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 775:18;860:4 receiving (7) recorded (4) references (1) 709:24;710:2;724:4, really (52) 750:17;755:12; 789:20;849:15; 689:4,10 17 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 6					
825:21;831:12;834:5; 905:4,4 received (5) 852:21,22;854:13; referenced (4) 840:4;902:10;912:14; reality (1) 713:17;788:17; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 663:7;713:13;810:8; 935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9;941:14,22 882:18 quote (3) realize (4) receives (2) recordation (1) references (1) 710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 775:18;860:4 receiving (7) recorded (4) references (1) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; records (99) 928:17 928:17 rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					
840:4;902:10;912:14; reality (1) 713:17;788:17; 863:14;868:22;880:12; 663:7;713:13;810:8; 935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9;941:14,22 882:18 quote (3) realize (4) receives (2) recordation (1) references (1) 710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 775:18;860:4 receiving (7) recorded (4) referral (2) 709:24;710:2;724:4, really (52) 750:17;755:12; 789:20;849:15; 689:4,10 17 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 715:16;716:2;722:10; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; 876:12 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 648:19;660:19; 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					,
935:1 754:17 814:17;840:24;857:20 897:9;941:14,22 882:18 quote (3) realize (4) receives (2) recordation (1) references (1) 710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 775:18;860:4 receiving (7) receiving (7) referral (2) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 855:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					
710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 775:18;860:4 receiving (7) recorded (4) referral (2) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 661:5,10,17,18,21; 750:17;755:12; 789:20;849:15; 689:4,10 quoting (1) 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; R 715:16;716:2;722:10; recently (7) 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; records (99) 928:17 rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					
710:1;896:17;897:16 674:17;766:17; 656:9;726:14 868:19 788:1 quotes (4) 775:18;860:4 receiving (7) recorded (4) referral (2) 709:24;710:2;724:4, 661:5,10,17,18,21; 750:17;755:12; 789:20;849:15; 689:4,10 quoting (1) 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; R 715:16;716:2;722:10; recently (7) 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; records (99) 928:17 rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)	quote (3)	realize (4)	receives (2)	recordation (1)	references (1)
709:24;710:2;724:4, really (52) 750:17;755:12; 789:20;849:15; 689:4,10 17 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 876:20;896:24 referrals (7) 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recording (1) 688:15,23;689:2; 715:16;716:2;722:10; recently (7) 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; records (99) 928:17 rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					
17 661:5,10,17,18,21; 763:9;790:15;834:23; 876:20;896:24 referrals (3) quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) R 715:16;716:2;722:10; recently (7) 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)	quotes (4)	775:18;860:4	receiving (7)	recorded (4)	referral (2)
quoting (1) 662:6,15;676:2;679:6; 846:2;871:25 recording (3) 681:14,16;682:6 747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) R 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					
747:22 693:16;695:18;696:22; 701:3;704:10;707:22; recent (2) 647:3,7;849:4 referred (7) R 701:3;704:10;707:22; 716:17;842:24 recordkeeping (1) 688:15,23;689:2; 715:16;716:2;722:10; recently (7) 876:12 731:24;751:1,22; 727:13;729:16,19,24; 648:19;660:19; records (99) 928:17 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)					
R701:3;704:10;707:22; 715:16;716:2;722:10; 727:13;729:16,19,24;716:17;842:24 recently (7)recordkeeping (1)688:15,23;689:2; 731:24;751:1,22; 928:17rabbit (1)738:14;747:8;749:7; 738:14;747:8;749:7;648:19;660:19; 662:11;666:18;752:6;655:19,21;656:2,14;referring (7)					
R715:16;716:2;722:10; 727:13;729:16,19,24;recently (7) 648:19;660:19; 662:11;666:18;752:6;876:12 records (99)731:24;751:1,22; 928:17rabbit (1)738:14;747:8;749:7; 738:14;747:8;749:7;662:11;666:18;752:6; 652:11;666:18;752:6;876:12 records (99)731:24;751:1,22; 928:17	747:22				
727:13;729:16,19,24; rabbit (1)648:19;660:19; 662:11;666:18;752:6;records (99) 655:19,21;656:2,14;928:17 referring (7)					
rabbit (1) 738:14;747:8;749:7; 662:11;666:18;752:6; 655:19,21;656:2,14; referring (7)	K				
904:13 /32:4;/39:19;/85:24; 834:3;930:24 681:15,1/;686:20; 691:14;/3/:14,24;					
	904:13	152:4,159:19,185:24;	034:3;930:24	081:13,17;080:20;	091:14;757:14,24;

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(28) qualification - referring

738:17,22;739:1;929:1 refers (1) 926:9 refill (15) 684:19:696:17; 697:1;700:16,17; 724:14;798:17;867:20, 24;868:1,17,23; 870:20;929:19,21 refilled (2) 697:3;765:7 refills (9) 700:13;708:11,25; 724:20:830:10:867:19; 929:15,17;947:3 reflect (1) 926:6 reflected (2) 822:8;841:4 reflects (1) 792:12 reflex (2) 860:5;874:20 refresh (1) 900:18 refuse (7) 812:16;833:2; 872:20;930:9,10,12; 947:2 refused (6) 815:12:883:18; 890:12:892:2:913:12: 918:8 refuses (1) 872:25 refusing (5) 833:6;834:8;891:13, 21:893:5 regard (19) 696:15;711:5;712:3; 718:3:720:16:742:4: 750:3:758:8.11; 771:19;772:10;800:15; 815:3;822:4,11; 841:15;851:11;853:10; 897:4 regarding (9) 646:10;749:1; 757:10,11,13;804:24; 858:11;886:13;887:7 regardless (3) 723:2;923:14,15 regards (1) 757:6 regenerated (1) 707:17 regimen (5) 668:22;686:16; 701:16;703:7;711:15 regimens (1) 693:9 regimented (1) 743:9

regional (1) 849:20 register (1) 805:7 registered (9) 805:10,12;807:20, 21;808:1,3,7,8;811:21 registration (1) 805:11 registrations (1) 791:13 Registry (20) 649:10,20;650:10; 655:20;668:1;725:4,6, 14;784:4,6;793:5; 808:13;813:25;814:3; 820:23;837:5;852:4; 887:1;946:3,11 regret (1) 707:7 regretfully (1) 864:21 regs (1) 731:6 regular (4) 737:4;738:3;799:14; 887:20 regularly (6) 698:11;701:21; 728:21;808:11;840:5; 914:17 regulate (1) 764:15 regulated (1) 659:21 regulating (2) 656:13,14 regulation (1) 666:20 regulations (2) 730:15;731:1 regulatory (4) 648:13;661:13; 743:3:771:9 rehabilitation (1) 662:21 reiterate (1) 774:19 rejected (1) 843:12 relate (1) 860:15 related (6) 749:5;754:16;817:6; 826:19;842:17;843:22 relates (2) 844:15;855:22 relationship (19) 672:22;674:5;682:5; 688:19;715:11,18,22, 25:717:19:722:12: 740:22;769:1;817:11; 818:25;917:10;943:3,

4,13:955:2 relative (8) 656:6;741:13; 748:21;772:1;802:6; 804:21;815:5;858:20 relatively (1) 726:18 release (5) 677:4;727:15,20,22; 940:10 released (2) 830:8:909:8 relevance (1) 904:7 relevant (1) 909:20 relied (1) 816:20 relief (2) 850:11;868:11 relieve (4) 678:22,25;679:4: 874:6 relieved (2) 867:25;868:4 reliever (1) 849:17 relievers (1) 670:21 relieves (1) 678:24 remainder (1) 842:1 remained (1) 823:14 remaining (1) 946:19 remains (1) 874:25 remark (1) 886:15 remarks (1) 647:1 remember (14) 710:3;711:20; 729:14;744:9;770:18; 785:21;819:20;840:5; 856:3;862:20;896:18; 916:2;924:19;945:11 remote (1) 739:7 removed (1) 874:14 render (1) 750:14 rendered (3) 753:3,4;908:13 reneged (1) 903:4 renew (3) 956:14,15,16 renewed (1) 956:24

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

rented (1) 735:16 repeat (3) 899:12:900:10:901:4 repeated (2) 819:21;899:7 repeatedly (1) 875:16 repercussions (2) 662:17,18 repetitive (3) 809:5;899:12;900:11 rephrase (2) 888:16:939:15 report (17) 681:19;716:22,22; 739:4,16;740:2; 752:17;755:19;761:11; 770:20;785:16,17; 801:21,24;807:22; 815:4;817:3 reported (3) 691:11;893:4;912:13 **REPORTER** (4) 697:21;698:5; 848:12;942:4 reporting (1) 716:19 reports (1) 673:6 represcribe (3) 778:22.23:779:3 represent (2) 773:5:784:14 requests (1) 902:13 require (6) 764:2;765:20;766:2; 780:17;910:12;921:24 required (14) 670:5,7;709:3,5; 716:12;736:13,22; 738:1;760:25;781:16; 794:16;805:3;817:1; 927:9 requirements (1) 777:2 requires (6) 756:11;878:11; 920:7,10;921:18;922:1 requiring (3) 770:21:855:13:871:1 rereviewed (1) 656:1 res (1) 813:23 resemble (1) 742:14 reserved (3) 661:20:675:17; 738:17 residency (3) 734:12.15.24

resistance (1) 674:24 resolution (1) 902:23 resolve (2) 902:5.7 resolved (7) 675:12:848:18; 867:2;880:2;902:19, 20:933:12 resolving (2) 847:17:919:22 resort (1) 860:19 resource (5) 650:21;809:1;810:9, 17;831:11 resources (6) 754:23;766:24; 767:12,14;782:14; 809:14 respect (9) 656:4;692:19; 694:20,22;770:25; 799:3;819:5;876:7; 947:8 respected (1) 815:23 respects (1) 772:1 respond (1) 748:10 responded (4) 740:18:787:24: 818:16:902:13 **Respondent** (1) 785:10 responding (1) 818:17 responds (1) 901:21 response (9) 665:19,23;684:25; 692:12;824:4;865:13; 881:6;892:23;927:10 responses (1) 749:4 responsible (9) 672:5,5,7;682:2; 695:1;709:13;723:17; 780:4,8 responsive (2) 694:18;885:16 rest (9) 662:23;732:21; 756:24;813:5;823:7; 851:3;885:5;924:22; 952:3 restless (1) 812:13 restricted (1) 911:11 restrictions (3)

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(29) refers - restrictions

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Mark Ibsen, M.D.			1	
780:14;921:6;940:11	899:12;900:7,10	River (2)	854:14	724:3;814:6;821:20;
result (1)	right (142)	817:6;884:4	sale (1)	840:2;851:2
952:5	653:23;656:8;	road (1)	677:7	scenario (2)
results (6)	667:11;671:15;672:18;	886:2	same (30)	754:7;928:19
684:8,15,19;685:25;	673:10,13;676:12;	Rocephin (3)	670:4;678:9;688:7;	scenarios (1)
705:25;758:20	678:12;682:17;683:10,	691:1,3;711:21	689:6;698:23;699:19;	928:18
resume (1)	11,19,20;687:11;	Rocky (1)	700:2,23;704:17;	scene (2)
804:4	689:20;695:18;697:19;	648:24	710:1,14;724:2,20;	754:8;812:8
resurfaced (1)	701:4;706:1;710:2,25;	role (10)	754:10;761:14;783:18;	schedule (8)
896:25	711:4,8,16;714:8,9;	649:8;650:5;651:2,8;	802:17;824:2,7;	703:7;777:4;816:8;
retail (2)	717:6,7;718:2,20;	653:7,12;675:8;	852:12;854:1;860:1;	838:21;955:17,21;
675:9,10	719:20;720:1,2;721:6,	681:24,25;918:4	868:13;869:2;873:15;	956:3;957:13
retained (1)	25;723:18,22;731:8;	roles (2)	881:17;901:1;919:3;	scheduled (2)
917:11	739:2;746:2,11;751:5;	649:6;651:17	951:12;956:17	676:22;873:9
retaliated (1)	774:7;777:3,17;	rolling (2)	SAMHSA (5)	scheduling (2)
892:15	779:23;782:17;784:18;	735:8;810:16	664:5,8;807:5,6;	955:16,16
retaliation (3)	785:20;786:3,23;		902:15	scheme (1)
		room (6)		
889:2;892:19;893:1	787:3,13;789:5,6,17;	805:13,23,24;826:3;	sanction (1)	932:15
retired (4)	792:4;793:5;794:1,9,	867:22;950:2	660:14	Schneider (1)
733:17;770:11;	13;795:10;797:14,16;	rotating (1)	sanctions (2)	870:14
873:1;905:18	798:25;799:5,10;	734:9	732:3,5	School (5)
retrieved (1)	801:13;803:4,21;	roughly (1)	Sandy (2)	649:3;729:15;
774:10	812:21;829:17,17;	937:11	956:1;957:12	733:21,24;747:6
retrospect (1)	831:5;834:25;840:4;	Roush (4)	Sarah (3)	schoolers (1)
830:4	841:2;842:24;843:17,	798:8;861:12;	889:6,12;901:2	667:16
return (2)	25;844:12,19;850:5;	864:20;865:4	Sargent (1)	sciatica (1)
761:19;913:16	851:13;854:6;855:2,	Roush's (1)	854:23	693:2
returned (1)	15,25;856:7;859:8,24;	860:24	satisfaction (1)	science (1)
734:11	869:16,24;870:24;	route (1)	805:19	647:23
re-up (1)	873:17;875:6,18;	765:11	satisfied (4)	sciences (1)
923:20	881:24;883:15,19;	routine (5)	732:11;850:8,9;	734:2
reveal (1)	886:9;887:9;890:4;	781:15;782:10;	956:5	scientific (2)
702:11	892:4;894:7;898:10;	824:4;825:9;946:17	satisfies (2)	655:12;844:24
revealed (1)	899:1,23;900:8,23;	routinely (4)	876:13;927:17	sclerosis (1)
903:9	902:5,8;904:1,22;	691:18;746:24;	satisfy (1)	736:7
reversed (1)	907:22;911:5,16,23;	747:23,24	837:1	scope (7)
662:2	912:23;914:4,9;	rule (2)	saturation (1)	660:5;674:7;692:8;
review (28)	915:10;916:9;917:11;	650:11;933:25	844:7	744:19;752:20;771:24;
651:4;654:11;	921:18,20;927:8;	rules (4)	save (2)	936:15
655:14;657:6,20;	929:14;930:7,25;	656:15;666:19;	813:5;938:5	screen (3)
671:4;680:3;705:21;	932:7;933:12;934:14;	673:3:732:4	saw (24)	723:7;837:3;855:18
706:3;707:1;708:1;	937:18;939:1,5,18;	ruling (2)	683:24;689:4;	screening (3)
719:25;720:7;738:22;	940:12;943:18;948:19;	760:10;761:23	690:16;714:24;736:6;	701:20;722:18;
739:8;755:20;763:1;	951:3	rumor (1)	738:17;743:17;770:17;	778:18
767:20;793:4;801:4,	rights (3)	829:10	788:16;792:20;793:24;	screens (1)
19;807:14;819:16,17;	698:4;726:4;822:18		815:16;820:21;824:13;	702:2
841:7;886:25;952:15;		run (1) 835:2	813:10;820:21;824:13; 862:21;867:3;870:7;	SCRIMM (126)
953:2	ring (1) 784:12	running (1)	871:10;895:8;909:8;	646:4;647:5;653:21,
reviewed (19)				
	rise (1)	831:17	912:24;913:23;914:15; 953:9	23;654:6,10,19;655:3;
655:16,19,21;656:1;	729:12	run-of-the-mill (1)		660:8;673:24;674:9;
683:12;704:13;739:23;	rising (1)	910:14	saying (18)	692:17;697:12,23;
740:1,2;741:21;	885:25	runs (1)	676:10;723:15;	698:6;707:13;708:17;
743:18;748:23;768:14;	risk (15)	777:14	795:7;825:19;831:7;	721:12;724:24;725:2;
785:3,15;801:20,22;	660:13;663:10;	Ryder's (1)	833:6;838:15;855:10;	730:3,9;732:10,13,15,
802:1;878:17	665:3,4,16;666:9,10;	913:6	860:17;889:22;910:10,	18,23;733:4;745:2;
reviewing (4)	694:11,16;723:9,11,12;	e –	11;911:3,24;917:16;	748:24;749:18;751:6,
707:9;741:20;742:5;	926:18,20,21	S	919:5;931:15;953:4	10;752:9,19;753:14;
801:25	risking (2)		scale (1)	756:4,20;757:5,18;
reviews (1)	829:12,14	sacral (1)	886:1	760:6,17;761:16;
720:1	risks (8)	861:10	scan (8)	762:11,23;767:16;
rid (1)	664:21;672:25;	safe (2)	685:3,4,4,18,20,21,	768:4;772:8,22;
939:3	673:15;686:12;715:12;	665:11;957:16	21;708:4	778:20;779:17;797:22,
ridiculous (3)	769:22;780:23;802:13	Safeway (1)	Scar (5)	25;801:11;803:7,11,

23;804:1,5,12,17; 810:3:811:18:841:25: 848:11,15;858:9,15; 865:23;879:17,20; 880:8,11,17;881:4,14, 22;882:1,5;885:23; 886:6,8,10;888:9,15; 892:20:896:9:897:10, 13;898:1,20;900:5; 903:19:905:15:906:9, 17;907:3;909:18; 911:25;920:22;924:5; 925:16;931:23;934:5; 936:19:937:7:940:25: 941:1,3,6,10,13,16,21; 955:7,8,9,13,14,22,25; 956:19;957:2,5,11,15 script (1) 779:12 scrutinized (1) 951:20 scrutiny (1) 787:19 se (1) 727:14 sealed (1) 842:2 seat (1) 646:23 second (18) 756:16:784:20; 786:17:787:2:790:8: 842:1;844:2;858:6; 860:6;911:2;914:2; 925:19:937:17.20; 938:13;939:10,16,22 secretive (1) 902:11 sedative (3) 670:25;687:15;688:7 seeing (14) 718:9;814:4;823:16; 830:8;836:20;838:20; 846:16;853:7;855:7; 873:2;928:19,20; 935:7,8 seek (3) 712:19;743:24; 909:14 seeker (1) 884:22 seeks (1) 858:13 seem (5) 688:14;708:9;749:4; 864:1;927:16 seemed (8) 684:25;813:13; 857:6;887:16;901:3; 902:10:903:5:938:21 seems (7) 714:2;743:6;765:11; 803:1;901:8;902:16;

918:1 seizures (3) 679:20;736:7;752:2 selected (1) 650:7 self (1) 947:14 sell (1) 828:12 selling (1) 726:21 seminar (1) 650:18 Send (1) 938:10 sending (1) 940:6 sensation (1) 946:6 sense (7) 671:1;696:8;832:24; 879:1,4;945:4;949:24 sent (7) 650:23;660:19; 825:23;845:15;880:20; 893:12;900:17 sentence (2) 793:3:937:23 separate (3) 728:1;740:6;836:6 September (2) 924:1:925:8 series (7) 691:2:694:2:794:2: 830:22;866:17;872:23; 879:11 serious (3) 717:13,17;877:19 Seroquel (1) 846:1 serve (1) 806:1 served (4) 648:17;821:24; 877:3,3 Service (4) 664:9;821:23; 948:21;949:3 Services (1) 807:3 serving (1) 877:4 session (3) 649:13;650:4;865:24 set (14) 673:3;683:13,14; 685:12;700:6,7; 704:21:769:13:773:8; 796:9;815:24;882:18, 22;906:12 sets (3) 700:5;707:25;777:23 setting (13)

648:24;651:1,12,13; 674:6:675:9.16: 676:15,17:757:2; 794:24:849:23:927:6 settings (1) 652:7 settled (3) 791:22;837:24;939:8 settlement (1) 903:3 seven (4) 818:13;867:8,13; 872:8 several (15) 666:5;688:18;705:8; 817:8;821:10,11; 824:17;830:22;831:2; 843:5;869:5;902:16, 17;920:19;937:22 severe (2) 675:22;754:1 severed (1) 688:19 Shakes (1) 718:21 Shane (8) 817:5;884:7,20,23; 885:6,10,11,13 shape (1) 897:6 share (6) 770:8:775:25:776:2. 2:829:1.2 sharing (1) 901:20 sheet (2) 703:7;784:11 shell (1) 798:14 shift (4) 661:10.18:662:9: 714:4 shifted (1) 661:22 shifts (1) 917:22 shit (4) 698:23;710:1;724:2, 20 shocked (1) 820:22 shoot (2) 828:12:955:23 shopper (1) 787:20 shopping (8) 701:3;850:10; 851:25;860:2;928:6; 930:14,17;936:4 short (7) 689:18;742:20; 747:7;845:22;857:20; 880:12;910:6

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

short-acting (1) 813:12 shortage (1) 852:2 shorter (4) 677:8,10,12;767:8 shorter-acting (1) 676:14 shortest (1) 742:21 shortly (2) 808:8;913:22 shot (2) 842:4;843:21 shots (1) 691:2 shoulder (3) 870:22,25;871:1 show (9) 662:6;669:1;712:7; 808:24;821:20;847:21; 895:23:899:13:925:12 showed (2) 684:8;697:24 showing (2) 662:11;784:11 shown (1) 696:5 shows (3) 664:6;712:2;768:6 Shrek (1) 904:15 shy (1) 766:4 sick (3) 813:24;869:13,14 side (7) 769:5;782:11;818:5; 840:19;842:23,24; 852:16 sidebar (3) 931:5,6;932:1 sign (9) 661:13;802:8; 805:13;807:13;847:1; 877:10;911:4;922:6; 940:5 signature (1) 843:18 signed (3) 657:12;674:2;769:22 significant (1) 750:23 signs (4) 821:8,10;844:7; 856:25 silenced (1) 907:1 similar (5) 721:3;789:4;818:17; 830:23;921:25 similarly (3)

750:22;788:4;808:1

simple (5) 680:15:681:6: 689:12:758:10:772:12 simply (2) 710:5;753:11 simultaneous (1) 758:12 simultaneously (1) 928:13 single (10) 665:9;675:19; 678:16;680:11;725:19; 783:9;786:22;789:9; 922:19.25 Sinling (1) 787:12 sinus (2) 835:17;871:3 sit (4) 646:23;651:2; 774:25:775:2 sitdown (1) 918:22 sitting (2) 666:10;948:19 situation (8) 711:13;767:13; 794:25;847:7;860:8; 885:24;902:11;949:2 situations (1) 855:13 six (9) 671:25:796:24; 842:16:844:13:854:16: 856:18:866:3,9:871:15 skill (3) 747:15;792:8;887:16 skilled (3) 799:18;800:7;821:4 Skillman (1) 851:24 skin (2) 843:4;844:11 sleep (18) 687:7,17,20,22; 688:4,16;689:11,12,16, 16;693:6,13,14;694:8; 710:11;805:1;820:19; 886:17 sleeping (2) 689:14:860:15 slice (3) 763:13;766:15; 792:14 slightly (1) 721:24 slipped (1) 710:20 slow (1) 873:10 slow-acting (1) 727:11 slowly (1)

Min-U-Script®

				December 04, 2014
847:17	671:23;704:1;710:18;	653:9;714:24	spreadsheets (1)	STARLA (9)
small (8)	758:5;765:20;776:13;	special (4)	739:11	647:11,15;654:21;
664:17,17;671:17,	815:1,2;838:3,3,4,5,21;	787:19;789:11;	spring (1)	655:7;708:20;721:17;
19;764:25;773:7;	839:2;866:22,23;	791:12:861:17	867:11	725:1;730:12;748:15
811:10;857:20	928:24;944:19;945:6;	specialist (1)	SSDD (3)	start (9)
smaller (2)	949:20;953:24	652:13	724:16;744:4;869:2	686:17;729:17;
683:13;913:16	somewhat (8)	specialists (1)	St (29)	794:16,17,22;804:10,
smell (1)	652:21;742:18;	873:24	647:19;651:2,3;	15;809:23;875:23
895:3	770:22;820:24;824:4;	specialties (2)	674:16;677:24;686:8;	started (12)
snort (1)	833:1;838:12;873:20	652:16;759:19	709:9;712:16,18,20,25;	705:9;786:2;812:3;
828:12	somewhere (8)	specialty (7)	713:5;719:3,4,8,12;	815:15,24;822:22;
SOAP (1)	699:16;708:6;734:3;	734:15,17,18;	720:6;726:15;727:3;	827:22;831:24;843:18;
844:23	782:5;826:11;902:22;	745:17,19;756:11;	735:15,17,21;736:3;	872:19;942:14;943:6
so-called (1)	942:16,19	763:22	742:9,10;750:10,24;	starting (2)
746:8	soon (3)	specific (8)	755:6;938:3	648:15;725:8
social (2)	857:9;871:15;935:2	659:9;675:1,8;	stable (2)	starts (1)
765:24;795:9	sophisticated (1)	713:23;731:11;744:11;	795:1;874:1	856:5
societal (5)	738:15	747:17;788:1	stack (14)	state (20)
662:17,18;665:22,	sore (1)	specifically (15)	723:18;773:3,6,7,8,	647:13,25;648:18;
23;676:3	844:16	658:10,18;665:25;	18,20;774:3,4;777:25;	651:19;652:25;656:18;
societies (1)	sorry (30)	670:19;672:7,12;	785:9;786:5;862:19;	657:1,4;670:2,4,6;
648:8	665:21;668:5;	687:3,19;703:12;	913:16	682:19;714:25;733:12;
Society (3)	671:20;672:16;684:13;	741:14,15;848:10;	stacked-up (1)	832:23;894:10;921:10;
648:11;877:12,12	685:8,11,14;686:14;	885:18;911:14;929:2	866:17	932:6;942:3;945:7
sociopolitical (1)	698:6;707:13,16;	specifics (4)	staff (17)	stated (5)
754:8	710:3;711:22;713:11;	770:18;885:1;908:7;	742:10;763:2;	717:24;770:21;
sold (2)	741:17;746:21;756:6;	928:7	805:14;806:4,8,19;	801:12;812:7;945:5
664:15;913:14	783:14;796:17;840:10;	specified (1)	807:14;809:6,11;	statement (3)
sole (1)	844:18;862:18;872:22;	842:12	810:15;829:4;831:24,	752:20;799:19;825:4
701:8	892:5,20;913:8;	spectrum (1)	25;839:4;891:1;911:8;	States (7)
solve (1)	941:16;946:25;956:7	691:4	945:20	659:15;661:2;663:1,
825:25	sort (24)	spell (1)	stairs (2)	3;683:9;905:21;913:14
somebody (23)	650:12;654:9;	942:3	838:15;929:10	station (1)
665:7;669:21;	660:14;678:16;689:7;	spend (8)	stakeholders (2)	805:13
672:21;675:21;689:13;	690:10;695:7;714:6;	656:5;742:24;	826:1,2	stations (1)
701:2,16;702:4,10;	723:9;743:10;833:11;	822:10;838:25;839:2;	stalled (1)	814:10
726:25;727:5,7;	846:24;850:18;864:3;	851:8;861:5;876:21	899:9	statistic (1)
728:16;732:3;777:10;	868:20;888:19;894:25;	spending (1)	stand (6)	663:21
//9.4.805.16.81/0.17	007 = 010 = 02 = 010 = 2.4			$-4 - 4^{2} - 4^{2}1$ (1)
779:4;805:16;814:12;	897:5;918:23;919:3,4;	839:5	715:2;799:17;880:1;	statistical (1)
851:8;872:8;875:20;	935:9;944:11;952:5	spent (11)	895:10;901:24;902:9	678:8
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1)	spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23;	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49)	678:8 statistics (2)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1)	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13	spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25;	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3)	spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4)	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23	spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2)	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16;
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1)	spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8)</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23)	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8;</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8,	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12,</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14,	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2)</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1)</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13;	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2)</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1)	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3; 944:25	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2) 853:15;855:24</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1) 722:11	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2) 860:9;936:20
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3;	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23 south (1) 735:10	spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2)	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1)	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3; 944:25 someone's (1)	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23 south (1)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2) 853:15;855:24 sponsored (1)</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1) 722:11 standing (3)	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2) 860:9;936:20 steps (1)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3; 944:25 someone's (1) 813:4	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23 south (1) 735:10 space (1)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2) 853:15;855:24 sponsored (1) 650:1</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1) 722:11 standing (3) 864:22;942:9;951:24	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2) 860:9;936:20 steps (1) 689:15
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3; 944:25 someone's (1) 813:4 someplace (2)	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23 south (1) 735:10 space (1) 735:16	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2) 853:15;855:24 sponsored (1) 650:1 spot (1)</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1) 722:11 standing (3) 864:22;942:9;951:24 standpoint (2)	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2) 860:9;936:20 steps (1) 689:15 steroid (2)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3; 944:25 someone's (1) 813:4 someplace (2) 747:3;775:19	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23 south (1) 735:10 space (1) 735:16 speak (7)	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2) 853:15;855:24 sponsored (1) 650:1 spot (1) 933:18</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1) 722:11 standing (3) 864:22;942:9;951:24 standpoint (2) 678:8;879:2	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2) 860:9;936:20 steps (1) 689:15 steroid (2) 767:6;865:9
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3; 944:25 someone's (1) 813:4 someplace (2) 747:3;775:19 sometime (2) 813:4;955:17 sometimes (25)	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23 south (1) 735:10 space (1) 735:16 speak (7) 675:5;720:23;727:2; 775:10;785:15;945:18; 947:16	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2) 853:15;855:24 sponsored (1) 650:1 spot (1) 933:18 sprained (1)</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1) 722:11 standing (3) 864:22;942:9;951:24 standpoint (2) 678:8;879:2 stands (2)	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2) 860:9;936:20 steps (1) 689:15 steroid (2) 767:6;865:9 steroids (1)
851:8;872:8;875:20; 910:13;946:16 somebody's (1) 930:4 somehow (4) 776:10;833:7; 906:21;925:6 someone (23) 646:15;669:5;688:4; 759:21;769:8;777:13; 779:3;791:6;794:20; 806:12;808:16;809:17; 816:13;852:13;858:24; 867:21;901:24;915:3; 926:19,20,21;929:3; 944:25 someone's (1) 813:4 someplace (2) 747:3;775:19 sometime (2) 813:4;955:17	935:9;944:11;952:5 sorts (1) 673:13 sought (3) 677:13;712:17,23 soul (1) 860:13 Sound (3) 845:16;877:9;880:18 sounds (2) 707:7;733:3 source (1) 921:19 sources (2) 664:4;699:23 south (1) 735:10 space (1) 735:16 speak (7) 675:5;720:23;727:2; 775:10;785:15;945:18;	<pre>spent (11) 739:13;741:19,23; 768:1,7,12,21;801:25; 809:3;819:3;848:5 spillover (2) 952:5,8 spinal (8) 734:20;736:8; 764:19;765:2;871:12, 12;874:20,22 spine (2) 856:23;860:6 spite (1) 864:22 splenectomy (2) 853:15;855:24 sponsored (1) 650:1 spot (1) 933:18 sprained (1) 835:17</pre>	895:10;901:24;902:9 standard (49) 654:24;683:18; 709:3,6,8,10,14,20,22; 717:8;718:15;721:20; 723:1,14;748:2,3,19; 750:15;756:8,13,25; 757:1,3;771:25;780:8, 15,17,21;781:2,5,6,13; 782:3,4,8,21;783:14, 16;799:24;810:12; 864:3;876:13;878:10; 879:9;953:5,8,13; 954:4,6 standards (1) 722:11 standing (3) 864:22;942:9;951:24 standpoint (2) 678:8;879:2 stands (2) 679:11;822:17	678:8 statistics (2) 662:22;714:21 status (3) 652:21;733:16; 750:25 statute (2) 650:3;756:21 stayed (1) 879:5 steady (1) 799:14 steeply (1) 824:13 step (2) 860:9;936:20 steps (1) 689:15 steroid (2) 767:6;865:9 steroids (1) 686:16

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

Stevens (1) 874:19 stick (1) 851:25 sticks (1) 904:22 stiffly (1) 814:7 still (25) 647:3:650:13:667:9; 682:17;698:3;708:8, 11;711:23;716:11; 739:25;756:7,17; 770:1;797:14;798:10; 799:11,16;821:19; 823:16;847:16;857:5, 10;884:14;930:18,21 stimulator (3) 791:2;874:21,23 stimulus (1) 850:16 Stinar (1) 818:6 stipulate (1) 707:1 stomach (1) 853:13 stone (1) 867:23 stop (1)877:25 stopped (5) 792:19:816:5;874:2, 23:936:17 stops (1) 912:19 storage (1) 656:16 store (2) 673:1;710:16 stories (1) 855:1 story (8) 715:7;779:15;812:3; 821:19;858:3;872:12; 876:17;904:15 stove (1) 860:6 stranger (1) 907:21 strategies (1) 694:15 street (7) 663:23;664:1; 666:23;671:9;677:7; 733:15;842:22 strength (3) 679:16:686:23,24 strengthened (1) 650:2 strict (2) 825:3;826:17 strictly (1)

824:12 strikingly (1) 785:21 strokes (1) 736:6 structure (1) 754:22 students (3) 648:23;649:4;748:5 studied (3) 701:3;794:4;839:12 studies (3) 662:10;689:8;847:21 study (8) 689:11:702:8,9,13; 773:15;774:15;794:9; 838:4 studying (1) 799:16 stuff (8) 739:21;744:9; 775:19;811:4;828:13; 831:11;865:3;868:24 stunned (1) 833:9 style (1) 818:16 subject (2) 722:18;748:1 subjective (4) 841:8;843:16;844:5, 23 submit (4) 670:7.9:725:8.9 submitted (1) 761:25 submitting (1) 907:7 Suboxone (15) 790:16,22,23;791:8, 9:870:11:911:5.11: 912:16,18;913:1; 914:13,18;915:5,6 subpoena (2) 725:19;947:20 subpoenaed (1) 954:11 subpoenas (1) 819:18 subscribe (1) 924:10 subscription (1) 737:6 Subsection (1) 756:10 subsequent (4) 730:15;823:20; 855:9,13 subsequently (4) 701:13;721:4;740:4; 848:16 subset (1) 747:17

subspecialty (2) 745:18:756:12 substance (12) 658:21:659:14: 664:8;668:9;687:16; 691:25;704:10;780:11; 783:21;807:2;818:2; 938:4 substances (11) 658:19;660:21; 664:25;665:2;666:17, 21:669:22:699:12: 704:12;790:4;913:11 substantial (1) 706:6 substitute (1) 881:21 substituted (1) 859:1 substitution (1) 825:17 subtle (1) 838:19 success (9) 705:19;766:11; 855:1;858:3;861:23; 872:12,13;883:1; 887:24 successes (1) 888:2 successful (2) 695:25:696:3 successfully (5) 718:5:720:18:764:8: 867:15;879:3 Succinylcholine (1) 878:7 suck (1) 729:23 sudden (2) 669:6;877:6 suddenly (1) 809:18 suffered (2) 688:13;889:1 suffering (4) 675:25;738:5;813:6, 7 suggest (5) 782:5;787:18; 927:12:933:19:955:15 suggested (7) 687:1;787:23;865:3; 886:19;889:24;914:7; 949:15 suggesting (2) 751:19;908:25 suicidal (1) 891:21 suicides (2) 714:21;715:4 summation (1) 706:2

summer (4) 871:25;893:20; 942:17:951:5 superficial (1) 844:13 superior (1) 944:11 supervising (1) 917:14 supervisor (1) 944:10 supplement (1) 686:4 supplements (3) 861:2,4,5 supplied (1) 784:14 supply (15) 668:12;669:10,11, 23;670:1;671:17,19; 676:24;700:19,21; 701:11:728:14.15: 743:4;929:6 support (1) 716:1 supported (2) 877:11,13 Suppose (5) 665:7;702:4,9; 741:25:949:11 supposed (4) 700:21;866:7; 945:12:949:5 Sure (71) 651:10;656:11,17; 658:20;660:24;662:10, 18:663:7.17:667:19; 670:16;671:10,13; 672:16,19;673:12; 675:10,14:677:16; 683:11;684:4,4; 685:14;689:10;693:21; 695:21;698:3,5; 704:16;709:1;714:13, 15,19;722:23;745:24; 749:18;755:12;759:4; 763:8,10;773:24; 777:1,2,8;778:10; 782:14;783:22;784:7; 787:19;791:11;796:1; 798:19:804:9,14; 807:10:811:16:825:21: 852:12;873:19;890:19; 895:21;907:9;917:6; 919:4;930:16;934:13; 935:1;937:12,13; 945:2;951:11 surgeon (2) 866:20,24 surgeries (8) 842:20;853:17; 855:13;866:3,9; 870:24,25;871:1

surgery (11) 813:11:821:21: 856:17,22;857:6,10,12; 868:9:871:3.15.18 surgical (7) 734:18;802:9; 839:24;864:16;866:18; 871:9,12 surprised (1) 819:12 surrounding (1) 765:1 surveilling (1) 727:6 survey (2) 827:16;877:17 surveying (1) 661:15 surveys (1) 664:12 Susan (1) 870:19 suspect (2) 685:23;686:1 suspected (3) 884:21;927:20,24 Sustained (14) 674:9;768:4;772:8; 778:20;801:11;811:18; 858:9,15;896:9; 897:23,25;905:15; 920:22.24 sustained-release (1) 727:16 swear (1) 646:24 sweating (1) 812:13 sweats (1) 843:1 sweaty (1) 812:3 swelled (1) 830:20 swinging (3) 662:1;667:3;729:18 swore (1) 899:15 sworn (3) 647:9;733:8;941:23 swung (1) 715:9 sympathetic (3) 771:3,8;874:20 symptom (2) 654:12;843:12 symptoms (5) 772:6;812:11; 842:25;856:25;912:19 syndrome (2) 849:21;857:12 system (8) 659:15,20,22;

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

666:18;682:17;836:3,	900:20;903:14;928:9;	tension (1)	732:24;796:19;	948:15;956:19
3;841:14	930:3,6;935:1;945:7	885:25	842:7;882:3;912:15;	thousand (3)
systematic (1)	talks (1)	tenure (1)	918:6;937:9;941:12	938:14,16;948:9
809:12	856:20	755:5	theft (1)	thousands (1)
systemic (1)	tape (1)	ten-week (1)	666:11	810:23
764:22	812:8	938:13	theirs (5)	threat (1)
systems (2)	taper (3)	term (12)	777:14;860:21,22,	906:22
661:15;841:8	686:17;764:4;823:6	670:16;672:21;	23;938:21	threaten (3)
Т	tapered (5)	702:20;781:21;806:6;	theoretically (3)	891:4,11;916:11
I	758:25;763:14,15;	813:23;832:3,4;846:6;	764:17,18,21	threatened (3)
Tab (5)	764:1;824:12 tapering (6)	878:24;910:15;919:10 terminal (1)	theory (3) 844:25;865:5;877:9	893:21;906:13,21 threatening (1)
786:11;788:4;790:2;	651:6;702:23,24;	676:11	therapies (2)	904:2
796:7;914:1	703:2;704:18;824:12	terminated (1)	680:13;683:4	threats (1)
tabbed (1)	tapers (1)	832:14	therapist (1)	885:2
786:10	703:9	terms (9)	681:18	three (17)
table (2)	tapping (2)	786:8;848:4;850:14,	therapy (23)	652:4;659:23;
773:4;818:5	840:4,4	22;854:4;858:2;	651:14,15;652:5;	671:24;691:2;788:19;
tables (1)	task (3)	860:18;861:25;872:12	653:16,18,20;654:2,4;	795:17;806:18;823:16;
697:15	666:4;817:6;884:5	terrible (1)	681:2,15;683:1;	830:9;871:6;874:7;
tablet (3)	taught (2)	956:1	695:14;728:21;751:19;	896:4;914:25;916:2,3,
665:9;675:19;727:21	648:21,24	test (7)	791:4,10;819:2;	25;942:15
tablets (1)	taxes (1)	673:11;686:8;702:5,	859:15,25;861:11,13;	three-way (1)
677:5	944:7	11;736:16,18;838:5	873:25;915:9	919:12
tactics (1)	teach (2)	testified (29)	thereafter (2)	throw (3)
899:16	649:2;747:1 team (12)	690:2;695:16;718:4; 720:18;748:13,16;	736:22;832:12 therefore (1)	670:18,22;720:17 Thumb (2)
tag (1) 662:21	651:9,11;681:1,2;	749:6;766:10;767:4;	879:3	784:25;862:23
take-back (2)	703:1,4,11,12,22,25;	780:9;801:18;810:22;	thinking (8)	thus (4)
666:6,12	735:3;823:8	817:14;861:22;866:2;	661:11;666:25;	670:4;748:18;
talk (33)	team's (2)	880:25;888:25;890:4;	682:6;794:20;795:22,	897:24;898:17
660:25;663:18;	703:17,18	892:7;915:25;916:10;	24;904:14;953:23	tighten (1)
719:23;726:19;743:24;	Tearful/joyful/ (1)	917:2;918:2,16,21;	thinner (1)	865:8
759:15;761:3;763:25;	851:4	931:12;934:9,10;	651:15	tightening (1)
780:3,7;783:13,14,15;	tears (2)	937:16	third (4)	865:13
786:7;796:3;809:20;	831:20;892:14	testify (7)	778:22;787:2;	timely (1)
825:6;826:3;827:6;	technically (2)	683:18;692:10;	844:19;847:15	737:23
831:24;835:1;855:2; 863:12,13;868:16;	716:23;944:13 techniques (1)	747:21;760:22;762:8; 881:20;897:5	Thirty (1) 924:5	times (18) 669:8;763:8;776:1;
894:11,24;907:11;	819:16	testifying (4)	thorough (2)	784:8;824:18;828:18,
917:7;928:7;945:5;	teeth (1)	692:10;747:13;	697:11;698:10	20;839:4;853:22;
949:6;955:15	831:3	748:9;804:4	thoroughly (1)	855:3;870:7;874:13;
talked (27)	telephone (1)	testimony (36)	708:2	876:23;902:14;903:2,
670:24;693:17;	893:17	646:1;654:11;	though (22)	3;945:9;948:7
712:4;714:4;728:3;	telling (3)	655:15;673:17;676:13;	674:11;685:17;	titrate (2)
729:7;755:24;759:1;	669:3;743:23;812:3	677:17;698:9;702:18;	694:12;700:10;722:25;	925:20,23
782:9,10;786:14,20;	tells (1)	722:5;744:20;761:18;	729:13;746:7;747:9;	tobacco (1)
815:9;818:8,10;824:9;	703:8	766:18;779:10;792:11,	801:12;851:11,15;	842:21
827:14;831:10;846:17;	ten (10)	24;802:5;803:12;	852:24;862:23;871:18;	today (2)
847:25;848:2,7;	747:7;798:10;800:1;	815:9;834:18;859:13;	887:16;888:18;894:24,	702:25;744:4
854:21;882:16;894:2;	828:18;839:5;842:16;	881:21,23;883:10;	25;896:5;898:7;	Todd (1)
903:2;935:22 talking (36)	844:13;880:9;939:20; 941:20	889:9;890:24;891:16; 893:24;899:5;909:3,	921:14;946:18 thought (34)	845:14 toe (1)
653:10;658:11;	tend (6)	19;915:17;916:8;	650:19;657:7;662:4;	930:5
671:2;672:12;676:11;	670:17;696:6;	917:21;918:12,13;	676:21;722:2;785:24;	together (9)
685:8;687:6;698:18;	742:17;743:5;866:19;	920:19	801:18;812:21;824:11,	788:25;811:22;
704:16;722:24;739:21;	950:10	tests (1)	23;825:11,20;826:15;	817:7;819:3;826:20;
741:19;753:22;754:7;	tender (1)	701:23	831:23;832:22,22;	827:6;833:11;859:8;
763:1;767:9;777:15;	844:14	tetanus (1)	833:6,9;866:21;	950:8
781:1;786:13;788:2;	ten-minute (1)	843:21	880:21;881:8;891:14;	told (21)
789:4,8;835:13;	732:19	thanked (1)	899:23;915:23;934:19;	710:4;716:7;818:12,
840:13;842:2;851:7;	tense (1)	821:23	938:23,25;939:6,6;	17;821:19;828:17;
856:12;887:9;894:2;	885:24	thanks (8)	940:6;941:18;946:21;	832:1;848:12;850:5;
		1	1	L

855:23:873:8:885:10; 887:19.23:899:2.3.5. 13:917:17:928:16; 935:6 tolerate (7) 691:20;847:19,23; 850:14,16;852:19; 886:21 tolerating (1) 820:25 Tollefson (2) 712:6,10 **Tom** (2) 817:10;855:21 took (10) 702:11;719:19; 738:19;808:5;818:24; 827:16;856:15;868:5; 899:14;942:24 tool (5) 669:15;808:18,21; 814:3:887:1 toothache (4) 834:19,20,24;887:21 top (5) 790:9;796:11;799:7; 929:11;932:5 topic (2)659:13;674:22 topically (1) 679:25 topics (3) 649:7:752:12,13 total (8) 658:4;684:9;741:24; 743:7;792:17;802:4; 845:19:849:16 totaled (1) 810:9 touch (1) 798:9 tough (2) 720:17;873:5 tour (1) 818:23 towards (2) 794:10;861:7 town (7) 677:23;827:14,17; 829:23;830:18;860:25; 873:24 toxicology (1) 855:18 trace (1) 733:20 track (4) 741:4;788:23; 793:18;833:18 tract (1) 872:17 tragic (1) 868:14 trail (1)

726:4 trained (2) 731:19:916:24 training (13) 647:21;649:5; 651:22,24,24;656:20; 729:19;731:12,14,17; 745:24:803:13:807:18 transaction (1) 669:14 transfer (5) 660:4;663:14; 777:10;778:8;779:12 transferred (4) 655:22;734:2,4; 854:18 transparency (1) 901:22 trash (1) 715:1 traumatic (1) 856:24 traveling (4) 677:18,22;820:1,2 travels (1) 957:16 treat (23) 673:5;679:19,21; 681:9;686:1;689:5,5; 690:21;715:9;718:6; 729:19,22;751:2,11; 758:9:766:20:850:22: 872:20:877:18:883:18: 910:3;911:20;915:6 treated (9) 661:7;693:14,15; 720:19;746:24;747:24; 750:22;836:13;855:23 treating (10) 661:12:667:5; 688:12.16:691:6: 719:5;750:21;755:2; 877:19;894:18 treatment (26) 646:10;650:15; 661:4;671:6,16; 678:13;681:20;687:11, 11;690:5,13;695:18, 24;708:3;728:2; 734:19;749:8;754:1; 770:22;791:17,23; 911:11,15;938:14,20; 947:8 treatments (2) 689:7;767:12 treats (2) 747:23;915:4 tremendous (2) 805:2;859:4 tremendously (1) 661:25 trend (3) 766:4,6,8

trial (4) 684:21,22;748:12; 754:4 tried (9) 688:6;737:5;751:20; 812:9;893:7,9;906:20; 943:9:946:2 tries (1) 763:19 trigger (1) 684:25 triglycerides (1) 684:10 trip (3) 899:13;900:8,11 triplicate (1) 777:5 triumph (1) 859:5 triumvirate (2) 919:7.9 trouble (5) 663:10,12;798:18; 871:20;913:1 truck (1) 710:19 trucks (1) 829:5 true (39) 695:19;701:5; 709:20,21;711:2,11; 712:6:714:18:715:6. 19:716:9,10:717:2,3, 11:719:8:741:13: 743:20;755:24;764:20; 765:18;790:6;797:15; 800:23;801:22;811:3; 836:19;855:1;872:1; 888:24;890:2;919:25; 920:5,5;921:22: 922:19;926:23;928:2; 944:3 truism (1) 742:13 truly (1) 953:12 trunk (1) 840:20 trust (7) 715:18;764:3;769:1, 8,24;880:3;905:25 truth (1) 881:11 truthful (1) 699:21 try (17) 663:12;669:11; 680:14;689:5,15; 696:9;708:22;711:25; 754:22;759:20;766:23; 767:14:832:16:865:3: 912:11;946:4;955:23 trying (16)

728:16:749:13; 758:2:765:10:796:2: 814:10;822:2;857:7; 898:7;920:16;921:12; 923:16;939:3,12; 950:21;953:10 tub (1) 861:15 Tuesday (2) 667:14:719:11 turn (17) 647:6;670:12; 678:12;723:19;724:6; 786:11;788:4;789:3; 790:2;796:6;857:11; 898:11,12;900:16; 904:9;914:1,2 turned (1) 871:17 turning (1) 726:25 turns (5) 814:2;833:23; 845:13;872:18;940:8 Tuss (7) 817:12,14;818:7; 893:7,9;919:1;934:10 **TV**(1) 814:10 Twenty-eight (1) 648:6 Twenty-six (1) 648:6 twice (3) 685:18;824:13;948:7 two (36) 652:2;657:22; 659:23;688:5;699:22; 700:5;702:11,12; 723:20:733:25,25; 742:14;757:16;764:3; 792:2;797:7;803:7; 813:3;816:1,2;819:20; 823:16;829:5;839:20; 842:15;849:18;853:17; 854:19;859:9;863:3; 870:24,25;901:19; 922:6;935:14;953:24 two-year (1) 734:1 Tylenol (1) 827:24 type (9) 674:1;679:21;698:2; 706:17;734:21;739:12; 743:9;805:4;875:8 typed (2) 706:20;911:7 types (9) 670:25:678:19,20; 680:1:690:22:691:6: 729:3;850:4;854:7 typical (3)

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

678:15;738:8;843:23 **typically (13)** 671:5,6;693:14; 703:9;740:21;760:11; 802:7,20;814:23; 845:11;852:9;932:17; 945:15

U

ubiquitous (1) 759:19 **UCP** (1) 913:7 ugly (7) 896:12,15;903:21, 24;904:8;917:9,9 ulcerative (1) 872:21 ulcers (2) 853:13:874:21 ultimate (2) 756:25;774:8 Ultimately (12) 649:22,23;650:12; 664:18,23;666:13; 760:7;832:20;854:18; 865:3;872:18;914:8 Ultram (2) 849:16,25 ultrasound (5) 845:6,7,9,11,16 ultrasounds (1) 845:15 unable (1) 748:7 unaccounted (1) 702:12 unavailable (1) 945:13 unbridled (1) 879:11 uncertain (1) 917:10 uncertainty (1) 825:21 uncomfortable (2) 834:13;873:12 under (19) 666:1;682:19; 725:23;756:8;780:21; 782:3;791:12;855:21; 857:8,13;862:12,13; 868:15;870:8,10,13; 909:11;913:10;927:9 undermine (2) 800:4:906:20 underpinning (1) 906:8 underserved (2) 877:5,6 understood (4) 691:23;732:23;

LESOFSKI COURT REPORTING, INC., 406-443-2010

(35) tolerate - understood

Transcript of Contested Case Hearing - Vol. V December 04, 2014

773:17:777:20 831:19:832:14:846:22; 661:13:805:12; 928:11:929:9:943:9 vast (1) unfair (3) 847:16:851:9:852:16: used (29) 947:5 844:7:877:10 vehicle (2) 773:14:948:16.24 663:4,25;664:7; Vitamin (1) 864:17:865:9,13: unfairly (1) 866:22;868:10;873:2; 675:20;679:19,20; 665:12:842:17 686:10 894:18 888:5;899:13,14; Velocity (2) **VOIR (3)** 690:21;738:14;741:2; **Unfortunately (4)** 900:8;902:14;903:7,8, 758:9,15;759:5; 841:10.11 654:21;744:24;745:7 764:9;765:18;766:1; venn (1) volume (2) 9;912:8,14;914:8,12, 781:20;785:15;791:3, 910:16 706:25;869:9 956:8 25;929:9;930:24; 4,17;808:10,14; 931:7,15;936:23; 823:11;830:25;855:10; verboten (1) voluntarily (1) unhappy (6) 909:14 890:16,18,19; 938:8:942:16:947:24 868:10;876:24;877:15; 852:1 892:12,14;894:14 updated (1) 910:16;939:2;953:21; verify (1) W 762:8 954:9 719:2 uniform (1) 947:7 upon (10) useful (4) versus (15) unique (3) 709:11;723:6; 756:18;758:5;776:6; 651:22;658:6,7; wading (1) 675:17,25;804:25 736:20;755:23;762:2, 789:24 662:23;671:12;672:14; 793:13 25;784:2;801:15; unit (1) user (2) 676:14,23;679:7; wait (8) 703:22 816:21;899:25 680:21;769:14;878:20; 660:1;666:18 777:23;794:16; United (4) upped (1) 909:5;932:7;945:3 uses (4) 805:20;813:25;858:17; 659:14;661:2; 905:3 716:15;725:12; veteran (1) 869:23;920:24;938:13 **UPS** (1) 662:25;663:3 915:7;926:1 821:22 waived (1) universal (1) 743:10 using (9) via (1) 911:14 720:24 Walgreens (6) 695:20 upset (8) 706:13:720:11: universally (1) 805:2;837:22,23; 744:4;782:13;808:13, vice-president (1) 829:25;830:1; 929:17 839:24;850:12;869:5; 14,19;910:22;915:9 719:11 831:19;833:25;834:7; vicious (2) University (11) 898:15;912:24 usual (6) 892:6 647:24;648:1,23; upsetness (1) 716:25;818:16; 906:24;907:25 Walgreen's (1) 649:2;650:18,22; 898:18 823:4;831:15;835:18; video (1) 833:14 734:4,7,8,11;747:5 upstairs (1) 838:17 829:7 walk (2) unless (10) 956:9 Usually (9) view (11) 695:7;805:6 701:3:718:19:719:1; urgent (25) 703:6,8;728:14; 673:23:674:5:723:1; wants (2) 725:23,23;771:12; 674:6;739:8;740:21; 737:4;751:17:810:15; 759:15;761:3;767:25; 892:22:912:18 777:12:805:10:810:13: 741:20:805:18:807:17: 852:23;945:17;946:21 775:24:776:5:780:4: Warfarin (2) 867:21 841:13.16.20.20: utilize (3) 842:3:942:21 651:15:867:3 unprescribed (1) 859:24:915:16:918:18: 766:23;807:7;859:14 viewed (1) warnings (2) 936:7;942:11,20,21,23; 842:22 utilizing (1) 948:14 665:14;935:5 unprofessional (2) 943:7,8,10,18,22; 741:16 vigorously (1) warranted (1) 749:3;908:23 950:1:951:4 840:5 659:3 V unreachable (1) urinalysis (5) violate (1) watching (1) 701:19;782:23; 783:15 660:14 711:13 783:3:805:17:820:5 violates (1) unregulated (2) Vacated (1) way (31) 664:21;665:5 urinary (1) 899:6 732:3 662:4;666:23;667:4, violating (3) unsuccessful (2) 872:17 vague (3) 10:675:13:722:17: 709:20;726:5;928:15 649:21;696:3 urinating (1) 888:14;915:20;929:2 736:10;751:18;774:1; 805:16 valid (4) violations (1) 779:1;791:6;805:5; unused (1) urine (10) 666:7 656:13:657:8,9; 732:8 816:7;820:11,13; virtue (1) unusual (8) 673:11;701:20; 726:8 825:25;826:1;827:7; 702:1,2;722:18;723:7; Valium (2) 687:21;771:6;775:6, 677:1 831:3;833:8,21; 837:3,4;855:18;861:1 vision (1) 7;777:12;792:8; 665:2;670:25 852:12;895:25;897:6; 935:15;950:3 usage (2) value (1) 764:23 924:23;943:12;944:6, up (76) 664:21;707:10 visit (17) 9,12;948:9;953:4 664:1 646:23;669:16; use (44) vamoose (1) 684:17,18;686:20; ways (2) 899:6 681:9:936:25 681:18:685:25:686:11. 662:23;663:2,3; 696:25;724:9;738:9,9; 21;702:3;703:6; 665:5;667:15;670:13; 740:8;768:11;838:8, weakening (1) variations (1) 722:17;725:20,25; 673:7;687:21;694:15; 13,24;840:18,22; 722:25 685:23 699:1;716:9,12; 726:6;729:23;731:2; varies (2) 847:15;862:10;948:5 wealthy (1) 658:3;707:23 734:5;737:3,14; 717:18;722:15;753:21; visited (2) 861:4 738:23;743:5,8;754:3, 754:19;758:9,12,17; 686:7;817:18 variety (2) wean (25) 759:2;766:2;767:7; 705:3,15,17;764:7; 12;769:13;770:9,11; 676:16;949:9 visits (10) 771:5;785:5,16;794:2 769:6;770:12,15; various (4) 684:6;688:18; 795:4,6,7,11,12,17; 21,24;796:11,17;806:9, 802:21:808:7,12,15,20; 739:11;768:21; 692:22;698:13;740:5; 797:7.14:798:7.21.22: 831:12;857:17;866:12; 14;810:9;812:9; 823:2:841:13:842:25: 820:1;926:15 788:25:815:14:848:10: 925:25;951:16 816:16:818:9:819:11, 845:11;851:12;882:6, vary (1) 867:15;873:10,10; 15;821:22;830:25; 7;910:15;927:6,10,12; 742:20 vital (4) 912:18;914:7,11;

923:16	728:12	witness (30)	668:16	
weaned (3)	whereby (1)	646:15;647:9;	work-related (1)	X
815:20;830:15;879:3	766:4	678:15;705:22;707:15;	842:18	<u> </u>
weaning (34)	white (1)	732:17;733:8;745:1,3;	works (3)	x-ray (1)
702:19,23,24;	653:3	749:13;759:1;762:12,	678:22;836:14;942:6	921:25
704:25;705:3,3,5,10,	whoa (1)	17;766:7;774:13;	workup (2)	XXXX (1)
13,25;766:11;792:8,	739:22	792:7;810:22;822:22;	849:8;869:3	913:8
12;794:11,17,22;	whole (12)	879:16;881:19;886:4,	world (4)	XXXX's (1)
795:23,25;796:25;	677:17;680:4;736:9;	9,12;897:3;912:4;	662:24;663:3,4;	913:1
798:11,24;799:18; 846:22;855:3;857:9;	754:7;758:15;761:24; 818:23;821:14;825:10;	920:21;932:18;936:16; 941:5,23	783:6 world's (1)	
861:25;864:9;878:25;	833:12;932:20;935:16	witness' (2)	663:1	Y
883:1,11;887:24;	who's (3)	674:8;692:9	worried (2)	
913:1,18;923:14	682:2;869:8;906:13	witnesses (9)	669:20;869:5	year (34)
wears (1)	whose (1)	646:13;915:18,25;	worries (1)	652:6;692:25; 735:22;736:16;737:5;
836:2	748:22	916:1;920:20;932:17,	662:8	764:3;789:13;798:23;
week (7)	wife (2)	21;941:7,8	worrisome (1)	799:21;808:8;809:25;
703:8,8;716:23;	697:24;936:17	witness's (1)	663:6	823:25;826:12;827:4;
719:15;872:9;955:17;	wildly (1)	660:6	worry (3)	864:7;873:7;922:11,
956:1	907:1	women (2)	899:6,7;923:21	15,17,23,24;923:6,7,9,
weekly (1)	willful (1)	890:3,20	worrying (2)	19;926:11,13;927:3,5;
670:1 weeks (11)	950:17 willing (7)	wondered (1) 955:19	875:14,15 worse (1)	936:22,23;937:3,11;
703:10;705:8;	771:3;825:6;846:10;	wonderful (1)	696:6	940:9
763:25;796:24;824:22;	865:2;900:25;936:9;	955:18	worth (3)	yearned (1)
856:18,18;857:5;	948:22	wondering (3)	848:14;868:7;934:19	735:9
859:9;871:15;939:20	wincing (1)	696:20;809:6;847:20	wound (1)	years (33) 648:6;652:2,3;661:2;
weigh (1)	655:15	word (11)	856:13	733:25,25;734:3;
828:15	Winer (1)	670:14;769:6;	Wow (2)	735:19;742:10,11;
weight (6)	855:21	785:14;791:16;793:14;	831:23;840:7	747:7,25;748:5;749:9;
658:7;681:6,8;695:4;	wiring (1)	827:17;904:18,19;	wreck (2)	753:13;759:9;760:3;
843:2;934:6	874:14	952:7,8,8	859:10;874:19	777:8;818:13;831:18;
Weinert (1)	Wisconsin (2)	words (10)	write (16)	834:24;839:20;875:25;
870:9	650:19,22	689:18;706:25; 724:10:707:11:865:10:	654:8;778:25;	877:16;909:5,5;
welcome (2) 893:25;899:18	wish (1) 925:3	724:19;797:11;865:10; 885:15;914:6,20,24;	809:10;815:24;825:11, 12,13;851:4;853:1;	916:25;942:15;946:5;
well0being (1)	witch (1)	947:2	854:9,10,11;895:3;	950:4,13;953:8,9
696:8	906:25	work (28)	922:25;924:17;927:14	Yep (5)
well-being (1)	withdraw (4)	651:12;666:2,19;	write-ups (1)	787:5;797:4;888:23; 913:19;939:20
862:3	660:11;791:8;	674:15;688:5;690:18;	889:25	yes-or-no (2)
weren't (13)	838:23;956:15	719:3;737:21;740:14;	writing (6)	911:19;949:6
646:25;744:13;	withdrawal (9)	765:24;771:4;807:1;	650:11;722:20;	yesterday (12)
754:11,13;800:18;	779:25;812:12;	810:7;859:6;860:16;	781:10;783:10;896:18;	690:2;698:9;708:23;
821:7,18;824:16;	814:8,11,12;821:8,11,	863:6,8;864:17;	923:22	766:10;841:17;854:22;
890:17;900:7;902:8;	18;912:19	866:22;893:7;909:1;	written (40)	859:14;861:22;866:2;
927:23;953:25	withdrawing (1) 821:1	910:23;936:9;938:10; 940:14;942:20;953:21;	658:21;669:17; 674:2;700:23;701:13;	931:8,10,12
West (1) 877:4	withdrew (1)	940.14,942.20,955.21, 956:2	704:8,21;705:11;	young (1)
Western (4)	956:24	worked (14)	713:1,2;718:17;	726:18
890:3;891:12,19;	within (19)	653:10;737:22;	736:17;769:23;770:12,	Z
916:1	671:17;716:23;	758:4,4;817:7;833:25;	15;780:10;816:12;	
what's (27)	721:25;747:24;748:4;	846:18;884:10;936:21,	827:2,3;833:3,4;	zero (1)
659:15;679:17;	764:19;771:15,23;	24;944:4;950:1,1,2	842:12;843:20;844:7,	858:25
702:20;727:6;763:6;	780:15;781:13;784:9,	working (23)	13;847:2,11;849:8,9,	Zohydro (1)
777:22;778:1;780:4;	16;785:13;857:5;	662:14;695:9,10;	10;851:12;854:12;	728:10
792:16;795:18;797:5;	898:12;914:6;939:10,	696:13;709:7;717:19;	857:2;878:12,20,21,23;	Zyprexa (2)
798:14;806:18;808:24;	16;940:17	720:5,10,14;740:22;	879:10,24;894:20	687:17,19
812:14;818:15;835:23,	without (12)	751:15;755:8;759:6;	wrong (1)	
24;836:11;841:1;	773:15;789:12;	770:15;826:20;847:16;	833:7 wrote (0)	1
842:8;843:3;845:3; 857:24;859:8;860:12;	793:13;795:4;824:15; 838:23;894:3,11;	905:11,18;917:19; 942:15;943:6;945:21;	wrote (9) 710:5;762:3;779:12;	4.40
894:23	901:4;925:24;940:11;	942.13,943.0,943.21, 951:13	816:1;827:2;831:14;	1 (16)
whenever (1)	944:7	workman's (1)	870:19;892:10;925:15	684:5;698:18;710:1; 723:10 21:772:7;
				723:19,21;773:7;

Mark Ibsen, M.D. December 04, 2014					
794.15.702.10.920.17.	733:24	707.2 6 20.909.5	799:4	961.20.970.2.012.7.12	
784:15;793:10;839:17;		797:3,6,20;808:5 2013 (3)		861:20;879:2;912:7,12 4:55 (1)	
854:2;882:6,8;894:22,	1972 (1)		28th (1)		
24;930:2,4 1 500 (1)	734:6	762:3;798:15;872:2	856:16	957:18 4-12 (1)	
1,500 (1)	1977 (1)	2013-MED-LIC (1)	29 (1) 70(-15		
653:1	734:13	646:9	706:15	854:25	
10 (14)	1978 (1)	2014 (6)	29-1 (1)	4-1-2011 (1)	
677:1,4;687:19;	746:13	719:20;790:19;	706:10	856:17	
703:9;766:12;789:1;	1988 (2)	799:8;800:17;812:1;	29-21 (1)	4-12-12 (1)	
815:24;816:2,4;	735:3;746:13	924:1	884:15	854:24	
827:10,23,25;828:7;	1989 (1)	202 (1)	295 (1)	4-14-12 (1)	
867:6	735:4	933:25	912:20	854:23	
10/325 (1)	1990 (1)	2047 (1)		4-16-13 (1)	
854:15	750:12	855:15	3	870:6	
100 (3)	1991 (3)	2048 (1)		45-9-104 (1)	
853:17;947:4,13	735:4,12;746:22	855:19	3 (8)	650:3	
10-29-13 (1)	1st (1)	20-day (1)	686:7;692:3;710:7;		
867:7	831:6	929:6	756:10;806:14;855:25;	5	
11 (3)		20th (5)	858:22;879:2		
842:14,14;862:25	2	733:19;796:10,12;	3:00 (1)	5 (8)	
11-12 (1)		797:3,5	876:25	677:4;690:9;711:5;	
874:16	2 (6)	21 (17)	30 (27)	720:16;788:4;830:23;	
12 (3)	691:14,16;786:11;	706:10;796:18;	665:7;669:11;	845:21;865:19	
703:9;763:25;789:1	853:6,6;910:20	797:2,20;811:8,9,16;	675:15;676:25;677:4,	50 (2)	
120 (4)	2,000 (1)	814:16;815:3;821:6,	19;727:9,10,20,21;	741:25;802:2	
815:21;831:9,15;	901:13	12;823:13;876:8;	742:21;749:9;759:9;	5-12 (1)	
868:10	2,800 (2)	897:21;898:11;956:13,	777:8;815:23;816:4,4;	873:7	
13 (5)	700:7;739:21	20	820:20;824:10;826:18;	5-3-12 (1)	
724:10;786:19;	2,800-page (1)	22(11)	827:1;857:21;875:25;	854:25	
808:9;913:21;914:4	774:4	811:8,9,16;814:17;	886:15;924:4;925:12;	537 (1)	
130 (1)	2.5 (2)	815:3;821:6;823:14;	953:8	913:17	
830:25	811:10,11	876:8;896:25;908:7;	300 (1)	53-year-old (1)	
13th (1)	20 (8)	909:17	912:13	724:13	
788:12	723:21;735:6;	22nd (1)	30-day (4)	54 (1)	
14 (3)	739:14;749:8;801:25;	797:21	676:24;700:21;	854:24	
877:15;925:8;942:18	845:19;854:15;953:8	23 (3)	701:11;728:14	56 (1)	
1433 (1)	2000 (3)	896:25;908:7;909:17	30-some (1)	848:24	
856:16	649:12;650:4;742:7	230 (1)	946:5	57 (1)	
14th (1)	2000s (1)	848:14	30-something (1)	848:24	
827:4	735:20	23rd (1)	760:3	590 (1)	
15 (1) 669:12	2002 (2)	873:10	30th (1)	797:22	
	742:7,9	24 (5)	799:8	5th (1)	
15th (1)	2004 (1)	906:8,13;956:14,20,	31 (3)	798:15	
693:3	742:9	20	931:3;932:4;933:25	(
16 (2) 720 12 001 25	2006 (2)	25 (4)	3-11-13 (1)	6	
739:13;801:25	648:15;735:21	661:2;956:15,22,24	867:6		
168 (1)	2007 (3)	26 (5)	3-29 (2)	6 (6)	
854:23	648:16;649:12;	956:16,20,25;957:1,	724:10;913:21	790:2;869:22,24;	
16th (1)	939:19	2	33-year-old (1)	913:17,18;914:1	
914:4	2008 (1)	26-2-601 (1)	843:16	60 (2)	
17 (5)	663:21	756:9	350 (1)	849:16;872:4	
739:14;778:2;899:4;	2009 (1)	26-2-601 (1)	864:6	6-20 (1)	
901:3,7	649:20	747:19	360 (3)	842:14	
18 (1)	2010 (1)	28 (3)	815:19;824:14,22	6-22 (1)	
663:1	874:16	785:10;793:12;794:1	372 (1)	842:14	
180 (3)	2011 (14)	28-1 (2)	646:9	63 (1)	
854:15,24,25	649:23;662:11;	706:8;784:17	37-7-1506 (1)	723:20	
1832 (1)	667:24,24,25;693:1,3;	28-2 (1)	731:5	64 (1)	
841:3	723:21;725:8,11;	786:12		652:21	
1833 (1)	796:10;842:21;843:24;	28-5 (1)	4	655 (2)	
844:4	848:22	788:5		798:15,17	
1855 (1)	2012 (10)	28-6 (1)	4 (10)	670 (1)	
848:24	668:2;716:4;725:5;	914:17	687:6,8;688:20;	797:23	
1969 (1)	733:19;770:12;788:12;	28-8 (1)	712:3;718:3;805:1;	672 (1)	
(-)		(-)	,	(-)	

iur R Ibben, Mib.		
202.2	0 (14)	
797:2	9 (14)	
689 (1)	698:18;706:8;	
898:24	709:25;724:6;762:2;	
690 (1)	773:7;784:16;793:10,	
797:19	10;796:7;874:10;	
6th (1)	876:8;882:6,9	
719:20	90 (1)	
719.20	947:4	
7		
/	90-day (1)	
	728:15	
7 (2)	90-plus (1)	
871:6;879:2	947:5	
709 (1)	90s (3)	
796:15	650:18;729:18;950:5	
70s (2)	95 (1)	
754:9,13	663:2	
7-17-14 (1)	96 (2)	
872:4	911:1;912:4	
729 (1)	9-8 (1)	
733:14	862:24	
737 (1)		
796:7		
74 (1)		
734:13		
740 (1)		
724:6		
75 (1)		
663:3		
0	-	
8		
8 (7)		
703:9;763:25;796:6;		
799:3;872:15;879:2,6		
80 (1)		
837:20		
800 (1)		
700:6		
800-page (1)		
774:3		
80s (4)		
661:6,6;729:17;		
754:10		
8-15 (1)		
848:22		
85 (1)		
877:13		
850 (4)		
739:23;784:25;		
810:25;811:9		
857 (1)		
913:3		
869 (1)		
898:12		
870 (2)		
900:16;901:19		
872 (3)		
851:2;903:10,13		
877 (1)		
904:9		
	-	
9		
,	-	